

Bayesian Statistics, Assignment 1
Collegio Carlo Alberto
Due date: November 2nd, 2022

Question 1 (15 points): The Galenshore distribution (Hoff 3.9).

An unknown quantity Y has a Galenshore(a, θ) distribution if its density is given by

$$p(y) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{2a} y^{2a-1} e^{-\theta^2 y^2}$$

for $y > 0, \theta > 0$ and $a > 0$. Assume for now that a is known. For this density,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2})}{\theta \Gamma(a)}, \quad \mathbb{E}[Y^2] = \frac{a}{\theta^2}$$

- a) Identify a class of conjugate prior densities for θ . Plot a few (e.g. 4 or 6) members of this class of densities.
- b) Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \mid \theta \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Galenshore}(a, \theta)$. Find the posterior distribution of θ given $Y_{1:n} = y_{1:n}$, using a prior from your conjugate class.
- c) Write down $\frac{p(\theta_a | y_{1:n})}{p(\theta_b | y_{1:n})}$ and simplify. Identify a sufficient statistic.
- d) Determine $\mathbb{E}[\theta \mid y_{1:n}]$.
- e) Determine the form of the posterior predictive density $p(y_{n+1} \mid y_{1:n})$.

Question 2 (15 points): Tumor counts (Hoff 3.3, 4.2, 4.3).

Part 1 (5 points): Tumor counts (Hoff 3.3).

A cancer laboratory is estimating the rate of tumorigenesis in two strains of mice, A and B . They have tumor count data for 10 mice in strain A and 13 mice in strain B . Type A mice have been well studied, and information from other laboratories suggests that type A mice have tumor counts that are approximately Poisson-distributed with a mean of 12. Tumor count rates for type B mice are unknown, but type B mice are related to type A mice. The observed tumor counts for the two populations are (you can find them in the file *dataAssignment1.RData*)

$$\mathbf{y}_A = (12, 9, 12, 14, 13, 13, 15, 8, 15, 6)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_B = (11, 11, 10, 9, 9, 8, 7, 10, 6, 8, 8, 9, 7)$$

- a) Find the posterior distributions, means, variances and 95% quantile-based credible intervals for θ_A and θ_B , assuming a Poisson sampling distribution for each group and the following prior distribution:

$$\theta_A \sim \text{Gamma}(120, 10), \quad \theta_B \sim \text{Gamma}(12, 1), \quad p(\theta_A, \theta_B) = p(\theta_A) \times p(\theta_B)$$

- b) Compute and plot the posterior expectation of θ_B under the prior distribution $\theta_B \sim \text{Gamma}(12 \times n_0, n_0)$ for each value of $n_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, 50\}$. Describe what sort of prior beliefs about θ_B would be necessary in order for the posterior expectation of θ_B to be close to that of θ_A .
- c) Should knowledge about population A tell us anything about population B ? Discuss whether or not it makes sense to have $p(\theta_A, \theta_B) = p(\theta_A) \times p(\theta_B)$.

Part 2 (5 points): Tumor count comparison (Hoff 4.2).

- d) For the prior distribution given in point a), obtain $\mathbb{P}(\theta_B < \theta_A \mid \mathbf{y}_A, \mathbf{y}_B)$ via Monte Carlo sampling.
- e) For a range of values of n_0 , obtain $\mathbb{P}(\theta_B < \theta_A \mid \mathbf{y}_A, \mathbf{y}_B)$ for $\theta_A \sim \text{Gamma}(120, 10)$ and $\theta_B \sim \text{Gamma}(12 \times n_0, n_0)$. Describe how sensitive the conclusions about the event $\{\theta_B < \theta_A\}$ are to the prior distribution on θ_B .
- f) Repeat points d) and e), replacing the event $\{\theta_B < \theta_A\}$ with the event $\{\tilde{Y}_B < \tilde{Y}_A\}$, where \tilde{Y}_A and \tilde{Y}_B are samples from the posterior predictive distribution.

Part 3 (5 points): Posterior predictive checks (Hoff 4.3).

Let's investigate the adequacy of the Poisson model for the tumor count data. Generate posterior predictive datasets $\mathbf{y}_A^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_A^{(1000)}$. Each $\mathbf{y}_A^{(s)}$ is a sample of size $n_A = 10$ from the Poisson distribution with parameter $\theta_A^{(s)}$, $\theta_A^{(s)}$ is itself a sample from the posterior distribution $p(\theta_A \mid \mathbf{y}_A)$, and \mathbf{y}_A is the observed data.

- g) For each s , let $t^{(s)}$ be the sample average of the 10 values of $\mathbf{y}_A^{(s)}$, divided by the sample standard deviation of $\mathbf{y}_A^{(s)}$. Make a histogram of $t^{(s)}$ and compare to the observed value of this statistic. Based on this statistic, assess the fit of the Poisson model for these data.
- h) Repeat the above goodness of fit evaluation for the data in population B .