

This is a testfile for vscode

Ali-loner

2020.08.02

摘要

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Contents

1	VSC plug in	3
2	This is a section	3
3	Molecular Dynamics	4
3.1	Probability Theory:	4
3.2	Probability Distribution	4
3.2.1	Normalization for continous variable	4
3.2.2	Expected Value (aka Mean value, first moment of the distribution)	4
3.2.3	Statistical Property	4
3.3	Lattice Model	4
4	Machine Learning and artifical intelligence for engineers	5
4.1	Lecture	5
4.1.1	Lecture 2	5
4.1.1.1	Linear Regression	5
4.1.2	Lecture 3	5
4.1.2.1	Stichastic Gradient Descent	5
4.1.2.2	Epoch	5
4.1.2.3	Batch Gradient Descent	5
4.1.2.4	mini Batch Gradient Descent	5
4.1.2.5	Cost function:	5
4.1.2.6	Evaluation matrices:	5
4.1.2.7	Training and Test Set:	5
4.1.3	Lecture 4	5

4.1.3.1	The quizz	6
4.1.3.2	Joint Probability	6
4.1.3.3	conditional probablity	6
4.1.3.4	Product Rule	6
4.1.3.5	conditional probability	6
4.1.3.6	Bayes Rule	6
5	Probability and Estimation Method for Engineering System	6
5.1	Lecture	6
5.1.1	Lecture 3	6
5.1.1.1	probability function	6
5.1.1.2	Total Probability and Bayes' formula	6
5.1.1.2.1	Hw	6
6	Computer Version	6
6.1	Rotation Matrix	6
6.2	Lecture	7
6.2.1	Lecture1	7
7	Molecular Dynamics	7
7.1	Lecture	7
7.1.1	Lecture 3	7
8	MD Homework Part	7
8.1	Problem 1	7
8.2	Problem 5	8
8.2.1	(a)	8
8.2.2	b	9
8.2.3	c	9
8.3	Problem 6	9
8.3.1	diameter of 1 nm:	9
8.3.2	diameter of 1μ m	9
8.3.3	diameter of 1 mm	9
8.4	b	9
8.5	c	10
8.6	Problem 7	10
8.6.1	(a)	10
8.6.2	(b)	10
8.6.3	(c)	10
8.7	Problem 8	10
8.7.1	a	10
8.7.2	b	11
8.7.3	c	11
8.8	Problem 9	11

9 概率论作业	12
9.1 Problem 3	12
9.1.1 (a)	12
9.1.2 (b)	13
9.2 Problem 4	13
9.2.1 (a)	13
9.2.2 (b)	13
9.2.3 (c)	13
9.2.4 (d)	13
9.3 Problem 5	13
9.4 Problem 6	13
9.4.1 (a)	13
9.4.2 (b)	14
9.4.3 (c)	14
9.5 Problem 7	14
9.5.1 (a)	14
9.5.2 (b)	15
10 Latex usage	15

1 VSC plug in

colonize

2 This is a section

Hello world! Hello Ali! As shown in figure 1



图 1: this is Sihan Cao

3 Molecular Dynamics

Classical mechanics can not be the whole story. Statistical Mechanics, (some system that not seem to go to the lowest energy) Today I watch 46:43

3.1 Probability Theory:

3.2 Probability Distribution

All Probability Distribution must be normalized: sum over all possible outcomes must be "1"! flip coin is a discrete variable (The outcome only has finite value), but in molecular dynamics we mainly think of continous variable.

3.2.1 Normalization for continous variable

$$: \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(x)dx = 1$$

3.2.2 Expected Value (aka Mean value, first moment of the distribution)

$$\langle X \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} xp(x)dx \quad (1)$$

The n_{th} order moment can be calculated through:

$$\langle X^n \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^n p(x)dx \quad (2)$$

3.2.3 Statistical Property

Variance: "cumulant"

$$Var(X) = \langle X^2 \rangle - \langle X \rangle^2 \quad (3)$$

Standard Deviation: Not cumulant, but has same unit as X

$$std(x) = \sqrt{Var(X)} \quad (4)$$

3.3 Lattice Model

Space is discretized, and each discrete cell can hold 0 or 1 particle. Microstate \longleftrightarrow Combinations

For the probabilistic mechanics, the multi-components system go to the (macro) state with the highest multiplicity (combos) 这句话是测试能否进行引用及支持中文^[1]。

4 Machine Learning and artificial intelligence for engineers

4.1 Lecture

4.1.1 Lecture 2

4.1.1.1 Linear Regression To measure the error, just calculate the difference between the prediction result derived from the hypothesis, and calculate the difference between result and the ground truth. $d_1 = \theta x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}, d_2 = \theta x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}, \dots, d_m = \theta x^{(m)} - y^{(m)}$. We should square it otherwise it will offset each other.

We calculate the distance, square it, and sum it to get our "OBJECTIVE FUNCTION", So the objective function (I think one type of objective function):

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (y^i - h(x^i))^2 \quad (5)$$

The m is the number of training data point in our dataset.

所以，目标函数的意义就是，衡量一个拟合函数表现得好坏的工具。并且我们的参数是根据优化这个函数来计算得到的。So our goal is to parametrize it (参数化), 调整 θ 来优化这个目标函数。

4.1.2 Lecture 3

Gradient descent

All samples.

4.1.2.1 Stochastic Gradient Descent SGD (Stochastic Gradient Descent), don't sum all the samples, just do it one by one. Stochastic (S) comes from

4.1.2.2 Epoch one epoch is go through all the data points from 1 to m. When to stop training: the cost function.

4.1.2.3 Batch Gradient Descent

4.1.2.4 mini Batch Gradient Descent One mini batch is one epoch. «deeplearning.ai»

4.1.2.5 Cost function: the landscape is settle (The cost function is the same)

4.1.2.6 Evaluation matrices: SSE, sum square error (sum of square error for each sample)
MSE (Mean Square Error), divide SSE by m, which is the data points you have.

4.1.2.7 Training and Test Set:

4.1.3 Lecture 4

Callback: cost function, training set, test set.
today just go through probability and Stochastic.

4.1.3.1 The quizz interview question. Extra point!!!

4.1.3.2 Joint Probability Multiple events occur at the same time.

4.1.3.3 conditional probablity

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)} \quad (6)$$

4.1.3.4 Product Rule $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ each event is like a data point in the machine learning problem.

4.1.3.5 conditional probability

4.1.3.6 Bayes Rule One of the most important tool in Machine Learning. It talks about "OBSERVATION",

$$P(\text{reason}|\text{observation}) = P(\text{observation}|\text{reason}) \cdot \frac{P(\text{reason})}{P(\text{observation})} \quad (7)$$

我知道原因导致结果的概率，现在想计算观察到了结果，是由于这个原因导致可能性。

$P(\text{data}|\text{observed})$

5 Probability and Estimation Method for Engineering System

5.1 Lecture

5.1.1 Lecture 3

5.1.1.1 probability function

5.1.1.2 Total Probability and Bayes' formula Conditional Distributions are distributions conditional expectation and variance

5.1.1.2.1 Hw Independent event diagram

6 Computer Version

6.1 Rotation Matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

For the linear transformation we only need to care about

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is the x-axis unit vector of the original coordinate and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is the y-axis unit vector of the original coordinate. Just draw a circle, and calculate the coordinate of the unit vector after rotation. The coordinate for the x-axis unit vector is the first column of the rotation matrix, and the y-axis is the second column. To use the rotation Matrix it's

just like:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x^1 \\ y^1 \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

6.2 Lecture

6.2.1 Lecture1

Think image as a function, a color image is just like:

$$f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} r(x, y) \\ g(x, y) \\ b(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$$

For the image Processing, there are point operation and neighborhood operation.

7 Molecular Dynamics

7.1 Lecture

7.1.1 Lecture 3

8 MD Homework Part

Stirling's approximation

$$N! = \left(\frac{N}{e}\right)^N \ln N! \approx N \ln N - N \quad (8)$$

8.1 Problem 1

use molecular dynamics method to investigate the fracture toughness of calcium-silicate-hydrate (C-S-H), which is the binding phase of concrete and responsible for mechanical property of concrete.

did a research using molecular dynamics method to investigate the fracture toughness of calcium-silicate-hydrate (C-S-H), which is the binding phase of concrete and responsible for its mechanical property. The property of C-S-H plays a pivotal role on the mechanical property of concrete, and MD could help us take out experiment on in the atomic scale. The result shows that in the atomic scale, the C-S-H shows a ductile behavior. So it is not proper to implement method which is built based on linear elastic fracture mechanics. Another cool thing is that the atomic level investigation about C-S-H fracture performance could be used in the upscaling approach to help engineers in much larger scale like cement paste design.

The first thing that is interesting to me is that molecular dynamics could help us get many useful parameters like fracture toughness just rely computer without doing any experiment. I think this make MD a very cool tool for engineers and scientists. Even we may not have real material or enough funding to take out complex experiment, we can still do many things just rely on a good computer.

It is also interesting to see the role that statistics plays in the molecular simulation. In this paper, authors use pair distribution function (PDF) to validate the realistic model of C-S-H used in the simulation via comparing the pdf with result from experiment.

This paper also mentioned that the results derived from MD could be used in a upscale way to mesoscale macroscale, which is also called bottom-up approach. The detail plan is not included in this paper, but I am very interested in how could we use the MD result to help people in larger scale, which in my mind has a more direct relationship with our real life.

8.2 Problem 5

Lennard Jones law: $4\epsilon[(\frac{\sigma}{r})^{12} - (\frac{\sigma}{r})^6]$ For a FCC material $U(d) = \frac{1}{2}4\epsilon$
The r^{12} term is the short term repulsive term (describe Pauli Repulsion), and the r^6 is the long term attractive term (describe van der Waals force or dispersion force).

8.2.1 (a)

The sum of the energy of the material could be written as:

$$U = 4\epsilon[(\frac{\sigma}{r})^{12} - (\frac{\sigma}{r})^6] = \frac{1}{2}N4\epsilon(\frac{(\sigma)^{12}}{(d)^{12}}A - \frac{(\sigma)^6}{(d)^6}B) \quad (9)$$

To calculate A and B:

$$A = 2 * (\frac{\sigma^{12}}{d^{12}}) + 2 * (\frac{\sigma^{12}}{(2d)^{12}}) + 2 * (\frac{\sigma^{12}}{(3d)^{12}}) + \dots = 2.0005(\frac{\sigma^{12}}{d^{12}}) \quad (10)$$

$$B = 2 * (\frac{\sigma^6}{d^6}) + 2 * (\frac{\sigma^6}{(2d)^6}) + 2 * (\frac{\sigma^6}{(3d)^6}) + 2 * (\frac{\sigma^6}{(4d)^6}) + \dots = 1.0173(\frac{\sigma^6}{d^6}) \quad (11)$$

Then,

$$U = \frac{1}{2}N4\epsilon[2.0005\frac{\sigma^{12}}{d^{12}} - 1.0173\frac{\sigma^6}{d^6}] \quad (12)$$

To calculate the equilibrium space d,

$$\frac{dU}{dr} = 0 \quad (13)$$

So the d is $\sqrt[6]{\frac{2 \times 2.0005}{1.0173}} \sigma = 1.2564 \sigma$

The d just depend on length scale σ , but has no relationship with energy scale ϵ

8.2.2 b

If there is no energy dissipation, the total system will vibrate like a wave.

8.2.3 c

The total energy of the system is $U = 2N\epsilon[A\frac{\sigma^{12}}{r^{12}} - B\frac{\sigma^6}{r^6}]$, so $\frac{d^2u}{dr^2} = 2N\epsilon[A\sigma^{12}(12 \times 13r^{-14}) - B\sigma^6(42r^{-8})] = 2N\epsilon[2.0005\sigma^{12} \times 156 \times (1.2564\sigma)^{-14} - 1.0173\sigma^6 \times 42 \times (1.2564\sigma)^{-8}]$ So the effective spring constant equals: $11.7938N\epsilon\sigma^{-2}$

8.3 Problem 6

The weight of one mole water is 18 g/mol, and the density of water is 1g/mL.

8.3.1 diameter of 1 nm:

The volume of the water drop is $\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$, so the volume of the drop is $\frac{4}{3}\pi \cdot (1nm)^3$. The density is 1g/mL, which could be written as $1g/cm^3 = 1g/(10^6nm)^3$. So the number of molecules can be calculated through the following formulation:

$$Mole = \frac{4}{3}\pi \cdot (1nm)^3 \cdot 1g/(10^6nm)^3 \div 18g/mol = 2.327 \times 10^{-19} mole \quad (14)$$

To get the number of molecules just multiply the mole with Avogadro's number:

$$Number = 2.327 \times 10^{-19} mole \times 6.022 \times 10^{23} = 140132 \approx 1.4 \times 10^5 \quad (15)$$

8.3.2 diameter of 1μ m

Same method just changed the volume of the drop:

$$\frac{4}{3}\pi \cdot (10^3nm)^3 \cdot 1g/(10^6nm)^3 \div 18g/mol \times 6.022 \times 10^{23} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{14} \quad (16)$$

8.3.3 diameter of 1 mm

$$\frac{4}{3}\pi \cdot (10^6nm)^3 \cdot 1g/(10^6nm)^3 \div 18g/mol \times 6.022 \times 10^{23} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{23} \quad (17)$$

8.4 b

Note that there are "A" water molecules. There are 3A atoms in the system. For the first water molecule, its atoms could have (3A-3) interactions. For the second water molecule, its atoms have (3A-6). Based on this pattern, the total pairs is:

$$3(A-1) \cdot 3(A-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 3(2) \cdot 3(1) = 3^{A-1} \times (A-1)! \quad (18)$$

So, for the waterdrop with 1 nm, the number of pairs is; For the mm pair, the number of pair is $3^{1.4 \times 10^{23}} \times (1.4 \times 10^{23} - 1)!$

8.5 c

When I try to print the number, there is a overflow error in python.

8.6 Problem 7

8.6.1 (a)

There are total 100 votes remained. If A wants to win the election, A has to get 40 votes at least. So there are following possible situation that A will win: A_i : A gets i votes and win, $i = 40, 50, 60, \dots, 100$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{40} = C_4^2 + C_4^1 \cdot C_2^2 = 10 \\ A_{50} = C_2^1 \cdot C_4^2 = 12 \\ A_{60} = C_2^0 \cdot C_4^3 + C_2^2 \cdot C_4^2 = 10 \\ A_{70} = C_2^1 \cdot C_4^3 = 8 \\ A_{80} = C_2^0 \cdot C_4^4 + C_2^2 \cdot C_4^3 = 5 \\ A_{90} = C_2^1 \cdot C_4^4 = 2 \\ A_{100} = C_2^2 \cdot C_4^4 = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

So, $W_A = \sum_{i=40}^{100} A_i = 48$

8.6.2 (b)

Same idea like (a), B has to get at least 70 votes to win the election, so there are 4 situations that B can win: B_i : B gets i votes and win, $i = 70, 80, \dots, 100$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_{70} = C_2^1 \cdot C_4^3 = 8 \\ B_{80} = C_2^0 \cdot C_4^4 + C_2^2 \cdot C_4^3 = 5 \\ B_{90} = C_2^1 \cdot C_4^4 = 2 \\ B_{100} = C_2^2 \cdot C_4^4 = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

So, $W_B = \sum_{i=70}^{100} B_i = 16$

8.6.3 (c)

Note A beats B as event C, then $P(C) = \frac{W_A}{W_A + W_B} = \frac{3}{4} = 75\%$

8.7 Problem 8

8.7.1 a

For one lattice, the combination is C_N^n . Because the system has two lattices, so the total number of combinations is $C_N^n \cdot C_N^n = (C_N^n)^2$

8.7.2 b

The total number of combinations is C_{2N}^{2n} . The W_A could be written as $\frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} \cdot \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!}$, and the W_B could be written as $\frac{(2N)!}{(2n)!(2N-2n)!}$. Based on the form of sterling approximation, when N is really large is $N! = N^N e^{-N}$, So the ratio between W_A and W_B could be calculated through:

$$\frac{W_B}{W_A} = \frac{(2N)!}{(2n)!(2N-2n)!} \cdot \frac{n!n!}{(2n)!} \cdot \frac{(N-n)!(N-n)!}{(2N-2n)!} \quad (19)$$

We could use $\frac{N!N!}{(2N)!}$ as an example:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{2N}{e}\right)^{2N}}{\left(\frac{N}{e}\right)^{2N}} = 2^{2N} \quad (20)$$

So the second term is just using n to replace the N in the previous equation, so it is $\frac{1}{2^{2n}}$ and the third term is $\frac{1}{2^{2N-2n}}$.

So the result is the total Product of the three term:

$$2^{2N} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2N-2n}} = 1 \quad (21)$$

8.7.3 c

Based on the previous question, the entropy difference could be calculated as following:

$$\Delta S = k \ln\left(\frac{W_B}{W_A}\right) = k \ln(1) = 0 \quad (22)$$

8.8 Problem 9

Proving this equation is larger than 0 equals to prove:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho(x, t) \ln \rho(x, t)] dx \leq 0 \quad (23)$$

The equation can be transformed to (ignored D):

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\ln \rho + 1) \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\ln \rho + 1) d\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right) \quad (24)$$

Based on the intergration by parts, it could be written as:

$$(\ln \rho + 1) \cdot \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} d(\ln \rho + 1) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} d(\ln \rho + 1) = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\rho} dx \quad (25)$$

which is obvious less than 0, because all things in intergration is positive but there is a minus outside. So this also obey second law.

9 概率论作业

9.1 Problem 3

9.1.1 (a)

To prove $A \perp B$, we just need to prove the following equation:

$$P(AB) = P(A) \cdot P(B) \quad (26)$$

The event A could has two corresponding outcomes: (1) One day is rainy, and other two days are sunny; (2) One day is sunny, and other two days are rainy. So $P(A)$ could be calculated through:

$$P(A) = C_3^1 \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1^2}{2} \times 2 = \frac{3}{4} \quad (27)$$

To calculate $P(B)$, event B could also be divided into two outcomes: (1) one day is rainy; (2) all days are sunny. So $P(B)$ can be derived from:

$$P(B) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + C_3^1 \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (28)$$

$A \cap B$ means exactly one day is rainy, and two other days are sunny, so:

$$P(A, B) = C_3^1 \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1^3}{2} = \frac{3}{8} \quad (29)$$

So, we have:

$$P(A, B) = P(A) \cdot P(B) \quad (30)$$

So, we can say that $A \perp B$.

we could prove that $P(B, C) = P(B) \cdot P(C)$ to prove that $B \perp C$. To calculate $P(C)$, we could divide event C into two outcomes: (1) All sunny days; (2) All rainy days.

$$P(C) = \frac{1^3}{2} + \frac{1^3}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \quad (31)$$

The event $B \cap C$ means all days are sunny days, so:

$$P(B, C) = \frac{1^3}{2} = \frac{1}{8} \quad (32)$$

So,

$$P(B) \cdot P(C) = \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{8} = P(B, C) \quad (33)$$

Then we can say that $B \perp C$.

9.1.2 (b)

Event $A \perp C$ want all three days have the same weather but at the same time include at least one rainy day and sunny day, which is impossible. So:

$$P(A, C) = 0 \neq P(A) \cdot P(C) = \frac{3}{16} \quad (34)$$

So A and C are not independent event. It is reasonable, because A and C could not happen at the same time, so we definetly can learn some thing from one event about the other event.

9.2 Problem 4

9.2.1 (a)

According to the condition given in the problem statement, we have: $P(S) = 0.2\%$, $P(O|S) = 25\%$, $P(S^c = 1 - P(S) = 98\%$, $P(O|S^c = 98\%)$). Based on those, we could derive the joint probability:

$$P(O, S) = P(S) \cdot P(O|S) = 2\% \times 25\% = 0.5\% \quad P(O, S^c) = P(S^c) \cdot p(O|S^c) = 98 \times 0.3\% = 0.294\% \quad (35)$$

According to the total probablity, the marginal probability of outage is:

$$P(O) = P(O, S) + P(O, S^c) = 0.794\% \quad (36)$$

9.2.2 (b)

The conditional probability of strom occured when observed outage is:

$$P(S|O) = \frac{P(S, O)}{P(O)} = \frac{0.5\%}{0.794\%} = 62.97\% \quad (37)$$

9.2.3 (c)

9.2.4 (d)

9.3 Problem 5

$A \perp B|C$ does not imply $A \perp B|C^c$. For example, a parallel system consists of component A and component B. Event A and B means corresponding components fail, and C means a earthquake happens. A and B are independent when earthquake happens. But if

9.4 Problem 6

9.4.1 (a)

Note the 4 component as 1, 2, 3 and 4 from left to right. The reliability of the system is:

$$r_s = r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 = (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)(1 - p_3)(1 - p_4) = 91.28\% \quad (38)$$

So the probability of the system failure is:

$$p_s = 1 - r_s = 8.72\% \quad (39)$$

9.4.2 (b)

The approximation of the probability that system fails is:

$$p_s = p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 = 9\% \quad (40)$$

which overestimates the actual value, because it does not count the situations that multiple components may fail.

9.4.3 (c)

Based on previous problem, we have $P(S|A_1) = 8.72\%$ To calculate $P(S|A_2)$:

$$r_s = 0.96 \times 0.92 \times 0.98 \times 0.96 = 0.8309 P(S|A_2) = 1 - r_s = 16.91\% \quad (41)$$

Same for $P(S|A_3)$:

$$r_s = 0.92 \times 0.84 \times 0.96 \times 0.92 = 0.6825 P(S|A_2) = 1 - r_s = 31.75\% \quad (42)$$

Then we could compute joint probability of system failure with different intensity:

$$P(S, A_1) = P(A_1) \cdot P(S|A_1) = 60\% \times 8.72\% = 5.2\% P(S, A_2) = P(A_2) \cdot P(S|A_2) = 30\% \times 16.91\% = 5.07\% P(S, A_3) = \dots \quad (43)$$

According to the total probability:

$$P(S, A) = P(S, A_1) + P(S, A_2) + P(S, A_3) = 13.45\% \quad (44)$$

9.5 Problem 7

Event S represents that the system fails.

9.5.1 (a)

First step: combine two components whose failure probabilities are 0.02 and 0.04:

$$P_{new} = 1 - (1 - 0.02)(1 - 0.04) = 0.0592 \quad (45)$$

The system becomes: start $\rightarrow (0.30) \rightarrow (0.0592) | (0.40) \rightarrow$ ends. Then combine the components whose failure probabilities are 0.0592 and 0.40:

$$P_{new} = 0.0592 \times 0.40 = 0.02368 \quad (46)$$

The system becomes: start $\rightarrow 0.30 \rightarrow 0.02368 \rightarrow \text{end}$, which is a serial system. The the failure probability of the system is:

$$P(S) = 1 - (1 - 0.30)(1 - 0.02368) = 0.316576 \approx 31.7\% \quad (47)$$

9.5.2 (b)

$P(c_1|S) = \frac{P(c_1, S)}{P(S)}$. $P(S)$ is calculated in the previous question, and the value is 31.7%. To calculate $P(c_1, S)$, we could use:

$$P(c_1, S) = P(c_1) \cdot P(S|c_1) \quad (48)$$

Considering the system configuration and values, $P(c_1) = 0.30$, and it is easy to find that when c_1 doesn't work, the system breaks down, so $P(S|c_1 = 1) = 0$. So:

$$P(c_1, S) = P(c_1) \cdot P(S|c_1) = 30\% \quad (49)$$

Finally,

$$P(c_1|S) = \frac{P(c_1, S)}{P(S)} = \frac{30\%}{31.7\%} = 94.6\% \quad (50)$$

10 Latex usage

The citation part of using latex: <https://zhuanlan.zhihu.com/p/25013341>. 在写文档之前的引用库部分,使用“`usepackage{apacite}`,`bibliographystyle{apacite}`”。行文中要引用就使用 `cite{Akonishi:1999ab}`。在结束问当前添加 `bibliography{example}`, `example` 是文献数据库的名字 (`example.bib`)

参考文献

- [1] G. J. Pottie and W. J. Kaiser. Embedding the internet: Wireless integrated network sensors. *Communications of the Acm*, 43, 2000.