

## MULTIVARIATE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

### PROBLEM SET 1

---

#### Exercise 2

##### 2.1

Let first note that  $\Sigma$  is invertible since  $\det(\Sigma) = -2\rho^3 - 3\rho^2 + 1$  which is greater than 0,  $\forall \rho \in [-1, \frac{1}{2}]$ . We compute the inverse of  $\Sigma$  by exploiting the identity

$$\Sigma = (1 + \rho)I - \rho aa^T \text{ with } a = (1, 1, -1)$$

and applying the following theorem, known as the Neumann Series Theorem:

**Theorem** (Neumann Series). *Let  $T$  be a linear mapping  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . If the series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T^i$  converges, then  $I - T$  is invertible and it holds*

$$(I - T)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T^i.$$

First we rewrite

$$\Sigma = (1 + \rho)(I - caa^T) \text{ with } a = (1, 1, -1) \text{ and } c = \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho}.$$

Let  $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I - caa^T$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} &= (I - caa^T)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (caa^T)^i = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c^i (aa^T)^i = I + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c^i (\|a\|^2)^{i-1} aa^T = \\ &= I + caa^T \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (c\|a\|^2)^i = I + caa^T \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (c\|a\|^2)^i = \\ &= I + caa^T \frac{1}{1 - c\|a\|^2} = I + \frac{p}{1 + p} \frac{1}{1 - 3\frac{p}{1+p}} aa^T = \\ &= I + \frac{p}{1 - 2p} aa^T. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\Sigma^{-1} = (1 + \rho)^{-1} A^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \left( I + \frac{p}{1 - 2p} aa^T \right).$$

We can compute  $\Sigma^{-1}$  also in many other ways, for example we can suppose that  $\Sigma^{-1}$  is of the same form  $\Sigma$ , i.e.

$$\Sigma^{-1} = yI + kaa^T$$

and then find the values for  $y$  and  $k$ .

## 2.2

A faster way to find the spectrum (set of eigenvalues, meant with multiplicity) is reported below. We exploit some basic properties of the spectrum.

We denote  $\text{Sp}(\Sigma)$  the spectrum of the matrix  $\Sigma$  (as a linear operator).

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sp}(\Sigma) &= \text{Sp}\left((1+\rho)\left(I - \frac{\rho}{1+\rho}aa^t\right)\right) = \\ &= (1+\rho)\text{Sp}\left(I - \frac{\rho}{1+\rho}aa^t\right) = \\ &= (1+\rho)\left(1 - \frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\text{Sp}(aa^t)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Observing  $(aa^T)a = \|a\|^2a$  and  $\text{rank}(aa^T) = 1$  it holds

$$\text{Sp}(aa^T) = \{0, 0, \|a\|^2\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sp}(\Sigma) &= (1+\rho)\left(1 - \frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\{0, 0, \|a\|^2\}\right) = \\ &= (1+\rho)\left\{1, 1, 1 - 3\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right\} = \\ &= \{1+\rho, 1+\rho, 1+\rho-3\rho\} = \\ &= \{1+\rho, 1+\rho, 1-2\rho\}.\end{aligned}$$

where the multiplications and translations of sets are mean component wise.

## 2.3

We first write the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  in ascending order.

We distinguish the following two cases:

1. if  $\rho \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  then  $1+\rho \geq 1-2\rho$ . This leads to

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 1+\rho \\ \lambda_2 = 1+\rho \\ \lambda_3 = 1-2\rho \end{cases}, \text{ with } \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3.$$

Now we find  $\rho$  such that the first two principal components (PCs) account for more than 80% of the total variation of  $Z$ .

Since  $\lambda_i$  corresponds to the variance of the  $i$ -th PC  $\forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and the variation up to the  $k$ -th PC corresponds to the sum of the first  $k$  eigenvalues, we just need to find  $\rho$  such that

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0.8(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3).$$

By solving the inequality we get

$$2(1+\rho) > \frac{4}{5}3 \iff 1+\rho > \frac{6}{5} \iff \rho > \frac{1}{5}.$$

2. if  $\rho \in (-1, 0)$  then  $1+\rho \leq 1-2\rho$ . This leads to

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 1-2\rho \\ \lambda_2 = 1+\rho \\ \lambda_3 = 1+\rho \end{cases}, \text{ with } \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3.$$

By using the same argument we used in the previous poin we obtain that  $\rho$  have to satisfy the following condition:

$$(1 - 2\rho) + (1 + \rho) > \frac{4}{5}3 \iff 2 - \rho > \frac{12}{5} \iff \rho < -\frac{2}{5}.$$

Hence for  $\rho \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  it must be  $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$  and for  $\rho \in (-1, 0)$  it must be  $\rho < -\frac{2}{5}$ .

So  $\forall \rho \in (-1, -\frac{2}{5}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  PC1 and PC2 account for more than 80% of the total variation of  $Z$ .

2.4

2.5

```
c = sqrt(qchisq(0.95, df = 2))

rho = 0.2
mu_y = 1 / 5 * c(1, 9)
sigma_y = 6 / 25 * matrix(c(4, 1, 1, 4), nrow = 2)
eig = eigen(sigma_y, symmetric = T)
```

contour plot of the density of Y ( $\rho = 0.2$ )

