

1. Lec 3. P 3.

3 Invariance of OLS Assume that $X^T X$ is non-degenerate and Γ is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix. Define $\tilde{X} = X\Gamma$. Give the formulas of the coefficient of \tilde{X} and the fitted values in the OLS fit of Y on \tilde{X} . How do they depend on Γ ?

Suppose OLS of Y on \tilde{X} :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}' &= (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}^T Y = [(X\Gamma)^T (X\Gamma)]^{-1} (X\Gamma)^T Y \\ &= (\Gamma^T X^T X \Gamma)^{-1} (\Gamma^T X^T) Y.\end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned}&= \Gamma^{-1} (X^T X)^{-1} \Gamma \Gamma^T X^T Y \\ (\Gamma^T = \Gamma^{-1}) &= \Gamma^{-1} (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = \Gamma^{-1} \hat{\beta},\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\beta}$ is coefficient of X in the OLS of Y on X .

$$\text{Hence } \hat{Y}' = \tilde{X} \cdot \hat{\beta}' = X\Gamma \cdot \Gamma^{-1} \hat{\beta} = X\hat{\beta} = \hat{Y}.$$

Hence the coefficient is scaled by Γ^{-1} , while the fitted values doesn't change.

2. Lec3, P5

5 OLS with multiple responses For each unit $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have multiple responses $y_i = (y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iq})^T$ and multiple covariates $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ip})^T$. Define

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & \cdots & y_{1q} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{n1} & \cdots & y_{nq} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^T \\ \vdots \\ y_n^T \end{pmatrix} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_q), \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{np} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^T \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \end{pmatrix} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$$

as the $n \times q$ response matrix and $n \times p$ covariate matrix. Define the multiple OLS matrix as

$$\hat{B} = \arg \min_{B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i - B^T x_i\|^2$$

Show that $\hat{B} = (\hat{B}_1, \dots, \hat{B}_q)$, where

$$\hat{B}_1 = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y_1, \dots, \hat{B}_q = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y_q.$$

This result tells us that if the multiple OLS for a vector outcomes reduces to multiple independent OLS fits.

Suppose $B_k = \begin{bmatrix} B_{k1} \\ \vdots \\ B_{kp} \end{bmatrix}$ Hence $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{21} & \cdots & B_{q1} \\ B_{12} & B_{22} & \cdots & B_{q2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_{1p} & B_{2p} & & B_{qp} \end{bmatrix}$

$$y_i - B^T \cdot x_i = \begin{bmatrix} y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iq} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & \cdots & B_{1p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_{q1} & \cdots & B_{qp} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{ip} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{i1} - \sum_{j=1}^p B_{1j} x_{ij} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iq} - \sum_{j=1}^p B_{qj} x_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{B} = \arg \min_B \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^q \left[y_{ik} - \sum_{j=1}^p B_{kj} x_{ij} \right]^2$$

To get \hat{B}_k need to $\frac{\partial}{\partial B_{kj}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_{ik} - \sum_{j=1}^p B_{kj} x_{ij} \right]^2 = 0$

where $j=1, \dots, p$. That is: $2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (y_{ik} - \sum_{j=1}^p B_{kj} x_{ij}) \cdot x_{ij} = 0$

Hence $\hat{B}_k = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y_k, \quad k=1 \dots q$

3. Lec 4. P1. Prove Lemma 1.

Lemma 1. Both H and $I_n - H$ are projection matrices onto the column space of X and its complement, respectively. In particular, $HX = X$, $(I_n - H)X = 0$, and they are orthogonal:

$$H(I_n - H) = (I_n - H)H = 0.$$

① $H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T.$

Because $H^2 = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T = H$

And $H^T = X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T = H$

$\Rightarrow H$ is projection matrix

$$HX = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T X = X.$$

$\Rightarrow H$ is projection matrix onto the column space of X

② $(I - H)^2 = I - 2H + H^2 = I - H$

$$(I - H)^T = I - H^T = I - H$$

$\Rightarrow I - H$ is projection matrix

$$(I - H)X = X - HX = X - X = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow I - H$ is projection matrix onto the complement of column space of X .

③. $H(I_n - H) = H - H^2 = H - H = 0$

$$(I_n - H)H = H - H^2 = H - H = 0$$

Hence they are orthogonal

4. Lec 4. P3.

3 Gauss-Markov Theorem for prediction Under the Gauss-Markov model, the OLS predictor $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta}$ for the mean $X\beta$ is the best linear unbiased predictor in the sense that $\text{cov}(\hat{Y}) \preceq \text{cov}(\tilde{Y})$ for any predictor \tilde{Y} satisfying

(C1) $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{H}Y$ for some $\tilde{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ not depending on Y ;

To prove \hat{Y} is BLP

(C2) \tilde{Y} is unbiased for $X\beta$.

$$\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = H Y. \quad H \text{ is projection matrix}$$

$$(C1) + (C2) \Rightarrow E(\tilde{Y}) = X\beta \Rightarrow E(\tilde{H}Y) = \tilde{H} E(Y) = X\beta$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{H}X\beta = X\beta. \Rightarrow \tilde{H}X = X.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\tilde{Y}) &= \text{Cov}(\tilde{H}Y) = \text{Cov}(\tilde{H}Y - HY + HY) \\ &= \text{Cov}[(\tilde{H} - H)Y + HY] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}((\tilde{H} - H)Y, HY) &= (\tilde{H} - H) \text{Cov}(Y) H = \sigma^2 (\tilde{H} \cdot H - H) \\ &= \sigma^2 (\tilde{H} \cdot X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T - H) = \sigma^2 (H - H) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \text{Cov}(\tilde{Y}) &= (\tilde{H} - H) \text{Cov}(Y) (\tilde{H} - H)^T + H \text{Cov}(Y) H \\ &= \sigma^2 (\tilde{H} - H) (\tilde{H} - H)^T + \sigma^2 H \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{Y}) = \sigma^2 H.$$

$$\text{Hence } \text{Cov}(\hat{Y}) \preceq \text{Cov}(\tilde{Y})$$

5. Lec5. P1.

1 MLE Under the Gaussian linear model, ^① show that the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) for β is the OLS estimator, but the MLE for σ^2 is $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \text{RSS}/n$. ^② Compare the mean squared errors of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ for estimating σ^2 .

$$\textcircled{1} \quad Y = X\beta + \varepsilon \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

$$\text{Hence } \log L(Y) = \text{Constant} - n \log \sigma + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(Y)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^T (y_i - x_i^T \beta) = 0$$

$$\text{Hence } \hat{\beta}_{\text{MLE}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y, \text{ exactly OLS estimator}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(Y)}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2 = 0$$

$$\text{Hence } \hat{\sigma}_{\text{MLE}}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2}{n} = \frac{\text{RSS}}{n} = \tilde{\sigma}^2$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\text{RSS}}{n-p}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\text{RSS}}{n}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{n-p} \chi_{n-p}^2$$

• Bias. $E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \sigma^2$
 $E(\tilde{\sigma}^2) = E(\hat{\sigma}^2 \cdot \frac{n-p}{n}) = \frac{n-p}{n} \sigma^2$

• Variance. $\text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{\sigma^4}{(n-p)^2} \cdot 2(n-p) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-p}$

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\sigma}^2) = \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^2 \cdot \frac{n-p}{n}) = \frac{n-p}{n^2} \cdot 2\sigma^4$$

- MSE
$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\beta}^2) = [E(\hat{\beta}^2) - \beta^2]^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}^2)$$
$$= \frac{2\beta^4}{n-p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MSE}(\tilde{\beta}^2) &= [E(\tilde{\beta}^2) - \beta^2]^2 + \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}^2) \\ &= \frac{(n-p)^2}{n^2} \beta^4 - \frac{2(n-p)}{n^2} \beta^4 \\ &= \frac{(n-p)^2 - 2(n-p)}{n^2} \beta^4.\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\beta}^2) - \text{MSE}(\tilde{\beta}^2) = \frac{\beta^4}{n^2(n-p)} (2n^2 - (n-p)^3 + 2(n-p)^3)$$

Compare $2(n^2 + (n-p)^2)$ and $(n-p)^3$.

If $2(n^2 + (n-p)^2) < (n-p)^3$, $\text{MSE}(\hat{\beta}^2) < \text{MSE}(\tilde{\beta}^2)$

If $2(n^2 + (n-p)^2) \geq (n-p)^3$, $\text{MSE}(\hat{\beta}^2) \geq \text{MSE}(\tilde{\beta}^2)$

6. Lec 5 P4.

4 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with multi-level treatment Let x_i be the indicator vector for J treatment levels in a completely randomized experiment, for example, $x_i = e_j = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)^T$ with the j th element being one if unit i receives treatment level j ($j = 1, \dots, J$). Let y_i be the outcome of unit i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let \mathcal{T}_j be the indices of units receiving treatment j , and let $n_j = |\mathcal{T}_j|$ be the sample size and $\bar{y}_j = n_j^{-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{T}_j} y_i$ be the sample mean of the outcomes under treatment j . Define $\bar{y} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ as the grand mean. We can test whether the treatment has any effect on the outcome by testing the null hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_J$$

in the Gaussian linear model $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ assuming $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$. This is a special case of testing $C\beta = 0$. Find C and show that the F statistic is identical to

$$F = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J n_j (\bar{y}_j - \bar{y})^2 / (J-1)}{\sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i \in \mathcal{T}_j} (y_i - \bar{y}_j)^2 / (n-J)} \sim F_{J-1, n-J}.$$

Remarks: (1) This is Fisher's F statistic. (2) In this linear model formulation, X does not contain a column of 1's. (3) The choice of C is not unique, but the final formula for F is. (4) You may use the Sherman-Morrison formula in the proof.

$$(1). C \cdot \beta = 0 \Leftrightarrow \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_J$$

$$\text{Hence one solution for } C \text{ could be } C \cdot \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 - \beta_2 \\ \beta_1 - \beta_3 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_1 - \beta_J \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}_{(J-1) \times (J)}$$

$$(2). C \hat{\beta} \sim N(C\beta, \sigma^2 C(X^T X)^{-1} C^T)$$

$$\Rightarrow (C\hat{\beta} - C\beta)^T \cdot (\sigma^2 C(X^T X)^{-1} C^T)^{-1} \cdot (C\hat{\beta} - C\beta) \sim \chi^2_{J-1}$$

$$\text{Hence } F_c = \frac{(C\hat{\beta} - C\beta)^T \cdot (\sigma^2 C(X^T X)^{-1} C^T)^{-1} (C\hat{\beta} - C\beta) / (J-1)}{\hat{\sigma}^2 / \sigma^2}$$

$$\sim F_{J-1, n-J}.$$

Next. prove $F_c = F$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \} n_1 \\ \} n_2 \\ \vdots \\ \} n_J \end{matrix} (n \times J).$$

$$\textcircled{1} X^T X = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & n_J \end{bmatrix}_{J \times J} \Rightarrow (X^T X)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/n_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1/n_J \end{bmatrix}_{J \times J}$$

$$\textcircled{2} X^T Y = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ n_J \bar{y}_J \end{bmatrix}_{J \times 1}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_J \end{bmatrix}_{J \times 1} \Rightarrow C \cdot \hat{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_J \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_J \end{bmatrix}_{(J-1) \times 1}.$$

$$\textcircled{4} C (X^T X)^{-1} C^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(J-1) \times J} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1/n_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1/n_J \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \end{bmatrix}_{J \times (J-1)}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} & \frac{1}{n_1} & \frac{1}{n_1} & \dots & \frac{1}{n_1} \\ \frac{1}{n_1} & \frac{1}{n_1 + n_3} & \frac{1}{n_1} & \dots & \frac{1}{n_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n_1} & \frac{1}{n_1} & \frac{1}{n_1} & \dots & \frac{1}{n_1 + n_J} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n_1} & \dots & \frac{1}{n_1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n_1} & \dots & \frac{1}{n_1} \end{bmatrix}_{(J-1) \times (J-1)} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n_2} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{1}{n_J} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Let } u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad v = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n_1} \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n_2} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{1}{n_J} \end{bmatrix}$$

By Sherman-Morrison Formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A + uv^T)^{-1} &= A^{-1} - (I + v^T A^{-1} u)^{-1} A^{-1} u v^T A^{-1} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} n_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & n_J \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{n_1 + \sum_{j=2}^J n_j} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & n_J \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & n_J \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} n_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & n_J \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^J n_j} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n_2^2 & n_2 n_3 & \dots & n_2 n_J \\ n_2 n_3 & n_3^2 & \dots & n_3 n_J \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ n_2 n_J & n_3 n_J & \dots & n_J^2 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hence } [c \hat{\beta}]^T (C(X^T X)^{-1} C^T)^{-1} C \hat{\beta} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_J \end{bmatrix}^T \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & n_J \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_J \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^J n_j} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_J \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} n_2^2 & \dots & n_2 n_J \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ n_2 n_J & \dots & n_J^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_J \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{j=2}^J (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_j)^2 n_j - \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left[\sum_{j=2}^J (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_j) n_j \right]^2 \\
 &= n \bar{y}_1^2 - 2n \bar{y}_1 \bar{y} + \sum_{j=1}^J \bar{y}_j^2 n_j - \frac{1}{n} [n \bar{y}_1 - n \bar{y}]^2 \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^J \bar{y}_j^2 n_j - n \bar{y}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^J n_j (\bar{y}_j - \bar{y})^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\hat{\Sigma}^T \hat{\Sigma}}{n-J} = \frac{1}{n-J} (Y - X \hat{\beta})^T (Y - X \hat{\beta}) = \frac{1}{n-J} \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i \in T_j} (y_i - \bar{y}_j)^2$$

$$\text{Hence } F_c = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J n_j (\bar{y}_j - \bar{y})^2 / (J-1)}{\sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i \in T_j} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 / (n-J)} = F$$