```
1. \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - y_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i + \hat{y}_i - y_i)^2
     = \frac{1}{2} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{y}_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 + 2 = \frac{1}{2} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)(\hat{y}_i - \hat{y}_i)
       = 5 0 N 6 - n. cv. h = x/6 = 0
   : 豊りショナー芸りらずナニのシータリン
2 (gg = 1 至 yi- g) (gi- g))2 至 (gi- g)2
    3. StudN_{i} = \frac{\widehat{S}_{c-i}}{\widehat{\delta}_{c-i}^{2} / (l-h_{ii})} = \frac{y_{i} - \chi_{i} / \widehat{\delta}_{c-i}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\sigma}_{c-i}^{2} / (l-h_{ii})}} = \frac{y_{i} - \chi_{i} / \widehat{\delta}_{c-i}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\sigma}_{c-i}^{2} / (l-h_{ii})}} = \frac{y_{i} - \chi_{i} / \widehat{\delta}_{c-i}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\sigma}_{c-i}^{2} / (l-h_{ii})}} = \frac{y_{i} - \chi_{i} / \widehat{\delta}_{c-i}}{\sigma / \sqrt{l-h_{ii}}} \sim N(0, 1)
 (n-p-1) $ = 1/(In-His) Y/0-~ 1/n-1-p
   And we know \hat{\beta}_{\bar{c}-i\bar{j}} and \hat{\sigma}_{\bar{c}-i\bar{j}} are mutually independent under GM linear model

\frac{(y_i - x_i)\hat{\beta}_{\bar{c}-i\bar{j}}/(2\sqrt{1-h_{ii}})}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{c}-i\bar{j}}/\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{c}}^2}} = \frac{y_i - x_i\hat{\tau}\hat{\beta}_{\bar{c}-i\bar{j}}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{c}-i\bar{j}}/(1-h_{ii})}} \sim t_{n-1-p}
4. Cook_{i} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_{t-i} - \hat{\beta}) x^{T} x(\hat{\beta}_{t-i} - \hat{\beta})}{P \hat{\sigma}^{2}} = \frac{(x\hat{\beta}_{t-i} - x\hat{\beta})' (x\hat{\beta}_{t-i} - x\hat{\beta})}{P \hat{\sigma}^{2}}
     \beta_{\tau-i} - \beta = -(|-h_{ii}|)^{\dagger} (X^{T}X)^{\dagger} X_{i} \hat{\epsilon}_{i}, stand_{r_{i}} = \frac{\epsilon_{i}}{\hat{\sigma}\sqrt{|-h_{ii}|}}
  (\hat{\beta}_{\overline{b}}, i) - \hat{\beta}) \times (X + \hat{\beta}_{\overline{b}}, i) - (I - hi) \hat{\beta}_{\overline{b}} \times (X \times X) + X \hat{\beta}_{\overline{b}} = hi)(I - hi) \hat{\beta}_{\overline{b}}
   Cook_{i} = \frac{hiv (Hhiv)^{2} \hat{\xi}_{i}^{2}}{p \hat{\sigma}^{2} (Hhiv)}, standr_{i}^{2} = \frac{\hat{\xi}_{i}^{2}}{\hat{\sigma}^{2} (Hhiv)}
standr_{i}^{2} \times \frac{hiv}{p(Hhiv)} = \frac{\hat{\xi}_{i}^{2}}{\hat{\sigma}^{2} (Hhiv)} \frac{hiv}{p(Hhiv)} = \frac{\hat{\xi}_{i}^{2} \cdot hiv}{p \hat{\sigma}^{2} (Hhiv)^{2}} = Cook_{i}
 J_{(1)}(n-p-1)\hat{S}_{t}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(y_{j}-\chi_{j}'\hat{\beta}_{t})^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(y_{j}-\chi_{j}'\hat{\beta}_{t})^{2}-(y_{i}-\chi_{j}'\hat{\beta}_{t})^{2}
         ニリューダアロリーニニリューダアーダアロリーニニリューダアンドニリーダアロリー
           -2 = 1/j-xj/p)(xj/p-xj/p-ij)
         = (n-p)\widehat{\sigma}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_{j}^{2}(x^{T}x)+x_{i}\widehat{v}_{i}}{-h_{i}\widehat{v}} \right)^{2} - 2\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\widehat{v}_{j} \cdot x_{j}^{2}(x^{T}x)+x_{i}\widehat{v}_{i}}{-h_{i}\widehat{v}}
            = (n-p)ô+ = (hià)îi-2j= Hiù Yiêi, since Hq=0, then we know = qù hù -o
         = (n-p)\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(hij)^2 \hat{v}^2}{(Hhiv)^2} = (n-p)\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \frac{hvi}{(Hhiv)^2} \hat{v}^2
         (n-p-1)\hat{g}_{\bar{b}_{1}}^{2}=(n-p)\hat{g}_{1}^{2}+\frac{hii}{(Hhi)^{2}}\hat{g}_{1}^{2}-\frac{\hat{g}_{1}^{2}}{(Hhii)^{2}}=(n-p)\hat{g}_{1}^{2}-\frac{\hat{g}_{1}^{2}}{(Hhii)^{2}}
```

```
(2) We know = (n-p-1) Octor = (n-p) 02 - Evil
         \widehat{\delta}_{\overline{b}} = \frac{n-p}{n-p-1} \widehat{\delta}^{2} - \frac{\widehat{\epsilon}_{1}^{2}}{n-p-1} = \frac{n-p}{h-h_{1}i} \widehat{\delta}^{2} - \widehat{\delta}^{2} \cdot stand\widehat{r}_{1} + \frac{\widehat{\epsilon}_{1}}{n-p-1} 
Studr_{1} = \frac{y_{1} - \chi_{1}'\beta_{\overline{b}}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\delta}_{\overline{b}}i_{3}}} = \frac{(y_{1} - \chi_{1}'\widehat{\delta} + \frac{h_{1}i}{h-h_{1}i}\widehat{\epsilon}_{1})\sqrt{h_{1}h_{1}i}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-p-1}} L(n-p)\widehat{\delta}^{2} - \widehat{\delta}^{2} \cdot stand\widehat{r}_{1}^{2}} = \frac{\widehat{\delta}_{1} - \widehat{\delta}_{1}}{\sqrt{n-p-1}} \underbrace{\widehat{\delta}_{1} - \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{1}}_{\sqrt{n-p-1}} \underbrace{\widehat{\delta}_{1} - \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2}}_{\sqrt{n-p-1}} \underbrace{\widehat{\delta}_{1} - \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2}}_{\sqrt{n-p-1}} \underbrace{\widehat{\delta}_{1} - \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2}}_{\sqrt{n-p-1}} \underbrace{\widehat{\delta}_{1} - \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2}}_{\sqrt{n-p-1}} \underbrace{\widehat{\delta}_{1} - \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2}}_{\sqrt{n-p-1}} \underbrace{\widehat{\delta}_{1} - \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2}}_{\sqrt{n-p-1}} \underbrace{\widehat{\delta}_{1} - \widehat{\delta}_{2} \cdot \widehat{\delta}_{2}}_{\sqrt{n-p-1}} \underbrace{\widehat{
                                                  = standr_i n-p-1 n-p-standr_i
    6. \chi \coprod y \Rightarrow cov \sqcup y, \chi = 0 .. \gamma = 0
Conditional independence doesn't imply zero partial correlation.
The partial variance - covariance matrix of Z: (x, Y) is define as:
\sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} \cdot w | = \sum_{XY \cdot w} | V_{X} 
      Px.Y | w = Jon ditional correlation)
  only when Z(ZIN) = d + BZ, then we can get Zxy. w= Exxin. Otherwise they're
  not, equivalent. Therefore, when \{x, y|w=0\}, we can not get \{x, y|w=0\}, which means conditionally independence clossit lead to partial winelation equals 0.
 For example, consider a random 3x1 vector(X1. X2, Y)
           X,, X, Y=y~ [+ ( X, M, 14) , X, M, 14) , O, 14) >0, 0, 14) >0,
         assume H has zero mean, Unit variance and correlation welficient of The Conditional Covariance matrix is:

[ Oily) 2 Poily, Ozly)
       (Polly) orly) orly)2
  (i) If uzly) = aitbiy and vily) = or for i=1,2, then virly = Poroz = orz. Y
  (ii) If Mily)= y2, oily)= or for i=1,2. Oinly= (or oz, on-y= (f+variy2)(1-p(y,y2)2)) or oz
  Which shows that exixing doesn't equal to exixing all the time.
      Therefore conditionally independence >> partial correlation = 0
```

7. Let the best linear approximation be
$$(d+\beta x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \beta = \frac{Cov(x, y)}{varx} \\ d = Zy - Zx \cdot \beta \end{cases}$$

$$Cov(x, y) = Cov(x, x^3 + \epsilon) = Cov(x, x^3) = Zx^4 - ZxZx^3 = 3$$

$$varx = 1, Zx = 0, Zy = Zx^3 = 0$$

$$\therefore The Best Linear Approximation 75 3x$$