STAT 154: Homework 6

Release date: Sunday, April 7

Due by: 11 PM, Sunday, April 21

#### The honor code

(a)	Please state the names of people who you worked with for this homework. You can also provide your comments about the homework here.
(b)	Please type/write the following sentences yourself and sign at the end. We want to make it <i>extra</i> clear that nobody cheats even unintentionally.
	I hereby state that all of my solutions were entirely in my words and were written by me. I have not looked at another students solutions and I have fairly credited all external sources in this write up.

#### **Submission instructions**

- It is a good idea to revisit your notes, slides and reading; and synthesize their main points BEFORE doing the homework.
- No .Rnw file is provided. You may use templates from previous homeworks if you want.
- For the parts that ask you to implement/run some R code, your answer should look something like this (code followed by result):

```
myfun<- function(){
show('this is a dummy function')
}
myfun()
## [1] "this is a dummy function"</pre>
```

Note that this is automatically generated if you use the R sweave environment.

- You need to submit the following:
  - 1. A pdf of your write-up to "HW6 write-up" that includes code for Problem 4.
  - 2. No *separate* code submission is required for this HW. You have to include the code in your submission for Problem 4 in the write-up itself.
- Ensure a proper submission to gradescope, otherwise it will not be graded. This time we will not entertain any regrade requests for improper submission.

#### Homework Overview

This homework covers kernel ridge regression and classification. The first problems attempts to make you comfortable with computational complexity related questions.

### 1 Computational complexity (10 pts)

Read about the big-O notation for the wiki page https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Big\_O\_notation and then answer the following using the big-O notation.

In the following questions, by computational complexity we refer to the number of addition/multiplication operations between two real numbers. To elaborate, we assume that two real numbers or multiplying them takes unit operations. Adding k real numbers has k computational complexity. Computing the multiplication of k pairs of numbers would have k computational complexity as well.

Now let  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and answer the following questions:

- 1. (2 pts) What is the computational complexity of computing  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v}$ ? What is the computational complexity of computing  $\mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{v}$ ?
- 2. (2 pts) What is the computational complexity of computing the matrix  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$ ? How much space does storing the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  require?
- 3. (4 pts) What is the computational complexity of computing the vector  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$ ? How about computing the matrix  $\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{B}$ ?
- 4. (2 pts) What is the complexity of computing the vector  $\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}$ ?

  Hint: There are two ways to do it, one is naive and one is smart. You are encouraged to think and report both of them in your answer.

## 2 Kernel Methods (23 pts)

For the following problems, you can assume that inverting a  $p \times p$  matrix takes order  $p^3$  operations, i.e., the computational complexity of matrix inversion of size p is  $O(p^3)$ . Also for this problem, we use slightly different notation for dimensions in order to remain consistent with the note and the lecture.

1. (2 pts) Let  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \ell}$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Recall that the ridge estimate for the problem

$$\min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}} \|\mathbf{X}\theta - \mathbf{y}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\theta\|_{2}^{2}$$
(1)

is given by  $\widehat{\theta}_{\lambda} = (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_{\ell})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$ . What is the computational complexity of computing this estimate?

Hint: You may use answers from previous question.

- 2. (2 pts) Show that  $\widehat{\theta}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{X}^{\top} (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\top} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_n)^{-1} \mathbf{y}$  is also a valid estimate for the ridge problem 1. What is the complexity of computing this estimate?
- 3. (2 pts) Compare and contrast the computational complexities from the previous two parts.

4. (2 pts) Suppose we modify the problem (1) using a feature map  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \to \mathbb{R}^{d}$  as follows:

$$\min_{\widetilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \mathbf{\Phi} \widetilde{\theta} - \mathbf{y} \right\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\widetilde{\theta}\|_2^2 \tag{2}$$

where 
$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} -\phi(\mathbf{x}_1)^\top - \\ \vdots \\ -\phi(\mathbf{x}_n)^\top - \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$$
. (3)

Can you provide a few reasons why we may want to do this? (Usually  $d \ge \ell$  when we extend the **x** vectors in this fashion.)

5. (8 pts) As discussed in class, often the choice of  $\phi$  is (implicitly or explicitly) made such that

$$\phi(\mathbf{x})^{\top}\phi(\mathbf{z}) = k(x, z) \tag{4}$$

where  $k : \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \to \mathbb{R}$  denotes the kernel function (that satisfies some nice properties). Can you compute the kernel functions for the following feature maps:

(a) 
$$\phi(x) = [x_1 x_2, \frac{x_1^2}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x_2^2}{\sqrt{2}}]^{\top}$$
 where  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(b) 
$$\phi(x) = [1, x, \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{2!}}, \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{3!}}, \ldots]$$
 where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

On the reverse side can you compute the feature map for the following kernel functions (it may not be possible in some cases):

- (c)  $k(x, z) = (1 + \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z})^2 + \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z}$  for  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (d)  $k(x,z) = e^{-(x-z)^2}$  for  $x, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- 6. (2 pts) What are the two different ways of computing the solution to the problem (2)?
- 7. (5 pts) We now compare the complexity of the two estimates for a polynomial kernel. Compare and contrast the computational complexity of the two estimates when  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$  and the feature map  $\phi$  is chosen such that the corresponding kernel function (4) is given by

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (1 + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{z})^p.$$

Discuss when is an estimate better than the other (on computational grounds).

### 3 LDA and linear regression (10 pts)

ESLII book https://web.stanford.edu/~hastie/Papers/ESLII.pdf: Question 4.2 (all 5 parts)

# 4 Applied problem for classification (7 pts)

ISL Book: 4.11 (all 7 parts) Show code in the write-up pdf for all parts.