

STAT 151A Additional Problems: Solutions

Billy Fang

These are rough sketches for the solutions. Some computational steps are omitted for brevity.

1

Intercept p -value: $2 * \text{pt}(-2.127, 99)$ yields 0.0359. This t -table would tell you the p -value is between 0.02 and 0.05.

Education estimate:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_j)} \implies \hat{\beta}_j = t \cdot \text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_j) = 11.858 \cdot 0.3489120 \approx 4.1374.$$

Residual standard error degrees of freedom is 99 (same as second degree of freedom in F-statistic)

2

$\text{qt}(0.975, 99)$ (or this t -table) shows that ≈ 1.984 is the 97.5% quantile of the t -distribution with 99 degrees of freedom. For each j , the confidence interval is

$$\hat{\beta}_j \pm 1.984 \cdot \text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_j),$$

where $\hat{\beta}_j$ and $\text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_j)$ are the entries in the first two columns of the table.

3

(a) True.

We state an auxiliary result that will simplify our work. Recall the definition of estimability: $\Lambda^\top \beta$ (for some matrix Λ) is called estimable if $\Lambda^\top \beta = P^\top X \beta$ for some matrix P . After some reformulation, we can write this as

$\Lambda^\top \beta$ is estimable if and only if every column of Λ lies in the column space of X^\top

[Check that this is true.]

In simple regression, we have

$$X^\top = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that by assumption $n > p = 1$. There are two cases for $C(X^\top)$.

1. If $x_1 = \cdots = x_n$, then $C(X^\top) = \text{span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$ is a one-dimensional subspace.
2. Otherwise, $C(X^\top) = \mathbb{R}^2$ (i.e. the column space contains all two-dimensional vectors).

Note

$$\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \beta.$$

If $\begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$ is not estimable, then at least one of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ does not lie in $C(X^\top)$. This implies Case 2 above cannot happen (otherwise $C(X^\top)$ would contain any two-dimensional vector, which would contradict the previous sentence). Therefore we must have $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ and $C(X^\top) = \text{span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$. But then $C(X^\top)$ cannot contain $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, which implies β_1 is not estimable.

[Note: in the second lab section, I proved the contrapositive of the statement instead. Above, I proved the statement directly, so the argument is “backward” in some sense.]

(b) False. Note

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{\beta} = \mathbb{E}[(X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top (X\beta + \epsilon)] = \beta + (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbb{E}[\epsilon].$$

Thus $\hat{\beta}$ is unbiased as long as $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = 0$, even if the components of ϵ are correlated.

4

- Approach 1: see lecture notes on one-way ANOVA
- Approach 2: the function we want to minimize is

$$S(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_J) = \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{i \in \text{group } j} (y_i - \mu_j)^2.$$

Setting the partial derivatives to zero yields

$$\sum_{i \in \text{group } j} y_i = n_j \hat{\mu}_j.$$

- Approach 3: If X is the $n \times J$ design matrix, where each row is the indicator vector for each observation's group, then

$$X^\top X = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & n_J \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover,

$$X^\top y = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in \text{group } 1} y_i \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i \in \text{group } J} y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the normal equation

$$X^\top X \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mu}_J \end{bmatrix} = X^\top y$$

yields the answer.

5 Textbook problems

5.3

Taking the derivative of S with respect to A' and setting it equal to zero yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = nA'.$$

5.4

Recall that the least squares coefficients in simple regression are

$$B = \frac{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$A = \bar{Y} - B\bar{X}$$

$$S_E = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{n - 2}}$$

$$r = \frac{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \sum_i (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}}$$

- (a) (i) If $X' = X - 10$, then $X'_i = X_i - 10$ and $\bar{X}' = \bar{X} - 10$. Thus $B' = B$ and $A' = A + 10B$ and $r' = r$. Then $\hat{Y}'_i = \hat{Y}_i$, so $S'_E = S_E$.
- (ii) If $X' = 10X$, then $X'_i = 10X_i$ and $\bar{X}' = 10\bar{X}$. Thus $B' = B/10$ and $A' = A$ and $r' = r$. Then $\hat{Y}'_i = \hat{Y}_i$, so $S'_E = S_E$.
- (iii) If $X' = 10X - 10$, then applying (ii) then (i) yields $B' = B/10$ and $A' = A + B$ and $r' = r$. Again, $\hat{Y}'_i = \hat{Y}_i$, so $S'_E = S_E$.
- (b) (i) If $Y'' = Y + 10$, then $Y''_i = Y_i + 10$ and $\bar{Y}'' = \bar{Y} + 10$. Thus $B'' = B$ and $A'' = A + 10$ and $r' = r$. Then $\hat{Y}''_i = \hat{Y}_i + 10$ so $S''_E = S_E$.
- (ii) If $Y'' = 5Y$, then $Y''_i = 5Y_i$ and $\bar{Y}'' = 5\bar{Y}$. Thus $B'' = 5B$ and $A'' = 5A$ and $r' = r$. Then $\hat{Y}''_i = 5\hat{Y}_i$ so $S''_E = 5S_E$.
- (iii) If $Y'' = 5Y + 10$, then applying (ii) and then (i) yields $B'' = 5B$ and $A'' = 5A + 10$ and $r' = r$. Then $\hat{Y}''_i = 5\hat{Y}_i + 10$ so $S''_E = 5S_E$.
- (c) If $X' = c_1X + c_2$ and $Y' = c_3Y + c_4$ with $c_1 \neq 0$, then $B' = \frac{c_3}{c_1}B$ and $A' = c_3(A - \frac{c_2}{c_1}B) + c_4$ and $r' = \text{sign}(c_1) \text{sign}(c_3) \cdot r$. Then $\hat{Y}'_i = c_3\hat{Y}_i + c_4$ so $S'_E = |c_3|S_E$.

6.6

Recall that in simple regression, the standard error of the slope coefficient is

$$\text{SE}(B) = \sqrt{\frac{S_E^2}{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}.$$

- (a) Since $B' = B/10$ and $S'_E = S_E$, we have $\text{SE}(B') = \text{SE}(B)/10$ and $t'_0 = t_0$.
- (b) Since $B'' = 5B$ and $S''_E = 5S_E$, we have $\text{SE}(B'') = 5\text{SE}(B)$ and $t''_0 = t_0$.
- (c) Hypothesis tests for the slope do not change because the t statistic stays the same. If $X' = c_1X + c_2$ and $Y' = c_3Y + c_4$ with $c_1 \neq 0$, then the new confidence interval is of the form $\frac{c_3}{c_1}B \pm q|\frac{c_3}{c_1}|S_E$ where q is the appropriate quantile of the t -distribution. So the center of the interval changes according to $B' = \frac{c_3}{c_1}B$, and the width of the interval scales by $|c_3/c_1|$.

9.8

[This is also proved in the lecture notes on normal regression theory.]

Our goal is to show $\text{Cov}(e, b) = 0$. [Then, since (e, b) is jointly Gaussian, this implies e and b are independent, and consequently B_j and S_E are independent.]

As noted in the hint,

$$b - \beta = (X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top(X\beta + \epsilon) - \beta = (X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top \epsilon,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(e, b) &= \mathbb{E}[e(b - \beta)^\top] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e\epsilon^\top X(X^\top X)^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e\epsilon^\top]X(X^\top X)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall $e = (I - H)\epsilon$ (e.g., see Lab 3 notes), where $H = X(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(e, b) &= \mathbb{E}[(I - H)\epsilon\epsilon^\top]X(X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= (I - H)(\sigma^2 I)X(X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2[I - X(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top]X(X^\top X)^{-1} \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$