Lecture 4

September 4, 2018

▶ There is a response variable y and p explanatory variables x_1, \ldots, x_p . The goal is understand the relationship between y and x_1, \ldots, x_p .

- ▶ There is a response variable y and p explanatory variables $x_1, ..., x_p$. The goal is understand the relationship between y and $x_1, ..., x_p$.
- ► There are *n* subjects and data is collected on the variables from these subjects.

- ▶ There is a response variable y and p explanatory variables x_1, \ldots, x_p . The goal is understand the relationship between y and x_1, \ldots, x_p .
- ► There are *n* subjects and data is collected on the variables from these subjects.
- ▶ Data on the response variable is $y_1, ..., y_n$.

- ▶ There is a response variable y and p explanatory variables x_1, \ldots, x_p . The goal is understand the relationship between y and x_1, \ldots, x_p .
- ► There are *n* subjects and data is collected on the variables from these subjects.
- ▶ Data on the response variable is $y_1, ..., y_n$.
- ▶ Data on the jth explanatory variable x_j is $x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \ldots, x_{nj}$.

- ▶ There is a response variable y and p explanatory variables x_1, \ldots, x_p . The goal is understand the relationship between y and x_1, \ldots, x_p .
- ► There are *n* subjects and data is collected on the variables from these subjects.
- ▶ Data on the response variable is $y_1, ..., y_n$.
- ▶ Data on the jth explanatory variable x_j is $x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \ldots, x_{nj}$.
- ► The data for the ith subject is $(y_i, x_{i1}, ..., x_{ip})$.

- ▶ There is a response variable y and p explanatory variables x_1, \ldots, x_p . The goal is understand the relationship between y and x_1, \ldots, x_p .
- ► There are *n* subjects and data is collected on the variables from these subjects.
- ▶ Data on the response variable is $y_1, ..., y_n$.
- ▶ Data on the jth explanatory variable x_j is $x_{1j}, x_{2j}, ..., x_{nj}$.
- ▶ The data for the ith subject is $(y_i, x_{i1}, ..., x_{ip})$.
- The linear regression model assumes that these are independent with

$$\mathbb{E}(y_i|x_{i1},\ldots,x_{ip}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \ldots + \beta_p x_{ip}, \quad \text{for } i = 1,\ldots,n.$$

► Equivalently, we can write the model as

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \ldots + \beta_p x_{ip} + e_i$$
, with $\mathbb{E}(e_i | x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ip}) = 0$.

Estimation of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$

As before, we use least squares: Estimate $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ by the minimizers of

$$s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - b_0 - b_1 x_{i1} - \dots b_p x_{ip})^2$$
 (1)

over b_0, \ldots, b_p .

Estimation of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p$

As before, we use least squares: Estimate $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ by the minimizers of

$$s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - b_0 - b_1 x_{i1} - \dots b_p x_{ip})^2$$
 (1)

over b_0, \ldots, b_p .

Like in the simple regression case, we can try to take partial derivatives with respect to b_0, \ldots, b_p , set them equal to zero and then solve the resulting equations.

Estimation of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p$

As before, we use least squares: Estimate $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ by the minimizers of

$$s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - b_0 - b_1 x_{i1} - \dots b_p x_{ip})^2$$
 (1)

over b_0, \ldots, b_p .

- Like in the simple regression case, we can try to take partial derivatives with respect to b_0, \ldots, b_p , set them equal to zero and then solve the resulting equations.
- Doing this directly using the expression (1) is painful. Things are greatly simplified if one uses matrices and vectors.

Estimation of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p$

As before, we use least squares: Estimate $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ by the minimizers of

$$s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - b_0 - b_1 x_{i1} - \dots b_p x_{ip})^2$$
 (1)

over b_0, \ldots, b_p .

- Like in the simple regression case, we can try to take partial derivatives with respect to b_0, \ldots, b_p , set them equal to zero and then solve the resulting equations.
- Doing this directly using the expression (1) is painful. Things are greatly simplified if one uses matrices and vectors.
- ▶ Data on the response variable, $y_1, ..., y_n$, are represented by the column vector $Y = (y_1, ..., y_n)^T$ (the T here stands for transpose).

▶ Data on the jth explanatory variable x_j is $x_{1j}, x_{2j}, ..., x_{nj}$.

- ▶ Data on the jth explanatory variable x_i is $x_{1i}, x_{2i}, \dots, x_{ni}$. ► The data on all the explanatory variables is represented by
 - the $n \times (p+1)$ matrix X whose first column consists of ones, second column has the values $x_{11}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{n1}$ corresponding to the first explanatory variable, third column has values for the second explanatory variable and so on.

- ▶ Data on the jth explanatory variable x_i is $x_{1i}, x_{2i}, \dots, x_{ni}$. The data on all the explanatory variables is represented by the $n \times (p+1)$ matrix X whose first column consists of
- ones, second column has the values $x_{11}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{n1}$ corresponding to the first explanatory variable, third column
 - has values for the second explanatory variable and so on. ▶ With this notation, the sum of squares in (1) can be rewritten as
 - $s(b) = ||Y Xb||_2^2$

where the norm of the vector
$$x$$
 is defined as

- ▶ Data on the jth explanatory variable x_j is $x_{1j}, x_{2j}, ..., x_{nj}$. ▶ The data on all the explanatory variables is represented by
- The data on all the explanatory variables is represented by the $n \times (p+1)$ matrix X whose first column consists of ones, second column has the values $x_{11}, x_{21}, \ldots, x_{n1}$ corresponding to the first explanatory variable, third column has values for the second explanatory variable and so on.
- With this notation, the sum of squares in (1) can be rewritten as

$$s(b) = \|Y - Xb\|_2^2.$$

where the norm of the vector x is defined as $||x||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$. Note the equality $||x||_2^2 = x^T x$.

Using this, we can write

$$s(b) = (Y - Xb)^{T}(y - Xb) = Y^{T}Y - 2b^{T}Xy + b^{T}X^{T}Xb.$$

prove: https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2753210/when-can-we-say-that-atb-bta

- ▶ Data on the jth explanatory variable x_j is $x_{1j}, x_{2j}, ..., x_{nj}$.
- The data on all the explanatory variables is represented by the $n \times (p+1)$ matrix X whose first column consists of ones, second column has the values $x_{11}, x_{21}, \ldots, x_{n1}$ corresponding to the first explanatory variable, third column has values for the second explanatory variable and so on.
- With this notation, the sum of squares in (1) can be rewritten as

$$s(b) = \|Y - Xb\|_2^2$$
.

where the norm of the vector x is defined as $||x||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$. Note the equality $||x||_2^2 = x^T x$.

Using this, we can write

$$s(b) = (Y - Xb)^{T}(y - Xb) = Y^{T}Y - 2Y^{T}Xb + b^{T}X^{T}Xb.$$

▶ This can be minimized via calculus. Take partial derivatives with respect to i for i = 0, 1, ..., p and equate them to 0. It is easy to check that

$$\nabla S(b) = 2X^T X b - 2X^T y$$

$$S(b) = \sum_{i} e_{i}^{2} = e'e = (y - Xb)'(y - Xb)$$

= $y'y - y'Xb - b'X'y + b'X'Xb$. (3.6)

Derivation of least squares estimator

The minimum of S(b) is obtained by setting the derivatives of S(b) equal to zero. Note that the function S(b) has scalar values, whereas b is a column vector with k components. So we have k first order derivatives and we will follow the convention to arrange them in a column vector. The second and third terms of the last expression in (3.6) are equal (a 1×1 matrix is always symmetric) and may be replaced by -2b'X'y. This is a linear expression in the elements of b and so the vector of derivatives equals -2X'y. The last term of (3.6) is a quadratic form in the elements of b. The vector of first order derivatives of this term b'X'Xb can be written as 2X'Xb. The proof of this result is left as an exercise (see Exercise 3.1). To get the idea we consider the case k = 2 and we denote the elements of X'X by c_{ij} , i, j = 1, 2,with $c_{12} = c_{21}$. Then $b'X'Xb = c_{11}b_1^2 + c_{22}b_2^2 + 2c_{12}b_1b_2$. The derivative with respect to b_1 is $2c_{11}b_1 + 2c_{12}b_2$ and the derivative with respect to b_2 is $2c_{12}b_1 + 2c_{22}b_2$. When we arrange these two partial derivatives in a 2 × 1 vector, this can be written as 2X'Xb. See Appendix A (especially Examples A.10 and A.11 in Section A.7) for further computational details and illustrations.

I

$$\nabla S(b) = \left(\frac{\partial S(b)}{\partial \beta_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial S(b)}{\partial \beta_p}\right).$$

denotes the gradient of S(b) with respect to $b = (b_1, \dots, b_p)^T$.

$$abla S(b) = \left(\frac{\partial S(b)}{\partial eta_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial S(b)}{\partial eta_p} \right).$$

denotes the gradient of S(b) with respect to $b = (b_1, \dots, b_p)^T$.

► It follows therefore that the minimizer of S(b) satisfies the equality

$$X^T X b = X^T y$$
.

$$abla \mathcal{S}(b) = \left(rac{\partial \mathcal{S}(b)}{\partial eta_0}, \dots, rac{\partial \mathcal{S}(b)}{\partial eta_p}
ight).$$

denotes the gradient of S(b) with respect to $b = (b_1, \dots, b_p)^T$.

► It follows therefore that the minimizer of S(b) satisfies the equality

$$X^TXb = X^Ty$$
.

This gives p linear equations for the p+1 unknowns $b0, \ldots, b_p$.

$$abla \mathcal{S}(b) \, = \, \left(rac{\partial \mathcal{S}(b)}{\partial eta_0}, \ldots, rac{\partial \mathcal{S}(b)}{\partial eta_p}
ight).$$

denotes the gradient of S(b) with respect to $b = (b_1, \dots, b_p)^T$.

► It follows therefore that the minimizer of *S*(*b*) satisfies the equality

$$X^TXb = X^Ty$$
.

- This gives p linear equations for the p + 1 unknowns $b0, \ldots, b_p$.
- This important set of equations are called normal equations.

$$\nabla S(b) = \left(\frac{\partial S(b)}{\partial \beta_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial S(b)}{\partial \beta_p}\right).$$

denotes the gradient of S(b) with respect to $b = (b_1, \dots, b_p)^T$.

It follows therefore that the minimizer of S(b) satisfies the equality

$$X^TXb = X^Ty.$$

- ► This gives p linear equations for the p + 1 unknowns $b0, \ldots, b_p$.
- This important set of equations are called normal equations.
- Their solution, denoted by $\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p)^T$ gives an estimate of β called the least squares estimate.

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y.$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y.$$

 \blacktriangleright What happens when X^TX is not invertible?

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y.$$

▶ What happens when X^TX is not invertible? We shall deal with this later.

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y.$$

- ▶ What happens when X^TX is not invertible? We shall deal with this later.
- Our next goal is to understand the properties of the least squares estimator. Before that, let us take a detour and learn some formulae for dealing with mean and variance of random vectors.

We next want to explore properties of $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ as an estimator of β in the linear model. For this we need a few facts about means and covariances.

- ▶ We next want to explore properties of $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ as an estimator of β in the linear model. For this we need a few facts about means and covariances.
- Let $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)^T$ be a random vector. Its expectation $\mathbb{E}Z$ is defined as a vector whose ith entry is the expectation of Z_i i.e., $\mathbb{E}Z = (\mathbb{E}Z_1, \mathbb{E}Z_2, \dots, \mathbb{E}Z_k)^T$.

- We next want to explore properties of $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ as an estimator of β in the linear model. For this we need a few facts about means and covariances.
- Let $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)^T$ be a random vector. Its expectation $\mathbb{E}Z$ is defined as a vector whose ith entry is the expectation of Z_i i.e., $\mathbb{E}Z = (\mathbb{E}Z_1, \mathbb{E}Z_2, \dots, \mathbb{E}Z_k)^T$.
- ▶ The covariance matrix of Z, denoted by Cov(Z), is a $k \times k$ matrix whose (i,j)th entry is the covariance between Z_i and Z_j .

- We next want to explore properties of $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ as an estimator of β in the linear model. For this we need a few facts about means and covariances.
- Let $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)^T$ be a random vector. Its expectation $\mathbb{E}Z$ is defined as a vector whose ith entry is the expectation of Z_i i.e., $\mathbb{E}Z = (\mathbb{E}Z_1, \mathbb{E}Z_2, \dots, \mathbb{E}Z_k)^T$.
- ▶ The covariance matrix of Z, denoted by Cov(Z), is a $k \times k$ matrix whose (i,j)th entry is the covariance between Z_i and Z_j .
- ▶ If $W = (W1, ..., W_m)^T$ is another random vector, the covariance matrix between Z and W, denoted by Cov(Z, W), is a $k \times m$ matrix whose (i, j)th entry is the covariance between Z_i and W_j . Note then that, Cov(Z, Z) = Cov(Z).

The following formulae are very important:

The following formulae are very important:

▶ $\mathbb{E}(AZ + c) = A\mathbb{E}(Z) + c$ for any constant matrix A and any constant vector c.

The following formulae are very important:

- ▶ $\mathbb{E}(AZ + c) = A\mathbb{E}(Z) + c$ for any constant matrix A and any constant vector c.
- ► $Cov(AZ + c) = ACov(Z)A^T$ for any constant matrix A and any constant vector c.

The following formulae are very important:

- $\mathbb{E}(AZ + c) = A\mathbb{E}(Z) + c$ for any constant matrix A and any constant vector c.
- $ightharpoonup Cov(AZ + c) = ACov(Z)A^T$ for any constant matrix A and any constant vector c.
- $ightharpoonup Cov(AZ + c, BW + d) = ACov(Z, W)B^T$ for any pair of constant matrices A and B and any pair of constant vectors c and d.

Assumptions

► The linear model is

$$Y = X\beta + e$$
.

Assumptions

The linear model is

$$Y = X\beta + e$$
.

▶ The zero conditional mean assumption on e can be written as $\mathbb{E}(e|X) = 0$. For variance calculations, we also make the assumption that $Cov(e|X) = \sigma^2 I_n$.

Assumptions

The linear model is

$$Y = X\beta + e$$
.

- ▶ The zero conditional mean assumption on e can be written as $\mathbb{E}(e|X) = 0$. For variance calculations, we also make the assumption that $Cov(e|X) = \sigma^2 I_n$.
- Because of the above formulae, we can write

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|X) = X\beta$$
, $cov(Y|X) = \sigma^2 I_n$.

Properties of the Least Squares Estimator

Assume that X^TX is invertible (equivalently, that X has rank p + 1) and consider the least squares estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y.$$

Properties of the Least Squares Estimator

Assume that X^TX is invertible (equivalently, that X has rank p + 1) and consider the least squares estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y.$$

▶ What properties does $\hat{\beta}$ have as an estimator of β ?

Linearity

An estimator of β is said to be linear if it can be written as AY for some matrix A.

Linearity

- An estimator of β is said to be linear if it can be written as AY for some matrix A.
- ► Clearly $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ is of this form and hence it is a linear estimator of β .

An estimator for a parameter is said to be unbiased if its expectation equals the parameter (for all values of the parameter).

- An estimator for a parameter is said to be unbiased if its expectation equals the parameter (for all values of the parameter).
- The expectation of the least squares estimator is (using the formula for expectation: $\mathbb{E}AZ = A\mathbb{E}Z$)

- An estimator for a parameter is said to be unbiased if its expectation equals the parameter (for all values of the parameter).
- The expectation of the least squares estimator is (using the formula for expectation: $\mathbb{E}AZ = A\mathbb{E}Z$)

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y)$$

- An estimator for a parameter is said to be unbiased if its expectation equals the parameter (for all values of the parameter).
- ▶ The expectation of the least squares estimator is (using the formula for expectation: $\mathbb{E}AZ = A\mathbb{E}Z$)

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y)$$
$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

- An estimator for a parameter is said to be unbiased if its expectation equals the parameter (for all values of the parameter).
- ▶ The expectation of the least squares estimator is (using the formula for expectation: $\mathbb{E}AZ = A\mathbb{E}Z$)

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y)$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \beta$$

- An estimator for a parameter is said to be unbiased if its expectation equals the parameter (for all values of the parameter).
- ► The expectation of the least squares estimator is (using the formula for expectation: $\mathbb{E}AZ = A\mathbb{E}Z$)

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y)$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \beta$$

$$= \beta.$$

- An estimator for a parameter is said to be unbiased if its expectation equals the parameter (for all values of the parameter).
- ▶ The expectation of the least squares estimator is (using the formula for expectation: $\mathbb{E}AZ = A\mathbb{E}Z$)

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbb{E}((X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y)$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \beta$$

$$= \beta.$$

In particular, this means that $\mathbb{E}\hat{\beta}_i = \beta_i$ for each i which implies that each $\hat{\beta}_i$ is an unbiased estimator of β_i .

$$Cov(\hat{\beta}) = Cov((X^TX)^{-1}X^TY)$$

$$Cov(\hat{\beta}) = Cov((X^TX)^{-1}X^TY)$$

= $(X^TX)^{-1}X^TCov(Y)X(X^TX)^{-1}$

$$Cov(\hat{\beta}) = Cov((X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}Y)$$

$$= (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}Cov(Y)X(X^{T}X)^{-1}$$

$$= (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}(\sigma^{2}I_{n})X(X^{T}X)^{-1}$$

$$Cov(\hat{\beta}) = Cov((X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}Y)$$

$$= (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}Cov(Y)X(X^{T}X)^{-1}$$

$$= (X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}(\sigma^{2}I_{n})X(X^{T}X)^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(X^{T}X)^{-1}.$$

The Covariance matrix of the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ can be easily calculated using the formula: Cov(AZ) = ACov(Z)AT:

$$\begin{array}{lll} Cov(\hat{\beta}) & = & Cov((X^TX)^{-1}X^TY) \\ & = & (X^TX)^{-1}X^TCov(Y)X(X^TX)^{-1} \\ & = & (X^TX)^{-1}X^T(\sigma^2I_n)X(X^TX)^{-1} \\ & = & \sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1}. \end{array}$$

▶ In particular, the variance of $\hat{\beta}_i$ equals σ^2 multiplied by the ith diagonal element of $(X^TX)^{-1}$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} Cov(\hat{\beta}) & = & Cov((X^TX)^{-1}X^TY) \\ & = & (X^TX)^{-1}X^TCov(Y)X(X^TX)^{-1} \\ & = & (X^TX)^{-1}X^T(\sigma^2I_n)X(X^TX)^{-1} \\ & = & \sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1}. \end{array}$$

- ▶ In particular, the variance of $\hat{\beta}_i$ equals σ^2 multiplied by the ith diagonal element of $(X^TX)^{-1}$.
- ▶ Once we learn how to estimate σ^2 , we can use this to obtain standard errors for $\hat{\beta}_i$.

► The Gauss-Markov Theorem states that $\hat{\beta}$ is BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator).

- ► The Gauss-Markov Theorem states that $\hat{\beta}$ is BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator).
- This means that $\hat{\beta}$ is the best estimator among all linear and unbiased estimators of β . Here, best is in terms of variance.

- ► The Gauss-Markov Theorem states that $\hat{\beta}$ is BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator).
- This means that $\hat{\beta}$ is the best estimator among all linear and unbiased estimators of β . Here, best is in terms of variance.
- ▶ This implies that $\hat{\beta}_i$ has the smallest variance among all linear and unbiased estimators of i for every i.

- ► The Gauss-Markov Theorem states that $\hat{\beta}$ is BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator).
- This means that $\hat{\beta}$ is the best estimator among all linear and unbiased estimators of β . Here, best is in terms of variance.
- ► This implies that $\hat{\beta}_i$ has the smallest variance among all linear and unbiased estimators of *i* for every *i*.
- ► The Gauss-Markov theorem is actually quite simple to prove. We shall prove it in the next class.