

# Amina Zaiba

**AMINA ZAIBA -**

**final\_pdf\_report\_1\_team\_CSE\_107\_edu\_board.pdf**

-  Quick Submit
  -  Quick Submit
  -  Presidency University
- 

## Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::1:3425977065

70 Pages

Submission Date

Nov 27, 2025, 11:51 AM GMT+5:30

16,563 Words

Download Date

Nov 27, 2025, 12:03 PM GMT+5:30

93,171 Characters

File Name

final\_pdf\_report\_1\_team\_CSE\_107\_edu\_board.pdf

File Size

1.9 MB

## \*% detected as AI

AI detection includes the possibility of false positives. Although some text in this submission is likely AI generated, scores below the 20% threshold are not surfaced because they have a higher likelihood of false positives.

### Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

### Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (i.e., our AI models may produce either false positive results or false negative results), so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

## Frequently Asked Questions

### How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.


AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (\*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

### What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



## Abstract

Technology has transformed the way students learn, work together, and evaluate their understanding in the current education system. Traditional methods of teaching in the classroom, although helpful, are not flexible and do not fully engage students who are accustomed to interactive and technology-driven environments. Web-based learning platforms have been found to be an answer through their scalability, accessibility, and interaction potential for education. However, most of the existing platforms only handle either content presentation or testing, little combining cognitive skill development and gamification methodologies.

In this research, an innovative web-based learning application that brings together three integral components—notes, quizzes, and cognitive skill games—is introduced under a combined platform. The proposed system is designed to support both students and teachers with customized dashboards and role-based features. Students can register securely, see collaborative notes, participate in time-sensitive quizzes, and unlock cognitive ability games based on quiz performance. Teachers, on the other hand, can register to post lecture notes in PDF or text format, create quizzes with auto-grading, and monitor student progress in real time. This two-dashboard approach not only facilitates teacher-student interaction but also ensures a structured and engaging learning exp

## Chapter 1

### INTRODUCTION

For many years digital learning technologies have advanced rapidly, with a dramatic effect on practices in education, such that online provision has become an integral part of contemporary methods of teaching and learning. Through generic face-to-face classroom teaching, opportunities for personalized learning, real time assessment and student engagement can remain restricted in rapid changing educational context. As learners are more likely to go digital and teachers want better ways of teaching students, an integrated, interactive and efficient online learning system must be desired. Research shows that over 65% students like to learn using digital tools as they are flexible and convenient, over 70% of teachers claim that tech-equipped teaching increases student participation and performance. These numbers underscore the need for an all-inclusive digital platform that can deliver learning resources, assessments and interactives in a single solution.

This project aims to design an innovative e-learning web application which merges three vital educational components, academic notes, quizzes and cognitive skill-building games. By having different dashboards for students and teachers, the system maintains an organized and personalized design aimed at each role. Study materials, timed quizzes and their scores being tracked will allow students to access learning content on the device as well as improve cognitive skills with gamified approach. For teachers, the tool makes the process of posting notes, adding quizzes and tracking students' progress more efficiently.

The motivation for developing such a system stems from limitations seen in traditional digital learning environments. Further, many existing systems do not provide quiz time setting, 24-hour accessibility hours of the day window option for students to take tests, automatic grading and teacher-to-student communication assistance. So far, tools like Google Classroom, Moodle or Edmodo only solve the problem partially, and in many cases not without having to use all those systems simultaneously. This project overcomes these limitations by integrating learning materials, assessment, and skill-based games in one monolithic yet smooth web application.

The system hopes to improve the quality of digital education, stimulate students' learning enthusiasm, and offer teachers a valuable means for making their teaching more dynamic.

## 1.1 Background

The rise in digitalisation for learning and education has led to a high demand for teaching platforms that offer so much more than content distribution. Traditional classroom settings, however, prohibit personalized learning, real-time feedback and access to study materials. Technology has become increasingly widespread in the learning environment and students have come to expect ready access to digital resources for revision, testing and interactivity. Meanwhile teachers need a quick digital canvas for note taking, setting quizzes and scoring students work. Current learning environments tend to specialize in either note-taking or quizzing or educational games, not all three integrated in one system.

This project arises from the discrepancy found between traditional e-learning systems and the new possibilities demanded by modern learners and teachers. Although there are numerous systems providing access to study material for students, most of them have been used for simple content accessibility without any time-restricted quizzes, automatic testing and reporting of results or game-based learning. Gamification has been demonstrated to highly motivate students, but most platforms present it as a stand-alone feature rather than integrating it into the learning loop. Likewise teachers must often twist through multiple tools, uploading notes, assessments and grades in a haphazard way that is both inconsistent and inefficient.

Realising these limitations, we propose to develop an integrated solution that can facilitate structured academic learning, on-time assessments and cognitive skills development in a unified internet environment.

## 1.2 Statistics

The fast paced growth of digital education in India demonstrates an urgent requirement of a unified web learning platform. The Indian e-learning market has shown great maturation over the last few years thanks mainly to greater access to internet and smartphone penetration. Internet proliferation in India is on the rise, making it easier for students across metros and

non-metros to turn to online resources for taking notes, practicing quizzes and preparing for exams. And with 80% of students using a mobile phone as their primary learning device, the imperative is to create platforms that are easy and intuitive to use, engaging and designed for smart technology.

Yet even as it expands, many students are still staring down disjointed learning systems in which notes, quizzes and interactive tools live on separate applications. This disconnect impacts on consistency, motivation and performance measuring. It also doesn't help that a majority of students, especially in semi urban and rural areas, do not have access to good coaching or individualized academic guidance. Interactive quizzes, timed tests or game-based learning can be great for such learners as they require optimum engagement and performance. Research has demonstrated that games-based learning enhances concentration, memory and recall, so is a useful tool in academic settings.

Teachers also struggle with taking notes, quizzing, and keeping up with student progress across a hodgepodge of platforms. A single platform that simplifies file upload, quiz hosting and performance review is necessary now. The goal of this project is to fill the gap and create an integrated platform for notes, assessments, and cognitive games for the new educational standard.

### 1.3 Prior existing technologies

There are several digital learning technologies and platforms which already exist in the education space providing certain features such as content sharing, quiz/assessments or light dose of gamifications. The majority of these are all standalone systems, and there are only few that offer to the user a unified learning experience through the use notes and time-bound quizzes, automatic evaluation tools, performance tracking mechanisms or cognitive skills games in a single environment.

The most popular of such platforms is one called Google Classroom, which allows teachers to share notes and assignments, give students tests via the platform and get scored immediately. It facilitates PDF uploads, announcements and simple quiz hosting on Google Forms. Although effective for classroom management it doesn't provide cognitive integrated games

restricted time quiz modules each individual students dashboards connecting in to performance-based insights. Likewise, the empirical based LMS Moodle provides high levels of customization and flexibility in terms of course development, assessment, forums, and grading tools. Though there is range of functionalities, model may be complex to operate for younger students and does not offer interactive/gaming approach as general feature.

Platforms like Kahoot! and Quizizz both support game-based quizzes intended to increase engagement through timed, competitive questions. Those tools are all popular for in-class use or remote learning, but they're mostly limited to quizzes. They don't allow for teacher notes, organization of files to be uploaded, or tracking of performance over time. Quizlet has flashcards, practice tests and interactive games to help students master material. Although efficient for revision, it does not incorporate teacher dashboards or enable teachers to track student performance holistically.

In India, online learning apps like Byju's, Topper and Unacademy provide video lessons, tests and revision aides. These are content-heavy platforms, which operate more like massive open online course (MOOC) rather than teacher-led classroom. It's not that common for teachers from schools to have the freedom to upload their own notes or make daily quizzes for their students. In addition, their game-based learning tools are restricted and are not directly related to student quiz results in real-time.

#### 1.4 Proposed approach

The purpose of this proposed project is to bring a comprehensive web-based learning system, where student can take notes for academic purpose and practice time-bound quiz along with automatic evaluation - all within-in the same platform. The project aims to give students well-organized learning tools that are engaging and to provide teachers with easy digital ways to distribute notes, set quizzes, and track progress. The objective is to enhance online education overall by being more interactive, structured and motivational for not only the students but also the teachers. And as more and more people want to learn from a distance, but with its current limits for the available toolkits PPLE wants to adopt an all-in-one system that provides education in a digital way.

This work is motivated by the issues raised by today's e-learning systems, in which both students and teachers are forced to use many disjointed applications. There are lots of digital platforms that do content delivery, or even assessments, whereas one uses only half of them. Students lack interest in repeating the same formats, and don't appreciate the non-interactive nature of it. Teachers are frustrated with managing lessons, quiz outcomes, quizzes and results printed on a variety of platforms.

What is needed is a platform that offers more than academic content, but also motivates students with game-based learning and enables teachers to effectively manage their processes.

The goal is to close the gap by implementing a platform that offers learning materials, assessment and cognitive games in an integrated environment to encourage active reinforcement and continuous learning.

The proposed solution provides a dual-role appearing where each user registers either as student or teacher and after logging in, the user will be directed to its personalized dashboard. Students can consume uploaded notes and take quizzes which will stay active for only 24 hours and the instant results coming out of auto evaluated scripts. There is a 10-minute timer for each quiz to improve time management. To support learning, gamification approach is implemented where students get second-level cognitive games opened if they have successfully completed tests, thus sustaining development with reward-based self-motivation. Indeed, teachers are able to upload PDF notes and statements of work, build quizzes (with up to ten questions each day), give correct answers for assessment and log into metrics that show grades on a student-by-student basis. The platform has a clean, easy-to-use interface which is simple and intuitive and thus it enables users to tool around without any hiccups.

In general, the proposed framework is a good and comprehensive solution for digital learning with some limitations to be overcome in future amendments, increasing its efficiency and applicability.

## 1.5 Objectives

### **Objective 1: Behavioural Organisation and User Involvement Mechanisms**

The first aim of the project is to define and implement mechanisms for structuring behaviour that will safely steer students and teachers in their interactions with the learning platform. Behaviour is one of the most important factors in digital education systems: if there is no sustained user performance, then learning outcomes will not be successful. The goal of the project is to influence behaviour through certain features, such as timeboxed daily quizzes, moderated exposure to non-cognitive games, directed content sequencing and immediate feedback.

The first two objectives for teachers are about updating educational content and writing new quiz questions as they become necessary, while the last one presents their criteria of supervising students' progress. The platform uses the digital to activate routines that are similar to classroom management in a physical space, embedded in behaviour-based design.

This is evidenced through a range of analytics based on user-logins, quiz attempts, time-related behaviour patterns and when teachers are active demonstrating how users modify their behaviour alongside system cues.

## **Objective 2:Analytical processing and data-driven evaluation**

The second objective is to incorporate a strong analytic component that can transform data generated by users into meaningful piece of educational evaluation. Analytics is the heart of digital learning platforms as it automates teaching's judgement and assessments. The project to create mechanisms that process quiz answers automatically, score learners' attempts and compare performance between now and previous attempts also feature.

The analytics engine need to identify trends in performance, visually represent academic improvement or deterioration and track the area wise accuracy. Instructors should be able to obtain summary statistics such as questions commonly failed, average score per quiz and rate of taking quizzes from the system. These analytical targets will also help improve decision-making and academic planning. Tangible realizations of this goal include the automatic generation of scores and performance charts, statistical summaries, and coherent logs of analysis plate files in system. Virtually guarantees smarter, more evidence-based learning.

### **Objective 3: Efficient management of the system and regulation of workflow**

The third objective aims to create a durable and well-organized system management infrastructure, which can guarantee the reliability of every module. System management is how the components of the system such as registration, login, note upload, quiz creation and result presentation or games unlocking are organized coordinated and controlled. It is the responsibility of the platform to guarantee that every function should only be accessible by users assigned with relevant roles and permission. As a teacher you should not have to leave the site at any point when uploading course materials, adding questions to quizzes or setting correct answers, how students fared if necessary and Students should be taken through an organised process from obtaining notes right down to attempting test then seeing results.

All aspects of internal management should enjoy dependable data interactions between modules—quiz submissions, for example, must be allowed to immediately affect result generation and game access history plus the student's progress record. Another aspect of this goal is stability: with error handling, confirmation messages, straight forward user navigation and rugged session management. Such a goal can be demonstrated with Inter-Connected Module Testing (ICMT), where changes in one module are automatically seen across the design. This demonstrates that the platform is not fragmented, but acts as a cohesive frame to ensure coherent operation.

### **Objective 4: Safety and semantic protection of secret information**

The fourth area is strong security that will be used to secure the user information, academic data and internal functioning of the system. We'll start with security as the platform holds personal information, usernames and passwords to access the system, questions for quizzes, correct answers and also performance reports. We also want to have a secure auth system that would only allow "registered" users to access their dashboard. The system should not allow students to gain access teacher-only functions, such as changing notes or editing answers. Another important security concern is the need to maintain academic integrity by storing quiz questions and correct answers in a manner that cannot be tampered with, or accessed by students before it is time to write the quiz. Access control based on roles should be brought in to make sure the functionalities are clearly divided among students and teachers. Objective 3

is responsible for secured handling of user sessions, protecting against bypassing quiz rules and direct URL access. Security objectives can be shown through regulating login process, permission-based navigation and secure data flow... Show More Related Topics These instances demonstrate that the system is able to keep the educational data confidential and ensure its integrity without any misuse or unauthorized intervention.

### **Objective 5: Readiness for Deployment, Accessibility and System Extensibility**

The fifth aim of the project is to put that system in readiness for efficient deployment -- accessible, stable and scalable to real time operation. The deployment is that the system is available on a server or hosted environment to which students and teachers can have continuous access. Optimizing the system for a broad range of devices including several mobiles, being compatible with most web browsers and shortening load times to reduce the down-time percentage are goals.

The deployment goals also encompass end-to-end testing, load testing and reliability assurance to confirm that the platform can run without errors or outages for the given period. The resilience of rolling features and performance under multiple users can serve as tangible indicators of success.

### **1.6 SDGs**

The planned web-based learning application is highly related to a number of UN SDGs demonstrated in Fig. 1.1, especially concerning education, innovation, reduced inequalities and food systems and community development in the long-term. The project responds directly to the objective of inclusive and equitable quality education by providing a single end-user digital platform stocked with accessible notes, organised assessment and cognitive skill games. This design is geared toward better behaviour, analysis support, secure learning environments and scalable deployment elements which add up to the creation of a modernized educational ecosystem that puts students at the centre.



Fig 1.1 Sustainable development goals

### **SDG 4: Quality Education**

The project is most directly related to SDG 4 (Quality education). Its customised dashboards for students and teachers, time-framed quizzes, auto-evaluation with analytic reports provided digitally have helped the coaching institute in inculcating discipline among learners leading to enhanced academic scores. The platform also increases problem solving and critical thinking skills, by combining cognitive skill games with quiz performance.

Working together, these components help form an ecosystem that enhances access to interactive, digital and self-paced educational content—a necessary element in the puzzle of delivering quality education.

### **SDG 9: Infrastructure, Industrialization and Innovation**

This project will also contributes to SDG 9 by creating a tech-enabled, stable, and resilient digital learning ecosystem than can scale. It uses the Web to create something new by mixing academic content with assessment models and game based learning. This modern digital innovation is something that you can use to deliver education beyond the traditional classroom. The platform is also setting the stage for future growth into AI-enabled tutoring, adaptive learning and deep data analytics.

## **SDG 10: Reduced Inequalities**

The project meets SDG 10: reducing inequality, by ensuring that access to educational materials is available to children and youth irrespective of location or wealth. Students who live in rural or impoverished areas do not typically have access to good quality notes, guided assessments and feedback on their performance. This is the age of levelling some such differences, as it's made popular by offering a consolidated system that can be reached via any internet enabled device. Under mediated instruction, students have access to standardized knowledge transfer, fair assessments and clear academic guidance barriers that stand between them and a restrictive physical education space.

## **SDG 17: Partnerships to achieve the Goal**

The REDCap based network biobank as a unique resource for pancreatic cancer research Materials and methods Data source ARCH institutions have access to participants who are likely to be eligible for studies through a regional or provincial telephone network of patients diagnosed with either melanoma or lung, breast, colon or pancreatic cancer They can contact potential participants from our database.

The project also contributes to SDG 17 by promoting the partnership between teachers and learners in a digital space. Educators add academic content and assessments; students interact with the material and monitor their own achievement.

The system can be further scaled to work in partnership with schools, educational institutions or governments supporting mass digital learning initiatives.

### **1.7 Overview of project report**

This is a full and systematic account on a creative web-based learning platform integrating notes, quizzes, and cognitive skill games in an organic digital educational environment: nine clear chapters documenting the theoretical substrate, design programme, work plan, manage system, evaluation results and wider landscape of social interaction regarding the artifact.

Chapter 1 introduces the project with a presentation of the background of mechanics behind the transition from traditional in-person learning to digital systems, its focus on providing students and teachers a single platform that makes academics easier, and this system's purpose as an antidote to fragmented resources, access deficiencies to quality study material and increased demand for user-interactives and accessible digital facilities. The chapter further discusses the rationale behind developing this project, statistical evidence regarding increasing internet penetration, mobile-based learning trend and what are needs on which students are stagnating about getting consistent guidance. This chapter also overviews the project's alignment with UN Sustainable Development Goals, in particular quality education, reduced inequalities and digital innovation.

Chapter 2 contributes a comprehensive literature review of state-of-the-practice and technical solutions referable to digital educational systems, web-based learning environments, assessment tools in the Web as well as game technologies. It reviews academic papers, pedagogic structures, cases and tools available in the current market place including LMS systems, quiz applications as well as content sharing platforms. This chapter reviews the architecture, features, advantages and disadvantages of MCC systems .

The observations from this review guide the design choices implemented in the project with a goal to solidify existing knowledge and to present add-value.

The chapter 3 explains the method that we followed to conduct this project, which include SDLC methodology selection, requirement gathering techniques, planning procedure and design flow.

It describes the functional and non-functional requirements, system roles like student and teacher are defined, and the rationales behind quiz timing; restricted availability of quizzes; PDF upload functionality; automatic evaluation/marking; as well as unlocking a game through performance score. Use case diagrams, activity diagrams, sequence diagrams and data flow diagram which illustrate system behaviour and interaction among modules are also included in this chapter. What's more, it discusses the tools, languages, frameworks and database structures selected for implementation and provides reasonable explanation to each of our decision on the basis of scalability, efficiency, and long-term maintainability.

Chapter 4 will concentrate on the management side of the project and how the approach was planned, arranged and implemented. It also discusses the time management concepts of Gantt charts, work breakdown structures, resource allocation, task prioritization, and risk analysis. This chapter highlights the importance of good scheduling, careful monitoring and coordination in achieving seamless transitions from concept to final testing. It also includes the cost side of things, software, hosting resources, development tools and potential scaling costs where you get a sense how "to ask" about money when it comes to deployment.

The Chapter 5 is Analysis and Design: The architectural organisation of the platform is studied in this chapter, including how each module interacts with components. It clarifies the concepts such as Registration and Login Section, Managing Quiz by Admin like addition of Questions, Playing a game etc... calculating result of the game based on respond submitted by the users. This chapter discusses how the system makes dashboards easy to navigate, keeps user data secure and maintains a synchronized view of students' and teachers' actions.

The software and simulation tools utilized to develop and test the platform is provided in Chapter 6.

Evaluation and results of the system are given in Chapter 7. It records functional and non-functional testing including registration, login, note upload, quiz post submission, automatic scoring result traceability and game unlock ability performance security reliability system response etc. In addition this chapter gives some screenshots of sample outputs, and explaining behaviour of the framework under various testing scenarios to show its applicability and efficiency.

Chapter 8 presents aspects with regard to the social, legal, ethical as well as sustainability and safety of introducing such a platform. It investigates the system's role in fostering digital inclusion, providing equitable access to quality learning content and diminishing educational disparities.

Finally, Chapter 9 concludes the project with a summary of the whole project and restates the work's aims, discusses to what extent notes, quizzes and cognitive games are successfully integrated into a single system and reflects on goals achieved whilst developing. The chapter

closes suggesting potential developments, including personalization through AI, development of mobile applications, multilingual support, real-time connection tools and advanced analytics dashboards, thus increasing further the solution's long-term potential and scalability. The chapter 9 present a coherent, well-documented and extremely readable report on all aspects of how the project was conceived, research conducted, developed and delivered as well as its impact in potentially reframing digital education.

## Chapter 2

### LITERATURE REVIEW

The design of the proposed system is backed by a review of existing web learning systems, e-learning tools and technology-enhanced platforms in order to capture theoretical concepts and practical developments related to this work. It reviews researches/ journal articles/ and conference papers that discuss on line learning environments, ICT-based training, cognitive skills development tools, interactive simulations and blended teaching methods. Focusing on the methods used, technological affordances and achieved results in existing research, this chapter seeks to advance our understanding of various dimensions which have been considered dominant and/or emergent themes within digital education.

Cook (2007) offers one of the first rigorous analyses of web-based learning (WBL), as seen through the lens of medical education, and its implications for digital pedagogy. The article examines WBL's (critical) theoretical underpinning, the learning behaviours that it fosters, and its practical implications in early digital classrooms. Cook highlights that WBL greatly lowers geographical boundaries, opens access to good learning resources and enables flexible approaches to independent study. But the study also highlights significant limitations, such as expensive set-ups, potential social isolation and variations in online teaching standards. In terms of methodology, the review collates evidence as results are mixed with respect to whether WBL is more effective in comparison with traditional methods. Cook presents several paradoxes, including that while WBL improves access, it does not automatically deliver better learning unless designed appropriately. One of the significant areas of research shortcoming is the absence of extensive-scale, longitudinal empirical works on WBL effects.

Vlachopoulos and Makri (2017) systematically review the effect of digital games and simulations on learning in higher education. The investigators review multiple empirical studies empirically exploring cognitive, emotional and behavioural effects of game instruction. Their results indicate that the use of interactive simulations has clear advantages in problem-solving performance, motivation and conceptual understanding by means of experience.

The review also reveals that gamification motivate for persistence and engagement through incorporating the challenge and feedback loops.

Nevertheless, the study recognises methodological heterogeneity between existing investigations such as small sample size, not using uniform assessment tools and relying on short longitudinal observations. The key weaknesses that this article has identified are the lack of standard design frameworks and the danger of entertainment taking priority over learning aims. Recommendations include building domain-specific game models, in designing an empirical evaluation system, and that the games are fully aligned with curriculum. The review concludes that even if the game-based learning shows potential it needs better practices for its applications and stronger evidence of impact to be widely spread.

Bokolo et al. (2020) release a meta-analysis of the adoption of hybrid or blended learning system combining face-to-face instructions with online digital resources. In this study, knowledge from a number of fields is synthesized to determine technological, organisational, behavioural and policy-based drivers for the adoption. A significant contribution of the paper is its multi-level/partitioning analysis to user acceptance, that indicates the prominence of perceived usefulness, digital literacy, infrastructure readiness and institutional support. The results reveal that flexible and student-engaged learning significantly improves when using BL properly structured. Yet, the authors reveal deficiencies toward institutional readiness with limited administrative methods, insufficient education and non-uniform evaluation techniques. However, limitations involve consideration of too little cultural factors and reliance on self-report data. Future research, the authors propose, should examine government-facilitated implementation models, training of teachers based on best practices and better ways to monitor learning outcomes in order to enhance the integration of blended systems.

Kefalis, C. and J. Drigas (2019) take us into the fast-growing area of web-based application in STEM education looking at how online tools can be used to foster inquiry-based, collaborative, and experiential learning. The authors In this chapter we introduce emerging trends like virtual labs, simulation-based experiments, and AI-tutoring systems. Interactive modelling tools and simulation software are particularly useful for understanding abstract concepts.

But the paper notes weaknesses, as well, including a lack of incorporation of higher order cognitive skills and over-reliance on quiz-like formats that are not credible assessments of deeper understanding. In addition, the authors discuss limitations of adaptive personalisation, reporting that most systems continue to use a one size fit all approach.

Contradictions also arise between studies supporting strong STEM learning benefits and those where little or no improvement is demonstrated which have been attributed to poor instructional design. Proposed Improvements These proposed changes consist of the enhancement of real-time analysis, integration with software that allows cooperative learning and design and development of more extensive STEM ecosystems, allowing computational thinking to be used in critical problem solving and generation of ideas.

Driga and Karyotaki (2016) study the way in which ICT-based constructivist learning tools can help develop metacognitive and problem solving skills. Their approach is based on the assumption that interactive digital environments maintain self-regulated learning, where learners explore problems, reflect upon strategies and make incremental gains in their reasoning skills. The results demonstrate that such tools can have a strong impact on cognitive flexibility and higher-level reasoning, if they are adequately scaffolded. However, existing ICT tools examined for the study simply engage learners (students or teachers) in practising isolated skills without addressing authentic problem solving and are therefore considered to be a waste of time as they emerge unsuitable options. One of the main limitations found is there are no rich and open-ended learning environments to support deep inquiry. The authors suggest creating dynamic feedback, multi-modal platforms, enhancing teacher training and integrating artificial intelligence for individualized learning paths.

Karyotaki and Drigas (2015) provide a review of ICT tools created to support cognitive enhancement, with emphasis on attention, memory, and executive functioning. They survey AI based applications, adaptive learning systems and gamified cognitive tests. The authors claim a much potential for the application of computer diagnostics to identify learning problems, monitor cognitive development and enhance mental abilities with repeated cognitive tasks adaptively adjusted.

Yet they also highlight low uptake of these systems in practice, as a result of poor educator training and AI tool scepticism, lack of mainstream curriculum integration. The main deficiencies concern the absence of longitudinal studies and a poor cross-cultural validation. The findings hint at enhancements including the development of user-friendly dashboards, enhancing privacy/security settings and holistic metrics that include cognitive, emotional and behavioural analytics for a stronger evaluation.

Kremer and Mehta (2020) concentrate on educational web-based interactive tools in engineering and the impact of real-time feedback, simulation-based tasks, peer supported challenges on technical skill development. The paper describes a system in which learners solve problems and obtain immediate feedback so that they are able to revise the misconceptions.

The outcomes from empirical studies have shown that students' understanding of concepts has been enhanced, and their analytical thinking has been strengthened, making them more confident engineering students. Inconsistent observations arise between studies promoting full robotisation and those that stress the indispensable role of human coaching. The authors advise incorporating AI-oriented tutoring, better mobile support and group engineering tasks that resemble unpredictable real-life professional contexts.

Sun et al. (2015) focus a controlled experiment on web-based peer-assessment systems influence in terms of student engagement and performance. Their research examines a platform in which students read and respond to one another's work using structured, rubric-based feedback. Findings indicate that peer evaluation encourages responsibility and engenders critical thought patterns, as well as advances writing/analytical abilities. The research also found enhancements in the quality of formative assessment and in student motivation. But engaging students is not a sufficiently reliable metric because interest levels can vary so much from individual to individual and field to field. Other limitations are the scale-associated difficulties and possible bias of peer ratings. The authors also suggest the use of peer, individual and automated feedback for an integrated assessment policy.

Cho et al. (2017) provide an overview of the use of podcasts as adjuncts to medical education, emphasising the ease with which they can be consumed, accessibly and high levels of learner acceptance. Their research has revealed that audio learning accommodates adaptable, on-the-go training and engages students in mastering challenging medical topics. Podcasts can be listened to again and again, they help with retention and suit varied speeds of learning. Issues are identified as the absence of interactives, formative assessment integration and minimal exposure to teaching practical skills on-site. Here again there are conflicts about how dependent on learners we want them to be - some researchers laud self-directed audio learning; others issue cautionary notes, predicting that passive consumption may discourage critical analysis. The authors suggest the development of podcasts supplemented with quizzes, graphics and blended modules mixing audio learning and simulation.

Meloughlin, L., & Lee, B. (2010) explore Web 2.0 technologies for the support of personalised collaborative and social learning environments. Their analysis highlights user-generated content, peer-to-peer sharing and distributed co-construction of knowledge as key characteristics of Web 2.0 practice. The authors emphasize that social media allow students to engage in learning as active participants, constructing knowledge and engaging in authentic conversations. Their examination suggests strong opportunities to enhance autonomy, communication and digital literacy. but, they acknowledge several difficulties including lack of scaffolding, varying teacher preparedness and student participation.

Table 2.1 Summary of Literature reviews

| Author Name(s)                  | Article Title, Published year   | Methods                                     | Key Features (Summary)   | Merits (Advantages)  | Demerits (Limitations)   |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Cook (2007)                     | Web-based learning: pros, cons and controversies, 2007  | Examines WBL pros and cons                  | WBL used in medical education; overcomes geographic/time barriers                                      | Overcomes geographic/time barriers; introduces novel methods               | High costs, social isolation; lack of effective learning principles in many programs |
| Vlachopoulos & Makri (2017)     | The effect of games and simulations on higher education: a systematic literature review, 2017   | Systematic literature review                | Games/simulations positively impact cognitive, behavioral, and affective outcomes                      | Positively impacts multiple learning outcomes (cognitive, affective)       | Methodological study variation; often lacks long-term efficacy data                  |
| Bokolo et al. (2020)            | Blended Learning Adoption and Implementation in Higher Education: A Theoretical and Systematic Review, 2020                             | Meta-analysis on adoption factors           | Integrates face-to-face and web-based activities   | Focuses on factors influencing successful blended learning adoption        | Neglects administration/implementation; adoption often ad hoc                        |
| Kefalas & Drigas (2019)         | Web Based and Online Applications in STEM Education, 2019   | Overview of recent trends                   | STEM apps promoting collaborative, real-life learning using connectivity                               | Reviews trends in web-based STEM education applications                    | Limited focus on integrating cognitive skill games; content often quiz-oriented      |
| Drigas & Karyotaki (2016)       | Online and other ICT-based Training Tools for Problem-solving Skills, 2016  | Discusses constructivist-based environments | Interactive, self-managed problem-solving promotes meta-cognitive development through social knowledge | Promotes cognitive/metacognitive development through social knowledge      | Need better design for real-world adaptable, ill-defined environments                |
| Karyotaki & Drigas (2015)       | Online and other ICT Applications for Cognitive Training and Assessment, 2015   | Reviews ICT tools                           | ICT tools with AI-enhanced adaptability for attention, memory, executive functions                     | Emphasizes AI adaptability, interactivity, and motivation in learning      | Lack of widespread educator adoption; limited holistic integration                   |
| Kremer & Mehta (2020)           | Interactive Problem Solving For Mechanical Engineering On The World Wide Web, 2020  | Describes a web-based environment           | Problem-solving for engineering, uses apples with intelligent tutoring features                        | Provides instant formative feedback and active learning                    | Web-based interactivity lags PC-based; technical limits with mathematical input      |
| Sun et al. (2015)               | Peer Assessment Enhances Student Learning: The Results of a Matched Randomized Crossover Experiment in a College Statistics Class, 2015 | Matched randomized crossover experiment     | Web-based peer assessment provides personalized feedback at scale                                      | Provides personalized feedback; improves student achievement significantly | Relies on student motivation; scalability varies by discipline/size                  |
| Cho, Cosimini & Espinoza (2017) | Podcasting in medical education: a review of the literature, 2017   | Review of educational podcasts              | Shows high feasibility and acceptance among learners   | High feasibility and acceptance; focuses on learner engagement             | Limited evidence on efficacy; need rigorous studies measuring behavioral change      |
| McLoughlin & Lee (2010)         | Personalised and self-regulated learning in the Web 2.0 era: International exemplars of innovative pedagogy using social software, 2010 | Discusses Web 2.0 tools                     | Web 2.0 tools enable autonomy, collaboration, and engagement   | Enables learner autonomy, collaboration, and personalization               | Effective personalization requires scaffolding; challenges in educator adoption      |

After reviewing the selected literature, we found several common gaps which restrict the maximal potential of online learning systems, digital tools and technology-enhanced education. Although the above studies provide new insights into digital pedagogy, interactivity, cognitive training and blended learning, certain aspects require further research. These are points that have potential for further investigation and development.

One of the most pronounced limitations concerns the paucity of longitudinal and large scale empirical research. Several studies, e.g., Cook (2007), Vlachopoulos & Makri (2017) and Cho et al. (2017), highlight promising results although they are heavily reliant on small sample sizes, short-term interventions or setting-specific applications.

This constraint has the consequence of limiting the extent to which any conclusions can be generalised to other educational contexts. Subsequent research should also conduct large,

multi-institutional and long-term studies to assess maintained behavioural change, retention of adoption gains, as well as learner adaptation to digital systems over time.

Another common exclusion are varying evaluation models and metrics of learning. Many studies emphasize that current tools (games, simulations and web or cognitive applications) suffer from a lack of standardized assessment processes. Research also remains in designing coherent domain specific measurement frameworks that are able to serve as reliable indicator of conceptual understanding, high-order thinking capacity, cooperative behaviour, and learner engagement.

One of the recurring problems is the lack of integration between adaptive and personalised learning approaches. Web-based STEM tools, ICT cognitive systems, and interactive engineering platforms are based on cookie cutter models that do not address the specific learning needs of an independent learner. There is huge potential for intelligent tutoring system, behavioural analytics engine and personalized feedback model development that dynamically modifies content in real time.

There is also clear a lack with regard to interactivity, engagement design and User experience (UX). A number of the examined tools lack active teaching materials, hands-on activity, or collaborative aspects. Examine new models of interaction – immersive simulators, AR/VR worlds, gamified assessments and self-driven learning communities – to make the learning experience more engaging and help deepen comprehension. Research on motivation, cognitive load, accessibility, and intuitive interface design in the UX domain can also be applied to improved platform design.

Additional research possibilities include scalability and performance issues that existing systems face. Research similar to that of Sun et al. (2015) and Kremer & Mehta (2020), underscore the technical difficulties linked to device compatibility, network reliance, and system saturation at times of maximum usage. Lightweight architectures, cloud-based systems, microservices and offline-ready designs can largely contribute to scalability and learner access in a variety of regions.

A second large barrier concerns ethical, privacy and security issues of digital learning environments. While numerous platforms gather behavioural and achievement data, most do not sufficiently cover issues around data ethics, algorithmic fairness or responsible AI. In the era of analytics and learner-adaptive engines, there is a research gap that needs to be filled on secure model for dealing with student data, models for transparent AI algorithms being used, protocol on students consent to use their data or test them and ethical guideline on deployment of EDTECH.

Finally, previous research suggests a lack of attention related to the integration of metadogical principles and process in authentic problem-solving environments. Some ICT applications scaffold discrete cognitive skills, but lack open-ended or authentic learning environments modelled on ill-structured life problems.

## Chapter 3

# METHODOLOGY

The methodology chapter describes the systematic process adopted for the development and evaluation of the Web learning application. It describes the software development methodology employed, the process of developing user requirements into a working system, and methods for validating that its functionality is correct, reliable, and user-friendly. The sections also indicate how each phase of development was planned, carried out and validated following the V-Model framework. The method demonstrates how the outline processes were performed, providing a transparent view of project evolution from initial development to final project implementation.

### 3.1 V-Model

V-Model (Verification and Validation Model) The V-Model is a unique, linear development methodology used during a software development life cycle (SDLC). For this task every requirement should have a distinct design specification as well as an associated validation procedure Design and Implementation of V-MODEL.A) v model for establishing the web based learning application that is combining notes, quizzes and games which address cognitive skills gives a structured framework where it guarantee each requirement has an explicit design specification supported by appropriate validation procedure. This disciplined method is instrumental in ensuring quality, and traceability at all stages of the development process.

- User Requirements (Requirement Analysis):

At the first level of the V Model, the system makes collection and analysis about user requirements to know what teachers and student wanting from it. The following are the students' requirements, including simple registration, PDF notes access and quizzes that expire after 24 h, ten-minute timed quizzes, auto marking of quiz answers, viewing results and unlocking cognitive skill games according to their score.

- System Design (High-Level Design):

Once you understand the requirements of the user, then you come to systems architecture early design - it's talking about your overall application structure.

At this point, the system has evolved to be conceived of consisting two primary user interfaces; the student dashboard and teacher dashboard. It's also where it will be shown how users will go through the application, what each feature refers to and how we are going to merge elements such as quiz management, result observing or note downloading. Furthermore, the high level design helps to explain what is happening on backend side and how it works to save the user data, quiz records, results and uploaded files. It is so that the system operates as whole and maintains a consistent user experience.

- **Architectural Design (Technical Blueprint):**

Architectural Design Phase In the architectural design phase, you refine your high-level design with a detailed technical blue print. In this phase, system is arranged with the multi-tier architecture where presentation layer, application logic and back-end storage are working independently. The user interface shown to students and teachers is managed by the presentation layer. The application layer handles functionalities like quiz timings, scores of an author for her/his quizzes, uploading of PDFs and unlocking of games. This stage also encompasses security features including password protection, limited teacher control and ability to remotely set data access restrictions

- **Module Design (Low-Level Design):**

The next step is detail designing of each of the modules into which the system specifications are divided. It discusses various modules namely, registration module, login system, quiz creation and management functionalities, quiz timer, automated evaluation process, notes upload module, game unlocking criteria for result display mechanism. The internal behaviour, input and output relations and the interaction among modules of each one are understandable. This phase is important, since it transforms an abstract design into a tangible, possible solution.

- **Unit Testing:**

It is testing of the individual module that was developed from the low level design. For instance, a registration module is tested to see if it registers the users' details correctly, while the quiz timer is observed for correct countdown and game unlock logic verified that it responds appropriately to different score inputs. This development period verifies that each piece functions perfectly before being unified with other programs, allowing for less chance of mistakes and higher system dependability.

- **Integration Testing:**

After all modules have been unit tested these are integrated and tested as one to verify the smooth interoperability of them. In this phase, we look at our system to ensure that a quiz is displayed correctly (as created by the teacher) on the students' dashboard; that student submissions results appropriately flow into the evaluation engine and that results produced are tied correctly to game unlocking functionality. Integration testing guarantees that the independently developed V-parts on the left side talk well to each other and play their roles seamlessly in the larger whole-of-V.

- **System Testing:**

System testing is performed on the end-to-end functioning system. This is when the app is tested to make sure it does everything needed and that all of the pieces interact as they should. The registration flow of the system is tested to ensure it's accurate.

Quiz expiry after 24 hours - Timer performance for timing each question .File download speed and accuracy check. User navigations through out the site are as expected  
Results data is accurate enough on summary and results pages in terms of displayed content, using less values or greater than needed, form styles not taking effect such as grid-template indents.

- **User Acceptance Testing (UAT):**

The last stage to the right of the V consists on validating by real users, usually students and teachers, that confirm if the application conforms with his needs. "Students check how user-friendly dashboards are, they try playing quizzes and downloading notes while checking out whether the system is intuitive as well as engaging. UAT secures that the system serves its purpose in the requirements phase and is acceptable to end-users in terms of functionality.

The employment of the V-Model for this web application guarantees a well-organized and traceable development process, in which every requirement is paired with one validation activity. This organized process improves the robustness of the application, brings clarity in all phases of development and a valuable final product conducive to the successful use of academic resources, evaluations and cognitive games. Ultimately the V-Model supports the establishment of an efficient and user-friendly learning environment which satisfies both student and teacher needs.




Fig 3.1 The V model methodology

### 3.2 Devops Methodology

The methodology discusses the DevOps process, emphasizing continuous integration, continuous delivery and smooth transition from development to deployment in developing the web-based learning application. DevOps allows for quick iteration and a high level of testing—so any single feature, from quizzes to unlocking the game, could be developed quickly. This chapter describes how automated builds, version control, deployment pipelines, and feedback loops helped to shape the system during development.

In bringing development and operations together, the approach creates a dependable, scalable and user-focused learning environment.



Fig 3.2 The Devops methodology

Figure 3.2 explained The DevOps way of working as shown in the image is continuous, integrated development and operation – forming an iterative flow that increases speed, quality and reliability across the whole software life cycle. For this website-based learning tool, the activity sequence starts with collecting requirement of a system in the plan education stage including students registration, teachers dashboard, quiz timing, PDF upload and game unlocking logic. This phase focuses on clean code and modularity in order for the system to grow in a maintainable way as new features are implemented.

After development, the Build stage is responsible for building the components created below and combine them into a runnable application. Deploying With developers pushing new updates to the system many times a day, changes may accidentally break features like notes uploading, timer scripts or login security – which is where continuous integration tools come in: they automatically merge any new code with the working system. The build is directly followed by the Test step, to test both automatically and manually that the app returns quizzes evaluation correctly, exactly 24 hours after the quiz was taken, also we simulate attacks of high traffic on web client dashboards and check that the cognitive games unlocking mechanism is still there.

After that, the application progresses to Release. Here, the version of the system being tested is prepared for deployment and authorised to go into production. Release management makes certain that updates – a new quiz format or better result tracking, perhaps an additional game –

arrive in good order without disrupting the current use by students and teachers. The next stage, Deploy, refers to the act of deploying that update to the server/hosting platform.

Following deployment, the system moves to the Operate stage in which it is fully available for use. Students access quizzes, download notes, see progress and unlock games, while teacher upload pdfs, write new quizzes and check performance. In this operation phase, the stability, responsiveness and user experience of the system will be monitored closely. The next logical step is then the Monitor phase: here, we observe system behaviour in real-time analytics and performance metrics to follow errors, response times, as well as sign-in failure impressions, timed quizzes inaccuracies or slow note delivery. The monitoring information is critical to the assessment of user satisfaction and system availability.

## Chapter 4

# PROJECT MANAGEMENT

### 4.1 Project Timeline

The work was divided among four months each dedicated to a particular type of web-based learning application, enabling the complete development. The formatted breakdown ensured coherence, controlled development, and a seamless move from one phase to the next." The team could focus on manageable parts of the system, because each month had its clear goals.

- **Month 1( July 2025) : Registration Module & Understanding of Requirement**

The user requirements were studied and the fundamentals of the project had been established in the first month. The team focused on building out how students and teachers would use the platform, and locked in the underlying structure of the app. In this month they created the part of Registration Page for both users type was implemented and designed in HTML and CSS. This involved developing individual forms for students and teachers, introducing appropriate input fields and producing clear navigation to guide the user through. This early work framed the system's entry and paved the way for the development of the dashboards.

- **Month 2 (August 2025): Dashboard Designing Students and Teachers**

Their design and development took place during the second month. These are the primary action centres for both types of users. The Student Dashboard had areas for quizzes, notes, results pages and game play access, whereas the Teacher Dashboard was served with note upload capabilities as well quiz creation pages. UI was polished for a more accessible navigation, and environment layouts were enhanced to make the world plain and friendly. Using the dashboards as a guide, we were able to finish all of the major sections of our platform and get structural sites added before beginning any site-specific functional logic.

- **Month 3 (September 2025): Quiz Logic, Adding Notes & Game Unlock Logic**

The third month was about functional implementation via JavaScript. For instance quiz grading, enforcing time limit, 24 hour accessibility to the quiz and how points are calculated were all meticulously scripted. This month we also worked on the integration of Notes Module

that allows teachers to upload content and students can download PDFs directly. Furthermore, a original scoring game unlocking system was created where students could unlock certain cognitive games based on their score. May This was the month where this project started becoming useful, adding interactivity and dynamic behaviour to the interface.

#### • Month 4 (October, 2025): Testing & Debugging And Final Fine Tuning

The last month was to improve the website, and make sure all modules functioned smoothly. Testing was undertaken to identify and resolve any problems related to page navigation, timer operation, game unlocking, visual appearance and user experience. Fixed bugs that were found during the test Add features to improve ease of use. The month ended up with final documentations for a nice stable end submission.

### 4.2 Appropriateness of the Project Schedule

The project schedule works well with the type and size of system as it employs a step-by-step, phased development methodology. The timeline smooths the effort so you only deal with one core thing each month rather than freaking the team out and/or rushing an implementation. The requirements understanding and registration setup at the start provided a good foundation and allowed for streamlined and organized dashboard development in the second month. Now with dashboards in place, it was realistic and expedient to develop cool JavaScript-driven interactions like quizzes or game unlocking into our product by the third month. Lastly, one month of testing and adjustment at the end also ensured that the system was stable, usable and friendly before submission. The timeline between the deadlines is consistent with what schools are accustomed to, which also includes time for revisions and a logical flow of 'planning to completion.'

### 4.3 Timeline Visualization

The timeline for the project is shown in Gantt chart format to provide a clear view of the timing of each task over a 4 month duration. The tasks duration are represented by horizontal color-coded bars and their links with the FWK modules using arrows. This kind of visualization gives everyone a clear picture of the project's progress, so they can easily understand how one task

intersects (or perhaps depends on) the next. A Gantt chart makes it easy to track the flow of development and check that all phases are in line with when they should be according to the schedule. The flow in form of a journey helps to judge the effectiveness of the workflow and validate that it was developed in a methodical way.




Fig 4.1 Gantt Chart

A schedule Gantt charts shows the whole development period of the project. Throughout this period the chart betrays how in orderly sequence all major parts of the application were conceived, planned and carried out between July 2025 – October 2025. Each task is presented as a coloured horizontal bar indicating both duration and execution order.

The chart also contains dependency arrows to distinguish that each phase is initiated following the completion of the preceding one. This structured diagram now makes it easy to see the way the project evolved over time from planning, through development, and finally to testing.

First major task being the Development of the Registration Page that would occur from July 14, 2025 to July 17, 2025. This part is indicated by an orange bar and it starts the project. The registration module is very critical as it provides a login and also role-based access for teachers

and students. Getting that behind us early enabled establishing workflows of both types of users before starting on dashboard dev.

And then next up in the timeline is when they act on that feedback—here we can see the days between 7/17 and 8/03, where we developed out the Student Dashboard (shown by yellow). This dashboard is the basis of students' interaction, whereby students can access quiz resources, supports materials, scores and game modules. The positioning of this task right after registration reveal its reliance on the user identification and access control.

Then, the time taken to develop the Teacher Dashboard from 3 August to 17 August (in green) is depicted. This is like a teachers portal, they can upload the notes, quizzes and see their student's records. Putting the student dashboard at the end ensures that both dashboards are using a similar design approach, and that they all share a common structural base. It's also seems to follow, it makes sense that there's a clear path and teacher uploads and quiz creation can't help but influence what students will encounter subsequently.

The Gantt chart next illustrates the Upload of PDF Notes module with a duration from August 17 to September 17 and is indicated by a cyan bar. This lengthy task is another example of why it's so important to create a solid and reliable system for teachers to upload notes, and annotation, you can see were some strengths they tried to leverage and an easy user experience for students. This component also required thoughtful integration with both dashboards, so this is another reason for its long development time.

Next up, we have the Games Integration (blue), which is from September 17 till October 5. This add-on introduces interactive learning to the platform, opening cognitive skill games for students based on their performance on quizzes. Its placement after the quiz and notes modules makes sense, as much of its game unlocking mechanism is dependent on quiz scores and dashboard functionality.

The last phase is Testing and it will take place between October 5 until October 31. This phase, depicted in magenta, guarantees that the modules developed to date operate properly. This includes testing of quiz timers, file access, dashboard navigation and verifying unlocking of the cognitive games. It's independent nature at end indicates industry practice to have testing begin only after all the tasks associated with development are over.

The Gantt chart as a whole gives a clear, structured and visual intuitive interface of the development process in general. It depicts the scheduling of tasks, the interrelatedness between modules and time that needs to be devoted to each piece in a realistic manner.

## Chapter 5

### ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

#### 5.1 Requirements

The system requirements describe the overall objectives, intended behaviour, and anticipated performance of the web-based learning application called "A Creative Web-Based Learning Application Combining Notes, Quizzes and Cognitive Skill Games". This requirement analysis is important in the sense that: it showcases what we would expect the system to accomplish; how is it expected to work; under which limitations are we bound to carry on with its operations and also it shows us what resources will be spent for a unflawed operation. The project intends to offer an interactive platform wherein teachers can upload notes, take up quizzes and track the performance of students while students can view study material, attempt time-based quizzes and unlock mind games according to their scores. In this article, the system requirements to fulfil these challenges are recognized and discussed.

- Identify Initial Conditions:

The initial states are the states of the system before any user interaction begins. For this online learning platform, the system starts with an empty database and does not have any registered users, notes or quiz uploaded at the outset. Initially, We need the system to load the home page which permits new users to sign up as a teacher or learner. Before the addition of any quizzes, note and interactive modules all this are in readiness to having data from teachers, user credential validation and save information in secure manner.

- Determine Input Parameters:

Input variables are any data that goes into the system from a user. The students shall provide following details as input parameters to register for the application: full name, roll number, class, email ID, mobile number, school name and password. To teachers, the inputs are name, email ID, mobile number and highest qualification etc. school name as password.

Other inputs are teacher generated quizzes (i.e questions, choices and answers). Students produce input when taking quizzes, choosing answers, submitting responses, engaging with notes or games.

- **System Outcomes:**

The system outputs concern what the system yields after the input parameters are processed. Outcomes The output will be the successful registration of the users, standard authentication and login, a teacher and student dashboard, storing and creating quizzes along with the results of the students' quizzes. Yet another salient result is the automatic testing of quizzes based on teacher-provided answers. Performance-dependent unlocking of cognitive games is a second key result. The number of games a student can get to is determined based on the score they got in a quiz. Educators get results such as student performance reports and quiz analytics. Students receive PDF notes, learning resources and dashboards that track their progress.

- **Formulate Relations:**

Defining relations is to define how parts of the system interacts. Various fundamental relations are derived in this project. Students and teachers are connected through teacher-posted and student-attempted quizzes. The quiz scores go hand-in-hand with gaming unlock system which integrates academic scoring with cognitive enhancement tools. Lecture notes are associated with instructors, and students are connected to that note via access rights.

- **Identify System Constraints:**

System constraints are the restrictions under which the system must function. These measures include restricting teachers to uploading no more than 10 quiz questions per day for each subject. Students take quizzes in 10 min. time blocks, and quizzes should only be available for 24 hours.

- **Data Collection Requirements:**

The service needs to gather appropriate information for user registration, quiz material, attempts at quizzes, files uploaded as notes and user engagement with games. User activity data including login time, exam score and resources accessed also need to be monitored for reporting at a granular level.

- **Data Analysis Requirements:**

The service needs to provide quizzes scores, learning history as well as teacher-authored content. Data analysis is necessary to grant access levels for games, create student-progress reports and assist teachers in understanding learning gaps.

- System Management Requirements:

System management refers to the tasks of adding/removing user roles, managing uploaded contents, editing availability timing for quizzes and checking server database. The admins would quite possibly require a set of tools for tweaking accounts, resetting passwords or checking activity.

- Security Requirements:

Security is a concern such as password encryption, secure data transfer, user role based access and unauthorized access. The solution has to make it impossible to cheat the quiz results and answers and content uploaded.

- User Interface Requirements:

User interface should be neat, intuitive and simple to use for students as well as teachers. Dashboards should look simple and display quizzes, notes, results and games unlocked to the card. You should be able to easily move around on the site and it is screen responsive.

Table 5.1 Summarizing requirements

|           |  |
|-----------|--|
| Purpose   | A web-hosted, computer-based learning tool for completing notes, quizzes, and cognitive skill games, in addition to teachers developing/assigning/testing content.   |
| Behaviour | <p>The system will operate with two types of users: Student and Teacher.</p> <p>Student Behaviour: Students Login or Register, View Courses, Add/View Notes, Take Quiz and view score and even play cognitive games to enhance learning abilities.</p> <p>Teacher Activity: Maybe teachers will be able to register/login, upload lesson plans, create quizzes and monitor the student's profile but would not want to manage war games or coursework.</p> |

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>System Management</b>      | The platform should facilitate centralized management of users, courses, questions/tournaments game modules, performance statistics and note-keeping capabilities. The admin/teacher needs to be given the ability upgrade content, keep track of user activity and ensure system uniformity. |
| <b>Data Analysis</b>          | The system is expected to process quiz results and generate student performance reports, learning trajectory progress / game based feedback and insights for teacher (to take more informed academic decisions).  |
| <b>Application Deployment</b> | The application should be deployed in the network where web browser based clients on various devices can access, and it should be able to handle multiple simultaneous users. Deployment must support scaling out with new modules in the future.   |
| <b>Security</b>               | The design must secure authentication, protect the data in which users from unauthorized access, use notes and quiz content safely and securely over their storage and keep student academics record.   |

## 5.2 Block diagram




Fig 5.1 Functional block diagram

The figure 5.1 is aesthetically pleasing with a beautiful block diagram for EduBoard Web-Based Learning System, and created in a warm classroom colour theme to reflect school

learning environment. The title appears at the top on a chalkboard, suggesting that we are in a virtual classroom.

The diagram starts just below it with the Registration part, meaning that users can log in as students or as teachers. This brings us to the Login System, which makes it possible for our signed up users to come onto the site using their email and password.

Each section of the diagram starts from the login block and splits into two different routes: The Student Dashboard and Teacher Dashboard illustrated using classroom objects, with characters sitting at desks.

The Student Dashboard focuses on different options such as viewing PDF notes, taking quizzes, reviewing quiz grades, and playing educational games. These are the parts of which children's educational experience is made.

On the other side, the Teacher Dashboard describes what tools that Teachers have access to such as uploading Notes, write extra study material, creating Quizzes and viewing student scores. This part focuses on the teacher's role in controlling content and monitoring progress.

### 5.3 System Flow chart




Fig 5.2 System flow chart

The Fig. 5.2 shows the full process of an implemented creative learning web application that consists of: registration, login, personal dashboard, quizzes and notes as well as cognitive

skill games. Starting from the start, Registration is done by users choosing one of Student Register or Teacher Register. Upon registration, all users reach the platform through their own safety Login System with mail and password.

Upon successful login, the flow branches into two major modules: the Student Dashboard and Teacher Dashboard.

Students can see PDF notes that teachers uploaded, take quizzes with time limits set by teachers, review quiz results and play learning games based on the grades of their quizzes. From here, students are taken to the Games page where there are a selection of cognitive skill games to choose from like Memory, Number Grid, Sequence Recall and Word Match as well as Sudoku.

On the teacher side, the Teacher Dashboard also gives teachers the ability to upload their PDF notes, takes written notes so they can leave instructions for substitutes, and add a quiz with a keyed in correct answer which questers work out on student views of their docs.

The figure concludes with the illustration of how all learning and playing processes are brought together in a coherent interactive, game-based learning setting for both students and teachers.

## Chapter 6

# SOFTWARE AND SIMULATIONS

### 6.1 Software developments

Technically, this project is made with three key front-end technologies HTML, CSS and local Storage(basic Javascript). Combined, these technologies make up the entire architecture and design of the application, including its data functionality.

All pages in the system are designed with the help of HTML (Hyper Text Markup Language). It designs the student and teacher registration form, login page, dashboard summary, quiz pages, note access page and game access point. HTML elements like forms, tables, inputs and content sections are used to display information and help users walk through the application.

The design (look and feel) of the interface is done using CSS (Cascading Style Sheets). Every pages colour scheme, layout, spacing, alignment and text is entirely controlled by the CSS. The system is easy to navigate, with dashboards, menus, buttons and cards styled with readability and consistency in mind so that students and teachers alike can use the platform. The CSS allows for a neat and straightforward educational interface on both desktop and mobile.

Local Storage allows critical information to be maintained and managed directly within a browser. This allows the app to store registration data, logins, quiz scores, notes access and game unlocks without an external database. The JavaScript is direct and merely builds on Local Storage—saving, getting your data back, and updating the user's position. For instance, once users register, the user information is saved in Local Storage as key-value pairs. During the user login these values are read from memory to verify. Quiz scores and game unlock levels, are also saved locally for future use.

### 6.2 Simulation

The simulation stage of this creation aims at modeling and examining the performance of the suggested web enabled learning application in a simulated digital environment prior to its implementation. Given that the system is programmed with HTML, CSS, and local storage, the simulation is mainly tailored to demonstrate user interaction, interface functionality and movement patterns using tooling which simulates browser real time conditions.

The whole simulation process runs inside the browser since the application is completely front-end based. This means that when the end user opens each module (sign up, login, dashboards + quiz interaction, display of notes and game unlock) everything works as expected.

To start the simulation, the entire interface is run with Live Server on Visual Studio Code. Live Server refreshes the browser when code changes to show the updated UI, form behaviors, layout alignment or any other new page results. This preview is a functional simulation area which you can continue to test with the flow of your system, without requiring a backend server. The HTML pages are opened in various browsers like Chrome, Firefox and Edge to mimic cross-browser compatibility and test if the menus, cards, tables and dashboard components are being rendered properly. CSS responsive grid, flexbox and font scale scenarios are tested at various resolutions - in the built-in device simulator. Using this feature, the system is emulated on desktop, tablets and large mobile displays to ensure all elements align and are readable.

Finally, the overall system performance is facilitated by comparing loading speed, CSS rendering and local storage operation responsiveness. No backend is involved, all calculations being done in the browser, and the web application remains both lightweight to load as well as capable of giving quick real-time.

## Chapter 7

### EVALUATION AND RESULTS

The assessment and evaluation of this web learning application indicates good prospects of its effectiveness, usability, and appropriateness to current pedagogic demand. The system was reviewed with respect to functionality, user experience, usability, performance, security and instructional content. As it stands, the latest build of this app works very well across all its tested functionalities such as registration system for users, login authentication system, quiz creator and time restricted quiz taker, result logger, fetching uploaded notes. The interface is clean and responsive through use of HTML and CSS making the site easy to navigate for both student, teachers. Since there are no server-side components, loading time is reduced to minimum and the overall performance is better, particularly on low end devices that students would use in a pre-Cloud era. What's more, the system preserves academic honesty by holding students to a 10-minute timer as well as only allowing access to quizzes for up to a day following their release.

#### 7.1 EduBoard Welcome Interface




Fig 7.1 EduBoard Welcome Page

As shown in Figure 7. 1 that is Welcome interface of the EduBoard web-based e-Learning platform. The EduBoard converts conventional education to an interesting and interactive digital learning experience. The interface is clearly designed to be used, with a sense of utilitarianism that doesn't sacrifice aesthetics. On the left of the screen, with a soothing blue gradient is the title "Welcome to EduBoard" and detailed descriptions which list out all the benefits for students and teachers. You show how students can have fun learning through game-based quizzes and how you're able to keep notes, quizzes content, and student engagement all in one spot organized.

The right form, which is located on the white background panel, consists of the buttons labelled as "Register as a Student" and "Register as a Teacher". There is also a "Login" button for already registered users, showcasing easy navigation. At the bottom, a visually distinct blue box shows EduBoard's tagline — "Where knowledge meets fun, and every student's journey becomes extraordinary."

## 7.2 Student Account Registration Module

The screenshot shows the "Student Registration" page. At the top, the title "Student Registration" is displayed in a large, bold, dark font. Below the title, a placeholder text "Fill details." is visible. The form is divided into two columns of input fields:

|                                   |                          |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Full Name                         | Roll Number              |
| <input type="text"/>              | <input type="text"/>     |
| Class (currently studying)        | Email ID                 |
| <input type="text"/> Select class | <input type="text"/>     |
| Mobile Number                     | School Name              |
| <input type="text"/>              | <input type="text"/>     |
| Password                          | Confirm Password         |
| <input type="password"/>          | <input type="password"/> |


At the bottom right of the form area, there are two buttons: "Back" and "Register Student". The "Register Student" button is highlighted with a blue background and white text.

Fig 7.2 Student Registration Page

The figure 7.2 shows the student registration user interface of EduBoard web-based learning platform, which provides a secure and ease of use mechanism for registration of new students. The interface was created with simplicity and ease in mind, thus students can log their details easily to have access to the EduBoard learning environment.

The form is perfectly arranged into two columns which have labelled input fields for key details like Full Name, Roll Number, Class Studying (Class in which you are studying), Email ID, Mobile Number, School Name along with Password and Confirm Password. Students can easily be able to choose their current class level from a dropdown menu. On the bottom of the page you will find two conveniently labeled buttons (“Back” and “Register Student”) which allow users to go back or register students respectively.

### 7.3 Teacher Account Registration Module




The screenshot shows the 'Teacher Registration' page. At the top, the title 'Teacher Registration' is displayed in a large, bold, black font. Below the title, a sub-instruction reads: 'Create teacher account. You will be asked at first login for which class you teach — that ties your uploads and quizzes to that class.' The page is organized into four pairs of input fields, each consisting of a label and a text input box. The first pair is 'Full Name' and 'Email ID'. The second pair is 'Mobile Number' and 'Highest Qualification'. The third pair is 'School Name' and 'Password'. At the bottom right, there are two buttons: a white 'Back' button and a purple 'Register Teacher' button.

Fig 7.3 Teacher Registration Page

The figure 7.3 is the teacher registration interface of EduBoard web-based learning system, which teachers can register themselves for their account and be able to enter a teaching and administrative environment in the system as well (Figure 3). The user interface has been intuitively designed for educators, focused on ease of use and simplicity to deliver a seamless registration experience.

The form is made from labeled input fields that are organized in a two-column structure; users can submit details like Name, Email ID, Mobile Number, Highest Qualification, School Name and Password. Below the form, a brief instruction message clarifies that instructors would choose their class in the first time access, and they must choose it so that any uploaded lectures materials or quizzes will be related to this class properly.

#### 7.4 Secure User Login Interface



The image shows the login interface for the EduBoard web-based learning platform. The page has a light gray background with a white central form area. At the top center, the word "Login" is displayed in a large, bold, black font. Below it, a sub-instruction "Login with your registered email & password" is shown in a smaller, gray font. The form consists of two input fields: "Email" and "Password", each with a corresponding input box. To the right of the "Email" input box is a "Forgot password?" link. Below the "Email" input box is a "Remember me" checkbox. At the bottom left is a green "Login" button, and at the bottom right is a link "Back to role selection".

Fig 7.4 User Login Page

The figure 7.4 shows the login interface of EduBoard web-based learning platform, this is a secure and easy entry point for students as well teachers. This app is designed to be simple, easy to use and functional so you can get your account issues resolved quickly.

The Email and Password are two major input fields in the interface which are used by the users to enter their registered details. Underneath those fields is a green Login button with which users can sign in and retrieve their own personal dashboard. In addition, a “Back to role selection” link located at the bottom right of the page lets users return to the previous page in order to register or select an alternative role.

## 7.5 Login Authentication Confirmation Screen




Fig 7.5 Login Success Notification

The Figure 7.5 shows the Login confirmation phase in the EduBoard platform--a user has been successfully authorized. The interface checks if the user has provided a good authentication token and shows a pop-up alert with “Login successful! Redirecting to dashboard...” This visual clue is important for an improved user experience as it lets your users know that their login was successful, and the system is safely guiding them to their dashboards.

In this figure, the background still represents the main login page and shows a user entered email id in email field and masked password for privacy of data. The confirmation pop-up, located at the top of the page, has white design with a blue “OK” button for confirmation. The interactive alert has been implemented to provide better transparency and sensitivity of the system.

The design successfully strikes a balance between functional and simple, so that the users are able to easily identify what actions they've succeeded in on the system. In summary, Figure 7.5 verifies the seamless and secure authentication mechanism of EduBoard which reflects our belief on supporting usability, security, as well as instant feedback — The key aspects for building a dependable and user-centric learning platform.

## 7.6 Teacher Dashboard Management Panel

The screenshot displays the Teacher Dashboard of the EduBoard web-based e-learning tool. The interface is divided into several sections:

- Create Quiz (Max 10 questions):** A form for creating a quiz. It includes fields for Target Class (dropdown), Subject (dropdown), Teacher Mobile (text input: 0000000000), and Questions area (text area). Below this are two question sections (Q1 and Q2) each with four options and a correct option dropdown. Buttons for "Add Question" and "Post Quiz" are at the bottom.
- Upload Notes (PDF or text):** A section for uploading notes. It includes a "Select class" dropdown, a "Title" input field, a "Text Notes" text area, and a file upload section ("Upload PDF (choose file)" with a "Choose File" button and "No file chosen"). A "Upload Note" button is present.
- Track Results:** A list of student performance data. Each entry shows a student's name, email, subject, score, and timestamp. The entries are:
  - 1. Amna Zulha (amnaczabala0221@gmail.com) — java — 10/10 — 28s — 25/9/2025, 2:08:32 pm
  - 2. Amna Zulha (amnaczabala0221@gmail.com) — eng — 10/10 — 37s — 19/9/2025, 2:40:17 pm
  - 3. Amna Zulha (amnaczabala0221@gmail.com) — python — 9/10 — 22s — 21/9/2025, 1:24:15 pm
  - 4. Amna Zulha (amnaczabala0221@gmail.com) — java — 8/10 — 38s — 25/9/2025, 1:23:53 pm
  - 5. Amna Zulha (amnaczabala0221@gmail.com) — python — 8/10 — 40s — 21/9/2025, 1:24:43 pm
  - 6. Amna Zulha (amnaczabala0221@gmail.com) — eng — 7/10 — 39s — 19/9/2025, 3:07:00 pm
  - 7. Amna Zulha (amnaczabala0221@gmail.com) — python — 7/10 — 47s — 21/9/2025, 1:32:17 pm
  - 8. Amna Zulha (amnaczabala0221@gmail.com) — kan — 2/10 — 7s — 19/9/2025, 2:10:14 pm
- Uploaded Quizzes:** A list of uploaded quizzes with their names, classes, and posting times. The entries are:
  - 1. Iam — Class 6 — Posted 19/9/2025, 2:07:39 pm
  - 2. eng — Class 6 — Posted 19/9/2025, 2:38:28 pm
  - 3. python — Class 6 — Posted 19/9/2025, 12:27:05 pm
  - 4. java — Class 6 — Posted 25/9/2025, 10:23:57 am

Fig 7.6 Teacher Dashboard

The figure 7.6 shows teachers' dashboard of EduBoard web-based e-learning tool which supports the educators to effectively manage quizzes and notes, monitoring students' academic performance etc. This interface offers a unified space that helps make the process of teaching easier and more efficient while increasing classroom engagement with digital tools.

On the left, under Create Quiz, teachers can make quizzes for their classes. Teachers can input the subject's name, choose a class for which to create the quiz and make up to ten questions per test. There are four answer options and a drop-down menu for question section, where

optional correct answer index can be chosen by entering value in the format: 0-3. Two buttons “Add Question” and “Post Quiz” are available for creating the quiz and posting it. Underneath it, the Uploaded Quizzes panel is a log of all prior quizzes uploaded – with time stamps and class info for quick review or editing.

On the right Upload Notes section allows teacher to upload text or Pdf innovation resources, specifying a title and class. Below, the Track Results section shows breakdown of students quiz results by name, email, subject and score with completion time.

## 7.7 Quiz Question Limit Notification Alert




Fig 7.7 Quiz Limit Alert

The figure 7.7 shows the Quiz creation limit alert in the EduBoard Teacher Dashboard Teachers input In the following paragraphs we list some of the approximations and heuristics resulting from field notes collection about user design. You get this warning in a pop-up window: “Maximum 10 questions” when you try to add more than the allowed number of questions to a quiz.

Behind the image is an input form to create a quiz and where the teacher has filled in multiple input rows, each allowing four different answers, plus a dropdown to indicate which of them

is the right one. The alert box, near the top of the page, is white with deservedly blue button to click ‘OK’ on. The pop-up provides clarity and user control, avoiding inadvertent over-entry of information.

This UI is a step towards improving the usability by structuring quiz creation thus achieving uniform results from all quizzes on the platform. It will also allow you to keep quizzes at workable lengths, maintain fair testing, and improve system performance during student attempts.

## 7.8 Student Dashboard and Learning Hub

The screenshot shows the EduBoard Student Dashboard interface. At the top, there's a header bar with the title "EduBoard — Student Dashboard" on the left and a user profile "Amina Zaiba" on the right. The main content area is divided into several sections:

- Available Quizzes:** This section lists five quizzes:
  - kan**: By AMREEN — 8088837116 | Posted: 19/9/2025, 2:07:39 pm  
Status: Closed for attempts Quiz Closed
  - eng**: By AMREEN — 8088837116 | Posted: 19/9/2025, 2:38:26 pm  
Status: Closed for attempts Quiz Closed
  - python**: By AMREEN — 8088837116 | Posted: 21/9/2025, 12:27:05 pm  
Status: Closed for attempts Quiz Closed
  - java**: By AMREEN — 8088837116 | Posted: 25/9/2025, 10:23:56 am  
Status: Closed for attempts Quiz Closed
  - gk**: By AMREEN — 8088837116 | Posted: 10/11/2025, 10:07:41 pm  
Status: Available Attempt
- Notes & Resources:** This section contains two items:
  - kan notes**: By AMREEN — 19/9/2025, 2:07:16 pm [Download PDF](#) hygrugug
  - java**: By AMREEN — 25/9/2025, 10:24:27 am [Download PDF](#) rrtghh
- Unlocked Games:** This section shows a best score of 10 and a link to the games page.
- Your Results:** This section displays a list of 8 quizzes with their scores and times:
  1. java — Score: 10/10 — Time: 28s — 25/9/2025, 2:08:32 pm
  2. eng — Score: 10/10 — Time: 37s — 19/9/2025, 2:40:17 pm
  3. python — Score: 9/10 — Time: 22s — 21/9/2025, 12:27:05 pm
  4. java — Score: 8/10 — Time: 38s — 25/9/2025, 10:23:56 am
  5. python — Score: 8/10 — Time: 48s — 21/9/2025, 12:44:42 pm
  6. eng — Score: 7/10 — Time: 39s — 19/9/2025, 3:07:30 pm
  7. python — Score: 7/10 — Time: 47s — 21/9/2025, 12:32:17 pm
  8. kan — Score: 2/10 — Time: 7s — 19/9/2025, 2:10:14 pm

Fig 7.8 Student Dashboard

The figure 7.8 shows the EduBoard student dashboard, a centralized location for students to access all quizzes, study materials, games and performance dashboards of a quiz-based learning platform deployed as software-as-a-service offering. In the interface, we created a space that maximizes the opportunity for learning in an effective and engaging manner, allowing students to get access to all major features from one screen.

**Available Quizzes:** In the left side, all quizzes that teachers made will be listed here under the title Available Quizzes, with their issue name; teacher's information; time of issuing and

whether attempts are allowed. Expired quizzes are labelled with an “Quiz Closed” notice, whereas live quizzes have a clickable “Attempt” button that students click to take the quiz immediately.

On the right, there are downloadable files uploaded by teachers in PDF format along with time stamps and brief descriptions listed in the Notes & Resources board. Underneath it, the Unlocked Games section showcases a student’s gamified learning accomplishments and displays his or her high score along with access to cognitive games. Underneath the bottom, the Your Results section details quiz-taking and displays subject names, scores, completion times, and dates to give students instant feedback on their progress.

## 7.9 Interactive Quiz Attempt Interface




Fig 7.9 Quiz Attempt Window

The figure 7.9 shows the quiz attempt interface of EduBoard student dashboard with which students interact with the quizzes that have been created by their teachers in an interactive and intuitive manner. The interface shows a quiz entitled “gk — Quiz,” along with some basic information like the teacher’s name, contact and the time of posting. This makes it easier for the students to know the quiz maker and add transparency.

The quiz panel is pop-up overlay which appears on the top of main dashboard, to keep concern only the student. An example of an interface comprises the following question: “What is the color of sky in a clear day?..” and provides MCQs. and “What planet do we live on?” -four options to choose from (with a radio button next to the option) each. It is vertical scroll-format, so students can run through all ten questions easily.

## 7.10 Exam and Auto-Grading Screen




Fig 7.10 Quiz Result Popup

The figure 7.10 shows the quiz submission and result interface of the EduBoard student dashboard, which is screened to students after they finish and submit a quiz. This hands-on alert instantly communicates how well the student is doing and encourages them to engage in learning.

A system-generated pop-up message appears at the top that reads, “Submitted. Your score = 7/10” and “OK” button which enables the student to indicate that they have received the score and continue. This immediate scoring process guarantees a transparent and instant assessment. Dial underneath the quiz, which displays all MC questions side by side, marking with filled-in radio button his/her selected answers.

At the bottom of the quiz-window there are two button-elements “Submit” (blue) and “Close” (red), which allows users to submit or closing the quiz window. The pop-up notification is emphasized via a semi-transparent overlay effect that draws the user’s attention to the score display.

## 7.11 Gamified Learning Module Interface




Fig 7.11 Gamified Learning Dashboard

Figure 7.11 reveals the games interface of the EduBoard web-based learning system that incorporates gamification to increase student motivation and cognitive skills. This section is

unlocked to students after they've finished the quizzes, and games are progressively unlocked based on scores. The app shows you a message telling what the unlocking achievements are – e.g ... score 6 gets one game unlocked, 7 gives you two games, and so on up to 9 or 10 unlocking all five games for the next day.

The first screen has a series of game cards that are displayed in rows and columns along the page, wherein each card represent an individual cognitive skill activity. For this example the games Memory Pairs & Sequence Recall can be played now (as they are unlocked), Word Match, Sudoku and Number Grid are all still locked due to the score being 7.

Every game-card displays a brief explanation — e.g., “Flip matching picture/letter pairs” or, “Remember & repeat sequences,” too with distinct Play or Locked button for user interaction.

Learn more So where am I when I say this? This is my first time to see them in the interface and from EduBoard's novel idea of combining education with entertainment, treats academic performance points with mind engaging brain training games. Figure 7.11 illustrates the key focus of the platform is to encourage students for better academic performance apart from promoting memory, logic and problem-solving skills through play-based learning.

## 7.12 Complete Game Unlock Achievement Screen

EduBoard — Games

Amina Zaiba

Games unlock based on today's quiz score: 6→1 game, 7→2, 8→3, 9/10→all 5 games. After 24 hours, they will lock again until you attempt the new quiz.

|  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| <b>Memory Pairs</b><br>Flip matching picture/letter pairs<br>Today's score: 10<br><a href="#">Play</a> | <b>Sequence Recall</b><br>Remember & repeat sequences<br>Today's score: 10<br><a href="#">Play</a> | <b>Word Match</b><br>Match word pairs quickly<br>Today's score: 10<br><a href="#">Play</a> | <b>Sudoku</b><br>Classic number puzzle challenge<br>Today's score: 10<br><a href="#">Play</a> |
| <b>Number Grid</b><br>Find numbers in order<br>Today's score: 10<br><a href="#">Play</a>               |  |  |   |

Fig 7.12 Full Game Unlock Screen

The figure 7.12 shows the Full game unlock screen; this is the interface in EduBoard platform, it is triggered when a student gets all correct (i.e., quiz score = 10) questions. This is the gamification functionality of EduBoard where good school results give access to fun and cool brain tuning games intended to improve memory, logic and problem solving ability.

A brief instructional message at the top of the page introducing game unlock criteria: “Scores from 6 – 10 will unlock games incrementally; and all five games can be unlocked for high performing students”. The user is presented with a interface consisting of five separate game cards; Memory Pairs, Sequence Recall, Word Match, Sudoku and Number Grid. Each card has a short explanation of the game’s purpose, such as “Flip matching picture/letter pairs” or “Find numbers in order,” as well as the current quiz score (Today’s score: 10) and a blue Play button to start playing.

Minimal and consistent design with crystal-clear layouts which make it so easy to run through. The reward interface also provides good alignment of achievement and reward, which will potentially encourage users to learn better. Figure 7.12 emphasizes EduBoard’s way of enriching active learning through gamified incentives, where knowledge is a pillar, but fun can take students further than they hoped.

### 7.13 Memory Pairs game




Fig 7.13 Memory Pairs game

The figure 7.13 depicts the Memory Pairs game interface of EduBoard gamified learning, a cognitive skill-expanding exercise which aims to sharpen concentration, attention and pattern recognition as well as short-term memory function in student participants. The interface is uncluttered and simple, consisting of a blue grid with tiles each having the icon “?”. on the front. On their turns, players turn over these titles one at a time to expose the icons underneath in an attempt to make pairs.

At the very top you can see the title “Memory Pairs” in big blue letters and below, in English, “Match all pairs!” it, directly leading the user. In this case, I flipped up a few tiles and here you can see some matching icons like the golden sun symbols and the coloured stack cards - that means there's successful matches already happened or still happening. And there is a pretty contrast: the still yellow-side-up tiles against the familiar blue of the rest.

#### 7.14 Sequence Recall Cognitive Skill Game




Fig7.14 Sequence Recall Game

The figure 7.14 depicts the Sequence Recall game user interface of the EduBoard active gaming platform, that help reinforce learners' memory retention, concentration, and cognitive sequencing competences. The game just shows a rudimentary  $3 \times 3$  grid of blue squares flashing momentarily in a sequence and invites the player to observe and then repeat that pattern.

We see “Sequence Recall” at the top in bold blue letters, along with a short set of instructions — “Watch the sequence, then repeat! — which tells the player how to play. A grey “Start” button at the bottom of the word fires the process. One of the tiles is coloured in yellow, to show which number it currently displays. The players will then have to remember the sequence and repeat it by choosing the tiles in correct order.

## 7.15 Word Match Vocabulary Enhancement Game



Fig 7.15Word Match Game

Figure 7.15 shows the Word Match game interface of EduBoard gamified learning system; it improves students' vocabulary, word association and memory recall with an interactive matching synonyms game. The detail The interface is a tidy grid of blue buttons, each bearing a word. The objective of the game is to complete matching synonym pairs from a list of words.

The word “Word Match” is written at the top of the screen in bold blue letters, and directly underneath it, you see this command: “Match synonyms!” and black text for step-by-step instructions on how to play. The grid contains words like quick, happy, cold, dim, wealthy, dark, chilly, clever, joyful, rich, fast and smart. AGING\_PLAY It forces upon players to get their pairs, like (Quick-fast), (Happy-Joyful), (Cold-Chilly), (Wealthy-Rich) and (Clever-smart) and thus they learn the relationships of English words.

The open, balanced design and bright blue colour tiles ensures clear and engaging visual perspectives, won't distract from your viewing process. Not only does this game encourage you to flex your language muscles, but it also gets the mind working extra hard. We also

frontload new words in PowerPoints, and use EduBoard to practice spoken vocabulary, which offers an innovative type of gamification that combines word learning with entertaining digital gameplay.

## 7.16 Sudoku Logic and Problem-Solving Game



Fig 7.16 Sudoku Puzzle Game

The sudoku game interface of the EduBoard gamified learning module is shown in Fig 7.16, which was developed to improve school students logical thinking, patience and concentration during class. A great way to challenge the brains of youngsters, this interactive puzzle game is an exercise that complements academic learning and hones vital reasoning and analytical skills.

"On the very top of it, I see the title "Sudoku" in big bold blue font, next is a drop down for choosing difficulty level with name as "Select difficulty" which has configurations like Easy (20s preview). Near it is a Start button, which initiates the puzzle. The TV screen shows a 9×9 Sudoku board with alternate light blue and white squares for easier visual reference. Certain cells are already filled in with numbers (puzzle clues) that cannot be changed, regardless of a user's input.

To assist in self-checking, a Check Solution button (not in view) allows challenging solutions to be checked for completion/accuracy. The clean design and soothing color scheme as well as the well thought out grid set up provide a peaceful, stress-free setting for gameplay.

### 7.17 Number Grid Attention and Speed Timing Game




Fig 7.17 Number Grid Game

The figure 7.17 shows the Number Grid game screen for the EduBoard gamified learning environment designed to engage student in improving their concentration, sequencing and reflex accuracy through a playful number related activity. It's a game work you must find numbers and click them in ascending order as quickly as possible to train your focus power, also make your visual-motor coordination better.

Above, in bold blue text: "Number Grid." Then instructions: "Select difficulty and click numbers in order!" A drop-down menu with the label "Easy (1–25, 20s preview)" is provided for users to choose difficulty and a button labelled Start starts the game. A  $5 \times 5$  grid of blue tiles is the primary area, with each tile bearing a number ranging from 1 to 25 in random arrangement. The user is to click the buttons in the correct order, 1 through n in a limited time.

It is designed with blue colour tone, and large easy-to-read numbers to make the accessibility colour suitable for any age. Minimal and entertaining layout to make the players concentrate on the game. Figure 7.17 EduBoard's creative implementation of interactive games in digital learning, showing how cognitive drills can help with concentration, speed and presence mind in addition to academic development.

## Chapter 8

# SOCIAL, LEGAL, ETHICAL, SUSTAINABILITY AND SAFETY ASPECTS

The movement is clear in its moral and ethical stand, not just against the immorality of such actions but their illegality as well; for society also distinguishes between helping those who are treated unfairly, much less dishonesty or danger ,and harming them, betraying trust and breaching legality and morality. In the case of this project - a web-based learning environment that includes notes, quizzes and cognitive games - there are several parties involved in looking to safeguard responsible, legal and ethical use. Designers of these systems must ensure that the privacy and integrity of databases is not violated nor abused.

Cheating, falsifying stored data, impersonation or misuse are other factors which has personal and working life consequences. On a personal level, when users do something dishonest it harms their reputation and sabotages the learning outcomes for which they receive credit but may also face disciplinary action, academic penalties or be locked out of USI Connect. Reputationally, unethical behaviour again and again can be a credibility killer which ruins employability and long term reputation. For educators, dishonesty can go against the school's code of conduct, tear down student trust and ruin their reputation. Developers and admins who engage in unethical abuse of the system or the misuse of user data may face legal consequences, actions at the institutional level, even loss of professional certification. In either situation, the project integrity is defiled and each reason for commencing the work seems lost.

An ethical analysis is also relevant to the assessment of illegal conduct. Some actions may be illegal as well as unethical — e.g., unauthorized access, data manipulation, cyberbullying or misuse of student and user information. Ethical analysis aids in questioning the purpose and effects of such behaviour, and thus why it should not occur despite its technical possibility.

The richer rationale, especially where conduct is already illegal, reinforces the case for prohibiting it appealing to the prevention of harm, human dignity, fairness and responsibility.

Ethical reasons drive developers and institutions to build barriers that prevent undesirable behaviour while promoting responsible digital citizenship.

## 8.1 Social Aspects

The social dimensions of this initiative concentrate on the ways in which the online learning platform impacts students, teachers and the wider community. With platform use by quizzes, notes and cognitive games, we let teachers create interactions in the classroom that keep all eyes on them. It eliminates educational resource barriers, so that learners from diverse contexts can have access to study materials anywhere and anytime. This is dossier-encouraging and beneficial for students who don't fit in to traditional learning settings properly. The platform also promotes digital literacy, an essential skill today, while getting users comfortable with organized online learning resources. On a larger scale, this initiative nurtures lifelong learning for all children where there is complete transparency in academic results and open communication with the teachers. Finally, the project has a beneficial societal impact in terms of improving communication and expanding educational accessibility while ensuring equitable learning opportunities for various groups.

Several social benefits are also brought by the project, in terms of accessibility, collaboration and inclusiveness in education. Students will learn at their own pace by taking digital notes, doing practice quizzes and playing cognitive games- thus promoting motivation and engagement. Bridging the learning gap for students who don't have access to physical material, the platform helps achieve equality in education on various socio-economic strata. With children, Benefits to teachers Children face less levels of difficulty when accessing teacher and their work. Middlebury utilises technology to develop digital literacy, a skillset for the readers of this decade.

As much as the project is of benefit, it poses certain social challenges. The increased time students spend with screens, meanwhile, might be reducing face-to-face communication and influence students' interpersonal relationships and emotional growth. The problem of the excessive use of e-learning tools can cause lack of attention span or degeneration if employed improperly. The students who lack personal devices or stable internet cannot participate and may feel left out, leading to a digital divide that contradicts the project's inclusiveness. It also

opens the system to dishonest use by students (during quizzes for example) and students inserting fake data, which can detract from learning.

An illustrative case is the adoption of a comparable digital learning platform in an urban mid-sized school. The website offered online notes, quizzes and interactive activities (like what is provided in this project). The teachers observed a 35% increment in participation of student and enhanced level of performance in formative assessment with continuous practice through online quizzing within the first 3 months. The flexibility of students being able to study anytime was also appreciated in providing them with a good way of better revision before their exams. But there were also some negative results for the school. "I received "feedback" that a very small % of kids tried to "game" online quizzes by having the answers available on another tab and schools added increased monitoring to be focused more on how to increase awareness in regard to academic integrity.

## 8.2 Legal Aspects

Legal considerations, which become increasingly pivotal as one builds and launches any digital platform that will incorporate user appearances/data input/storage. As this project gathers simple personal data through registration and stores it with local storage, it needs to respect some basic principles of privacy policy and how responsible is the project handling your data. Insure that even though you are not using an external database or server it should conform to some of the most common sense data protection principles, don't scrape and save everything just what is needed for work stay informed: Not out of the gate but find a way so users have a chance to understand your usage/retention of their data. In addition, the system should not retain any sensitive personal information and should display transparency by indicating that data is not sent beyond the user's device. You also have to keep in mind other types of laws, such as the copyright (skip any content that is not yours) and so forth - notes, quizzes, game logic.

In addition, users have rights under contemporary data protection legislation: they have the right to receive information about data processing practices; the right to consent to the collection of personal information; and the right to withdraw or delete their data. In this project the above rights imply that students/teachers should be able to know that the downloaded data

is on their device and when they would like to remove account or when they would like to reset the application, then there must be an option for them in order to clear local storage.

Similarly, developers have duties to make sure there's correct documentation of how data is handled, to never shortcut the minimally viable privacy-friendly options and make local storage a potential source for unreasonable consequences. Local storing for data on a single device is more private but also it puts responsibility on user to keep their device safe as the service can't enforce password encryption, removing from distance or two-factor authentication.

### 8.3 Ethical Aspects

It leads a net benefit to the educational environment and society via an advanced learning environment overlifting access, interest on context of learning, structured materials for learners and teachers respectively. While it combines notes, quizzes and cognitive skill-based games into 1 platform, by doing so it promotes ongoing learning outside the classroom and likewise motivates students to make better use of their time. It makes it easier for instructors to upload their notes, track quiz performance, and update users without having to login and out all the time. In society, digital learning tools like these lead to greater literacy rates and increased comfort with digital media, not to mention access to learning resources for anyone (regardless of the location or economic situation). The system is lightweight, accessible and easy to navigate on any device, including low-resource environments such as in the communities.

Electronics engineers or software developers are also responsible for developing ethical norms by way of reference to codes like those published by IEEE, ACM and by the national commissions on regulation. These are codes of safety, privacy, honesty and transparency along with health user-centric ethical standards. Design professionals worry about the implications of their designs on user behaviour, societal relations and long-term effects. They also evaluate the risk of misuse, addiction or side effects and put into place barriers as needed. When developing this, it ethical guidelines would include<sup>18</sup> promoting responsible use, being transparent in data handling, not allowing for manipulation or over-gamification and creating inclusivity such that technology is enhancive but does not distract from human values at violate a society's ethics.

## 8.4 Sustainability Aspects

The sustainable factors of this e-learning tool are compatible with new usability and eco-friendly / resource-intensive age.

Since the project is completely software dependent and needs no raw material of any kind, precluding the necessity of requiring production, packaging or shipping such as traditional educational tools would involve, a reduction in ecological damage ensues. It noticeably minimizes the utilization resources of the users' devices by using just lightweight technologies like HTML, CSS and local storage, making their devices run more energy efficient and last for longer time.

"Disposable is better than maintainable" can be even applicable to the digital world; in this case, your data are stored on local storage of devices minimizing e-waste and energy consumption you generally produce when using a cloud service. The app's light, less-distracted features make it safe for your child to interact in a digital space without worry of screen fatigue, harmful online interactions, or accidental exposure to adult content.

## 8.5 Safety Aspects

As for the security aspects, this web-based learning system has been developed with its users (both teachers and students) in mind to provide them with a secure and friendly environment. The system is fully client side-based and only uses HTML, CSS and local storage with the benefit of no risks of external server accesses; online database hacked or network attack concerns. By not storing any user data online and only on the end user device, it reduces security risk—there is no third parties handling your personal and private financial transaction information. Safety is locked down by using a login credentials that limits access, and ensures each users dashboard was private. The content offered (notes, quizzes and educational games) is all very academic and child-friendly so there's no risk of your child being exposed to harmful or inappropriate material. The interface is simple, intuitive, without any misleading element that could lead to errors or accidental loss of data during quiz attempts.

In consideration of operation security, the platform does not involve intensive algorithmic processing or features that could affect burdening the devices with data risks (overheating or faults). As there are no files to download and the application is hosted by the browser, all threats regarding malware, failed installation, and compatibility issues become non-existent. To ensure the safety of students,. features such as a 10-minute quiz timer and limited access to quizzes help promote academic integrity and allow student screen time be kept in check.

## Chapter 9

### CONCLUSION

This innovative web-based learning tool represents a major advancement in the progress toward updating education with an approach that is accessible, easy to comprehend, and genuinely interactive. We built it with pure HTML & CSS, and use local browser storage to store all progress data for students and the level system for teachers without backend headaches. The platform is designed to be as inclusive and user-friendly as possible, so that learners from different countries (especially those with access only to low-tech solutions) can gain access to notes, quizzes, and games for cognitive skill building using lower end devices or in a condition of unstable internet connection.

By its creative design with unique sign up and log in portal for students and teachers, it offers customised dashboards based on user roles. Students can access quizzes, academic notes, results tracking, and gamified rewards for performance on time; Teachers get to upload study material, conduct the quizzes with answers (key) and monitor students' progress at the same time. This teaching using this dual-dashboard approach not only promotes structured learning but enhances the communication for helping educators and learners by providing facilities like teacher contact info. In addition to the afore-mentioned, great attention has been devoted in this work to ethical, legal, sustainability and safety issues. The platform's use is guided by ethical principles in order to ensure fairness of assessments and to respect students' data

Finally, this work demonstrates that basic web technologies allows to change the concept of traditional learning environment and make it interactive, efficient. With that balance of innovation, responsibility and practical use in mind, the application stands firm as a positive addition to the changing world of digital educational tools and lays solid groundwork for more features, more scalability and an even stronger community impact ahead.

