

# World Development Indicators

Reviewee: Qiu Guang  
Reviewer: Rosebella Capio

December 11, 2018

**Coverage of the 3 course areas:** During the in class presentation, Qiu failed to cover all the three areas of discussed in class. Association and clustering analysis were not explored. He failed to give a thorough presentation on the regression part he did cover.

**Data size meets stated criterion:** Qui had his total number of observations meeting the criterion but I wasn't sure of the features he used due to difficulty in understanding him. He did not explicitly state the number of features he was using. There appeared to be a lot of misunderstanding on his part on the dataset and how to relate it to the areas covered in class.

**Relevancy:** The dataset being used by Qiu is of absolute relevance since it talks of World Development Indicators. This is of real importance as these indicators point out the countries that are actually developed and those developing and need assistance.

**Difficulty:** I believe if he spent more time on the dataset, he could have put his point across well. There was a lot of confusion on my part because I could not figure out exactly what he was trying to do. I think the project is an interesting one but I suggest he spends more time learning and breaking it down. There after can he apply Machine Learning algorithms studied and come up with spectacular findings.

**Interestingness:**World Development Indicators is actually very interesting and tells a lot about countries. Such topics give great insights as to what is going on around the globe in terms of development which is really great.

**Clarity:** From the presentation, the plan of the project was not particularly clear to me.

**Originality:** To me, nothing spectacular was found but I believe he would do great on his final product.

**Creativity:**I think he can be more creative with the dataset on his final product since no real output was realized from the oral presentation.