SAMPLE DRAFT on CIVIL LITIGATION





Highlights

- AppealsJudgement
- Summary Summons
- Pre-trial Proceedings
- ▶ .Preliminary Objection
- Interlocutory Applications
- Parties and Joinder Applications
- ► Fundamental Rights Enforcement Proceedings
- Pleadings ►
 Final Address ►
 Election Petition ►
 Originating Processes ►
 Matrimonial Proceedings ►
 Applications pending Appeal ►
- Pre-Action Counseling & Protocol ▶
 Recovery of Possession of Premises ▶

TABLE OF CONTENT

1.	(Week 3) General Overview of Civil Litigation	-	3
2.	(Week 4) Parties to a Civil Action	-	5
3.	(Week 5) Preliminary Matters: Pre-action Issue And Commence	ement	of Action
	in the Magistrates Court (Lagos)	-	18
4.	(Week 6) Commencement of Action in High Court	-	25
5.	(Week 7) Interlocutory Application	-	35
6.	(Week 8) Summary judgment and judgment by default	-	41
7.	(Week 9) Pleadings	-	53
8.	(Week 10) Pre-Trial Issues and Pre-trial proceedings	-	66
9.	(Week 11) Trial Preparation and Evidence	-	83
10.	(Week 12) Trial Preparation and Evidence II	-	84
11.	(Week 13) Trial: Examination of Witness	-	84
12.	(Week 14) Closing/Final Address and Judgment	-	85
13.	(Week 15) Enforcement of Judgment & Applications Pending	g Appe	eal - 91
14.	(Week 16) Appeals in Civil Matters	-	100
15.	(Week 17) Recovery of Possession of Premises	-	105
16.	(Week 18) Election Petition	-	110
17.	(Week 19 Matrimonial Causes	-	117
18.	(Week 20) Enforcement of Fundamental Rights	-	130

Week 3 GENERAL OVERVIEW OF CIVIL LITIGATION

Civil litigation involves rules or procedures that regulate the conduct of civil proceedings in court. It lays the methods whereby such proceedings are commenced and the steps that are to be taken. It also provides for the modes of enforcing the resultant judgment. Civil litigation as a subject deals with the laws, rules, practice and procedure guiding and regulating the conduct of civil actions and proceedings in court.

Rules of Courts

In practice, every court has its own rules that guide practice and procedure of such court. In this like, there is the Supreme Court Rules made pursuant to **Section 236 of the CFRN 1999**, Court of Appeal Rules made pursuant to **Section 248 of the CFRN 1999**, Federal High Court Rules, State High Court Rules, down to Sharia and Customary Court Rules. As between the statute creating court and rules of court, the statute creating court is superior.

Courts, Rules Applicable & Its Sources

	Court	Applicable Rules	Source	
1	Supreme Court	Supreme Court Rules 1985	Section 236 CFRN	
			1999	
2	Court of Appeal	Court of Appeal Rules 2011	Section 248 CFRN 1999	
3	Federal High Court	Federal High Court Rules	Section 254 CFRN 1999	
4	National Industrial Court	National Industrial Court	Section 22 NIC Act	
		Rules		
5	High Court of the FCT	High Court of the FCT (Civil	Section 259 CFRN 1999	
		Procedure) Rules 2018		
6	Sharia Court of Appeal of	Sharia Court of Appeal	Section 264 CFRN 1999	
	the FCT	Rules of the FCT		
7	Customary Court of Appeal	Customary Court of Appeal	Section 269 CFRN 1999	
	of the FCT	Rules of the FCT		
8	High Court of States	(Laogs State High Court	Section 274 CFRN 1999	

		(Civil Procedure) Rules	
		Rules of the various High	
		Courts of the States	
9	Sharia Court of Appeal of	Sharia Court of Appeal	Section 279 CFRN 1999
	States	Rules of the States	
10	Customary Court of Appeal	Customary Court of Appeal	Section 284 CFRN 1999
	of States	Rules of States	
11	Magistrate/District Court	Magistrate Court	Magistrate Court
		Rules/District Court Rules	Law/District Court Law

ETHICAL ISSUES ARISING FROM IMPROPER USE OF THE RULES OF COURT AND WRONG CHOICE OF COURT

Filing Action in the Wrong Court

Where an action is filed in the wrong court, the consequences that may arise are:

- ★ The case will be stroke out
- **→** Cost may be awarded in favour of the defendant
- → Counsel may be personally liable and made to pay cost to the client
- → Counsel can be sued for professional negligence
- → Action might be statute barred.

Week 4 PARTIES TO A CIVIL ACTION

Generally before embarking on litigation, there are preliminary, but important matters that need to be considered first, such as bringing the proper parties before the court. Hence, there must be a plaintiff, who institutes the action and a defendant who is being sued. Under the *Rules of Court of Lagos, 2019 and Abuja 2018*, plaintiffs are referred to as 'Claimants'. In interlocutory proceedings and originating processes (undefended list), parties are referred to as 'Applicant and Respondent', while in cases like matrimonial causes, election petition and company winding up petitions, parties are referred to as 'Petitioners and Respondents'. However, a party cannot play a dual role of being one who is suing and at the same time, one who is being sued. It is a fundamental principle of law that bringing the correct parties before the court is necessary to enable the court determines the issues between the parties effectually, adequately and completely in order to do justice in the matter.

Sample Draft:

BETWEEN

TITUS ISHAKU BULUS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO
BETWEEN
TITUS ISHAKU BULUS
An infant, suing by his guardian PRINCE ODATSE)CLAIMANT
ESSIEN EKPO
An infant, defending by his guardian, ADAMS EKPO)DEFENDANT
IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA IN THE MAITAMA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT MAITAMA
SUIT NO

Page **5** of **144**

AND ESSIEN EKPO	
(An infant, defending by his guardian, ADAMS EKPO)	DEFENDANT
IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STAT	Ē
IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA	
	SUIT NO
BETWEEN	
TITUS ISHAKU BULUS	
(An infant, suing by his guardian PRINCE PETERS) AND	CLAIMANT
ESSIEN EKPO	
(defending through his next friend, ADAMS EKPO)	DEFENDANT
Trustees, Executors and Administrators (Estate o	of a Docoasod)
Trustees are persons who are given property by the settlor t	•
persons who are under age known as beneficiaries, for a	
the underage becomes an adult e.g. will matters. Executo	
carry out the will of a testator, while administrators are	involved in case of
intestate succession.	
Sample Draft: Action by a Trustee	
IN THE HIGH COURT OF EBONYI STA	ΤF
IN THE ABAKALIKI JUDICIAL DIVISION	
HOLDEN AT ABAKALIKI	
S	UIT NO
BETWEEN	
EYEKE KENNETH	
(Trustee of the Estate of JOHN RASH, deceased)	CLAIMANT
AND ESSIEN EKPO	DEFENDANT
- > > - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N -	

Sample Draft: Action by an Administrator

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION

HOLDEN AT IKEJA

501	1 110	
BETWEEN		
EYEKE KENNETH		
(Administrator of the Estate of JOHN RASH, deceased)	CLAIMANT	
AND		
ESSIEN EKPO	DEFENDANT	
Sample Draft: Action by an Executor		

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE
IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION

HOLDEN AT IKEJA SUIT NO

SUIT NO

BETWEEN

EYEKE KENNETH

AND

ESSIEN EKPO......DEFENDANT

Incorporated Bodies and Unincorporated Associations

Incorporated bodies are bodies or clubs formed into Business Company with legal status under *Part A of CAMA*. **Section 37 of CAMA** states that, all companies incorporated under the Act have legal capacity, thus they can sue and be sued under the company's name e.g. "Nigerian Breweries Plc".

Statutory Bodies; These are bodies created by statute and are conferred with the performance or discharge of specific duties or functions. As such, they can sue and be sued in the names given to them by the statutes that create them e.g. CBN, INEC, NNPC, CLE, etc. See, *Ibrahim v Judicial Service Commission*

Government; The government does not sue and is not sued in its name. Actions are brought or defended on behalf of the government or any of its departments or agency through the AG of the Federation or State as the case may be. The AG is statutorily conferred with the capacity to sue and be sued for and on behalf of the Government, and it is also a legal personality created under **Section 150** (Federal) and **Section 195**(State) **of the CFRN, 1999**. See, **AG Abia State & 35 Ors v AG Federation**.

Charitable Associations/Non-Trading Associations: these are voluntary associations which are registered through their registered trustees under *Part C of CAMA* e.g. Churches, Mosques and non-governmental organizations. They can sue and be sued only in their corporate name by which they are registered done through their trustees.

Sample Draft:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO		
BETWEEN		
THE INCORPORATED TRUSTEES OF CATHOLIC CHURCHCLAIMANT AND		
THE INCORPORATED TRUSTEES OF IGBO UKWU UNIONDEFENDANT		
Business Name : a registered business name is not a body corporate and cannot sue or be sued in its name. The right person to sue is the owner of the business name as trading under the name and style of the business name so registered.— <i>Okechukwu & Sons v. Ndah.</i> ¹		
Sample Draft:		
IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA IN THE MAITAMA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT MAITAMA		
SUIT NO		
BETWEEN		
EYEKE KENNETH		
(Trading under the name and style of S. K. EYEKE& SONS		
GLOBAL)CLAIMANT AND		
ESSIEN EKPO		
(Trading under the name and style o fE. E. EKPO		
ENTERPRISES)DEFENDANT		

Page 8 of 144

¹ (1967) NMLR 366

Bankrupts and Companies under Liquidation: A bankrupt has legal capacity to sue and be sued in all personal actions. But, under **Section 58** (1) (b) of the **Bankruptcy Act**, where the action relates to the property of the Bankrupt, he lacks capacity to sue and be sued.

Sample Draft: Action by Trustee in Bankruptcy

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO		
BETWEEN		
EYEKE KENNETH		
(Suing as the Trustee in Bankruptcy of SIMON AKPAMA)		
AND		
ESSIEN EKPODEFENDANT		
Sample Draft: Action by a Liquidator		
IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE		
IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION		
HOLDEN AT IKEJA		
SUIT NO		
BETWEEN		
EYEKS NIG LTD		
(A company under liquidation suing by MR UDOMA UKPONO UDO, theliquidator of EYEKS NIG LTD)		
AND		
EKPOS NIG LTDDEFENDANT		
Death Persons: Generally, dead persons lack the capacity to sue and be sued		
either personally or in a representative capacity as from the time of death. See		
² Cap B2 LFN 2004		

Page 9 of 144

Oketie & Ors v Olughor. The only exception is where the action is not of a personal nature. Thus, personal actions die with the person. See **actio personalis moritur cum persona**.

Representative Actions

A representative action is an action brought by one or more persons as representatives of a group of persons having a common grievance and the same interests in a civil action.

The following conditions are important in a representative action:

- 1. Common interest and a common grievance.
- 2. The relief sought must be beneficial to all.
- 3. The parties to be represented must give express permission or authority to those who are to represent them.
- 4. Leave of court must be sought to sue in representative capacity.
- 5. The representative capacity must be reflected on originating processes.

Application for leave is by Motion Ex parte as follows:

- 1. Motion ex parte.
- 2. Affidavit disclosing the facts surrounding the representation, common interest and grievance. The affidavit would be sworn to by at least one or more of the persons to be represented.
- 3. Memorandum signed by a majority of the interested parties authorizing the representation.
- 4. Written address

Sample Draft:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO
BETWEEN	
1. AKPU PETERS	
2. OKONKWOR PETERS	CLAIMANT/APPLICANTS
(Suing for themselves and on behalf of the Pete	rs family)
AND	
CHIEFESSIEN EKPO	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
3(4005) 5 50011 247	
³ (1995) 5 SCNJ 217	

MOTION EX PARTE
BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 15 RULE 13 (1) OF THE HIGH COURT
RULES OF LAGOS STATE AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF
THE COURT.
「AKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court shall be moved on the day o
, 2021 in the hour of 9 o'clock in the forenoon or soon thereafter
as Counsel to the Applicant shall be heard praying this Honourable Court for the
ollowing orders:
AN ORDER granting leave to the Applicant to commence this action in a representative capacity for themselves and on behalf of the Peters Family.
 AND FOR SUCH OTHER ORDERS as this Honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances of the case.
Dated this day of, 2021
S. K. Eyeke, Esq. Applicant's Counsel Eyeke Kenneth & Co
15 Democracy Estates, Ikeja, Lagos State.

Joinder of Parties

Joinder of parties usually occurs when at the time of instituting an action, there is failure to join a party who ought to have been joined in the action. Note that joinder of parties is different from joint plaintiffs and joint defendants. It is also different from joinder of causes of action. A person may be joined in a suit either as a plaintiff/claimant or defendant

<u>Sample Draft: application for joinder as co-claimant/co-plaintiff in Lagos and Abuja</u>

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS/FCT ABUJA
IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT	NO:
MOTI	ON NO:

BETWEEN CHIGOZIE JUDE.......CLAIMANT/APPLICANT AND MOHAMED AWWAL......DEFENDANT/ RESPONDENT AND PRINCE ODATSE PETERS......PARTY SOUGHT TO BE JOINED MOTION ON NOTICE BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 15 RULE 1, OF THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES 2019 (OR, for Abuja, ORDER 13 RULE 1 AND ORDER 13 RULE 19 OF THE HIGH COURT OF FCT ABUJA (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES, 2018) AND THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court shall be moved on the day of , 2020 in the hour of 9 o'clock in the forenoon or soon thereafter as Counsel to the Claimant/Applicant shall be heard praying the court for the following order(s): 1. AN ORDER joining Prince Odatse Peters as a co-claimant in this suit; and 2. SUCH OTHER ORDER OR FURTHER ORDER(s) as this Honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances of this case. Dated this _____ day of _____, 2021 S. K. Eyeke Esq. Applicant's Solicitor Eyeke Kenneth &Co NO 5 Democracy Estate Ikeja. FOR SERVICE ON: 1. THE RESPONDENT, **Mohamed Awwal**

NLS SAMPLE DRAFT ON CIVIL LITIGATION

No 3 Ajah Street Victoria Island

Lagos.

Page **12** of **144**

2. Prince Odatse Peters Flat 3, Ajamgbadi Estate, Ikoyi, Lagos.

NOTE: the supporting documents are affidavit and written address. If asked to draft application, draft everything: motion, affidavit and written address. Note additional supporting documents in Lagos.

Sample Draft: application for joinder as co-defendant in Lagos and Abuja

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS/FCT ABUJA IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: LD/1288/20 MOTION NO: M/003

BETWEEN	
CHIGOZIE	
JUDE	.CLAIMANT/RESPONDENT
AND	
VICTOR EZE	DEFENDANT/ RESPONDENT
AND	
PRINCE ODATSE PETERS	APPLICANT
MOTION ON	
BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 15 RUL	,
THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS (CIVIL PRO	,
ORDER 13 RULE 4 AND ORDER 13 RULE 19	
(CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES, 2018) AND TI	HE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS
HONOURABLE COURT	
TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court	shall be moved on the day of
, 2021 in the hour of 9 o'cloc	
Counsel to the Claimant/Applicant shall	
following order(s):	. , ,
1. AN ORDER joining Prince Odatse Pete	rs as a co-defendant in this suit; and
, ,	·

Dated this

2.	SUCH OTHER ORDER OR FURTHER ORDER(s) as this Honourable Cour
	may deem fit to make in the circumstances of this case.

dav of

S. K. Eyeke Esq.
Applicant's Solicitor
Eyeke Kenneth &Co
NO 5 Democracy Estate
Abakalikii

. 2021

FOR SERVICE ON:

 CLAIMANT/ RESPONDENT, Chigozie Jude
 No.2 Irvine Layout, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State.

2. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT Prince Odatse Peters Flat 3, Ajamgbadi Estate, Ikoyi, Lagos.

NOTE: the supporting documents are affidavit and written address. If asked to draft application, draft everything: motion, affidavit and written address. Note additional supporting documents in Lagos.

<u>Misjoinder</u>

Misjoinder is a situation where a mistake is made by joining as a party, a person who ordinarily ought not to be made a party to the proceedings. See *Okechukwu & Sons v Ndah*. In such a situation, *Order 13 Rule 18 (2) Abuja* and *-ORDER 15 Rule 16(1)(2) Lagos 2019* both provide that at any stage of the proceedings, the court may either suo motu or on application by either party, order that the name or names of persons improperly joined, whether as claimants/plaintiffs or defendants, be struck out.

Sample Draft:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE OF LAGOS/FCT ABUJA IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: LD/27/20 MOTION NO: M/02

	MOTION NO: M/02
BETWEEN	
CHIGOZIE JUDE	CLAIMANT/RESPONDENT
AND	
1. VICTOR EZE	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
2. PRINCE ODATSE PETERS	DEFENDANT/APPLICANT
MOTION	N ON NOTICE
BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER	15 RULE 16(1)(2) OF THE HIGH COURT
OF LAGOS (CIVIL PROCEDURE) F	RULES 2019 (OR, for Abuja, ORDER 13
RULE 18(2) AND ORDER 13 RULE	19 OF THE HIGH COURT OF FCT ABUJA
(CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES, 2018)	AND THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF
THIS HONOURABLE COURT	
TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable 0	Court shall be moved on theday of
, 2021 in the hour of 9	o'clock in the forenoon or soon thereafter
as Counsel to the Claimant/Applican	nt shall be heard praying the court for the
following order(s):	
1. AN ORDER striking outPrince Oda	itse Peters as a defendant in this suit; and
2. SUCH OTHER ORDER OR FURT	THER ORDER(s) as this Honourable Court
may deem fit to make in the circum	nstances of this case.
Dated this	day of, 2021
	S.K. EYEKE ESQ.
	APPLICANT'S SOLICITOR
	EYEKE KENNETH &CO
	NO 15 DEMOCRACY ESTATE
	LAGOS STATE

FOR SERVICE ON:

1. THE RESPONDENT,

CHIGOZIE JUDE C/O HIS COUNSEL CJ P. N AKPU ESQ. JURIS CONSULT CHAMBERS NO 3 AJAH STREET LAGOS

2. THE RESPONDENT, VICTOR EZE FLAT 3, AMADI ESTATE, LAGOS.

NOTE: the supporting documents are affidavit and written address. If asked to draft application, draft everything: motion, affidavit and written address. Note additional supporting documents in Lagos

THIRD PARTY PROCEEDINGS

A third party proceeding is a special type of proceeding that favours a defendant only or a plaintiff who has become a defendant by counterclaim. It is an action in which a defendant who is properly sued, claims to that a third party may bear eventual liability either in whole or in part and so he applies for a joinder of such third party to enable him prosecute another action between himself and the third party in the same action between himself and the plaintiff. See **Bank of Ireland v Union Bank of Nigeria Ltd**.

Sample Draft:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: LD/123/20 MOTION NO: M/06

NLS SAMPLE DRAFT ON CIVIL LITIGATION VICTOR EZE.....THIRD PARTY SOUGHT TO BE JOINED MOTION EX PARTE BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 15 RULE 19(1) OF THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES 2019, (OR, for Abuja, ORDER 13 RULE 21(1) OF THE HIGH COURT OF FCT (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES 2018) AND THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable court shall be moved on the day of , 2021 in the hour of 9 o'clock in the forenoon or soon thereafter as Counsel to the defendant/applicant shall be heard praying this Honourable Court for the following order(s): 1. AN ORDER granting leave to the defendant/applicant to issue and serve a THIRD PARTY NOTICE on Mr. Victor Eze in this suit 2. And such further or other order(s) as this Honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances. Dated this _____, 2021

S. K. EYEKE ESQ.
APPLICANT'S
SOLICITOR
EYEKE KENNETH & CO
15 DEMOCRACY ESTATE
LAGOSI STATE.

NOTE: it is a motion ex parte, so no address for service.

Week 5 PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS BEFORE COMMENCING CIVIL ACTION

- <u>CAUSE OF ACTION</u>: A cause of action is simply a factual situation, the existence of which entitles one person to obtain from court a remedy against another See Madukolu v. Nkemdilim (1963) 1 All NLR (Pt4) at 587.
- ii. **STATUTORY LIMITATION**: For almost all cases, there is a limitation period within which the proceedings must be commenced. See **PUBLIC OFFICERS PROTECTION ACT**
- iii. **PARTIES**: It is also of immense importance to consider the parties to a proposed action. The claimant& the defendant must be imbued with the legal capacity to sue & be sued.
- iv. **VENUE**: This suggests the location or Judicial division of the court you have identified to commence your action.
- v. <u>CONDITION PRECEDENT</u> (PRE-ACTION NOTICE): Where the law requires the service of pre-action notice by the claimant before commencement such condition must be met. S.11(2)NNPC ACT; AMADI V. NNPC (2000)10NWLR(674)76.
- vi. <u>COST OF LITIGATION</u>: A claimant's counsel should take a critical look at the expected gains from a successful litigation vis-a-vis the cost implication of the litigation before appropriately advising the claimant.
- vii. **ENFORCEMENT &REALISATION OFJUDGMENT**: The claimant's counsel should also assess and consider the legal and practical problems associated with the realization of judgment of the court.
- viii. ALTERNATIVE DISTPUTERESOLUTION: A Lawyer must advise his client on the availability of ADR methods. It is mandatory for a Lawyer see r.15 sub.3 (d) RPC and O. 5 rule 8 Lagos and o.2 r.2 (4) Abuja.
- ix. PRE-ACTION-COUNSELLING. A Lawyer before commencing an action should advise his client on the relative strength and weaknesses of the case. A Lawyer may be punished for filing a suit that amounts to abuse of court process see or.2 r. 8 Abuja. See also or. 2 r. 9 for NBA seal.
- x. **EXHAUSTION OF AVAILABLE REMEDIES**: Where a law prescribes a legal line of action for the resolution of an issue, such remedies must be exhausted before litigation, ARIBISILA V.OGUNYEMI (2005)6NWLR(PT.921) 212.
- xi. <u>IMMUNITY:</u>S. 308 of the constitution confers immunity on some classes of elected political office holders from legal processes within the period they occupy such offices.

How to Raise Preliminary Objection

(There are 2 ways; depending whether it is solely on grounds of law only or on mixed law &facts)

- 1. By Notice of Preliminary Objection and written address grounds of law only.
- 2. By Motion on Notice with affidavit and written address grounds of mixed law and facts.

Sample Draft: Notice of Preliminary Objection

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: LD/07/12

MOTION NO: M/02

BETWEEN:

NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY OBJECTION

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2(1) (A) OF THE PUBLIC OFFICERS PROTECTIONS ACT, AND THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.

TAKE NOTICE that this Honorable Court shall be moved on the ______ day of _______, 2021 in the hour of 9 o'clock in the forenoon or soon thereafter as Counsel to the Applicant shall be heard by way of a preliminary objection challenging the competence of this suit and the jurisdiction of this court and shall also be heard praying the court for the following order(s):

- 1. AN ORDER striking out this suit; and
- 2. SUCH OTHER ORDER OR FURTHER ORDER(s) as this Honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances of this case.

AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the grounds for the objection are as follows:

- 1. The suit is statute barred having being commenced outside the statutory period of three months under the Public Officers Protection Act
- 2. Non service of the requisite pre-action notices on the defendant under the local government law.

Dated this	day of	, 2021

S. K. EYEKE ESQ.
APPLICANT'S
SOLICITOR
EYEKE KENNETH &
CO
15 DEMOCRACY
ESTATE
EBONYI STATE.

FOR SERVICE ON:
THE RESPONDENT,
ALU OLADEJI
C/O HIS COUNSEL
O. M. OGBONNAYA ESQ.
ONE FAMILY CHAMBERS
NO 3 AJAH STREET
VICTORIA ISLAND
LAGOS

Pre-Action Counseling/Pre-Action Protocol

This is to give professional or legal help and advice to clients on certain conditions to be satisfied before filing an action in court. Such condition precedents may be by way of service of pre-action notice or satisfaction of other steps required by law before commencement of action

Sample Draft of Pre-Action Counseling Certificate:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY
IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT ABUJA

	HOLDEN AT ABUJA	
		SUIT NO:
BETWEEN		
OKPARA MICHAEL		PLAINTIFF

AND			
MAHADI ABDUSSALAM	DEFENDANT		
PRE-ACTION COUNSELLING CERTIFICATE I, EYEKE KENNETH SAMUEL, of EYEKE KENNETH & CO (ZENITH CHAMBERS), legal practitioner representing Okpara Michael, the Claimant in this suit, certify that I have counseled my client on the strength and weakness of his cause or matter and informed him of the opportunities available for the alternative dispute resolution of this case and the possibility of a reconciliation between the parties being effected either with or without the assistance of such an organization and should this matter turn out to be frivolous, I am prepared to be liable according to the provision of the Rules of this court.			
Dated this of	2021		
EYEKE KENNETH SAMUEL Legal Practitioner to the Claimant	OKPARA MICHAEL Claimant		

COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION IN MAGISTRATE/DISTRICT COURTS

In the South, Magistrate Court (MC) exercises both civil and criminal jurisdiction, while in the North, when it exercises its civil jurisdiction it is referred to as District Court.

FORMS OF COMMENCEMENT

By virtue of *O. 1 r 1 & 2 of the Magistrate Court (Civil Procedure) Rules (MCCPR)*, actions are commenced in the MCs in Lagos by two modes, namely:

- 1. Claim. See Order 1 Rule 1 & 4 MCCPR
- 2. Originating application. See *Order 1 Rule 2 & 8 MCCPR*
- 3. Small claims court. See Article 2 Magistrate Court Practice Direction on Small Claims 2018.

Sample Draft: Application for Summary Summons and Particulars of Claim

EYEKE KENNETH & CO LEGAL PRACTITIONERS, SOLICITORS, AND ARBITRATORS

NO 15 DEMOCRACY ESTATE, IKEJA, LAGOS EMAIL Kennethsamuel@gmail.com

	08102959631
Our Ref:	Your Ref:
	Date: 08/12/2020
The Registrar,	
Magistrate Court of Lagos State,	
Ikeja Magisterial District,	
Ikeja, Lagos.	
ATTENTION: MR. NJOKU CHIN	EDU (Registrar's name)
Dear Sir,	
APPLICATION	N FOR SUMMARY SUMMONS
	RULE 1(1) and ORDER 3 RULE 1 OF THE
MAGISTRATE COURT OF	LAGOS (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES, 2009
Claim Noseek to apply for	matter. yeke Kenneth & Co, counsel to the Claimant in summary summons to be issued against the claim and particulars of claim. We undertake to
Thank you for your usual co-ope	ration.
Yours faithfully,	
S. K. EYEKE Esq.	
For: Eyeke Kenneth&Co.	
Enclosed:	
1. Claim dated 8 th of November,	2020

2. Particulars of claim dated 8th of November, 2020.

IN THE MAGISTRATE COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE LAGOS MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT HOLDEN AT LAGOS

	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
FIRST BANK PLC	CLAIMANT
AND	
JERRY MAIVA CONCEPTS LTD	DEFENDANT

CLAIM

The Claimant claims its rights to the sum of 3.47 million (Three million, four hundred and seventeen thousand naira) only from the defendant being its share of the proceeds of a contract jointly performed by the claimant and defendant from March 2019 to December 2020 under a partnership agreement.

The Claimant is also entitled to an order of injunction restraining the defendant from converting 20 vehicles jointly purchased and owned by the parties under the same partnership agreement and a further declaration that the partnership agreement between the parties is still valid and subsisting

PARTICULARS OF CLAIMS

- 1. The claimant is a limited liability company whose address is No 6 Bank Street, Ajah, Lagos.
- 2. The defendant is a limited liability company whose address is at No 12 Dzakwa Road, Victoria Island, Lagos.
- 3. The defendant owes the claimant the sum of 3.47million being the sum accrued to the partnership contract between the claimant and defendant.
- 4. The partnership agreement states that the vehicles will be used jointly in the running of the business after which the vehicles will be shared equally between the parties. However, the defendant has converted the 20 vehicles purchased for the contract to its sole use contrary to the terms of the contract between the parties. The cars with particulars (Car 1: Nissan Juke; Reg number: GVO8 WFM; Chassis no: 501345; bought from Nissan Motors, Garki II, Abuja; Receipt number: 534)
- 5. The defendant has failed, refused or neglected to pay the contract price and the claimant's share of the vehicles despite letters of demand written by the claimant to the defendant (add date of the letters)

WHEREOF THE CLAIMANT CLAIMS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. A declaration that the contract under the partnership agreement between the parties is still valid and subsisting;
- 2. The sum of N3.47million which accrued to the claimant being its own share of the proceeds of the contract performed by the parties from March 2019 to December 2019;
- 3. Interest on the N3.47 million at the rate of 10% per annum from the 1st day of December 2019 until judgment and thereafter until the judgment sum is paid (either because this is stipulated in the contract or going commercial rate);
- 4. An order of perpetual injunction restraining the defendant from converting the 20 vehicles to its sole use;
- 5. An order directing an equal division of the vehicles between the parties; and
- 6. The sum of 2million naira for general damages.

Dated thisday of	2020
	S. K. EYEKE ESQ.
	APPLICANT'S SOLICITOR
	EYEKE KENNETH & CO
	15 DEMOCRACY ESTATE

EBONYI STATE FOR SERVICE TO DEFENDANT: NO 12 OBAFEMI AWOLOWO ROAD, VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS.

Week 6 COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION IN HIGH COURT

Writ of Summons

By *Order 2 Rule 2(1) Abuja* and *Order 5 Rule 1 Lagos* a writ of summons shall be used for commencing all civil actions in the High Court or FHC except where there is an express constitutional or statutory provision to the contrary. A writ of summons commands a defendant to enter appearance within a specified period (14 days in Abuja and 42 days in Lagos) otherwise judgment may be entered against him. Actions commenced by writ of summons usually involves and requires the filing and exchanging of pleadings, calling of witnesses (if any), and a long trial.

Documents to Accompany a Writ of Summons

In Lagos, Order 5 Rule 2(1) Lagos/Order 2 Rule 2 Abuja.

- 1. Statement of claim;
- 2. List of witnesses to be called at the trial;
- 3. Written statements on oath of the witnesses, except witnesses on subpoena;
- 4. Copies of every document to be relied on at the trial; and
- 5. Pre-action Protocol Form 01 (Lagos) or Certificate of Pre-Action Counselling (Abuja)

Sample Draft of Writ of Summons

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
CRUNCHES NIGERIA LIMITED	CLAIMANT
AND	
TOMIKE NIGERIA LIMITED	DEFENDANT
TO:	
TOMIKE NIGERIA LIMITED, 217/219, MOSHOOD	ABIOLA WAY, IGANMU,
IKEJA LAGOS.	

IN THE: IKEJA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF LAGOS STATE OF NIGERIA.

You are hereby commanded that within forty-two (42) days after the service of this writ on you, inclusive of the day of such service, you do cause an appearance to be entered for you in an action at the suit of the CLAIMANT and take notice that in default of your so doing, the claimant may proceed therein and judgment may be given in your absence.

DATED THIS 19THDAY OF DECEMBER, 2020

Registrar	

N.B

This writ is to be served within six (6) calendar months from the date thereof, or, if renewed, within three (3) calendar months from the date of the last renewal, including the day of such date and not afterward. The Defendant may enter appearance personally or by legal practitioner either by handing the appropriate forms, duly completed, at the registry of the High Court of the judicial division in which the action is brought or by sending them to the Registry by registered post.

ENDORSEMENT

The Claimant claims against the Defendant:

- AN ORDER OF DECLARATION that the contract between the claimant and defendant is valid and subsisting;
- 2. **AN ORDER FOR DAMAGES** in the sum of \$\frac{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{M}}}}}{3,470,000.00}\$ (Three Million, four Hundred and Seventy Thousand Naira) being the proceed from the contract jointly performed with the defendant which sum the defendant has failed and/or refused to pay over to the claimant despite repeated demands; and
- 3. **AN ORDER OF PERPETUAL INJUNCTION** restricting the defendant from converting the 20 vehicles to its sole use.

This writ was issued by EYEKE KENNETH ESQ of EYEKE KENNETH & CO. CLAIMANT'S SOLICITORS of NO. 15 DEMOCRACYESTATE, VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS.

Indorsed as to Service

This Writ was served by me, AYISAT OLUWATOMINIS, on the Defendant accompanied by:

- (a) A Statement of Claim;
- (b) A list of witnesses to be called at the trial;
- (c) Written statements on oath of the witnesses except witnesses on subpoena;
- (d) Copies of every document to be relied on at the trial;
- (e) Pre-action protocol Form 01

The writ was served personally on the 19thDay of December, 2020.

Indorsed the 19th Day of December, 2020

Chief Bailiff
High Court of Lagos State

Before the Writ is issued the following certificate must be indorsed on it:

The Registry, High Court of Lagos State
In the Ikeja Judicial Division.

The sealing thereof has been produced to me, Michael Samuel, this 19thday of December, 2020.

Registrar

Certificate of Pre-Action Counselling/Pre-Action Protocol Form 01

<u>Certificate of Pre-Action Counselling</u>: By <u>Order 2 Rule 2 & 8 Abuja</u>, the certificate of pre-action counseling is one of the documents to be filed along with the writ and frontloaded in Abuja. The rule provides that: A certificate of pre-action counselling signed by counsel and the litigant shall be filed along with the originating processes where proceedings are initiated by counsel, showing that the parties have been appropriately advised as to the relative strength or weakness of their respective cases, and the counsel shall be personally liable to pay the costs of the proceedings where it turns out to be frivolous, as in Form 6

Sample Draft of Pre-Action Counseling Certificate

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA
IN THE MAITAMA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT MAITAMA

	SUIT NO
BETWEEN REJOICE IKOKWUAND AMAEFULE BENJAMIN	
CERTIFICATE OF PRE-ACTIO I, Eyeke Kenneth of Zenith Chambers, No that as the legal practitioner represe Claimant/Defendant, has counseled my clien of his cause or matter and informed him/the for the alternative dispute resolution of this conciliation between the parties being effe- assistance of such an organization and she frivolous, I am prepared to be liable as per th court.	3 Ajayi Crescent, Abuja, certify enting Rejoice Ikokwu, the t on the strength and weakness m of the opportunities available a case and the possibility of a cted either with or without the ould this matter turn out to be
Dated this day of	, 2020
Eyeke Kenneth Esq Legal Practitioner to Claimant	Rejoice Ikokwu Claimant

<u>Pre-Action Protocol Form 01</u>: In Lagos, the one required is the pre-action protocol form 01. It is form 01 in the appendix to the Lagos rules. NOTE: <u>In Abuja</u>, both claimant and defendant are required to file certificate of pre-action counselling. So, when drafting, be careful to know the party you are drafting for, whether plaintiff or defendant, and then draft accordingly. <u>In Lagos</u>, however, the pre-action protocol form 01 is only filed by the claimant's counsel, and not by the defendant. In Lagos, by *Order 19 Rule 1(2) Lagos*, appearance and the statement of defence must be entered and filed within forty-two (42) days from the date of service of the writ and statement of claim.

Sample Draft of Pre-Action Protocol Form 01

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO
BETWEEN	
ONAH TOCHUKWU SYLVESTER	CLAIMANT
AND	
EMEKA NASARA	DEFENDANT

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH PRE-ACTION PROTOCOL

- I, Eyeke Kenneth Samuel, claimant's legal practitioner, make oath and state as follows:
- 1. I have complied with the directions of the Pre-action Protocol as set out in Order 1 Rule 1(4)(ii) (e) of the High Court Rules.
- 2. I have made attempts to have this matter settled out of Court with the Defendant and such attempts were unsuccessful. Such attempts include:
 - (a) Negotiation held on the 2nd day of November 2020, which ended in a deadlock.
 - (b) Attempts to reconvene another meeting to try to negotiate, which was never successful.
 - (c) Mediation organized and headed by Dr James Agaba, which also ended in a deadlock.
- 3. I have by a Written Memorandum to the defendant, set out the claimant's claim and options of settlement.

Dated at Lagos this 9th day of December, 2020

BEFORE ME	
COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS	

Filing Memorandum of Appearance: an appearance if entered by completing and filing in the Court's Registry, a document referred to as a "Memorandum of Appearance" provided in the **Appendix to the Rules** in Lagos and **Form 12** in Abuja. The defendant or his counsel if he acts by one, files the appropriate Form in as many copies as there are claimants to be served including extra copies for the court's file

Sample Draft of Memorandum of Appearance

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA
IN THE MAITAMA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT MAITAMA

SUIT NO
CLAIMANT
DEFENDANT

MEMORANDUM OF APPEARANCE OR MEMORANDUM OF CONDITIONAL APPEARANCE OR MEMORANDUM OF APPEARANCE UNDER PROTEST

KINDLY cause an appearance to be entered for Mr Emeka Nasara, sued as defendant in this action

OR

KINDLY cause a conditional appearance to be entered for Mr Emeke Nasara, sued as defendant in this action

Dated this day of, 202	Dated this	day of	, 2020
------------------------	------------	--------	--------

S. K. EYEKE ESQ. APPLICANT'S SOLICITOR EYEKE KENNETH & CO 15 DEMOCRACY ESTATE

FOR SERVICE ON:
The Claimant
C/o His Counsel
Bankole Olanrewaju& Co
No 3 Adeyomo Street
Victoria Island

Lagos.

Sample Draft of Originating Summons in High Court in Abuja

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA IN THE MAITAMA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT MAITAMA

	SUIT NO
BETWEEN	
EMEKA NASARA	CLAIMANT
AND	
ONAH TOCHUKWU SYLVESTER	DEFENDANT

ORIGINATING SUMMONS

LET ONAH TOCHUKWU SYLVESTER of No 13, Area 2, Maitama, Abuja, within forty two (42) days (or 30days if defendant is to be served outside jurisdiction) after the service of this summons on you, inclusive of the day of such service, cause an appearance to be entered for you to this summons which is issued on the application of EMEKA NASARA of No 63 Area 4 Maitama, Abuja, and who will seek for the determination of the following questions:

QUESTIONS FOR DETERMINATION

1. Whether the Claimant has a valid contract with the defendant in view of Clause 4 of the agreement between the plaintiff and the defendant dated 13/02/2019; OR

2. Whether the provisions of Section 145 of the CFRN 1999 as amended imposes a duty or a discretion on the President to transmit a written declaration to the President of the Senate and Speaker of House of Representatives in the event of the President proceeding on vacation or is otherwise unable to discharge the functions of his office.

RELIEFS SOUGHT BY THE CLAIMANT

AND after the determination of the issues, the Claimant prays as follows:

- 1. A DECLARATION that there is no valid contract between the Claimant and the Defendant; OR
- 2. A DECLARATION that Section 145 of the 1999 CFRN as amended imposes a duty on the President to transmit a written declaration to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives whenever he is proceeding on vacation or is otherwise unable to discharge the functions of his office.

Dated this	Day of	, 2020
		Registrar/Authorized Person

THIS SUMMONS was taken out by S. K. Eyeke Esq., legal practitioner to the Claimant, whose address for service is No 5 Maitama Road Abuja.

NOTE:

- The Defendant may appear hereto by entering appearance personally or by legal practitioner either by handing in the appropriate forms duly completed at the Registry of the High Court of the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja or by sending them to that office by Registered Post. (Note, for Bar Part II, put appropriate name of court.)
- 2. If the defendant does not enter appearance within the time prescribed and at the place mentioned above, such order will be made and proceedings may be taken as the judge may think just and expedient.

FOR SERVICE ON:

The Defendant, ONAH TOCHUKWU SYLVESTER No 13, Area 2, Maitama, Abuja.

Sample Draft of Originating Summons in High Court in Lagos

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS IN THE IKOYI JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKOYI

	SUII NO
BETWEEN	
EMEKA NASARA	CLAIMANT
AND	
ONAH TOCHUKWU SYI VESTER	DEFENDANT

ORIGINATING SUMMONS

LET ONAH TOCHUKWU SYLVESTER of No 23, Ikoyi, in the Lagos Judicial Division, within twenty-one (21) days (or 30days if outside jurisdiction) after the service of this summons on you, inclusive of the day of such service, cause an appearance to be entered for you to this summons which is issued on the application of EMEKA NASARA of No 33 Bourdillon, Lagos, and who will seek for the determination of the following questions:

QUESTIONS FOR DETERMINATION

- 1. Whether the claimant has a valid contract with the defendant in view of Clause 4 of the agreement between the Claimant and the defendant dated 13/02/2015; OR
- 2. Whether the provisions of Section 145 of the CFRN 1999 as amended imposes a duty or a discretion on the President to transmit a written declaration to the President of the Senate and Speaker of House of Representatives in the event of the President proceeding on vacation or is otherwise unable to discharge the functions of his office.

RELIEFS SOUGHT BY THE CLAIMANT

AND after the determination of the issues, the Claimant prays as follows:

- A DECLARATION that there is no valid contract between the claimant and the defendant; OR
- 2. A DECLARATION that Section 145 of the 1999 CFRN as amended imposes a duty on the President to transmit a written declaration to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives whenever he is proceeding on vacation or is otherwise unable to discharge the functions of his office.

Dated this	day of	, 2020
	Registrar	

THIS SUMMONS was taken out by S. K. Eyeke Esq., legal practitioner to the claimant, whose address for service is No 5 Democracy town, Lagos

NOTE:

- 1. The defendant may appear hereto by entering appearance personally or by legal practitioner either by handing in the appropriate forms duly completed at the Registry of the High Court of Lagos State or by sending them to that office by Registered Post. (Note, for Bar Part II, put appropriate name of court.)
- 2. If the defendant does not enter appearance within the time prescribed and at the place mentioned above, such order will be made and proceedings may be taken as the judge may think just and expedient.

FOR SERVICE ON: The Defendant, ONAH TOCHUKWU SYLVESTER No 23, Ikoyi, Lagos.

Week 7 INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS

Generally, interlocutory applications are applications made in course of pending proceedings. That is, they are applications that are made to court while an action is pending in court and may be made at any stage of an action. An interlocutory application may be made at any stage of an action. They are provisional, interim, intervening applications made between the commencement and end of a suit to decide some points or matter but not a final decision of the whole controversy. Interlocutory application follows the cause or event in the proceedings and therefore where the cause or event necessitates filing or making an interlocutory application, an aggrieved party will be perfectly entitled to do so in the court – *Ezegbu v FATB Ltd.*

Once an action has been commenced, all subsequent applications are referred to as interlocutory applications – *Nalsa Teem Associates Ltd. v NNPC* and *Kotoye v Saraki*. It is worthy of note that interlocutory injunction may come after judgment e.g. judgment debtor asking for payment of judgment debt by installment. Interlocutory applications before the court as a general rule are meant to be determined before the substantive action.

<u>Sample Drafts of Motions, Affidavit and Written Address</u> Motion Ex Parte

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE
IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SLUT NO: IIC/01/2020

		301	1 110. 310/01/2020
		MO ⁻	TION NO
BETWEE	N		
MRS MEF	RCY CHINDA	CLAIMAN1	Γ/APPLICANT
AND			
1. PURITY	Y BANK PLC		
2.	NIGERIAN	DEPOSIT	INSURANCE
CORPOR	ATION	DEFENDANTS	

MOTION EX-PARTE
BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 43 RULE 1 OF THE LAGOS STATE HIGH

COURT CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES 2019 AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THE COURT

TAKE NOTIC	CE that this hond	ourable Court shall b	pe moved on the	day
of	2020	at the Hour of 9 O	clock in the forenoon or	so soor
thereafter as	Counsel to the	Claimant/Applicant	may be heard praying	the cour
for the follow	ing RELIEFS:			
winding-up tl filed before t 2. AND FOI	he 1 st Defendant his court.	pending the hearing	estraining the 2 nd Defend g of the Motion on Notic RDERS as the honoura	e already
Γ	Dated this	Day of	2020.	
			EYEKE KENNETH ESC APPLICANT'S SOLICIT EYEKE KENNETH & CO (ZENITH CHAMBERS) No. 1 Akins way, Victori Lagos	ORS

Sample of Motion on Notice for Interlocutory Injunction

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISIO HOLDEN AT IKEJA

HOLL	JEN AT IKEJA
	SUIT NO: HIC/02/2020
	MOTION NO
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

MOTION ON NOTICE

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 43 RULE 1 OF THE HIGH COURT CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES OF LAGOS STATE 2020 AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THE COURT

TAKE NOTICE th	nat this honourable	Court will be mo	oved on the	day
of	_2020 at the hour	of 9 O'clock in th	ne forenoon or so	soon
thereafter as Cour	nsel to the Claimant/	Applicant may be h	neard for:	

- 1. AN ORDER OF INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTION restraining the Defendant/Respondent from converting the 20 vehicles to their sole use, pending the determination of the substantive suit.
- 2. AN ORDER OF INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTION retraining the Defendant/Respondent from interfering with the proceeds of the contract between the Claimant/Applicant and Defendant/Respondent pending the determination of the substantive suit.
- 3. AND FOR SUCH FURTHER ORDER OR ORDERS as the honourable court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

Date	d this	Day of	 	2020

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON:

Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor
Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos.

Sample of Affidavit in support of Motion on Notice

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: HIC/02/2020

	MOTION NO
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & F NIGERIA I TD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION ON NOTICE

- I, Nwibo Nelson, Adult, Male, Christian, Managing Director to AYORINDE NIGERIA Ltd, and Nigerian Citizen of No. 64 Billionaire Estate, Lagos State do hereby make oath and state as follows:
- 1. I am the Managing Director to the Claimant/Applicant in this suit.
- 2. By virtue of my position, I am conversant with the fact and circumstances of the suit and other related facts,
- 3. That I have the authority of the Claimant/Applicant to depose to this affidavit.
- 4. A contract was made between the Claimant/Applicant and Defendant/Respondent which contract was evidenced in writing dated 14thday of January, 2019. The agreement is hereby attached as EXHIBIT AI.
- 5. Twenty (20) vehicles were purchased only for the purpose of implementing the contract and the 20(twenty) vehicles are packed at the Defendant/Respondent's premises.
- 6. The sum of N3.47 Million accrued as the proceeds of the contract on the 18th day of January, 2020 the receipt which the Claimant/Applicant acknowledged. The receipt is hereby attached as EXHIBIT A2.
- 7. The Defendant converted the 20 (twenty) buses to its sole use and for other purposes different from the terms of the contract.
- 8. I believe that irreparable damage or injury will be caused to the Claimant/Applicant if the application is not granted.
- 9. The Claimant/Applicant undertakes to pay all damages caused to the Defendant/Respondent if this application ought not to have been granted.

10. I swear to this affidavit in good faith believing same to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and in accordance with the Oath Act.
DEPONENT
Sworn to at the High Court Registry, Lagos State Thisday of2020
BEFORE ME
COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS
Sample of Written Address in Support of Motion
IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA
SUIT NO: HIC/02/2020 MOTION NO
BETWEEN AYORINDE NIGERIA LTDCLAIMANT/APPLICANT AND
S & E NIGERIA LTDDEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
WRITTEN ADDRESS
INTRODUCTION My Lord, before this honourable court is an application for a motion on notice dated 21 st day of January, 2020 and filed on the 21 st day of January, 2020. My Lord, the motion is brought pursuant to Order 43 Rule 1 High Court Civil Procedure Rules of Lagos State 2019 and the inherent jurisdiction of the court. My Lord, the motion is praying this honourable court to grant an interlocutory injunction restraining the Defendant/Respondent from (State the reliefs sought in the motion)

My Lord, this motion is supported by a 10-paragraph affidavit deposed to by one Mr Nwibo Nelson. My Lord, we rely on all the paragraphs of the affidavit particularly paragraphs 3-11.

SUMMARY OF FACTS (State the Facts in brief)

ISSUES FOR DETERMINATION:

LEGAL ARGUMENT

My Lord, it is an established principle of Law that before an application of this nature can be granted, certain factors must be established which include: Legal Issue, balance of convenience, substantial issue to be tried.... These have been well established in the case of Obeya Memorial Hospital v. A.G. Federation & Anor(1987) 7 SC (Pt.1) 52.

My Lord, we wish to submit that considering the fact deposed to in the affidavit already before this court, the Claimant/Applicant has a legal right and substantial issue to be tried.

CONCLUSION

We therefore urge the court to grant this application. We respectfully, submit.

Dated this	day of	2020
------------	--------	------

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON:

Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor
Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos.

LIST OF AUTHORITIES CITED

1. Obeya Memorial Hospital v. A.G. Federation & Anor. (1987) 7 SC (Pt.1) 52

Week 8 SUMMARY JUDGMENT PROCEDURE

A summary judgment is one entered in favour of the Plaintiff or Claimant summarily, without going a full trial, i.e. hearing of evidence, address by counsel before the court's judgment. Such judgment is based on the writ of summons, statement of claim, and sometimes statement of defence. In some instances, there may be no pleadings but just affidavit by the Plaintiff and counter affidavit by the Defendant.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ORDER 11 SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND UNDEFENDED LIST

	ORDER 11 SUMMARY JUDGMENT		UNDEFENDED LIST
1	Applies to all suits in which the defendant	1	Applies only to recovery of debt and
	has no defence		liquidated money demand
2	Mode of application is via motion on notice.	2	Mode of application is via motion ex parte.
	See Order 43 Rule 1(1) & 3(1) Lagos;		See Cash Affairs Ltd. v. Inland Bank (Nig.)
	Order 49 Rule 1(1) Abuja		Plc (2000) 5 NWLR [Pt. 658] 568
3	Statement of claim and deposition of	3	No requirement for statement of claim and
	witnesses are to accompany writ of		deposition of witness to accompany
	summons. See <i>Order11 Rule 1 Lagos/Abuja</i>		statement of claim. See <i>Order 35 Rule 1</i>
			Abuja
4	Suits are entered under summary judgment	4	Suits are entered under the undefended list
	procedure by the Registrar		procedure by the Judge in Chambers. See
			Order 35 Rule 1(1) Abuja
5	Where the matter is transferred to the	5	Where the matter is transferred under the
	general cause list after the defendant has		general cause list after the defendant has
	disclosed a defence on the merit, hearing		disclosed a defence on the merit, hearing
	will have to be by pleadings		can be by affidavits of parties without
			requiring pleadings. See <i>Order 35 Rule 3(1)</i>
			Abuja
6	Application is brought under Order 11 of the	6	Application is brought under Order 35 of
	Extant Rules (Lagos & Abuja)		Abuja Rules

,	7	Where the defendant wants to defend, there	7	Where the defendant wants to defend, there
		is no requirement for filing notice of		is requirement for filing notice of intention
		intention to defend		to defend. See Order 35 Rule 3 Abuja

Sample Drafts Application for Summary Judgment

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

MOTION ON NOTICE

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 13 RULE 1 AND ORDER 43 RULE 1 HIGH COURT OF THE LAGOS STATE (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES 2019 AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THE COURT

TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court shall be moved on the 6th day of December, 2020 at the hour of 9 O'clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as Counsel to the Claimant/Applicant may be heard for:

- 1. AN ORDER FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT IN FAVOUR OFTHECLAIMANT/APPLICANT AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENTS.
- 2. AND FOR SUCH FURTHER ORDER OR ORDERS as the honourable court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

GROUNDS FOR THE APPLICATION

TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the ground of this application is that the Defendants/Respondents having been served with all relevant originating processes in this suit has no defence.

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON: Ugwu Dominic Esq. Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Sample of Affidavit in Support of Application for Summary Judgement

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO: CIV/552/2020
	MOTION NO
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

<u>AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION ON NOTICE</u>

- I, Nwibo Nelson, Adult, Male, Christian, Managing Director to AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD, and Nigerian Citizen of No. 64 Ilupeju Estate, Lagos State do hereby make oath and state as follows:
- 1. I am the Managing Director to the Claimant/Applicant in this suit.
- 2. By virtue of my position, I am conversant with the fact and circumstances of the suit and other related facts,
- 3. That I have the authority of the Claimant/Applicant to depose to this affidavit.

- 4. A contract was made between the Claimant/Applicant and Defendant/Respondent which contract was evidenced in writing dated 14th day of January, 2019. The agreement is hereby attached as EXHIBIT AI.
- 5. Twenty (20) vehicles were purchased only for the purpose of implementing the contract and the 20(twenty) vehicles are packed at the Defendant/Respondent's premises.
- 6. The Sum of N3.47 Million accrued as the proceeds of the contract performed from 14th January, 2019 to 20th January, 2020, the receipt which the Claimant/Applicant acknowledged. The receipt is hereby attached as EXHIBIT A2.
- 7. The Defendant converted the 20 (twenty) buses to its sole use and for other purposes different from the terms of the contract.
- 8. The Defendant/Respondent's right will not be affected in any way if this application is granted.
- 9. I swear to this affidavit in good faith believing same to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and in accordance with the Oath Act.

 	٠.	 																			
		С)E	=	F)	C)	١	١	E	Ξ	١	١	-	Ι	•				

Sworn to at the High Court Registry, Lagos State
This 4th Day of December, 2020

BEFORE ME	
COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS	

Sample of Written Address for Summary Judgment

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: CIV/552/2020

	MOTION NO
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

WRITTEN ADDRESS

INTRODUCTION

My Lord, before this honorable court is an application for summary judgment dated and filed on 4th day of December, 2020. My Lord, the motion is brought pursuant to Order 13 Rules 1 and Order 43 Rule 1 of the High Court Civil Procedure Rules of Lagos State 2019 and the inherent jurisdiction of the court. My Lord, the motion is praying this honorable court as follows:

- 1. Specific performance in respect of the N3, 470, 000 (Three Million Four Hundred and Seventy Thousand Naira) that accrued as the proceeds of the contract performed between the parties from 14th January 2019 to 20th January,2020; and
- 2. General damages of N6, 000,000 (Six Million Naira) arising from delay of the defendant to pay the balance.

My Lord, this motion is supported by a 9-paragraphs affidavit deposed to by one Nwibo Nelson. My Lord, we rely on all the paragraphs of the affidavit particularly paragraphs 4-7.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

The Claimant and Defendant entered into a contract and a partnership agreement on the 14th day of January, 2019 that deals with the purchase and supply of 20 vehicles. The contract was entered into in Ikeja though the performance was meant to be in Ikoyi Lagos where the Defendant (S and E Ltd) had its offices. The sum of N3.47 million was realized as proceeds of the contract performed by the parties from 14th January 2019 to 20th January 2020. The Defendant breached the agreement between the parties by refusing to hand over

the sum of N3.37 million due to the Claimant from the contract and also converted the 20 vehicles from the contract for its sole use.

ISSUES FOR DETERMINATION

1. Whether the defendant has no defence to the action.

LEGAL ARGUMENTS

My Lord, it is evidence from the above that the agreement was made to pay the sum of N3, 470, 000 (Three Million Four Hundred and Seventy Thousand Naira) to the Claimant as part of his share for the profit that accrued from the contract between the parties. This sum has not been paid to the claimant which necessitate this action. It has been established without controversy from the given evidence that the claimant is entitled to the sum of N3, 470,000 (Three Million four Hundred and Seventy Thousand Naira) as part of its proceed from the contract and also entitle to 10 vehicles out of the 20 vehicle the Defendant wants to convert to its sole use.

Again, the length of time taken before this action is instituted coupled with the value of the sum involved in this matter and the cost of this action resulting from the breach of the defendant, the claimant is entitled to the sum of N5,000,000 (Five Million Naira) as general damages.

Flowing from the above arguments, it is the believe of the claimant/applicant that the defendants have no defence to the action as held in the case of *Iron Products Ltd. v. SAC (1992) 4 NWLR (PT. 238) 734*.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, based on the detail argument canvassed in favour of the claimant/applicant above and the facts contained in the pleadings coupled with unequivocal pieces of evidence, we urge the court to grant the application and the claims of the claimant/applicant as follows:

- An Order for the payment of the sum of N3, 470,000 (Three Million Four Hundred and Seventy Thousand Naira) as part of its proceed from the contract between the parties; and
- 2. Order for the payment of damages of N5,000,000 (Five Million Naira) arising from delay of the defendant to pay the balance.

We respectfully, submit.

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria Island Lagos

FOR SERVICE ON:
Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor
Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

LIST OF AUTHORITIES CITED

1. Iron Products Ltd. v. SAC (1992) 4 NWLR (PT. 238) 734 2.....

Undefended List Application

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA
IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT ABUJA SUIT NO: CIV/552/2020

MOTION NO_____

BETWEEN

AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD......CLAIMANT/APPLICANT AND

S & E NIGERIA LTD......DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

MOTION EX-PARTE

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 35 RULE 1 OF THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES 2018 AND UNDER THEINHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT

TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court may be moved on the 6th day of December, 2020 at the hour of 9 O'clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as counsel for the Applicant may be heard praying this Honourable Court for the following orders:

1. ANORDER OF COURT PLACING THIS WRIT OF SUMMONS ON THEUNDEFENDED LIST

2. AND FOR SUCH ORDER FURTHER ORDERS this Honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020

EYEKE KENNETH, ESQ COUNSEL TO CLAIMANT/APPLICANT EYEKE KENNETH& CO Plot 76 Democracy Extension, Abuja

<u>Sample of Affidavit in Support of Application to Place Writ on The</u> <u>Undefended List</u>

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA
IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT ABUJA

	SUIT NO: MOTION
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION EX-PARTE UNDER THE UNDEFENDEDLIST

- I, Nwibo Nelson, Adult, Male, Christian, Managing Director to AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD, and Nigerian Citizen of No. 64 Ilupeju Estate, Lagos State do hereby make oath and state as follows:
- 1. I am the Managing Director to the Claimant/Applicant in this suit.
- 2. By virtue of my position, I am conversant with the fact and circumstances of the suit and other related facts,

- 3. That I have the authority of the Claimant/Applicant to depose to this affidavit.
- 4. A contract was made between the Claimant/Applicant and Defendant/Respondent which contract was evidenced in writing dated 14th day of January, 2019. The agreement is hereby attached as EXHIBIT AI.
- 5. The sum of N3.47 Million accrued as the proceeds of the contract performed from 14th January, 2019 to 20th January, 2020, the receipt which the Claimant/Applicant acknowledged. The receipt is hereby attached as EXHIBIT A2.
- 6. The defendant has afterwards refused to pay the Claimant the sum of money due to him from the contract even after several demands.
- 7. I know that the defendant has no defence to the claim
- 8. I swear to this affidavit solemnly and conscientiously believing same to betrue and correct and in accordance with the Oaths Act.

DEPONENT

Sworn to At the F.C.T. High Court Registry, Abuja This 4th day of December, 2020.

Before me
.....
COMMISSIONER FOR OATH

<u>Written Address in Support of Application to Place Writ on The Undefended</u> <u>List</u>

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT ABUJA

	SUIT NO:
	MOTION
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

WRITTEN ADDRESS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION EX-PARTE UNDER THE UNDEFENDED LIST

INTRODUCTION

My Lord, before this honourable court is an application for leave to place this suit under the Undefended List. The motion is dated and filed on the 4th day of December, 2020. My Lord, the motion is brought pursuant to Order 35 Rule 1 of the High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules of Federal Capital Territory, 2018 and under the inherent jurisdiction of the court.

My Lord, this motion is supported by an 8-paragraph affidavit deposed to by one Nwibo Samuel My Lord, we rely on all the paragraphs of the affidavit particularly paragraphs 4-7.

STATEMENT OF FACT

The case arose as a result of the refusal of the defendant to pay the claimant the agreed sum of N3, 470, 000 which was properly reflected in the contract agreement which they entered into on 14th January 2019. This money duly accrued from the proceeds of the contract which the defendant ought to give the Claimant as part of his share in the contract.

ISSUE(S) FOR DETERMINATION

The sole issue for determination is: Whether the defendant has no defence to this suit.

LEGAL ARGUMENTS

My Lord, it is the believe of the Claimant/Applicant that the Defendant has no defence to the action. We shall be relying on the authority of the Supreme Court as held *in Iron Products Ltd. v. SAC (1992) 4 NWLR (PT. 238) 734*.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, based on the detail argument canvassed in favour of the Claimant/Applicant above and the facts contained in the pleadings coupled with unequivocal pieces of evidence, we urge the court to grant the application and the claims of the Claimant/Applicant as follows:

- 1. An order to the Defendant to pay the sum of N3,470,000 (Three Million Four Hundred and Seventy Thousand Naira) to the Claimant
- 2. An order to the Defendant to pay the sum of N5,000,000 (Five Million Naira) as general damages

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020

EYEKE KENNETH, ESQ COUNSEL TO PLAINTIFF/APPLICANT EYEKE KENNETH& CO Plot 76 Democracy Extension Abuja

LIST OF AUTHORITIES CITED
1. Iron Products Ltd. v. SAC (1992) 4 NWLR (Pt. 238) 734
2

Notice of Intention to Defend Under the Undefended List

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT ABUJA

	SUIT NO:
	MOTION
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DEFEND

TAKE NOTICE that the defendant intends to defend the suit at the hearing.

Dated this 6th day of December, 2020.

OKPARA MICHAEL, ESQ COUNSEL TO DEFENDANT Whose address for service: 24, Ray Power Road Asokoro, Abuja

For Service on:
EYEKE KENNETH, ESQ
COUNSEL TO PLAINTIFF/APPLICANT
EYEKE KENNETH& CO
Plot 76 Democracy Extension
Abuja

NOTE: This Notice of Intention to defend shall be accompanied by an affidavit disclosing defence on the merit and a written address [see page 125&126 of Akin O. Oluwadayisi Sample Draft]

Week 9 PLEADINGS (EXAMS)

Pleadings are written statements of fact set out in summary form which is filed and exchanged by the parties in a civil action commenced by writ of summons. Pleadings usually contain the material facts which the party serving it intends to use in proof of his case. Whether a case will succeed or not is depending on pleadings. No rule provides that pleadings must be in a particular form. Essentially, the basic rules for drafting pleadings are the same but pleadings drafted by lawyers A and lawyer B on the same facts will be different. Always adopt precedents effectively.

Pleadings are not used in the Magistrate courts. They are used ONLY in actions commenced by writ of summons. However, it must be noted that the court may order pleadings to be filed and exchanged in an action wrongly commenced by originating summons where there are disputes of facts. See *Adeyelu II v. Ajagungbade III*.

Types of Pleadings

- 1) Statement of claim
- 2) Statement of defense
- 3) Reply. [This is the second pleading filed by plaintiff to defendant to respond to new matters in SOD].
- 4) Set-Off/Counter-claim
- 5) Defenses to Set-Off/Counter-claim.
- 6) Further and better particulars

N/B Originating processes such as writ of summons, originating summons, petition, originating application (motion) are not the same as pleadings

Contents of Pleadings

- 1) Statement of material facts on which the Claimant bases his claim or the Defendant relies on for his defense.
- 2) Statements of fact and not evidence by which facts will be proved *Order 15 Rule 2 Abuja*.
- Statements of fact in which the law can be inferred and not the law itself Abacha v. Fawehinmi.
- 4) Relief sought by a party

SAMPLE DRAFTS OF PLEADINGS <u>Accompanying Documents for the Claimant (Pleadings)</u>

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: This is the first pleading and it initiates the machinery of pleadings in an action. The statement of claim is filed by the Plaintiff and served on the Defendant, stating the case that the Plaintiff intends to put up in court. It is made of three major parts; Introductory Averments, Body and Prayer/Relief and Damages

Sample Draft of Statement of Claim

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

 α

	SUII NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

- 1. The Claimant is a limited liability company registered in Nigeria, which deals in rendering catering services and supply of general goods and merchandise with its registered/head office at No. 21, Street, Victoria Island, Lagos.
- 2. The Claimant is also a registered contractor with the Defendant.
- 3. The Defendant is a limited liability company registered in Nigeria, which deals in supply of general goods and merchandise with its registered/head office at No. 2, Allen Avenue, Ikoyi, Lagos.
- 4. The Claimant avers that a valid partnership contract was made between the Claimant and the Defendant on the 2nd day of January, 2019 which is still subsisting. The said partnership contract dated 2nd Day of January, 2019 is hereby pleaded and will be relied upon at the trial.
- 5. The Claimant avers that the purpose of the contract is to perform a catering service to the Students Representative Council of the Nigerian Law School across the six campuses for which the 20(twenty) vehicles were purchased in the partnership's name. The receipt of purchase of the 20(twenty) vehicles dated 3/2/2019 is hereby pleaded and will be relied upon at the trial.

- 6. The Claimant avers that both parties performed the contract and subsequently, the sum of N3, 470, 000 (Three million four hundred and seventeen thousand naira) accrued. The Receipts of the said sum is hereby pleaded and will be relied upon at the trial.
- 7. The Claimant avers that in April, September and December 2020, several letters of demand were written to the Defendant for the share of the proceeds of the contract and the 20 vehicles. The letters of demand are hereby pleaded and will be relied upon at the trial.
- 8. The Claimant avers that the Defendant refused to respond to any of the letters and instead purported to convert the 20 vehicles to its sole use and as such breached the terms of the partnership contract between the parties.
- 9. The Claimant avers that by reason of the matters stated above, the Claimant suffered loss and damages.
- 10. THE CLAIMANT CLAIMS AS FOLLOWS:
 - (a) A DECLARATION that the contract between the parties is still subsisting;
 - (b) CLAIM FOR SHARE of the sum of №3, 470, 000 (three million four hundred and seventeen thousand naira) being the proceed of the contract between the parties in equal proportion of №1,735, 000; and
 - (c) AN ORDER FOR THE SHARING OF THE 20 VEHICLES between the parties in equal proportion of 10 vehicles to each of the parties.

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020.

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ
CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S
SOLICITORS
EYEKE KENNETH & CO
No. 1 Akins way, Victoria Island Lagos

FOR SERVICE ON:
Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor
Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

List of Witnesses

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

LIST OF WITNESSES

- 1. Nwibo Nelson of No. 1 Benakol Street, Victoria Island, Lagos
- 2. Deborah Gift Gideon of No. 5 Abacha Street, Victoria Island Lagos

Dated this 4th day of January 2020.

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON: Ugwu Dominic Esq. Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Evidence to Rely Upon

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

EVIDENCE TO RELY UPON

TAKE NOTICE that the Claimant shall, during trial, rely on the following evidence:

- 1. Partnership Agreement between the parties;
- 2. Receipt of purchase of the 20 (twenty) vehicles;
- 3. Receipt of the sum of ₦3, 470, 000 (three million four hundred and seventeen thousand naira) being proceeds of the contract written in favour of the partnership venture;
- 4. Letters of demand of money written by the claimant;

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020.

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria Island Lagos

FOR SERVICE ON: Ugwu Dominic Esq. Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Claimant's Witness Statement on Oath

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	===
	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
WITNESS STATEMENT ON	I OATH OF TOBI DAFF

- I, Nwibo Nelson, Adult, male, Christian, a Director and Nigeria citizen of No. 1 Benakol Street, Victoria Island, Lagos do make oath and state as follows:
- 1. I am a director in the Claimant Company by virtue of which I am conversant with facts of this suit.
- 2. I have the authority of the Claimant to make this statement on oath.
- 3. The Claimant entered into a valid partnership contract with the defendant between January, 2019 and January 2020.
- 4. The contract was evidenced in writing in compliance and is hereby pleaded.
- 5. The purpose of the contract is to perform a catering service to the Students Representative Council of the Nigerian Law School across the six campuses for which the 20(twenty) vehicles were purchased in the partnership's name.
- 6. The contract was performed by both parties and subsequently the sum of N3, 470, 000 (three million four hundred and seventeen thousand naira) accrued.
- 7. The Claimant wrote several letters of demand to the Defendant for the share of the proceeds of the contract and the 20 vehicles.
- 8. The Defendant refused to respond to any of the letters and instead purported to convert the 20 vehicles to its sole use and as such breached the terms of the partnership contract between the parties.
- 9. The Claimant has suffered loss and damages as a result of the facts stated above.
- 10. I make this statement conscientiously and in good faith according to the Oath Act.

	Witness	

Sworn to at the High Court Registry, Lagos

This 4th day of December, 2020

Before me
Commissioner for Oaths

Pre-Action Protocol Form 01

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH PRE-ACTION PROTOCOL

- I, Eyeke Kenneth, Claimant's legal practitioner, make oath and state as follows:
- 4. I have complied with the directions of the Pre-action Protocol as set out in Order 1 Rule 1(4) (ii) (e) of the High Court Rules.
- 5. I have made attempts to have this matter settled out of Court with the Defendant and such attempts were unsuccessful. Such attempts include:
 - (d) Negotiation held on the 2nd day of December 2020, which ended in a deadlock.
 - (e) Attempts to reconvene another meeting to try to negotiate, which was never successful.
 - (f) Mediation organized and headed by Dr James Agaba, which also ended in a deadlock.

6. I have by a Written Memorandum to the Defendant, set out the Claimant's claim and options of settlement.

Dated at Lagos this 4th day of December, 2020

BEFORE ME	
COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS	

Accompanying Documents for the Defence

STATEMENT OF DEFENCE; This is the pleading filed by the Defendant in response to the allegations of fact in the Plaintiff's statement of claim. The defendant can respond to the statement of claim by:

- 1. Admission
- 2. Traverse/denial
- 3. Objection on point of law
- 4. Set-Off
- 5. Counterclaim

Statement of Defence

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE
IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

STATEMENT OF DEFENCE

SAVE AND EXCEPT as is expressly admitted, the Defendant denies each and every allegation of fact contained in the statement of claim as if same is herein set out and traversed seriatim —

1. The Defendant admits paragraph 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the Statement of Claim.

- 2. The Defendant denies paragraph 8 and 9 of the Statement of Claim.
- 3. The Defendant states in response to paragraph 8 and 9 of the Statement of Claim that the sum of №1, 735, 000.00 (One million and Seven and thirty Five Thousand Naira only) was paid into the Access Bank Account of the Claimant on the 7th day of November, 2020 as his share of the proceeds from the contract. A copy of the bank draft is hereby pleaded.
- 4. The Defendant further states in response to paragraph 8 and 9 of the Statement of Claim that 10 vehicles were given to the Claimant on the 7th day of November, 2020 as his share of the vehicles purchased in the partnership name.
- 5. The Defendant avers that the Claimant acknowledged receipt of the sum of N1, 735, 000 as its share of the proceeds and the ten vehicles. The letter of acknowledgement is hereby pleaded.
- 6. The Defendant avers that no letters of demand were forwarded to the Defendant by the Claimant in April, September and December 2020 or any other date.
- 7. The Defendant avers that he does not owe the Claimant the sum of ¥1, 735, 000.00 (One million and Seven and thirty Five Thousand Naira only) or any amount whatsoever.
- 8. The Defendant avers that he does not owe the Claimant 10 vehicles obtained in the partnership name or any other vehicle whatsoever.
- 9. The Defendant avers that the court lacks the jurisdiction to entertain this suit as the Claimant did not exhaust the provision for Conciliation first before resulting to Litigation which is a condition precedent before commencing this suit as provided in the partnership agreement.
- 10. The defendant states that it is not liable for the claims of the Claimant and prays the court to dismiss the suit as being frivolous and baseless and for want of jurisdiction.

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020.

UGWU DOMINIC DOMINIC& CO. DEFENDANT'S SOLICITORS NO. 10 AKINSWAY, VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS.

Page **61** of **144**

FOR SERVICE ON:
CLAIMANT
C/O EYEKE KENNETH ESQ.
EYEKE KENNETH & CO.
CLAIMANT'S SOLICITORS
NO. 1 BENAKOL ROAD,
VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS

<u>List of Witnesses for the Defence</u>

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

LIST OF WITNESSES	FOR THE DEFENCE
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD AND	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
BETWEEN	SUIT NO:
HOLDLIN	AT INEJA

- 1. Mary W. Allagoa
- 2. Nafisa Ya'u Yunusa (on Subpoena)
- 3. Joshua Chukwu (on Subpoena)

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020.

UGWU DOMINIC DOMINIC & CO.

DEFENDANT'S SOLICITORS NO. 10 AKINSWAY, LAGOS.

FOR SERVICE ON:
CLAIMANT
C/O EYEKE KENNETH ESQ.
EYEKE KENNETH& CO.
CLAIMANT'S SOLICITORS
NO. 1 BENAKOL ROAD,
VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS

Evidence to Rely Upon

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

EVIDENCE TO RELY UPON

- 1. Bank draft of the payment of the sum of N1, 735, 000.00 (One million and Seven and thirty Five Thousand Naira only) to the Claimant's Access bank account number 000012345.
- 2. Letter of acknowledgment of receipt of the sum of №1, 735, 000 and 10 vehicles by the Claimant.

Dated this 4th day of December 2020.

UGWU DOMINIC ESQ DOMINIC& CO. DEFENDANT'S SOLICITORS NO. 10 AKINSWAY, VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS.

FOR SERVICE ON:
CLAIMANT
C/O EYEKE KENNETH ESQ.
EYEKE KENNETH& CO.
CLAIMANT'S SOLICITORS
NO. 1 BENAKOL ROAD,
VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS

Defendant's Witness Statement on Oath

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

WITNESS STATEMENT ON OATH OF NAFISA YA'U YUNUSA

- I, Nafisa Ya'u Yunusa, Female, Accountant, Nigerian Citizen residing at No. 12, Tolulope Olaide Street, Ikeja, Lagos, do hereby make oath and state as follows:
- 1. I am the Accountant of the Defendant Company by virtue of which I am conversant with the facts of this case.
- 2. The Defendant Company entered into a partnership agreement with the Claimant between January 2019 and January, 2020.
- 3. The purpose of the contract is to perform a catering service to the Students Representative Council of the Nigerian Law School across the six campuses for which the 20(twenty) vehicles were purchased in the partnership's name.
- 4. The contract was performed by both parties and subsequently the sum of N3, 470, 000 (three million four hundred and seventeen thousand naira) accrued.
- 5. On the 7th day of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the board of directors paid in the sum of November, 2020 I, on the authority of the Sound II and II a
- 6. Upon confirming the account number and payment was effected, I was issued a bank teller.
- 7. The bank teller was served on the Claimant by the company secretary.
- 8. On the 7th day of November, 2020, on the authority of the board of directors, 10 vehicles were given to the Claimant as its share of the 20 vehicles purchased in the partnership name.
- 9. A letter of acknowledgement of receipt of the №1, 735, 000.00 (One million and Seven Hundred thirty Five Thousand Naira only) and the 10 vehicles was sent to the Defendant by the Claimant.

	Witness
Sworn to at the High Court Registry, Lagos	
Dated this 4 th Day of December, 2020.	
BEFORE ME	
COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS	

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 1. A counsel should disclose all facts even if the facts are against his client *Rule 15(3) (e) RPC*.
- 2. A counsel should not plead false facts
- 3. A counsel should not file frivolous pleadings
- 4. A counsel should take full responsibility for his brief.
- 5. A counsel should draft the pleadings competently and in accordance to his clients instruction
- A counsel should not sign a pleading prepared by a none lawyer
 A counsel should affix his seal and stamp on the pleadings Rule 10 RPC

Week 10 PRE-TRIAL ISSUES AND PRE-TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

When pleadings are closed, parties may, before trial begins, make one of several applications to court or undertake proceedings which should be properly settled before trial. Sometimes, such applications or proceedings may lead to termination of the suit even before trial commences. Such applications and or proceedings include striking out pleadings, discovery and interrogatories, case management or pre-trial conference, settlement of issues, consolidation and setting down the matter for hearing.

DRAFT OF SAMPLE APPLICATIONS FOR IN PRE-TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

<u>STRIKING OUT PLEADINGS</u>: Instances for Striking Out Pleadings – <u>Order 15</u> Rule 16 & 18 Abuja & Order 17 Rule 15 Lagos

- i. Non-Disclosure of Reasonable Cause of Action
- ii. Non-Disclosure of Reasonable Defence to the Action
- iii. Frivolous, Vexatious, Embarrassing or Scandalous Pleading
- iv. Abuse of Court Process

Application for Striking Out a Suit

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE
IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006 MOTION NO.....

MOTION ON NOTICE

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 4 RULE 1 OF THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES, 2019 AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT

TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court may be moved on the 6th day of December, 2020 in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as Counsel to Applicant will be heard praying this Honourable Court for the following order:

- 1. AN ORDER STRIKING OUT THE SUIT OF THE CLAIMANT;
- 2. AND FOR SUCH FURTHER OR OTHER ORDERS as the Honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the grounds for the objection are as follows:

- 1. Failure to first submit the matter to conciliation as agree in clause 2 of the partnership agreement between the parties.
- 2. Commencing it in the wrong judicial division.

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020

.....

UGWU DOMINIC ESQ DOMINIC& CO. DEFENDANT'S SOLICITORS NO. 10 AKINSWAY, VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS.

FOR SERVICE ON:
CLAIMANT
C/O EYEKE KENNETH ESQ.
EYEKE KENNETH& CO.
CLAIMANT'S SOLICITORS
NO. 1 BENAKOL ROAD,
VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS

Affidavit in Support of Motion on Notice for Striking Out

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

П	OLDEN AT INEJA
	SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006 MOTION NO
BETWEEN AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD AND	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION ON NOTICE

- I, Mary W. Allagoa, female, Christain, Nigerian citizen, Managing Director of No. 65, Agric Oke-Aro, Ikorodu, Lagos, do hereby make oath and state as follows:
- 1. I am the Managing Director of the Defendant/Applicant Company by virtue of which I am conversant with the facts of this suit.
- 2. The Defendant/Applicant had since been served with the Originating Processes in this proceedings.
- 3. The Defendant/Applicant has reacted to the said processes by filing its statement of defence together with all other relevant documents, all of which have been served on the Claimant/Respondent.
- 4. The Claimant/Respondent instituted this action without first having recourse to conciliation as agreed by the parties in clause 2 of the partnership agreement between the parties.
- 5. The Claimant/Respondent instituted this action in the wrong judicial division (Ikeja) as the contract between the parties was agreed to be performed and actually performed in Ikoyi as stated in clause 5 of the partnership agreement. The partnership agreement is hereby attached as Exhibit A1.
- 6. I make this affidavit in good faith believing the contents to be true and correct and in accordance with the Oaths Act.

DEPONENT	

Sworn to at the High Court Registry, Lagos

This 4th day of December, 2020

Before me	
COMMISSIONER FOR OATH	

Written Address in Support of Motion for Striking Out a Suit

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006 MOTION NO
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

WRITTEN ADDRESS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

INTRODUCTION

Before this Honourable is a motion on notice dated and filed on the 4th day of December, 2020. The Application is brought pursuant to Order 4 Rule 1 of the Rules of this Honourable Court and under the inherent jurisdiction of this Honourable Court seeking the Order of this Honourable Court striking out the suit of the Claimant/Respondent herein constituted for failure to first resort to conciliation and institution of the suit in a wrong judicial division. The application is supported by a 6 paragraph affidavit deposed to by Mrs Mary W. Allagoa. We shall rely on all the paragraphs of the said affidavit especially paragraphs 3-5.

BRIEF STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Claimant filed a suit in court against the Defendant without first resorting to conciliation as agreed by the parties in clause 2 of the partnership agreement between them. The Claimant also filed the suit in the wrong judicial division, Ikeja Judicial Division.

ISSUE FOR DETERMINATION

My Lord, the sole issue for determination is whether the Defendant/Applicant is entitle to an order of this Honourable Court striking out the suit of the Claimant/Respondent.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

There are condition precedents which are to be met before the commencement of an action. Such conditions must be fulfilled by claimant and his counsel before commencing an action. See *Okolo v Union Bank of Nigeria*. In this instance, the Claimant/Respondent failed to fulfill the condition precedent of submitting the matter to conciliation as agreed by the parties in clause two of their partnership agreement. My Lord, a close perusal at clause 2 of the partnership agreement shows that where dispute arises between the parties in respect to the partnership, parties shall first resort to conciliation before litigation. However, the Claimant/Respondent went straight to court to institute proceedings in court by virtue of which has made this suit unripe for hearing i.e. the court is seized of jurisdiction to entertain the matter until such has been submitted to conciliation. Where condition precedents are not fulfilled, the court will have no jurisdiction to entertain the matter.

It is equally trite my Lord, that by virtue of Order 4 Rule 1 of this court, actions are to be commenced in the appropriate judicial division. It is also a trite rule of law that the court that has jurisdiction to entertain matters arising from breach of contract are court situated in the place where the contract was intended to be performed or where the contract was performed. In this instance, under clause 5 of the partnership agreement, the contract was to be performed and was actually performed in Ikoyi and not Ikeja. Thus, the appropriate judicial division to entertain this suit is the court sitting in Ikoyi.

In the case of *Madukolo v. Nkemdilim* and *Odofin v. Agu*, the court held that, issue of jurisdiction is a threshold issue that goes into the root of the matter, no matter how well the matter was conducted it goes to no issue and liable to be set

aside on appeal. The appropriate order to make when the court lacks jurisdiction is to strike out the matter.

CONCLUSION

We therefore urge the Court to grant the application by making an order to strike out the suit of the Claimant.

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020

.....

UGWU DOMINIC ESQ DOMINIC& CO. DEFENDANT'S SOLICITORS NO. 10 AKINSWAY, VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS.

FOR SERVICE ON:

CLAIMANT
C/O EYEKE KENNETH ESQ.
EYEKE KENNETH& CO.
CLAIMANT'S SOLICITORS
NO. 1 BENAKOL ROAD,
VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS

LIST OF AUTHORITIES CITED

- 1. Okolo v. Union Bank of Nigeria (1996) 7 NWLR (Pt 461) 833.
- 2. Nkemdilim v. Madukolo
- 3. Odofin v. Agu

Motion on Notice for Striking Out of Pleadings

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006
	MOTION NO
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

MOTION ON NOTICE

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 17 RULE 15 OF THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES 2019 AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT

TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court will be moved on the 6th day of December, 2020 at the Hour of 9 'O'clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as Counsel on behalf of the Applicant may be heard praying the court for the following:

- 1. AN ORDER striking out the Claimant/Respondent's Statement of Claim for the non-disclosure of a reasonable cause of action.
- 2. AND FOR FURTHER ORDER(S) this Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020

UGWU DOMINIC ESQ DOMINIC& CO. DEFENDANT'S SOLICITORS NO. 10 AKINSWAY, LAGOS.

FOR SERVICE ON: CLAIMANT C/O EYEKE KENNETH ESQ. EYEKE KENNETH& CO.

Motion on Notice for Leave to Issue Notice to Produce Document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT ABUJA

	SUIT NO: HC/ABJ/020/006 MOTION NO:
BETWEEN	
MR EVBUOMAN EDWARD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
CASSY BLACK NIGERIA PLC	DEFENDANT/ RESPONDENT
MOTION ON NO	<u>OTICE</u>

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 28 RULE 8(1) OF THE HIGH COURT OF THE FCT ABUJA (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES 2018 AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS COURT

TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court will be moved on the 6th day of December, 2020 at the Hour of 9 'O'clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as Counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff /Applicant can be heard praying for:

- 1. AN ORDER granting leave to the Claimant/Applicant to file a Notice to Produce documents on the Defendant/Respondent.
- 2. AN ORDER directing the Defendant/Respondent to produce the following documents for inspection to wit:
 - (a) Notice of Supply of substandard goods dated the 1st day of June 2020
 - (b) Agreement on supply of 500 Tons of Plasma Television
- 3. AND FOR SUCH FURTHER ORDER(S) this Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

S. K. EYEKE& CO.
CLAIMANT'S SOLICITORS
NO.10 AKINSWAY,
VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS

FOR SERVICE ON: DEFENDANT

Interrogatories (Form 19) Lagos

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006

BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

INTERROGATORIES

Interrogatories on behalf of the above named Claimant for examination of Mr. Nafisa Ya'u Yunusa, Accountant of the above named Defendant.

- 1. Did you not deposit the sum of N3, 470,000.00 on the 30th day of November, 2020 in a Current Account No 0023459379 with Zenith Bank Nig. Plc in an understanding that such sum would be made out in favour of the Defendant?
- 2. Did you not register 20 Toyota Hilux trucks on the 1st day of March 2020 on the understanding that it be used to further the business partnership with the Claimant/
- 3. Are you not aware that failure of the Defendant to honour the terms of the Partnership Agreement as at when due would affect the Claimant's liquidity adversely and as to the survival of the Claimant's business?

Dated the 6th day of December, 2020

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria Island

Lagos

FOR SERVICE ON:
Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor

Answer to Interrogatories (Form 20) Lagos

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006

BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTDCLAIMAN	T/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTDDEFENDANT	/RESPONDENT
ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES	
The answers of the above named Defendant, S & E NIGER	,
Interrogatories for his examination by the above named claima	
In answer to the said Interrogatories, I Mr.Nafisa Ya'u Yunus	sa make Oath and
State as follows:	
1. Yes	
2. Yes	
3. No, not to my knowledge	
I, the above named Mr Nafisa Ya'u Yunusa, do hereby solem	,
is my name and handwriting and that the facts deposed by me	in this affidavit are
the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.	
	DEPONENT
	DEFONENT
Sworn to at the High Court Registry,	
Dated the 6 th day of December, 2020	
BEFORE ME	
COMMISIONER FOR OATHS	

Application for Discovery of Documents Order 26 Rule 8(1) Lagos

EYEKE KENNETH & CO LEGAL PRACTITIONERS AND SOLICITORS

NO. 1 BENAKOL ROAD, VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS

	Eyekekennethsamuel@gmail.com 08102959631
Our Ref:	Your Ref
	6 th day of December, 2020

Ugwu Dominic Esq. Dominic & Co **Defendant Solicitor** Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane Lagos

Dear Sir,

AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD V. S & E NIGERIA LTD SUIT NO: HC/LG/O20/006 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

We write on behalf of Ayorinde Nigeria Limited, our client, in the above matter pending before the High Court of Lagos State.

Pursuant to Order 29 Rule 6 of the Lagos State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 2019, we request your client to make discovery of the following documents which are within its possession:

- 1. The Bank Statement from 1st March 2020 to 1st January 2021 of Zenith Bank Nig. Plc Current A/C No: 0023459379, A/c Name: S and E Nigeria Limited within the possession of your client.
- 2. The particulars of 20 vehicles (Toyota Hilux trucks 2017 model) jointly purchased by our client and yours and which have been in your client's possession.
- 3. Any other documents that are or have been in your possession, custody, power or control relating to the matter in question.

Thanks for	your corporation	as we expect	your early	response.
	<i>J</i>		,	, ,

Eyeke Kenneth Esq. FOR: S. K. EYEKE& Co

Affidavit in Answer to Request for Discovery of Documents - Form 21

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

		SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006
BE	ETWEEN	
ΑY	YORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
ΑN	ND	
S	S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
<u>A</u>	AFFIDAVIT IN ANSWER TO REC	UEST FOR DISCOVERY OF DOCUMENTS
I, N	Nafisa Ya'u Yunusa, Female, Ac	countant, Nigerian Citizen residing at No. 12,
То	olulope Olaide Street, Ikeja, Lagos	s, do hereby make oath and state as follows:
1.	I am the Accountant of the Det	endant in this action by virtue of which I am
	conversant with the facts of this	case.
2.	I have the consent and the a	uthority of the Defendant to depose to this
	affidavit.	
3.	•	of the bank statement to Zenith Bank Nig. Plc
		Vc Name: S and E Nigeria Limited. A copy of
		hed to this affidavit and marked "Exhibit A".
4.	•	on of the particulars of the 20 Toyota Hilux
	-	the particulars all of the cars are hereby
_		rked "Exhibits B1-B20" respectively.
5.	•	ments within the possession of the defendant
^	which are relevant to this case.	and the state of t
6.	_	pelieving same to be true and correct and in
	accordance with the Oaths Law	
		DEPONENT
		DEF ONEINT
	Sworn to at t	ne High Court Registry,
		day of December, 2020
		,
	В	EFORE ME
	COMMISI	ONER FOR OATHS

Case Management Information Sheet - Order 27 Rule 1 Lagos (Form 18)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006

BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & F NIGERIA I TD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

CASE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SHEET

This Case Management Information Sheet is intended to include references to all applications which the parties would wish to make at the Case Management Conference. Application not covered by the standard questions raised in this Case Management Information Sheet be entered under item 12 below:

All parties shall not later than 7 days before the first Case Management Conference, file and serve on all parties:

- (a) All applications in respect of matters to be dealt with before trial including but not limited to the matters listed hereunder;
- (b) Written answers to the questions contained in this Case Management Information Sheet.
- 1. Do you require that this action be consolidated with any other action(s)? If so give Particulars.
- 2. Are amendments to any originating or other processes required?
- 3. Are further and better particulars of any pleadings required? If so, specifically what particulars are required?
- 4. Do you object to Interrogatories that may have been delivered pursuant to Order 29 Rule 1 of the High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules? If so, state the grounds of such compliance with Order 29 Rule 1.
- 5. Do you object to any document in respect of which a request for discovery has been made pursuant to Order 29 Rule 8(1) of the High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules? If so, state the grounds of such objection in compliance with Order 29 Rule 1 of the Rules.
- 6. If you intend to make any further admission give details.

- 7. Will interpreters be required for any witness? If so state in what language.
- 8. Is this a case in which the use of a single or joint expert might be suitable? If not, state reasons.
- 9. Is there any way the Court can assist the parties to resolve their dispute or particular issues in it without the need for trial or full trial?
- 10. Have you considered some form of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) procedure to resolve or narrow the dispute or particular issue in it? If yes, state the steps that have been taken? If not, state reasons.
- 11. State any question or questions of law arising in your case, if any, which you require to be stated in the form of a special case for the opinion of the judge in accordance with Order 31 of the rules.
- 12. List the applications you wish to make at the Case Management Conference.

Dated the 6th day of December, 2020

.....

UGWU DOMINIC ESQ DOMINIC& CO. DEFENDANT'S SOLICITORS NO. 1 BENAKOL ROAD, VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS.

FOR SERVICE ON:
CLAIMANT
C/O EYEKE KENNETH ESQ.
EYEKE KENNETH& CO.
CLAIMANT'S SOLICITORS
NO. 10 AKINSWAY,
VICTORIA ISLAND, LAGOS

NB:

Note that parties shall file their issues for determination along with this Form.

Answers to Questions Contained in Case Management Information Sheet

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006

BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS CONTAINED IN CASE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SHEET

- 1. No consolidation required.
- 2. No amendment required.
- 3. No further and better particulars of pleadings required.
- 4. Interrogatories have been served and answered in accordance with the rules. Also there is no objection.
- 5. There was a request for documents and defendant has duly complied. Therefore, there is no objection.
- 6. No admission.
- 7. No interpreters required.
- 8. No expert witness required.
- 9. The Court may intervene by advising the Defendant to pay the amount claimed, that is the sum of N1, 735, 000.00 (One million, Seven hundred million and Thirty Five Thousand Naira only). On its part, the Claimant is willing to make a concession by forgoing the interest that has accumulated on the said sum, but however insists on an equal division between the parties, of the 20 vehicles jointly purchased by the parties.
- 10. No Alternative Dispute Mechanism required.
- 11. No question requiring special case for the opinion of the Judge.
- 12. Motion for interlocutory Injunction restraining the defendant from the use of 20 vehicles pending the determination of this suit.

Dated the 6 th	day of Decemb	er,	2	02	20)				

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON: Ugwu Dominic Esq. Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

_

Report of the Case Management Conference

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE
IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT IKEJA

SUIT NO: HC/LG/020/006

BETWEEN
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD.......CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND
S & E NIGERIA LTD......DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

REPORT OF THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

- 1. The Case Management Conference began on the 2nd day of November, 2020 at the High Court of Lagos State. Parties had exchanged pleadings an pleadings closed on the 4th day of December, 2020.
- 2. The Claimant's action is in respect of the contract and partnership agreement entered into between the parties in 2020. The Claimant claimed as follows:
 - (a) The Sum of №1, 735, 000.00 (One million, Seven hundred million and Thirty Five Thousand Naira only) as proceeds of various contracts executed by the parties between January 2019 and December 2020.
 - (b) Equal division of 20 Toyota Hilux Trucks jointly bought by the parties pursuant to the partnership agreement.
 - (c) A declaration that the Partnership Agreement between the parties is valid and subsisting.
- 3. The Defendant denied liability. It denied being indebted to the claimant in any sum and further denied that the partnership agreement was still valid and subsisting.

- 4. Issues were settled as follows:
 - (a) Whether the claimant is entitled to the sum of \$\frac{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\t
 - (b) Whether the Partnership Agreement was validly executed?
 - (c) Whether both parties are joint owners of the 20 vehicles in the defendant's possession bought pursuant to the purported partnership agreement?
- 5. The Defendant brought a preliminary objection to strike out the suit on the grounds that the Court lacked jurisdiction but the Court overruled the Preliminary Objection and held that the Court possessed jurisdiction because the contract was a simple contract and the Defendant was within the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 6. The Claimant filed and served Interrogatories on the Defendant and the Defendant responded. The Claimant requested from the Defendant, discovery of documents to with particulars of the 20 vehicles. The Defendant responded in an affidavit attaching the document requested.
- 7. No facts were admitted or requested and no expert witness was sought to be used.
- 8. The Claimant intends to make an application for an Interlocutory Injunction restraining the Defendant for converting the 20 vehicles.
- 9. On consideration of the whole circumstances, I find that there is a matter to be tried.

However, the precondition for instituting action in this matter, according to clause 5 of the Partnership Agreement between the parties dated the 1st day of March 2019 is that the matter first be taken to Conciliation. Thus, I hold that without an attempt at Conciliation, the matter is premature for litigation. I therefore refer this matter to the Lagos Multi-Door Courthouse to enable the parties to attempt Conciliation in a bid to amicably settle this matter. The parties are to report back to the Court on the 20th of January 2021.

The Proceedings at this Case Management Conference are therefore stayed pending the outcome of the attempt at conciliation at the Lagos Multi-Door Court House

Hon Justice Benemone, Warefiniere Rachael High Court Lagos.

WEEK 11 TRIAL PREPARATION AND EVIDENCE

The principles and rules of evidence constitute integral part of civil trial. A sound knowledge of the principles of evidence law puts a litigation lawyer on top of his game. A case is won or lost on the basis of availability of evidence or lack of it. Therefore, a fair knowledge of the principles and rules of evidence is cardinal to aspirants to the bar

It is inline with this that some of the essential principles and rules of evidence are incorporated into civil the litigation course model. This is the focus of today's lesson.

FACTS WHICH NEED NOT BE PROVED

- Admitted facts. See 123 EA
- Facts that must or may be judicially noticed. See 16 19, 122 & 124 EA
- Facts that must or may be presumed. See 145; 157 168 EA

Facts which may not be admissible in evidence

- Illegally obtained evidence admissible but may be excluded by court. S 14 & 15 EA
- Character in civil cases not admissible subject to exceptions. See S.78 & 79 EA
- Similar facts not admissible but exceptions can be found in S. 35 & 36 EA
- a. Hearsay not admissible subject to exceptions. See S. 37 & 38 EA
- b. Estoppel including res judicata See ss 169 174 EA

OPINION s. 67 - 76EA

Opinion of a witness is inadmissible as evidence of a fact. Exceptions: s. 68 Where the evidence relates to: foreign law, customary law, science or art and identification of handwriting or finger impression. Any person who is skilled in any of the areas mentioned above is an expert s.68 (2)

Week 12 & Week 13 TRIAL – EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

- Concept: this is the examination of a witness by the party who call him –
 Section 214 Evidence Act.
- **Object:** to elicit from the witness material facts that have been pleaded in the pleadings in establishment of the claim or defence.
- Proof of Facts: proof of facts shall be by written deposition and oral examination of witnesses in open court -0. 34 R. 1(1) Abuja; O. 36 R. 1(1) Lagos.
- Adopting Sworn Statement as Evidence in Chief: In all jurisdictions, oral examination-in-chief of a witness is restricted to the adoption of the witness' statement on oath; and tendering of all disputed documents or other exhibits referred to in the statement through the witness O. 34 R. 1(3) Abuja; O. 36 R. 1(4) Lagos. Adopting witness statement on oath avoids waste of time but it robs counsel of perfection of the art of examination in chief.
- Leading Questions: leading questions are prohibited in examination in chief
 and re-examination except with the leave of court Section 221(2) EA.
 However, leading question can be asked on introductory matters, undisputed
 matters and matters that have been sufficiently proved.
- Leading a Witness to Tender a Document: where counsel wants to tender document through the witness, he is to first of all lead the witness to adopt his statement on oath. He will then refer the witness to the specific paragraph in his statement on oath that makes reference to the document and ask the witness how he can identify the document. After identification of the document by the witness, counsel is to seek to tender the document through the witness. Where the document is a secondary evidence proper foundation will have to be led informing the court of the where about of the original..
- **Open Questions:** Open questions guide the witness along a story line but allow him to tell his story. It is only prompted with closed questions so as to make the witness remain on course as he tells his story. Thus, open questions are used in examination-in-chief in preference to closed questions, which operates best in cross-examination. Example includes questions starting with the words "Why", "Where", "How", "What", Describe, Explain. The advantages of open questions are:

Week 14 CLOSING ADDRESS AND JUDGMENT

A closing address also known as written address is a marriage of facts and law in other to convince the court to grant or refuse to grant claims or prayers. The written address of a counsel no matter how eloquent it is cannot substitute evidence. Thus, the courts are not bound by the final addresses of parties as it is only meant to guide the court in coming into a just determination of the matter.

Sample of Closing Address for the Defendant

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT

DEFENDANT'S FINAL ADDRESS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 My Lord, the Claimant by a Writ of Summons and Statement of Claim dated and filed on 4th December, 2020, claims against the Defendant as follows:
- (d) A DECLARATION that the contract between the parties is still subsisting;
- (e) CLAIM FOR SHARE of the sum of N3, 470, 000 (three million Four hundred and seventeen thousand naira) being the proceed of the contract between the parties in equal proportion v of N1,735, 000; and
- (f) AN ORDER FOR THE SHARING OF THE 20 VEHICLES between the parties in equal proportion of 10 vehicles to each of the parties.

2.0 BRIEF STATEMENT OF FACTS

2.1 A valid partnership contract was made between the Claimant and the Defendant on the 1/3/2015. The purpose of the contract is to perform a catering service to the Students Representative Council of the Nigerian Law School across the six campuses for which 20(twenty) vehicles were purchased in the

partnership's name. Both parties performed the contract and subsequently, the sum of N3, 470, 000 (three million Four hundred and seventeen thousand naira) accrued. The sum of N1, 735, 000.00 (One million Seven Hundred and Five Thousand Naira only) was paid into the Diamond Bank Account of the Claimant on the 7/11/2020 as its share of the proceeds from the contract. Ten (10) vehicles were given to the Claimant on the same day as his share of the 20 vehicles purchased in the partnership name. The Claimant acknowledged receipt of the sum of N1, 735, 000 as its share of the proceeds and the ten vehicles through a letter. The Claimant nevertheless on no justifiable ground instituted an action in this court for breach of contract.

2.2 My Lord, at the course of presentation of its case, the Claimant called two witnesses and tendered 4 exhibits which all were admitted in evidence. On the other hand, the Defendant called three witnesses out of which two were on subpoena, and tendered 2 exhibits which were all admitted in evidence.

3.0 ISSUES FOR DETERMINATION

My Lord, from the case presented by the parties, the Defendant humbly submits the following sole issue for determination by this honourable Court:

Whether the Claimant has reasonable cause of action as to entitle him to all the reliefs sought.

4.0 LEGAL ARGUMENT

- 4.1 My Lord, we respond in negation and respectfully submit that the Claimant in this suit has not disclosed any reasonable cause of action which can necessitate the institution of this suit as there is no act or omission on the part of the Defendants. We most respectfully refer your Lordship to the case of **Sahinmi v. Gov. Lagos State (2006) 10 NWLR (PT 987) 1** where the court held as follows:
- The statement of claim of a Plaintiff must disclose a cause of action, set out the legal right of the Plaintiff and the obligation of the Defendant. It must also go on to set out facts constituting infraction of the plaintiff's legal right or failure of the Defendant to fulfill his obligation or duty in such a way that if there is no proper defense the Plaintiff will succeed in the relief or remedy.
- 4.2 My Lord, on the meaning and constituents of cause of action, we respectfully refer his Lordship to the case of *Mboem v. Nigerian Mining Corp (2006) 13 NWLR (Pt 998) atPage 662*, where the Court of Appeal states as follows:

- —A cause of action is a set of facts which establishes or gives rise to the right to sue and or factual situation which gives a party right to judicial relief. The cause of action in a suit incorporates every fact (not evidence) which will support a party's right to succeed or to have judgment of the court in his favour. In a Plaintiff's claim, his cause of action include every act or omission on the part of the Defendant which gives the Plaintiff cause of his complaint.
- 4.3 My Lord, going by the above decision, the Claimant in this suit has no cause of action as the facts adduced by the claimant in its statement of claim does not in any way show any act or omission of the Defendant which might have given right to a cause of action thereby necessitating the institution of this suit. The facts does not in any way show that there was a breach of contract by the Defendant.
- 4.4 Moreover, the Supreme Court of Nigeria in *Ojukwu v. Yar' Adua* (2009)12 NWLR (Pt. 1121) at Page 75stated two elements of cause of action as follows: Wrongful act of the Defendant which gives the Plaintiff his cause of complaint; and the consequent damage
- 4.5 My Lord looking at these two elements, it is categorically clear that there is no any wrongful act on the part of the Defendants which can lead to this action as there was no breach of the contract or attempt to convert the 20 vehicles or proceeds of the contract to the sole use of the Defendant.
- 4.6 My Lord, at the course of presentation of its case, the Defendant called DW1, the accountant of the Defendant who testified to the effect that after complete performance of the contract by the parties, the sum of N1, 735, 000 was transferred to the Diamond Bank account of the Claimant on the 7/11/2020 and 10 vehicles were also transferred to the Defendant on the same day, which the Claimant acknowledge that in writing. The said written acknowledgment was tendered by the Defendant in evidence and was admitted.
- **4.7** My Lord, the Defendant also called DW2, the Secretary to the Claimant who testified to the existence and issuance of the said acknowledgment letter by the Claimant. DW2 also admitted during cross examination that the signature on the said letter was hers and that of the Managing Director of the Claimant. In further support of this position, they drew the attention of the court to the similarity of the signature of the Managing Director on the acknowledgment letter and the

partnership agreement. The signature is the same which shows that the letter acknowledging the receipt of the share of the proceeds from the contract and the vehicles actually emanated from the Claimant.

- **4.8** My Lord, DW3, the Bank Manager of Access Bank Plc, who was on subpoena produced before this honourable court, a bank record of the transaction which took place on the 7/11/2020 showing clearly that the sum of N1,735,000 was transferred to the account of the Claimant.
- **4.9** My Lord, all the witnesses who testified for the Claimant did not in any way show the specific breach of contract committed by Defendant. In fact, all the Claimant's witnesses were in tandem with the fact that the sum of N3.470,000.00 million naira accrued from the proceeds of the contract which evidence of such payment was tendered in evidence by the Claimant. My Lord, this clearly shows that the contract was completely performed by the parties, that is why the complete payment of the fee for the services rendered have been made. One wonders how a breach of contract was made after the contract has been completely performed and thereby discharged.
- **4.10** My Lord, on the issue of complete performance of a contract, the courts in *Cutter v. Powell (1795) 6 Term Rep 320; Re Moore and Launder (1921) 2 KB* **519** held that completion of a contract discharges the contract.

5.0 CONCLUSION

5.1 We hereby respectfully urge this Honourable Court to dismiss the case/suit of the Claimant with substantial cost for being frivolous, lacking merit and being instituted with fraudulent intention.

Dated this 4th day of December, 2020

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON:
Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor
Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Sample of Judgment

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUII NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	CLAIMANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
JUD	GEMENT

The Claimant instituted this action by a specially endorsed writ of summons dated the 4th December, 2020 in which the claimant claimed against the defendants as follows:

- 1. A DECLARATION that the contract between the parties is still subsisting;
- 2. CLAIM FOR SHARE of the sum of N3, 470, 000 (three million four hundred and seventeen thousand naira) being the proceed of the contract between the parties in equal proportion v of N1, 735, 000; and
- 3. AN ORDER FOR THE SHARING OF THE 20 VEHICLES between the parties in equal proportion of 10 vehicles to each of the parties.

At the beginning of the trial, counsel on both sides announced appearance. The Claimant opened its case by calling two witnesses who testified on the existence of a partnership agreement and contract between the Claimant and the Defendant and the attempt of the Defendant to convert the 20 vehicles of the partnership to its sole use. The Claimant also tendered four exhibits which all were admitted and marked Exhibit C1, C2, C3 and C4 respectively. The Defendant on the other hand called 3 witnesses who testified on how the contract was completely performed between the parties and how the how the proceeds of the contract was shared between the parties. The Defendant also tendered two exhibits which were all admitted in evidence and marked as Exhibit D1 and D2. I have considered the testimonies of the witnesses called by the parties and the exhibits tendered by them. I have also considered the submissions of counsel on both sides and it is my respectful opinion that two issues call for determination in this matter:

- 1. Whether there was a contract between the parties
- 2. Whether there was a breach by the defendant.

On the 1st issue, I am of the opinion that there was a valid contract and partnership agreement between the parties executed in accordance to the *Contract Law of Lagos State* and *Partnership Law of Lagos State* respectively. Exhibit C1 clearly bears this position out especially as the defendant did not seriously join issue on this point. The court in the case of *Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co (1893) 1 QB 256* the court defined contract as an agreement between two or more parties which the law will enforce and recognize as affecting the legal right and duties of the parties. For a contract to be valid, there must be offer, acceptance, consideration and intention to create legal relations which in my view all these essential elements are present in the contract between the parties. Thus, the court resolves this issue in affirmation that there was a valid contract between the Claimant and the Defendant as both parties are in consensus about the existence of the contract.

On the 2nd issue, I hold that there was no breach of contract by the defendant. This is because the position of the law as can be seen from a long line of cases is that whoever comes to equity must come with clean hands. The claimant having received its share of the proceeds from the contract performed by the parties and the 10 vehicles out of the 20 vehicles purchased in the partnership name cannot subsequently complain that there was breach of contract. This is to show that complete and actual performance of the contract has been made which there by renders the contract as discharged.

The Claimant through CW1 averred that the Defendant is attempting to convert the 20 vehicles to its sole use. However, the Claimant has not adduced any evidence prove of that allegation. The Claimant went further to tender the receipts of the vehicles which was admitted in evidence. This in turn has left the court in doubt as to how the Claimant came into possession of the receipts of the vehicles without being in control of vehicles itself.

On the case presented by both parties and the evidence adduced in support of their case, the court has come to an irresistible conclusion that there was no breach of contract by the Defendant and the Claimant has no reasonable cause of action against the Defendant. On the whole, I dismiss the claimant's claims in their entirety. I further order that both parties are to bear their respective costs.

This 4th day of January, 2021.

Aniekan Udo-Okon Emmanuel
Judge

Week 15 ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT AND APPLICATIONS PENDING APPEAL

Enforcement of judgment refers to all the available method of enforcing judgment. Execution of judgment is restricted to enforcement by Writs. A need to enforce judgment only arises when the judgment debtor is unwilling or refusing to pay the judgment sum or carry out the order of the court. Thus, when a judgment debtor is in compliance, there will be no need for enforcement.

DRAFT SAMPLE OF APPLICATIONS

Application for Stay of Execution

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE
IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO: HC/LAG/34/019 MOTION NO: APPEAL NO:
BETWEEN S & E NIGERIA LTDAND	APPELLANT/APPLICANT
AND AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	RESPONDENT

MOTION ON NOTICE

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 58 RULE 1 OF HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES 2019 AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT

TAKE NOTICE that this honourable Court will be moved on the 6th day of January, 2021 at the hour of 9 O' Clock in the forenoon or so soon afterwards as the applicant or counsel on his behalf may be heard praying this honourable Court for the following orders:

- 1. AN ORDER for the stay of execution of the judgment of this Honourable Court in Suit No HC/LAG/63/14 delivered by his Lordship Aniekan Udo-Okon Emmanuel on the 4thday of January, 2021 pending the determination of the appeal of the Appellant/Applicant filed on the 6th day of January, 2021 at the Registry of this honourable Court, against it at the Court of Appeal, Lagos Division.
- 2. AND FOR SUCH FURTHER ORDERS as this honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the grounds upon which this application is brought are:

- 1. The Applicant has filed a notice of appeal
- 2. The Applicant will be deprived of his benefit of appeal if it turns out in favour of the Applicant.

Dated this 6th day of January, 2021.

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO

No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON:
Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor
Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Affidavit In Support of Application for Stay Of Execution

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO: HC/LAG/34/020
	MOTION NO:
	APPEAL NO:
BETWEEN	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	APPELLANT/APPLICANT
AND	
AYORINDE NIGERIA I TD	RESPONDENT

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR STAY OF EXECUTION

- I, Chidinma Odiari, adult, female, business woman, Christian, Nigerian Citizen residing at No. 7 Suru Street, Ikeja, Lagos, do hereby make oath and state as follows:
- 1. I am the company secretary/legal adviser to the Applicant Company and by virtue of my position I am conversant with the facts deposed to in this affidavit.
- 2. I have the consent and authority of the applicant to depose to this affidavit.
- 3. I know that on the 5th day of January, 2021, the Respondent obtained judgment in the High Court of Lagos State at the Ikeja Judicial Division against the Applicant for the payment of the sum of N1, 735,000 (One Million Seven Hundred and Thirty Five Thousand Million Naira) as debt owed.
- 4. A Certified True Copy of the judgment is hereby attached as Exhibit A1.
- 5. I know that the Applicant filed an appeal on the 6th day of January 2021 at the Court of Appeal, Lagos Division. A copy of the Notice of Appeal is hereby attached as Exhibit A2.
- 6. Ground 2 of the Notice of Appeal states that the Honourable Court ought not to have ruled in favour of the Respondent on the issue of jurisdiction of the trial court as the matter was not first submitted to conciliation as agreed by the parties.
- 7. Ground 3 of the Notice of Appeal states that the Honourable Court ought not to have entertained the matter as the action was commenced in the wrong judicial division.

- 8. If this application is not granted the applicant will not be able to reap the fruit of his appeal if the appeal succeeds.
- 9. This application is necessary and it is in the interest of justice pending the determination of the appeal.
- 10. The Applicant undertakes to bear cost and damages if the appeal fails or turn out to be frivolous
- 11. I swear to this affidavit solemnly and conscientiously believing its contents to be true and correct in accordance with the Oaths Act.

DEPONENT	

SWORN TO AT THE HIGH COURT REGISTRY, LAGOS This 6thday of January, 2021.

BEFORE ME
COMMISSIONER FOR OATH

Written Address in Support of Motion

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION **HOLDEN AT IKEJA**

	SUIT NO: HC/LAG/34/020
	MOTION NO:
	APPEAL NO:
BETWEEN	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	APPELLANT/APPLICANT
AND	

WRITTEN ADDRESS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD ------RESPONDENT

Introduction

S&E AND

My Lord, this is an application in which the Judgement Creditor is seeking the Order of Stay of Execution of judgement of this Honourable Court pending the determination of appeal already filed.

Brief Statement of Facts

My Lord, the facts are that I know that on the 25th day of February, 2019, the Respondent obtained judgment in the High Court of Lagos State at the Ikeja Judicial Division against the Applicant for the payment of the sum of N1, 085,000 (One Million and Eighty Five Thousand Million Naira) as debt owed. The Applicant filed an appeal on the 28th day of February 2019 at the Court of Appeal, Lagos Division.

Issue for Determination

My Lord, the major issue sought out here is whether the applicant is entitled to an order of this Honourable Court to stay the execution of judgement having filed a Notice of Appeal.

<u>Legal Argument</u>...

Conclusion

My Lord, we therefore urge the Court to grant the application. We move in terms of our motion papers.

Dated this 6th day of January, 2021.

.....

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON:
Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor
Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Application for Stay of Proceedings

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO: HC/LAG/34/020 MOTION NO:
	APPEAL NO:
BETWEEN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
S & E NIGERIA LTD AND	APPELLANT/APPLICANT
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	RESPONDENT
MOTION ON	N NOTICE
BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 54	RULE 1 OF HIGH COURT OF LAGOS
STATE (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES	2019 AND UNDER THE INHERENT
JURISDICTION OF THIS I	
MOTION ON BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 54 STATE (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES	N NOTICE RULE 1 OF HIGH COURT OF LAGO 2019 AND UNDER THE INHERENT HONOURABLE COURT

TAKE NOTICE that this honourable Court will be moved on the 6th day of January, 2021 at the hour of 9 O' clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as counsel for the Applicant may be heard praying this honourable Court for the following:

- AN ORDER staying proceedings of this court in the matter pending the determination of the appeal No CA/01/2021 filed against the decision/ruling of this honourable Court in Suit No HC/LAG/63/20 delivered by his Lordship Aniekan Udo-Okon Emmanuel on the 5th day of January, 2021 at the Court of Appeal, Lagos Division.
- 2. AND FOR SUCH FURTHER OR OTHER ORDERS as this honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

Dated this 6 th day of Januar	ry, 2021
	EYEKE KENNETH ESC

CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS
EYEKE KENNETH & CO
No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON:
Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor
Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Affidavit In Support Of Application For Stay Of Proceedings

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA

	SUIT NO: HC/LAG/34/020
	MOTION NO:
	APPEAL NO:
BETWEEN	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	APPELLANT/APPLICANT
AND	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	RESPONDENT

<u>AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS</u>

- I, Nafisa Ya'u Yunusa, Female, Accountant, Nigerian Citizen residing at No. 12, Tolulope Olaide Street, Ikeja, Lagos, do hereby make oath and state as follows:
- 1. I am the Appellant/Applicant in the Suit.
- 2. I have since been served with the order of the court directing that I pay the Respondent the sum of N500, 000 before the conclusion of the trial.
- 3. I have reacted to the said order by filing Notice of Appeal against the order.
- 4. If this application is not granted the applicant will not be able to reap the fruit of his appeal if appeal succeeds.
- 5. Refusal of this application will inflict greater hardship than it would avoid if granted.
- 6. This application is necessary and it is in the interest of justice pending the determination of the appeal.

7. I make this affidavit in good faith believing the and in accordance with the Oaths Act.	e contents to be true and correct		
	DEPONENT		
SWORN TO AT THE HIGH COURT This 6 th day of January			
BEFORE ME			
COMMISSIONER FOR	OATH		
Application for Injunction Pending Appeal			
IN THE HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE IKEJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT IKEJA			
	SUIT NO: HC/LAG/34/020 MOTION NO: APPEAL NO:		
BETWEEN AYORINDE NIGERIA LTDAND	APPELLANT/APPLICANT		
S & E NIGERIA LTD	RESPONDENT		
MOTION ON NOTICE BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER 58 RULE 1 OR ORDER 43 RULE 1 OF HIGH COURT OF LAGOS STATE (CIVIL PROCEDURE) RULES 2019 AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT			

TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court will be moved on the 6th day of January, 2021 at the hour of 9 O'Clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as

counsel for the Applicant may be heard praying this Honourable Court for the following:

- AN ORDER FOR INJUNCTION RESTRAINING the Respondent/Claimant FROM ACTING ON THE JUDGMENT OR INTERFERING WITH THE PROPERTY PENDING the determination of the appeal No CA/01/21 against the JUDGMENT of this Honourable Court delivered in Suit No HC/LAG/34/20 on the 5th day of January, 2021 filed against it at the Court of Appeal, Lagos Division.
- 2. AND FOR SUCH FURTHER OR OTHER ORDERS as this Honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

Dated this 6th day of January, 2021.

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ CLAIMANT/APPLICANT'S SOLICITORS

EYEKE KENNETH & CO

No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos

FOR SERVICE ON:
Ugwu Dominic Esq.
Defendant/Respondent's Solicitor
Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Week 16 APPEALS

Note: for the purpose of MCQ, time to file appeal in criminal proceedings is ninety (90) days; while in civil proceedings, it is three (3) months.

Appeals involve proceeding from the judgment of a trial court to an appellate court or from one appellate court to another appellate court. Appeals are important because there is a realization that judges are human and they can be wrong. Thus, opportunity is given for another set of judges to assess the decision of a lower judge. Also, appeal helps curb the excessive power of the trial court. There is no inherent or general right of appeal. The right to appeal is purely statutory – *Adigun v. AG Oyo State*.

SAMPLE DRAFT ON APPEALS

Notice of Appeal

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF NIGERIA
IN THE LAGOS JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT LAGOS

SUIT NO: HC/LAG/02/020

	APPEAL NO:
BETWEEN	
AYORINDE NIGERIA LTD	APPELLANT/APPLICANT
AND	
S & E NIGERIA LTD	RESPONDENT

TAKE NOTICE that the Appellant being dissatisfied with the decision of the lower court delivered by His Lordship, Justice Aniekan Udo-Okon Emmanuel of the High Court of Lagos dated 5th day of January, 2021, more particularly stated in paragraph 2 of this Notice of Appeal do appeal to the Court of Appeal upon the grounds set out in the paragraph 3 and will at the hearing of the appeal, seek the relief sought in paragraph 4.

The appellant further states that the names and addresses of the persons directly affected by the appeal are those set out in paragraph 5.

2. DECISION APPEALED AGAINST:

The whole decision of the court; attached is a certified copy of the judgment.

3.GROUNDS OF APPEAL

Ground 1

The learned Trial Judge erred in law when he came to the conclusion that the Contract was illegal and void.

Particulars: The Contract is a valid contract under the Contract Law of Lagos State and contains all the ingredients of a contract.

Also, it is not prohibited under it or any other existing laws; so the question of illegality does not come into question.

4. RELIEFS SOUGHT:

The appellant hereby seeks the following reliefs:

- 1. An order setting aside the decision of the lower court.
- 2. A declaration that the contract between the parties is valid and subsisting in law
- 3. Any such other orders that the court may deem fit to grant in the circumstances of this case.

5. PERSONS DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY THIS APPEAL ARE:

Name

Address

- (a) Ayorinde Nigeria Ltd (Appellant) of No 5 Victoria Island Road, Ikoyi, Lagos
- (b) S&E Nigeria Ltd of Plot 5 Ikoyi Street, Banana Island, Lagos.

Dated this 6th day of January, 2021

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ APPELLANT'S SOLICITOR EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos State

FOR SERVICE ON:

Ugwu Dominic Esq. Respondent's Solicitor Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Appellant's Brief of Argument

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF NIGERIA
IN THE LAGOS JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT LAGOS

SUIT NO: HC/LAG/02/020 APPEAL NO:.....

APPELLANT'S BRIEF OF ARGUMENT

INTRODUCTION

This action was commenced by writ of summons and duly filed along with it were the statement of claim, written statement on oaths of witnesses and certificate of pretrial counseling as required by the Rules of Court. The Defendant was duly served the processes on 6th November, 2020.

The Defendant entered unconditional appearance and filed its statement of defence and counterclaim, written statement on oaths of witnesses, copy of contract agreement and a copy of invoice issued by the defendant. They were all served on the Plaintiff on 5th January, 2020.

BRIEF FACTS RELEVANT TO THE APPEAL

The Claimant called two witnesses, Mrs Deborah Gift Gideon and Mr. Nwibo Nelson. After adopting her deposition on oath, CW1, the Claimant's manager was cross-examined and she testified that a contract and partnership agreement was entered between the Claimant and the Respondent on 1st day of January, 2020. CW2, the expert witness, also adopted her deposition on oath and affirmed the existence of 20 vehicles purchased in the partnership name in other to execute the contract agreement.

The defendant called three witnesses, Mary W. Allagoa, Nafisa Ya'u Yunusa (on Subpoena), Joshua Chukwu(on Subpoena). DW1, the company's accountant, who after adopting her deposition on oath and on cross-examination testified that the sum of N1, 735, 000.00 (One million, Seven Hundred and Thirty Five Thousand Naira only) was transferred to the Claimant's Access bank account number 000012345 as the Claimant's share of the proceeds of the contract. DW2, testified about the share of the 20 vehicles purchased in the partnership name, while DW3 tendered a bank record of the transfer of the Claimant's share of the proceeds.

GROUNDS OF APPEAL AND PARTICULARS OF THE GROUNDS Ground 1

The learned Trial Judge erred in law when he came to the conclusion that the Contract was illegal and void.

Particulars: The Contract is a valid contract under the Contract Law of Lagos State and contains all the ingredients of a contract. Also, it is not prohibited under it or any other existing laws; so the question of illegality does not come into question.

ISSUE FOR DETERMINATION

Whether the Trial Judge erred in law when he came to the conclusion that the contract was invalid.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

Whether the Trial Judge erred in law when he came to the conclusion that the Contract was invalid

- It is trite law that the elements of a valid contract are offer, acceptance, consideration, capacity and intention to enter into legal relations. All these elements can be glimpsed from the facts of the case. This was also given judicial credence in the case of Ojuade & Sons v. D.N.T Nig. Ltd. 2009 13 NWLR Pt 1099 (1534).
- 2. The existence of a valid contract between the two parties is evidenced by the written partnership agreement which cannot be varied by oral testimony. In the absence of the elements of fraud, duress, undue influence and misrepresentation, the contract stands as valid in law.
- 3. The trial judge therefore erred when she held that the contract between the parties was invalid.

RELIEFS SOUGHT:

The appellant hereby seeks the following reliefs:

- 1. An order setting aside the decision of the lower court.
- 2. A declaration that the contract between the parties is valid and subsisting in law
- 3. Any such other orders that the court may deem fit to grant in the circumstances of this case

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This Honourable Court is therefore urged to resolve the sole issue in favour of the Claimant/Appellant, grant the reliefs sought and allow the appeal in that: The trial judge erred in law in holding that there was no breach of contract.

LIST OF AUTHORITIES:

Ojuade & Sons v. D.N.T Nig. Ltd. 2009 13 NWLR Pt 1099 (1534).

EYEKE KENNETH ESQ APPELLANT'S SOLICITOR EYEKE KENNETH & CO No. 1 Akins way, Victoria IslandLagos State

FOR SERVICE ON:

Ugwu Dominic Esq. Respondent's Solicitor Plot A2 Ikoyi Lane, Lagos

Week 17 RECOVERY OF POSSESSION OF PREMISES

A landlord who seeks to recover his premises from a tenant must comply very strictly with the provisions of the relevant laws. The slightest deviation from the requirements of the law will frustrate such attempt to recover possession, no matter how troublesome and terrible such tenant may be.

SAMPLE DRAFTS ON RECOVERY OF POSSESSION OF PREMISES

<u>Letter of Instruction to Recover Premises</u>

No 9, Atiku Expressway, Off Grace Court Avenue, Ikoyi Lagos. 3rd July, 2020.

Eyeke Kenneth Samuel Eyeke Kenneth & Associates No. 12 Kings College, Lekki Phase 1, Lagos.

Dear Sir,

LETTER OF INSTRUCTION TO RECOVER PREMISES

I, Chief Ibori T. Success, owner of 6 bedroom flat situate at No. 35 Agaba Street, Ikeja Lagos, do hereby give instructions to you as my solicitor to take all necessary steps to recover possession of the said premises and arrears of rent on the said premises currently in occupation by one Mr. Mohammed Sani who is occupying the said premises as a yearly tenant with whom I wish to terminate the tenancy in existence.

I shall of course pay the necessary fees. Thank you for your co-operation.

Yours faithfully,

Chief Ibori T. Success.

Encl:

Copy oftenancy agreement.

Notice to Quit EYEKE KENNETH & ASSOCIATES BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

NO 22, KINGS CLOSE LEKKI PHASE 1 LAGOS Eyekekennethsamuel@gmail.com Phone; 08102959631

Our	Ref:	Your	· Ref:	.Date:	20th	July,	2020

To: Mr. Mohammed Sani No. 35 Agaba Street,

lkeja, Lagos.

Sir,

NOTICE TO QUIT

I, Eyeke Kennethas solicitor to Chief Ibori T. Success, your landlord, and on his behalf give you notice to quit and deliver up possession of the 6 bedroom flat together with the appurtenances situate at No. 35 Agaba Street, Ikeja Lagos, which you hold of him as a yearly tenant between 29th January, 2019 to28th day of January, 2021.

Dated this 20th day of July, 2020

Eyeke Kenneth, Esq., Solicitor to the Landlord Eyeke Kenneth & Associate 22, Kings Close Lekki, Phase 1, Lagos.

Notice of Owner's Intention to Recover Possession

EYEKE KENNETH & ASSOCIATES BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

NO 22, KINGS CLOSE LEKKI PHASE 1 LAGOS

Eyekekennethsamuel@gmail.com Phone; 08102959631

Our Ref:Date: 20th January, 2021

To: Mr. Mohammed Sani

No. 35 Agaba Street,

lkeja, Lagos.

Sir,

NOTICE OF OWNER'S INTENTION TO APPLY TO RECOVER POSSESSION (7 DAYS NOTICE)

I, Eyeke Kennethas solicitor to Chief Ibori T. Success, your landlord, the owner, and on his behalf do hereby give you notice that unless peaceable possession of the 6 bedroom flat together with the appurtenances situate at No. 35 Agaba Street, Ikeja Lagos State, which you held of the owner under a yearly tenancy, which tenancy was determined by a Notice to Quit from me on the 28th day of January 2021, and which premises are now held over and detained from the said owner, be given to the owner on or before the expiration of the seven (7) clear days from the service of this notice, I shall apply to the Magistrate acting for the District of Lagos, for summons to eject you and any person from the premises.

Dated this 20th day of January, 2021.

Eyeke Kenneth, Esq., Solicitor to the Landlord Eyeke Kenneth & Associate 22, Kings Close Lekki, Phase 1, Lagos

Note: The Statutory Notices can be drafted on ordinary paper, letter head paper or inserting heading of the court where the action for recovery of possession of premises will be commenced.

Claim against Person Refusing to Deliver Up Possession of Premises

IN THE MAGISTRATE COURT OF LAGOS STATE IN THE LAGOS MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT HOLDEN AT LAGOS

	CLAIM NO
	PLAINT NO: (ABUJA)
	SUIT NO: (OTHERS)
BETWEEN	
CHIEF IBORI T. SUCCESS	CLAIMANT
AND	
MOHAMMED SANI	DEFENDANT

<u>CLAIM</u> (IN LAGOS)<u>WRIT</u> (HIGH COURT) <u>PLAINT</u>(IN ABUJA) <u>PARTICULARS</u> OF CLAIM (IN OTHERS)

- 1. The claimant is entitled to possession of the six bedroom flat with appurtenances situate at No 35 Agaba Street, Ikeja Lagos, which the claimant let to the defendant as a yearly tenant at the rental value of \$\frac{1}{2}\$+700, 000.00 (Seven hundred thousand naira only) per annum in respect of which tenancy was determined by Notice to Quit given by the Claimant.
- 2. The Claimant did serve on the Defendant a Notice in writing of his intention to apply to recover possession of the said 6 bedroom flat with appurtenances situate at No 35 Agaba Street, Ikeja Lagos.
- 3. The Claimant claims against the Defendant as follows:
 - AN ORDER of this court for the recovery of possession of the said premises comprising of 8 bedroom flat situate at No 35 Agaba Street, Ikeja Lagos.
 - 2. AN ORDER of this court for the payment of arrears of rent from 2020 till date which is N 700,000.00 (Seven hundred thousand naira).
 - 3. AN ORDER of this court for the payment of mesne profit at the rate of N50, 000 per month from the month of January 20 till judgment.
 - 4. AN ORDER for payment of general damages to the tune of N300.000.00 (Three hundred thousand naira).
- 4. Grounds of possession (Optional)
 - (a) Arrears of rent
 - (b) Nuisance

DATED THIS 20th DAY OF JANUARY, 2021

Eyeke Kenneth, Esq., Counsel to the Claimant Eyeke Kenneth & Associate 22, Kings Close Lekki

FOR SERVICE ON: Mr. Muhammed Sani The Defendant No. 35 Agaba Street, Ikeja, Lagos.

When an action is to be filed at the High Court, it will be by Writ as in Form A or TL1; if the action is to be filed before the District Court of the FCT, Abuja, it will be by Plaint as in Form F and a Summons will be issued as in Form G and in the Magistrates Court of Lagos State, it will be by Claim as in Form TL6B and a Summon in Form TL6A will be issued. See the Schedule to Recovery of Premises Act, Abuja and the Lagos State Tenancy Law, 2011 ~Page 238 (NLS) Civil Litigation Practice Handbook

Week 18 ELECTION PETITION

Applicable Law: An election petition is a special proceeding where actions for the purpose of challenging the validity of an election or disputing the return of a candidate or claiming the return of a candidate are commenced by petition.

- 1. CFRN (Second Alternation) Act 2010
- 2. CFRN (First Alteration) Act 2010
- 3. Electoral Act 2010 (as amended 2011)
- 4. Election Tribunal and Court Practice Direction 2011
- 5. Rules of Procedure for Election Petition (First Schedule to the Act)
- 6. Note: Electoral Amendment Act 2014 (but lecture will not focus on this)

DRAFTING OF ELECTION PETITIONS

Petition

IN THE GOVERNORSHIP ELECTION TRIBUNAL OF EBONYI STATE OF
NIGERIA
HOLDEN AT ABAKALIKI

PETITION NO: EPT/GOV/001/20

ELECTION TO THE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR OF EBONYI STATE OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF NIGERIA HELD ON 21ST OF MARCH 2019

BETWEEN

1. DR. EZE VICTOR

- PETITIONERS
- 2. NIGERIAN YOUTH DEMOCRATIC PARTY AND
- 1. CHIEF ONU FELIX
- 2. ACTION CONGRESS PARTY

RESPONDENTS

3. INDEPENDENT NATIONAL ELECTORAL COMMISSION (INEC)

PETITION

THE PETITION OF DR. EZE VICTOR OF NO. 52, EZEKUNA STREET, EZZA SOUTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, EBONYI STATE, WHOSE NAME IS SUBSCRIBED

- 1.0 The 1st Petitioner Dr. Eze Victor, was a candidate at the above election that held on the 21th day of March, 2019, where the 1st Respondent was a candidate.
- 1.1 The 1st Petitioner contested under the platform of the 2ndPetitioner (Nigeria Youth Democratic Party). The 1st Respondent contested under the platform of the 2nd Respondent (Action Congress Party).
- 1.2 The results as released by the 3rd Respondent were as follows (despite the fact that there were no elections in 5 out of the 13 Local Government Areas in Ebonyi State)

CANDIDATE PARTY VOTES
Chief Onu Felix ACTION CONGRESS PARTY 1,050,000 Votes
Dr. Eze Victor NIGERIAN YOUTH DEMOCRATIC PARTY 850,000 Votes
1.3 The 1st Petitioner states that the Respondent, Chief Onu Felix, was then returned as the elected candidate and or winner of the election (despite the fact that there were no elections in 5 out of the 13 local government areas in Ebonyi State)

2.0 GROUNDS FOR THE PETITION:

The petitioners state that the grounds on which they rely for the petition are as follows:

- 1. The return of the 1st respondent as winner of the re-election for the office of the governor of Ebonyi State which held on the 21st of March, 2019 was invalid by reason of non-compliance with the provisions of the Electoral Act 2011 and was marred by irregularities and corrupt practices.
- 2. The 1st Respondent was not duly elected as a majority of lawful votes cast at the election, as no lawful votes were cast in 219 polling stations making up 5 out of the 21 local government areas in Ebonyi State.

3.0 FACTS IN SUPPORT OF THE PETITION:

- The petitioners state that elections did not take place in 219 polling centres making up 5 out of the 13 Local Government Areas in Ebonyi State on the 21stMarch, 2019
- 2. The petitioners state that the 3rd Respondent deliberately hoarded the election materials and released some of the election materials particularly the result sheet to agents of the 2nd Respondent (Action Congress Party) who used the said result sheets to enter the figures manufactured outside the polling units in the most reckless manner.

- 3. The petitioners state that the 3rd respondent did not supply the following election materials in 219 polling centers making up 5 out of the 13 Local Government Areas in Ebonyi State on the 21st March, 2019:
 - (a) Voters register for use in 219 polling centers making up 5 out of the 13 Local Government Areas in Ebonyi State on the 21st March, 2019.
 - (b) Result Sheets (FORM EC84A1) for the Gubernatorial Election at the respective polling units in Ebonyi State.
- 4. The petitioners state that the 3rd Respondent failed to display copies of the voters' register for each Local Government, Area Council or ward in Ebonyi State for public scrutiny.
- 5. The petitioners state that the 2nd Respondent and his agents prevented the 1st and 2nd Petitioner from free use of the media, designated vehicles, mobilization of political support and campaign at an election by causing the 1st Petitioner to be arrested by the police and detained for 14 days without telling the 1st Petitioner what offence He committed.
- 6. The petitioners state that in the 8 Local Government Areas where elections held, the voters were compelled by force by the agents of the 1st Respondent to refrain from voting the 1st Petitioner and vote for the 1st respondent or be killed and some voters refrained from voting based on the threat.
- 7. The petitioners state that the total number of voters as presented in Forms EC8A, EC8B, EC8C, EC8D, EC8F, EC8G, the voters registers used in the 8 local Government Areas where elections were held was 296,000 (two hundred and ninety six thousand) persons and the number of accredited voters on the day of election was 273,751 (two hundred and seventy three thousand seven hundred and fifty one) persons.
- 8. The petitioners state that the total number of votes cast as presented in the result sheet Form R1 is 1,900,000.00 (One million nine hundred thousand) persons and this is untenable in keeping with the number of accredited voters for the election on the 21st march, 2019.
- 9. Despite the gross irregularities and the fact that no results were collated by the 3rd respondent, the 1st respondent was declared winner of the election by 10.30 pm at the Secretariat of the 3rd respondent.
- 10. The 3rd respondent is hereby given notice to produce at the hearing of the petition the following documents
 - a. Ballot papers and ballot boxes purportedly used during the election.
 - b. All forms EC8A, EC8B, EC8C, EC8D, EC8E, EC8D allegedly used in the election on the 21st march, 2019

- c. The comprehensive voters register for all the polling booths in the country.
- d. Comprehensive list of the polling clerks, presiding officers, supervisory presiding officers, ward returning officers and the constituency returning officer that were scheduled to work at the said election.
- e. The declaration of election result sheet Form R1
- f. All other documents allegedly used during the election.

PRAYERS:

The petitioner prays the Tribunal for the following reliefs:

- 1. DECLARATION that the 1st Respondent, CHIEF ONU FELIX was not duly elected and returned as winner of the rerun election to the office of the Governor of Ebonyi State held on the 21st of March, 2019.
- 2. AN ORDER DECLARING the rerun election to the office of the Governor of Ebonyi State held on the 21st of March, 2019 was null or void.

Dated 21st day of April, 2019.

Eyeke Kenneth Esq. (Petitioner's Counsel) Eyeke Kenneth & Co. No. 17 Afikpo Road, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State.

Signed before me
This 21 st day of April, 2019
Secretary

FOR SERVICE ON:

1st Respondent CHIEF ONU FELIX 7 Uburu Road, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State.

2nd Respondent: Action Congress Party

State Headquarters 39 Ogoja Street, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State

3rd Respondent: Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) National Headquarters, 12 Nsugbe Street, Akakaliki, Ebonyi State

The Petitioner
C/o His Solicitor of the above address

Memorandum of Appearance

IN THE GOVERNORSHIP ELECTION TRIBUNAL OF EBONYI STATE OF
NIGERIA
HOLDEN AT ABAKALIKI

PETITION NO: GET/AN/001/11

BETWEEN

DR. EZE VICTOR

PETITIONERS

AND

- 1. CHIEF ONU FELIX
- 2. ACTION CONGRESS PARTY

RESPONDENTS

3. INDEPENDENT NATIONAL ELECTORAL COMMISSION (INEC)

To The Secretary

MEMORANDUM OF APPEARANCE

Please enter appearance for CHIEF ONU FELIX who is the first respondent in the above election petition.

The name and address of his Solicitor are as follows-

Nwali Ikenna Esq No. 10, Abacha Road, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State.

Dated 21st day of April, 2019

Nwali Ikenna Esq Counsel to the 1st Respondent

For Service on: The Petitioner No. 52, Ezekuna Street Ezza South Local Government Ebonyi State

Occupier: Dr. Eze Victor

Reply to Petition

IN THE GOVERNORSHIP ELECTION TRIBUNAL OF EBONYI STATE OF
NIGERIA
HOLDEN AT ABAKALIKI

PETITION NO: GET/AN/001/11

BETWEEN

DR. EZE VICTOR

PETITIONERS

AND

- 1. CHIEF ONU FELIX
- 2. ACTION CONGRESS PARTY

RESPONDENTS

3. INDEPENDENT NATIONAL ELECTORAL COMMISSION (INEC.)

REPLY TO THE PETITION OF DR. VICTOR EZE BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT

SAVE AND EXCEPT as expressly admitted in this reply, the respondents deny every allegation of fact made in the petition as if same were herein set out and traversed seriatim.

- 1. The 1st Respondents admit paragraphs 1.0. 1.1. of the Petition.
- 2. The 1st Respondents is not in a position to either admit or deny paragraph 1.2. and 1.3., and would, at the trial, put the Petitioner to the strictest proof of same.
- 3. The 1st Respondent denies paragraph 2.0.1. 2.0.2 2.0.3. and 2.0.4
- 4. The 1st Respondents is not in a position to admit or deny paragraph 3.0.1. 3.0.2. 3.0.3. 3.0.4. and 3.0.5. of the Petition and put the Petitioners to the strictest proof of same.

- 5. The 1st Respondent denies paragraph 3.0.6. of the Petition and aver that election did not hold only in two Local Government Areas due to the heavy rainfall that fell in these two location on the election day and the voters voted their candidates out of their free will.
- 6. The 1st Respondent denies paragraph 3.0.7. of the petition and state that the total number of votes as presented in Forms EC8A, EC8B, EC8C, EC8D, EC8F, EC8G, the voters registers used in the 8 local Government Areas where elections were held was 700,000 (seven hundred thousand) persons and the number of accredited voters on the day of election was 650, 000 (sixty hundred and fifty thousand) persons.
- 7. The 1st Respondent denies paragraph 3.0.8. of the Petition.
- 8. The 1st Respondent admits paragraph 3.0.9. of the Petition to an extent that the 1st Respondent was declared winner of the election by the 3rd Respondent around 10.30pm but denies any other material allegation in the paragraph.
- 9. The 1st Respondent further state that the said election was conducted in a free and fair manner and in accordance with the provisions of the Electoral Act, 2010, as amended and the 1st respondent was therefore duly returned as the winner of the election.

The 1st respondent prays this Honourable Tribunal to dismiss the Petition as it is frivolous, baseless and lacks merit.

No. 7 Uburu Road, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State

Occupier: CHIEF ONU FELIX

Nwali Ikenna Esq Counsel to the 1st Respondent

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE

PETITIONER,
No. 52, Ezekuna Street
Ezza South Local Government
Ebonyi State

Occupier: Dr. Eze Victor

Week 19 MATRIMONIAL CAUSES

Marriage is a union between one man and a woman for life - *Hyde v. Hyde*. A church marriage only gives blessing to a customary marriage. In *Nwangwu v. Ubani*, the Court of Appeal held that mere celebration of a marriage in a church as was done in the case does not confer statutory flavour to the marriage. In order to convert a customary law marriage into a statutory marriage, the parties must consciously take steps and adopt the procedure contained in the Marriage Act - *Martins v. Adenugba; Anyaegbunam v. Anyaegbunam*.

Once a person contracts marriage under the Act, he cannot marry other persons apart from the initial marriage. Marriage under the Act is monogamous. Thus, once you are married under the Act, you cannot marry another person under the Act or under Customary Law - **Section 47 Marriage Act**.

A person married under native Law and Custom cannot marry any other person under the Act except the one he married under native law and custom - **Section 46 Marriage Act**. Note that both customary and Act marriages are regarded as valid marriages but the distinction is for the purpose of the application of the Matrimonial Causes Act.

Section 7 of the Same Sex Marriage (Prohibition) Act, recognizes marriage as a legal union between persons of the opposite sex. Thus, same sex marriage is prohibited in Nigeria

SAMPLE DRAFTS Scenario-Case Study

Miss Lynda Trump had come to Nigeria in 2018 on an exchange programmed from the United States and has since remained here. On 17th January, 2019 Lynda and Paul Baba contracted a church marriage at the Congress Hall of the Nicon Hilton, Abuja. The ceremony was performed by Mr Nwokeke, a part-time teacher and an aspiring pastor of the Repentant People of God Church. Unknown to Paul and Lynda, Lynda is the daughter of Paul's brother's wife who had naturalized in the United States of America. After the marriage, Paul and Lynda continued to live in Abuja until 14th September 2019 when one day Lynda came home and found a note from Paul stating that he had travelled to Australia on an immigrant visa and was never intending tore turn to Nigeria again. Paul also made it clear to Lynda that he was leaving her because of those occasions she willfully refused to sleep with him. In any event, Lynda had before now been

thinking of how she will get out of the marriage, as she had come to find out that the angel she married saw her as a punching bag to beat up every now and then. Also, she has since found out that faithfulness did not particularly feature in Paul's daily routine as he was in the habit of visiting brothels on a daily basis, from where he had on several occasions contacted STDs Lynda had finally filed a petition for dissolution of the marriage. At the hearing of the petition, Paul Baba raised several objections to the petition and indeed cross-petitioned. He was also not happy that one prostitute Miss Abigail Ogun was joined as a co-respondent with him. He felt that such joinder seriously defamed his character. The court after final addresses by the parties on the 1st of December 2019 adjourned for judgment to the 22nd of December 2020, on which date judgment was entered for Lynda, granting her a decree *nisi*.

Application for Leave for Dissolution of Marriage

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT ABUJA

PETITION NO:
PETITIONER/APPLICANT
1 ST RESPONDENT
2 ND RESPONDENT

MOTION EX PARTE

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ORDER IV RULE I OF THE MATRIMONIAL CAUSES RULES 1983 AND UNDER THE INHERENT JURISDICTION OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT

TAKE NOTICE that this Honourable Court will be moved on the 15th day of December, 2020 at the Hour of 9 o'clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as counsel on behalf of the Applicant may be heard praying this Honourable Court for the following orders:

- 1. AN ORDER OF COURT granting leave to institute proceedings for the dissolution of marriage within two years of marriage.
- 2. AND FOR SUCH ORDER OR FURTHER ORDERS as this Honourable Court may deem fit to make in the circumstances.

Dated this 10th day of December, 2020

Eyeke Kenneth Samuel Esq Counsel to the Petitioner/Applicant FOR: Eyeke Kenneth & Co No 24 Abacha Street Maitama Abuja

Affidavit in Support of Application for Leave

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA
IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT ABUJA

	PETITION NO:
BETWEEN	
	PETITIONER/APPLICANT
AND	0.7
PAUL BABA	
ARIGAIL OGUN	2 ND RESPONDENT

<u>AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION EX PARTE</u>

- I, Lynda Baba, female, medical practitioner, Nigerian citizen of No 43, Enoch Adebayo Avenue, Maitama, Abuja do hereby make oath and state as follows that:
- 1. I am the petitioner in this petition by virtue of which I am conversant with the facts stated in this affidavit.
- 2. I have a valid marriage with the 1st respondent contracted on the 17th day of January, 2019 at the Repentant People Church, Asokoro, Abuja in

- accordance with the Marriage Act. Attached to this affidavit is the Marriage certificate issued by the Registrar of Marriages of the FCT and marked as Exhibit A1.
- 3. I have been diagnosed to be suffering from severe depression as a result of the constant physical abuse and psychological trauma that the 1st respondent subjected me to.
- 4. I believe that if my application is not granted, I would continue to suffer undue hardship at the hands of the 1st respondent.
- 5. If leave is however granted to me by this Honourable Court, the grounds upon which I intend to petition for the decree are as stated in the petition prepared by my solicitor. The proposed petition is hereby attached to this application.
- 6. No previous application for leave has been made to a Court.
- 7. There is no child of the marriage.
- 8. Reconciliation attempts have been made by parents and extended relatives of both families but all to no avail as the 1st respondent is recalcitrant in his adulterous ways.
- 9. I swear to this affidavit solemnly and conscientiously believing its content to be true and correct and in accordance with the Oaths Act.

DEPONENT

Sworn to at the High Court Registry, Federal Capital Territory, Abuja.

Dated this 10th day of December, 2020

BEFORE ME

COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS

NB: When drafting this Affidavit, tailor it in line with the legal Circumstances under which you can apply for Leave within two years of Contracting a Statutory Marriage

Petition for Dissolution of Marriage

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT ABUJA

HOLDEN AT ABOJA	
	PETITION NO:
N THE MATTER OF THE MATRIMONIAL CAUSES TO: ABOVE NAMED HIGH COURT	
BETWEEN LYNDA BABA AND	PETITIONER/APPLICANT
PAUL BABAABIGAIL OGUN	_

PETITION FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRAIGE

1. The petitioner, Mrs Lynda Baba, whose address is at No 43, Enoch Adebayo Avenue, Maitama, Abuja and whose occupation is a medical practitioner petitions the Court for a decree of dissolution of the marriage against the respondent, Mr Paul Baba whose address is No 49, Melanin Avenue, Maitama Abuja and whose occupation is a businessman. The 2nd respondent is Miss Abigail Ogun whose address is No 6, Indiana Layout, Gwarinpa, Abuja and whose occupation is a commercial sex worker.

2. MARRIAGE

- (a) The petitioner, then a spinster was lawfully married to the respondent, then a bachelor, on the 17th day of January 2019 at the Congress Hall of the Nicon Hilton, Abuja which performed the Christian ceremony in accordance with the Marriage Act.
- (b) The surname of the petitioner immediately before the marriage was Roberts.

3. BIRTH OF PETITIONER AND RESPONDENT

The petitioner was born in 1988 in the United States of America and the respondent was born in 1978 in Lagos State, Nigeria.

4. DOMICILE

The petitioner is within the meaning of the Act, domiciled in Nigeria. The facts on which the Court would be asked to find that the petitioner is so domiciled are as follows: prior to the marriage and since the marriage, the petitioner has

resided in Abuja and after the marriage, at No 60, Enoch Adebayo Avenue, Maitama, Abuja within the jurisdiction of the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja.

5. COHABITATION

Particulars of the place at which and during which the petitioner and the respondent has co-habituated are as follows: Immediately after the marriage, the petitioner and the respondent cohabitated at No 49, Melanin Avenue, Maitama Abuja.

The date and circumstances in which cohabitation between the petitioner and the respondent first ceased are as follows: On 14th September, 2019, the respondent relocated to Australia with the intention of never returning to Nigeria for reasons best known to him.

6. CHILDREN

There was no child.

7. PREVIOUS PROCEEDINGS

Since the marriage, there have not been any proceedings in a Court between the petitioner and the respondent.

8. GROUNDS FOR THE PETITON

The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent has broken down irretrievably. The particulars of such breakdown are as follows:

- (a) Since the marriage, the respondent has committed adultery by making a habit of visiting brothels daily and patronizing sex workers in particular, the 2nd respondent. It is from these visits to brothels that the 1st respondent has contacted sexually transmitted diseases in the form of Gonorrhoea and Herpes which he has rather unfortunately, transmitted to the petitioner.
 - Hence, the Petitioner has found it intolerable to continue to live with the respondent.
- (b) Since the marriage, the respondent has behaved in such a way that the petitioner cannot be reasonably expected to live with the respondent. This is as a result of the fact that, the respondent has on several occasions, physically abused the petitioner which more often than not, resulted in admission at the University of Abuja Teaching Hospital, Gwagwalada, Abuja for her recovery from various injuries such as broken ribs, fractured wrists as well as concussions.

9. CONDONATION, CONNIVANCE AND COLLUSION

The petitioner has not condoned or connived at the grounds specified above and is not guilty of collusion in presenting this petition.

10. PROPOSED ARRANGEMENT FOR THE CHILDREN

There is no child.

11. CUSTODY

It is not applicable.

12. RELIEFS

The petitioner seeks the following order:

(a) A decree of dissolution of marriage between the petitioner and the respondent on the ground that the marriage has broken down irretrievably.

Dated this 10th day of December, 2020

Eyeke Kenneth Samuel Esq Counsel to the Petitioner/Applicant FOR: Eyeke Kenneth & Co No 24 Abacha Street Maitama Abuja

This petition was settled by Eyeke Kenneth Samuel, legal practitioner for the petitioner.

Filed on 10th day of December, 2020 by Eyeke Kenneth Samuel on behalf of the petitioner whose address for service is No 24 Abacha Street, Maitama, Abuja.

FOR SERVICE ON:

- 1. 1st Respondent
 Paul Baba
 No 49, Melanin Avenue
 Maitama, Abuja
- 2nd Respondent
 Abigail Ogun
 No 6, Indiana Layout,
 Gwarinpa, Abuja.

Verifying Affidavit

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT ABUJA

HOLDEN	
IN THE MATTER OF THE MATRIMONIA	PETITION NO:
IN THE MATTER OF THE MATRIMONIA	AL CAUSES ACT
TO: ABOVE NAMED HIGH COURT	
BETWEEN	
LYNDA BABA	PETITIONER/APPLICANT
AND	
PAUL BABA	
ABIGAIL OGUN	2 ND RESPONDENT
VEDIEVINO	AFFIDAVIT
VERIFYING I, Lynda Baba, female, medical practition	
i, Lyrida Baba, lemale, medical practiti Adebayo Avenue, Maitama, Abuja do ma	_
 I am the petitioner and by virtue of 	
stated in the petition.	Willow Fam Convercent With the lact
I verify and confirm the facts containe	d in the petition to be true and correct.
3. Attached to this petition is a copy o	f the Marriage certificate issued by the
Registrar of Marriages of the FCT.	
I swear to this affidavit solemnly and	·
be true and correct and in accordance	e with the Oaths Act.
	DEPONENT
Sworn to at the High Court registry	-
Dated this 10th day	of December, 2020
BEFOF	RE ME
COMMISSIONE	R FOR OATHS

Answer & Cross Petition

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT ABUJA

HULDEN AT ABUJA	
	PETITION NO:
IN THE MATTER OF THE MATRIMONIAL CAUSES	ACT
TO: ABOVE NAMED HIGH COURT	
BETWEEN	
LYNDA BABA	PETITIONER/APPLICANT
AND	
PAUL BABA	
ABIGAIL OGUN	2 ND RESPONDENT

ANSWER AND CROSS PETITION

- 1. The 1st respondent in answer to the petition in these proceedings says:
- 2. The 1st respondent/cross petitioner admits paragraphs 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10 & 11 of the petition.
- 3. The 1st respondent/cross petitioner denies paragraphs 8 of the petition.
- 4. The 1st respondent/cross petitioner avers in response to paragraph 8 of the petition that the 1st respondent has been faithful to the petitioner since the marriage and has not contacted any sexually transmitted diseases of any kind. The only sexual partner that the 1st respondent has had since the marriage is the petitioner.
- 5. In further response to paragraph 8 of the petition, the 1st respondent/cross petitioner states that he never subjected the petitioner to any form of physical abuse.
- 6. CROSS PETITION
- 7. The respondent/cross petitioner pleads facts in paragraphs 1- 7 and 9 of the petition.
- 8. DOMICILE

The petitioner is within the meaning of the Act, domiciled in Nigeria. The facts on which the Court would be asked to find that the petitioner is so domiciled are as follows: prior to the marriage and since the marriage, the petitioner has resided in Abuja and after the marriage, at No 3, Independence lane, at No 49, Melanin Avenue, Maitama Abuja within the jurisdiction of Federal Capital Territory, Abuja.

9. GROUNDS FOR CROSS PETITION

The respondent/cross petitioner petitions the Court for a decree of dissolution of marriage between the respondent and the petitioner on the ground that the marriage has broken down irretrievably.

The particulars of such breakdown are as follows: Since the marriage, the petitioner has willfully and persistently refused to consummate the marriage.

10. CONDONATION, CONNIVANCE AND COLLUSION

The respondent/cross petitioner has not condoned or connived at the ground specified above and is not guilty of collusion in presenting this answer.

11. RELIEFS

The respondent seeks the following orders:

(a) A decree of dissolution of marriage between the petitioner and the respondent.

DATED THIS 15th DAY OF DECEMBER, 2020

John Omotunde Esq Mulloy Consulting LP 17, Law School Drive, Bwari Abuja.

This cross petition was settled by John Omotunde, legal practitioner filed on 15th day of December, 2020 by JOHN OMOTUNDE on behalf of the cross petitioner whose address for service is 17, Law School Drive, Bwari, Abuja.

FOR SERVICE ON:

The Petitioner
C/o Counsel
Eyeke Kenneth
No 24 Abacha Street
Maitama
Abuja

The respondent may also respond to the petition by protesting that the Court lacks the jurisdiction to entertain or to wait and file a notice of preliminary objection.

Answer under Protest

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT ABUJA

	PETITION NO:
IN THE MATTER OF THE MATRIMONIAL CAUSES	
TO: ABOVE NAMED HIGH COURT	
BETWEEN	
BETWEEN LYNDA BABA	
	PETITIONER/APPLICANT
AND	, ST
PAUL BABA	_
ABIGAIL OGUN	2 ND RESPONDENT

ANSWER UNDER PROTEST

The respondent in answer to the petition in this proceeding, objects to the jurisdiction of the above named Court upon the ground that the marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was only a church blessing synonymous to a customary marriage and not under the Marriage Act.

The respondent therefore asks the Court to strike out the petition as it lacked jurisdiction on the matter.

	414				
DATED	THE 15 th	DAY OF	DECEM	BFR 20:	20

John Omotunde Esq Mulloy Consulting LP 17, Law School Drive, BwariAbuja.

This answer was settled by John Omotunde, Legal Practitioner of the respondent and filed on the 15th day of December, 2020 by John Omotunde on behalf of the respondent, whose address for service is 15, Law School Drive, Bwari, Abuja.

FOR SERVICE ON: The Petitioner C/o Counsel

Eyeke Kenneth Samuel

Verifying Affidavit

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT ABUJA

	PETITION NO:
IN THE MATTER OF THE MATRIMONIAI TO: ABOVE NAMED HIGH COURT	_ CAUSES ACT
BETWEEN LYNDA BABA AND	PETITIONER/APPLICANT
PAUL BABAABIGAIL OGUN	
VERIFYING A I, Mr. Paul Baba, Nigeria citizen, male, C 49, Melanin Avenue, Maitama, Abuja, mak 1. That I am the 1 st Respondent/ cross-po 2. That I verify the facts stated in my of knowledge of same. 3. That the statements set forth in para petition are true and correct to the b belief.	Christian, Business man, residing at No ke oath and state as follows: etitioner in this suit. cross-petition by virtue of my persona
	Deponent
Sworn to at the FCT High	n Court Registry, Abuja
Dated this 15 th Day o	of December, 2020
BEFOR	E ME
COMMISSIONEF	 R FOR OATHS

Certificate Relating to Reconciliation

IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY ABUJA
IN THE ABUJA JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT ABUJA

	PETITION NO:
IN THE MATTER OF THE MATRIMONIAL CAUSES	ACT
TO: ABOVE NAMED HIGH COURT	
BETWEEN	
LYNDA BABA	PETITIONER/APPLICANT
AND	
PAUL BABA	1 ST RESPONDENT
ABIGAIL OGUN	2 ND RESPONDENT
CERTIFICATE RELATING TO RECO	NCILIATION
CERTIFICATE RELATING TO RECO	NCILIATION

I, John Omotunde Esqcertify that I am the solicitor representing the respondent/cross-petitioner and that I have brought to the attention of the respondent/cross-petitioner the provisions of the Matrimonial Causes Act relating to reconciliation of the parties to a marriage and the approved marriage guidance organizations reasonably available to assist in effecting a reconciliation between the petitioner and the respondent/cross-petitioner and the possibility of a reconciliation either with or without the assistance of such organization.

DATED THE 15TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2020

John Omotunde Esq Mulloy Consulting LP 17, Law School Drive, Bwari Abuja.

Week 20 ENFORCEMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL HUMAN RIGHTS

Fundamental Rights refer to any of the rights provided for in *Chapter IV CFRN* and includes any of the rights stipulated in the African Charter on Human and Peoples Right (Ratification and Enforcement) Act - *Order 1 Rule 2 of Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules 2009*. Though the rights under *Chapter II CFRN* are not justiciable, they are however, justifiable under the African Charter on Human and People's Rights.

ETHICAL ISSUES ARISING FROM ENFORCEMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

- 1. Failure to properly advice the client and inform him of his rights or strength of his case before instituting the action -Rule 14 (2) (e) RPC.
- 2. Improper attraction of business Rule 39 RPC.
- Where a case is in court, no complaint or broadcast should be made so as not to mislead the court to taking its decisions in accordance to public comments other than the law and facts before it -Rule 33 RPC.
- 4. Under the Abuja Rules, Counsel may be personally liable for cost for frivolous suit. This is related to *Rule 15 (3) (b) RPC* which makes it unethical for a legal practitioner to file a suit or assert a position on behalf of his client when he knows or ought reasonably to know that such action would serve merely to harass or maliciously injure another.
- 5. A lawyer shall not handle a legal matter which he knows or ought to know that he is not competent to handle -*Rule 16 (1) RPC*.
- 6. A lawyer shall not seek out claimants in respect of personal injuries or any other cause of action with a view to being employed by the prospective client -Rule 47(1) (b) RPC.
- 7. Counsel shall not stand or offers to stand bail for a person for whom he or a person in his law firm is appearing -*Rule 37 (1) RPC*.
- 8. It is the duty of lawyer employed in respect of a Court case to be personally present or be properly represented throughout the proceedings in Court, more so since it involves the fundamental rights of a person -Rule 14 (4) RPC.
- 9. Counsel shall not conceal or knowingly fail to disclose that which he is required by law to reveal, knowingly use perjured or false evidence, knowingly make a false statement of law or fact or participate in the creation or preservation of evidence when he knows or ought reasonably to know that the evidence is false -Rule 15 (3)(e)-(h) RPC.

SAMPLE DRAFTS <u>Originating Motion</u>

IN THE FEDERAL HIGH COURT OF NIGERIA IN THE LAGOS JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT LAGOS

					(SUIT NO:
IN	THE	MATTER	OF	FUNDAMENTAL	RIGHTS	(ENFORCEMENT
PR	OCEDU	RE) RULES	2009			
ΑN	D					
IN	THE MA	TTER OF A	N APF	PLICATION BY MR.	UWAKWE	CHINEDU FOR AN
OF	DER OF	ENFORCE	MENT	OF FUNDAMENTA	L RIGHTS	
	TWEEN					
MF	R. UWAK	WE CHINED)U			APPLICANT
ΑN	D					
1.	INSPEC	CTOR GENE	RAL C	OF POLICE	1	ST RESPONDENT
2.	COMPT	ROLLER G	ENER	AL OF PRISONS	2	ND RESPONDENT
			\circ	DICINIATING MOTI	ΟN	

ORIGINATING MOTION

BROUGHT PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 35 (3) & (4),34(1) (a) AND 36 (4), (5)& (6) (c) OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF NIGERIA 1999 (AS AMENDED) AND ORDER II RULE 1 OF THE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS (ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURE) RULES 2009 AND WITHIN THE INHERENTJURISDICTION OF THIS COURT

TAKE NOTICE that this honourable court will be moved on the ----- day of------, 2021 in the hour of 9 o'clock in the forenoon or so soon thereafter as counsel will be heard on behalf of the Applicant praying for the following orders:

- 1. AN ORDER FOR the unconditional release of the applicant
- 2. AN ORDER restraining the Respondents from any further similar act
- AND FOR SUCH FURTHER ORDERS OR ORDERS which the court will deem fit to make in the circumstance

AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that on hearing of this application, the said Applicant will use the affidavit of MRS. UWAKWE CHINEDU and the Exhibit therein referred to.

DATED THIS 5TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2021

EYEKE KENNETH SAMUEL Counsel to the Applicant Eyeke Kenneth& Co. 24 Abacha Street Abakaliki, Ebonyi State

FOR SERVICE ON: 1ST Respondent Inspector General of Police Police Command Headquarters, Abuja

2nd Respondent Comptroller General of Prisons Nigerian Prisons Service Headquarters Abuja

Statement in Support of Application

IN THE FEDERAL HIGH COURT OF NIGERIA
IN THE LAGOSI JUDICIAL DIVISION
HOLDEN AT LAGOS

SUI	ΙN		١.													
\circ	,	\sim	•	•	• •	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

IN THE MATTER OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS (ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURE) RULES 2009
AND

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION BY MR. UWAKWE CHINEDU FOR AN ORDER OF ENFORCEMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

BETWEEN MR. UWAKWE CHINEDU......APPLICANT AND 1. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE......1ST RESPONDENT 2. COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF PRISONS......2ND RESPONDENT

STATEMENT PURSUANT TO ORDER 2 RULE 3 OF THE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS (ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURE) RULES, 2009

1. NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF THE APPLICANT

Mr. Uwakwe Chinedu, a Legal Practitioner who resides at no 12, Democracy Estate, Ikeja, Lagos State

2. RELIEF SOUGHT

- (a) Declaration that the arrest and detention of the Applicant by the 1st Respondent on the 10th of October, 2020 is unconstitutional, null and void and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- (b) Declaration that detaining the Applicant without formally informing him of the reasons for his arrest is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- (c) Declaration that the torture carried out on the Applicant by the 1st Respondent is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- (d) Declaration that the refusal to charge the Applicant for any offence before a court of law for over 50 days is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- (e) Declaration that the threat on Ms. Okwuru Success, the Legal practitioner representing the Applicant resulting in the withdrawal of the said Ms. Okwuru Success from further representing the Applicant by the 1st Respondent is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- (f) Declaration that the trial, conviction and sentencing of the Applicant is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- (g) Declaration that the imprisonment of the Applicant in an over-crowded prison and confinement to a cell with a 250 watt electric bulb left on day

- and night by the 2nd Respondent is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- (h) An order compelling 2nd Respondent to release the Applicant from the prison and confinement forthwith

3. GROUNDS UPON WHICH THE RELIEFS ARE SOUGHT

- (a) The Applicant has fundamental rights to dignity, personal liberty, fair hearing and freedom of movement under sections 34, 35, 36 and 41 of the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999 (As amended)
- (b) The Applicant was arrested on the 10th of October, 2020, detained and tortured without any justification by the 1st Respondent
- (c) The Applicant was not informed formally of the reason for his arrest nor was he charged to court within a reasonable period of time required by the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria.
- (d) The Applicant was also denied right to representation by a Legal practitioner when the Legal Practitioner of his choice was threatened to withdraw from representation by the 1st Respondent
- (e) The Applicant was tried by Mr. Archibong Edet a Judge who has an interest in the case being the first son of Hon Minister of Justice and a close friend to the President.
- (f) The Applicant was imprisoned in an overcrowded prison and subjected to inhuman condition by being detained in a cell with a 250 watt electric bulb left on day and night by the 2nd Respondent
- (g) The arrest, detention, torture, trial and imprisonment of the Applicant constitutes a breach of his fundamental human rights to dignity, personal liberty, fair hearing and freedom of movement under sections 34, 35, 36 and 41 of the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999 (As amended)

DATED THIS 5TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2021

EYEKE KENNETH SAMUEL
Counsel to the Applicant

Eyeke Kenneth& Co. 24 Abacha Street Abakaliki, Ebonyi State

SHIT NO:

FOR SERVICE ON:
1ST Respondent
Inspector General of Police
Police Command Headquarters,
Abuja
2nd Respondent
Comptroller General of Prisons
Nigerian Prisons Service Headquarters
Abuja

AND

Affidavit in Support of Application

IN THE FEDERAL HIGH COURT OF NIGERIA IN THE LAGOS JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT LAGOS

					0011 140	
			FUNDAMENTAL	RIGHTS	(ENFORCEME	NT
	DURE) RULES	2009				
AND						
IN THE	MATTER OF A	N APF	PLICATION BY MR.	UWAKWE	CHINEDU FOR A	٩N
ORDER	OF ENFORCE	MENT	OF FUNDAMENTA	L RIGHTS		
BETWE	EN					
		DU			APPLICAN	١T

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION

1. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE.......1ST RESPONDENT
2. COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF PRISONS......2ND RESPONDENT

I, Mrs. Igwe Frances U, female, Medical practitioner, Nigerian of No 16, Holy ghost Avenue, Ikeja, Lagos do make oath and state as follows:

- 1. I am the wife of the Applicant and by virtue of which I am conversant with the facts of this case.
- 2. I have the consent and authority of the applicant to depose to this affidavit on his behalf
- 3. The Applicant is in the Federal prisons, Abuja by virtue of which he is unable to depose to this Affidavit himself
- 4. On the 10th of October, 2020, the Applicant was arrested by men of security forces (F-SARS) under the authority of the 1st Respondent
- 5. The Applicant told me and I believe it to be true that:
 - (a) He was never informed of the reasons for his arrest and detention
 - (b) He was tortured throughout the detention to give out some name of the Applicant's friends
- 6. The Applicant was never charged before any court of law for over 50 days after his arrest
- 7. The efforts to get a Legal representation for the Applicant were frustrated as the men of security forces threatened the Legal practitioner employed for the Applicant, through a letter dated 31st day of October, 2020 to withdraw from the case, which she forcefully obliged. A copy of the Letter is attached to this affidavit and marked EXHIBIT A
- 8. The Applicant was charged with terrorism and attempting to overthrow the government
- 9. That the trial of the Accused was conducted by Mr. Archibong Edet who is the son to the Minister of Justice and a close friend to the President
- 10. The Applicant was not represented by any Legal practitioner throughout the entire trial
- 11. The trial was conducted in the absence of the Applicant
- 12. The Applicant was found guilty of all charges and sentenced to 20 years imprisonment with hard labour.
- 13. Since then, the Applicant has been held in an over-crowded prison and confined to a cell with a 250 watt electric bulb left on day and night under the authority of the 2nd Respondent
- 14. I depose to this affidavit in good faith believing its content to be true and in accordance with the Oaths Act.

DEPONENT

SWORN TO AT THE FEDERAL HIGH COURT REGISTRY

THIS 5TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2021

	BEFORE ME	
COM	IMISSIONER FOR OATHS	

Written Address in Support of Application

IN THE FEDERAL HIGH COURT OF NIGERIA IN THE LAGOS JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT LAGOS

SI	JIT	N	\bigcap						
\mathbf{c}	ווע	1 7	v.	 	 				

IN THE MATTER OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS (ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURE) RULES 2009

AND

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION BY MR. UWAKWE CHINEDU FOR AN ORDER OF ENFORCEMENT OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

BETWEEN

MR. UWAKWE CHINEDU.....APPLICANT AND

- 1. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE......1ST RESPONDENT
- 2. COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF PRISONS......2ND RESPONDENT

APPLICANT'S WRITTEN ADDRESS IN SUPPORT OF THE APPLICATION

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 This is an Application brought pursuant to Order 2 Rule 3 of the Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rule, 1999 for a redress of the breach of his fundamental rights. The application is supported by a Statement containing the Name and particulars of the Applicant, Reliefs sought and grounds for reliefs sought. The application is also supported by a 14 paragraphs affidavit.

3.0 FACTS RELEVANT TO THE APPLICATION

- 4.0 On the 10th of October, 2020, the Applicant was arrested by the security forces (F-SARS) acting under the authority of the 1st Respondent and taken to the Divisional Police Headquarters for questioning. No reason for the arrest was given to the Applicant. In the detention, the Applicant was tortured severally to obtain confessions from him.
- 5.0 The Applicant obtained the services of a Legal practitioner, who was however forced to withdraw from the case by the security forces through a threat letter dated 31st of October, 2020.
- 5.1 The Applicant was charged before Mr. Archibong Edet whose father is currently the Minister of Justice and a very close friend of Mr. President who has an interest in the case evidenced from his speech in a news conference on the 13th of November, 2020 where he said he hoped that the Applicant would be given a long and harsh sentence so that people like him would learn a lesson.
- 5.2 The Applicant was not represented by a legal practitioner at all throughout the trial and trial was also held in his absence. The Applicant was found guilty on all the charges, convicted and sentenced to 17 years imprisonment with hard labour and was taken to one of the most over-crowded prisons and confined to a 250 watt electric bulb left on day and night.

6.0 ISSUES FOR DETERMINATION

- 6.1 Whether in the circumstances of the case, the arrest and detention of the Applicant for over 50 days without being charged to a court does not constitute a breach of the fundamental human right of the accused to personal liberty and freedom of movement by the 1st Respondent.
- 6.2 Whether the failure to inform the Applicant of the reason for his arrest does not constitute a breach of the Applicant's fundamental human right to fair hearing.
- 6.3 Whether the torture carried out on the Applicant does not amount to breach of his fundament human right to dignity of human person
- 6.4 Whether the threat to the legal practitioner of the Applicant on the authority of the 1st Respondent resulting in her forceful withdrawal from the case is not a breach of the fundamental human right of the accused to fair hearing
- 6.5 Whether in the circumstances of the case, the trial of the case against the Applicant by Mr. Archibong Edet is not a breach of the fundamental human right of the accused to fair hearing

- 6.6 Whether in the circumstances of the case, the trial of the Applicant where he is not represented by a legal practitioner is not a breach of his fundamental human right to fair hearing
- 6.7 Whether the trial of the Applicant in his absence is not a breach of his fundamental human right to fair hearing
- 6.8 Whether the imprisonment of the accused in an over-crowded prison and his confinement to a cell with 250 watt electric bulb left on day and night is not a breach of his fundamental human right to dignity of human person

7.0 **ARGUEMENTS**

7.1 **ISSUE ONE**

- 7.1.1 My Lord, the Applicant in the affidavit deposed on his behalf by Mrs. Igwe Frances U. stated in paragraphs 4 and 6 that the applicant was arrested by the men of the security forces on the 10th of October, 2020 and was detained for over 50 days without being charged to court
- 7.1.2 My Lord, it is the provision of **section 35(1) CFRN** guarantee the right of a person to personal liberty. **Section 35 (4) CFRN** provides for where a person in arrested for a criminal trial, such person should be brought before a court of law within a reasonable period which by virtue of **section 35(5) CFRN** is 24 or 48 hours as the case may be.
- 7.1.3 It is our submission that detaining the Applicant for a period of over 40 days without bringing him before a court of law is contrary to the provisions of the constitution and therefore unlawful amounting to a breach of the fundamental human right of the accused

7.2 ISSUE TWO

- 7.2.1 The Applicant in the affidavit supporting this application sworn on his behalf by Mrs. Igwe Frances U. in paragraph 5 stated that he was never informed of the reasons for his arrest and detention.
- 7.2.2 My Lord, the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999(as amended) stated in Section 36(6)(a) that any person charged with a criminal offence must be informed promptly and in details the nature of the offence he is alleged to have committed. In the case of Maja v State (1980) 1 NCR 212 the court held that such person must be informed at the time of his arrest and not later than when he was arraigned
- 7.2.3 It is therefore our submission my Lord, that the failure of the accused to be informed about the offence alleged is a breach of his fundamental human right.

7.3 **ISSUE THREE**

- 7.3.1 My Lord, the affidavit in support of the Application stated in paragraph 5 that the Applicant was severely tortured under the authority of the 1st Respondent in order to give confessions and implicate some other persons
- 7.3.2 My Lords, **Section 34(1)(a) CFRN** stated that no person shall be subjected to torture or to inhuman or degrading treatment as every individual is entitled to respect for the dignity of human person.
- 7.3.3 My Lord, it is our submission that the torture carried out on the Applicant under the authority of the 1st Respondent is a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant as enshrined under the constitution

7.4 ISSUE FOUR

- 7.4.1 My Lord, the affidavit in support of the Application stated in paragraph 7 that the efforts to get a Legal representation for the Applicant were frustrated as the men of security forces threatened the Legal practitioner employed for the Applicant, through a letter dated 31st day of October, 2020 to withdraw from the case, which she forcefully obliged.
- 7.4.2 My Lord, **Section 36 (6)(c) CFRN** provides that a person charged with a criminal offence shall be entitled to defend himself in person or by legal practitioners of his own choice. See also **Udozinma v COP (1982) 1 NCR 27**
- 7.4.3 It is therefore our submission that by causing the forceful withdrawal of the legal practitioner employed representing the applicant under the authority of the 1st Respondent, there is a breach of the Applicant fundamental human right to have a defence counsel representing him.

7.5 ISSUE FIVE

- 7.5.1 My Lord the affidavit in support of the Application stated in paragraph 9 that the Trial was conducted by Mr. Archibong Edet, who is a son to the Minister of Justice and a friend to the President who has a personal interest in the case.
- 7.5.2 My Lords, it has been a principle of law guaranteed by the provision of Section 36 (4) CFRN that a person charged with a criminal offence must be afforded fair hearing in public. Also, it is a generally accepted principle of the law that justice must not only be done, but must be manifestly seen to have been done. The Supreme Court in the case of Garba & Ors v University of Maiduguri (1986) 2SC held that if a Judge presides over a case in which he has an interest, his decision will be nullified and it is immaterial that he was not actually biased.

7.5.3 From the above flows the conclusion my Lord, that the fundamental human right of the accused to fair hearing was breached when he stood a trial which was adjudicated upon by a Judge who had an interest on the case.

7.6 ISSUE SIX

- 7.6.1 My Lords, it was established in the affidavit in support of the Application in paragraph 10 that the Applicant was never represented once by a legal practitioner, either or his choice or otherwise, throughout the entire course of the proceedings
- 7.6.2 My Lord, Section 36 (6)(c) CFRN provides that a person charged with a criminal offence shall be entitled to defend himself in person or by legal practitioners of his own choice. See Awolowo & Ors v Minister of Internal Affairs & Ors (1962) LLR 177. See also Udozinma v COP (1982) 1 NCR 27
- 7.6.3 It is therefore our submission that since the Applicant was denied representation of a legal practitioner during the entire course of his trial, there is a breach of his fundamental human right as provided for in the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999 (as amended)

7.7 ISSUE SEVEN

- 7.7.1 The affidavit in support of the application in paragraph 11 stated the fact that the trial was conducted in the absence of the accused throughout the entire proceedings
- 7.7.2 Following the decision of the court in the case of Adeoye v State (1999) 4 S.C (Pt 11) 67, trial in absentia is unknown to our law in Nigeria. As such, any person who is charged with a criminal offence must attend his trial from the day he is arraigned to the day he is sentenced. Failure to ensure the presence of the accused at trial is a breach of his fundamental human right to fair hearing.
- 7.7.3 The above principle of law points to our submission that having failed to ensure the presence of the Applicant in the entire trial, that is, conducting the trial in the absence of the Applicant at the trial is a breach of the fundamental human right of the accused.

7.8 ISSUE EIGHT

7.8.1 In the affidavit in support of the Application, it was stated in paragraphs 12 and 13 that the Applicant was convicted and sentenced to 18 years imprisonment with hard labour and that the Applicant has been held in an over-crowded prison and confined to a cell with a 250 watt electric bulb left on day and night under the authority of the 2nd Respondent

- 7.8.2 My Lords, **Section 34(1)(a) CFRN**stated that no person shall be subjected to torture or to inhuman or degrading treatment as every individual is entitled to respect for the dignity of human person
- 7.8.3 My Lords, putting the Applicant in an overcrowded prison and confinement to a cell with a 250 watt electric bulb left on day and night under the authority of the 2nd Respondent is a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant

8.0 CONCLUSION

My Lord, we humbly submit that this Honourable court grants the reliefs sought by the Applicant for the following:

- 1. Declaration that the arrest and detention of the Applicant by the 1st Respondent on the 10th of October, 2020 is unconstitutional, null and void and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- 2. Declaration that detaining the Applicant without formally informing him of the reasons for his arrest is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- 3. Declaration that the torture carried out on the Applicant by the 1st Respondent is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- 4. Declaration that the refusal to charge the Applicant for any offence before a court of law for over 50 days is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- 5. Declaration that the threat on Ms. Okwuru Success, the Legal practitioner representing the Applicant resulting in the withdrawal of the said Ms. Okwuru from further representing the Applicant by the 1st Respondent is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant.
- 6. Declaration that the trial, conviction and sentencing of the Applicant is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- 7. Declaration that the imprisonment of the Applicant in an over-crowded prison and confinement to a cell with a 250 watt electric bulb left on day and night by the 2nd Respondent is unconstitutional and a breach of the fundamental human right of the Applicant
- 8. An order compelling 2nd Respondent to release the Applicant from the prison and confinement forthwith

9. Damages in tune of ₦350,000,000.00 (Three-hundred and fifty Million naira) for unlawful arrest, detention, torture

6.0 LIST OF AUTHORITIES

- 6.1 CASES
- 1. MAJA V STATE (1980) 1 NCR 212
- 2. UDOZINMA V COP (1982) 1 NCR 27
- 3. AWOLOWO & ORS V MINISTER OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS & ORS (1962) LLR
- 4. ADEOYE V STATE (1999) 4 S.C (PT 11) 67
- 5. GARBA & ORS V UNIVERSITY OF MAIDUGURI (1986) 2SC
- **6.2 STATUTES**
- 1. CONSTITUTION OF THE FEDERAL REPUUBLIC OF NIGERIA, 1999 AS AMEMDED

DATED THIS 5TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2020

EYEKE KENNETH SAMUEL Counsel to the Applicant Eyeke Kenneth& Co. 24 Abacha Street Abakaliki, Ebonyi State

FOR SERVICE ON:
1ST Respondent
Inspector General of Police
Police Command Headquarters,
Abuja
2nd Respondent
Comptroller General of Prisons
Nigerian Prisons Service Headquarters
Abuja

Suing on behalf of the Applicant

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KANO STATE IN THE KANO JUDICIAL DIVISION HOLDEN AT KANO

SUIT NO: (ENFORCEMENT MATTER OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS THE PROCEDURE) RULES 2019 AND IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION BY INCORPORATED TRUSTEES OF OF NIGERIA SUING FOR AND ON BEHALF OF CITIZEN RIGHTS EMMANUEL SIMON WEBBA FOR AN ORDER OF ENFORCEMENT OF **FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS BETWEEN** CITIZEN RIGHTS OF NIGERIA......APPLICANT (SUING FOR AND ON BEHALF OF EMMANUEL SIMON WEBBA) AND 1. THE NIGERIAN POLICE FORCE.......1ST RESPONDENT 2. DEPARTMENT OF STATE SECURITY......2ND RESPONDENT 3. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF KANO STATE......3RD RESPONDENT

EYEKE KENNETH SAMUEL

Eyekekennethsamuel@gmail.com 08102959631