# GB13604 - Maths for Computer Science Lecture 3 – Number Theory

#### Claus Aranha

caranha@cs.tsukuba.ac.jp

College of Information Science

2018-10-17

Last updated October 14, 2018

This course is based on Mathematics for Computer Science, Spring 2015, by Albert Meyer and Adam Chlipala, Massachusetts Institute of Technology OpenCourseWare.

## Summary Week 1 and 2

- Proof by Cases
- Proof by Contradiction (Well Ordered Principle)
- Proof by Induction
- Sets Definition
- Sets Relationships
- Finite Set Sizes

## **Exercise Discussion**

Claus Aranha (COINS) GB13604 2018-10-17 3/44

#### For This Lecture...

## Number Theory - Textbook Chapter 8

- GCD and Extended GCD
- Modular Arithmetic, and Relatively Primes
- Euler's Theorem, and Rings
- RSA Algorithm

## Some basic arithmetic assumptions

For the proofs in this class, we can assume some default rules for arithmetic operators: \*, +, -, ...

- a(b+c) = ab + ac
- ab = ba
- a(bc) = (ab)c
- a + 0 = a
- a a = 0
- a + 1 > a
- etc...

#### The Division Theorem

#### Axiom:

For any b > 0 and a in  $\mathbb{N}$ , we have:

- q = quotient(a,b)
- r = remainder(a,b)

 $\exists$  **unique** q and r in  $\mathbb{N}$  such as

$$a = bq + r, 0 < r \le a$$

Take this by granted too!

## Divisibility

c divides a(c|a) iff

$$\exists k, a = k \times c.$$

- 5|15 because  $15 = 3 \times 5$
- n|0 because  $0 = 0 \times n$
- 1|n because  $n = n \times 1$

## Simple Divisibility Facts

- c|a implies c|(sa)a = kc implies (sa) = (sk)c multiply s on both sides
- c|a and c|b implies c|(a+b) $a = k_1c, b = k_2c, a+b = k_1c+k_2c = (k_1+k_2)c$
- c|a and c|b implies c|(sa+tb)
   sa+tb is a linear combination of a and b

This one is pretty important!

2018-10-17

#### **Common Divisors**

If c|a and c|b, then c is a common divisor of a and b.

**Common divisors** of *a* and *b* also divide linear combinations of *a* and *b*.

9/44

2018-10-17

#### **Greatest Common Divisor**

We define gcd(a, b) := the greatest **common divisor** of a and b.

• 
$$acd(10, 12) = 2$$

$$(10 = 2 \times 5, 12 = 2 \times 6)$$

• 
$$gcd(13, 12) = 1$$

No common factors and  $1|x, \forall x$ 

• 
$$gcd(17, 17) = 17$$

• 
$$gcd(0, n) = n$$

for n > 0

10/44

Does **one** gcd aways exists? (Yes, because of the Well Ordering Principle)

#### **Greatest Common Divisor**

We define gcd(a, b) := the greatest **common divisor** of a and b.

- lemma: p is prime implies that gcd(p,a) = 1 or p;
- **proof**: The only divisors of p are  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm p$ .

## Euclidean Algorithm (GCD is easy to compute)

**Remainder Lemma**: gcd(a,b) = gcd(b, rem(a,b)) for  $b \neq 0$ 

#### **Proof idea:**

- $a = qb + r, 0 \le r < b$  (division axiom)
- Any divisor of two out of {a, qb, r}, must divide all three.
   (Check this yourself using slide 8)
- Therefore,  $\forall m \text{ if } m | a \text{ and } m | b \text{ then } m | \text{rem}(a,b)$

## Example GCD (Using Remainder Lemma)

$$GCD(899, 493) - a = 899, b = 493$$

```
• 899 = 493 \times 1 + 406 division axiom
```

• GCD(899, 493) = GCD(493, 406) remainder lemma

• 
$$GCD(493, 406) = GCD(406, 87)$$
  $493 = 406 \times 1 + 87$ 

• 
$$GCD(406,87) = GCD(87,58)$$
  $406 = 87 \times 4 + 58$ 

• 
$$GCD(87,58) = GCD(58,29) = GCD(29,0) = 29$$

This is a **fast** algorith (proof later)

#### GCD as a State Machine

- States::= N × N
- Start State::= (*a*, *b*)
- State Transitions::=  $(x, y) \rightarrow (y, rem(x, y))$  for  $y \neq 0$

#### GCD as a State Machine

#### **Proof of Partial Correctness**

- We want to show: P((x,y)) := [gcd(x,y) = gcd(a,b)]
- P(start) is trivially true: (gcd(a,b) = gcd(a,b))
- P is a Preserved Invariant:
   GCD(x,y) = GCD(y,rem(x,y)) (remainder lemma)
- By 2 and 3, P holds for any state in the machine.
- **6** So if the machine stops, x = gcd(a, b). Why?
  - The machine only stops when y = 0
  - GCD(x,0) = x

Claus Aranha (COINS) GB13604 2018-10-17

#### GCD as a State Machine

#### **Proof of Termination**

- At each transition, y is replaced with rem(x,y)
- $0 < \text{rem}(x,y) \le y$ . (division axiom)
- So eventually y = 0, and the machine halts.
- how fast does it halt?
- At each transition, x is replaced by y. Two cases:
  - $y \le x/2$  so x is halved this step.
  - y > x/2 so rem(x,y) = x y < (x/2), so x gets halved at the next step.
- x gets halved (or even smaller) every two steps.
- So number of steps is  $\leq 2 \log_2 b$

Claus Aranha (COINS) GB13604 2018-10-17 16/44

#### **GCD** and Linear Combinations

## Extended Euclid Algorithm or The Pulverizer

#### Main Idea:

- GCD(a,b) is a linear combination of a and b.
- GCD(a,b) = sa + tb.
- collorary: All lin. comb. of a,b are multiples of GCD(a,b)
- The Pulverizer helps us find s and t

Claus Aranha (COINS) GB13604 2018-10-17

#### The Pulverizer: Method

## Calculate euclid's algorithm:

• GCD(x,y) = GCD(y,rem(x,y))

**Start**: GCD(a,b)

## Keep track of four coefficient: c,d,e,f

- x = ca + db and y = ea + fb
- at start: x = 1a + 0b, y = 0a+1b
- update:  $x_{next} = y = ea + fb$
- $y_{\text{next}} = \text{rem}(x, y) = x qy = ca + db q(ea + fb)$
- $y_{\text{next}} = (c qe)a + (d qf)b$

## The Pulverizer: Example

$$a = 899, b = 493$$

hfill (remember: 
$$e_1 = c_0 - q_0 e_0$$
,  $f_1 = d_0 - q_0 f_0$ )

a	b	q	rem(a,b)	С	d	е	f
899	493	1	406	1	0	0	1
493	406	1	87	0	1	1	-1
406	87	4	58	1	-1	-1	2
87	58	1	29	-1	2	5	-9
58	29	2	0	5	-9	-6	11
29	0	-	-	-6	11	-	-

$$GCD(899,493) = 29 = -6 \times 899 + 11 \times 493$$

#### The Pulverizer: One Weird Trick

$$GCD(899, 493) = -6 \times 899 + 11 \times 493$$

How can I get a positive coefficient for 899?

$$GCD(899, 493) = (-6+493k)899+(11-899k)493$$
, for any k

Let 
$$k=1$$

$$GCD(899, 493) = 487 \times 899 - 888 \times 493$$

#### Remember Robot 1.0?

- It could move 5 steps forward, 3 steps back.
- How many moves it takes to reach "8"?
- $GCD(5,3) = 1 = 2 \times 5 3 \times 3$
- $8 = 8 \times 1 = (8 \times 2)5 (8 \times 3)3$
- 16 steps forward, 24 steps back.
- Not the most efficient solution, but we can find any solution with this strategy.

2018-10-17

#### Prime Factorization Theorem

- Lemma: if p prime and p|ab, then p|a or p|b
- Proof: suppose not(p|a), then GCD(p,a) = 1
- So:  $\exists s, t.sa + tp = 1$ , multiply everything by b
- sab + tbp = b
- p|sab and p|tbp, so p|(sab + tbp) and p|b **done.**
- Corolary: if  $p|a_1a_2...a_m$  then  $\exists i.p|a_i$
- proof: Induction on m

#### Prime Factorization Theorem

#### **Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic**

Every Integer > 1 factors uniquely into a weakly decreasing sequence of primes.

$$n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_k$$

$$p_1 \ge p_2 \ge \ldots \ge p_k$$

## Example

$$61394323221 = 53 \times 37 \times 37 \times 37 \times 11 \times 11 \times 7 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3$$

#### Prime Factorization Theorem

## **Proof by Contradiction.**

- Suppose n > 1 does not have a unique prime factorization (it can be factored in two different ways).
- By WOP, there is a minimal *n* where theorem is false.
- $n = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_k$  and  $n = q_1 q_2 q_3 \dots q_{k'}$
- if  $p_1 = q_1$  then we can cancel them, and n is not smallest anymore.  $(n' = p_2 \dots p_k = q_2 \dots q_{k'})$
- So we assume  $q_1 > p_1$
- By the corolary  $q_1|n \rightarrow q_1|p_i \in p_1p_2 \dots p_k$
- But, because  $q_1 > p_i \forall i$ , this is impossible. **done.**

Claus Aranha (COINS) GB13604 2018-10-17

## Congruences mod N

## Congruence mod n: Definition

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 iff  $n \mid (a - b)$ 

#### **Examples:**

•  $30 \equiv 12 \pmod{9}$ 

because 9|(30-12)

•  $6666663 \equiv 788253 \pmod{10}$ 

Congruence has many applications in crypto and hashing.

#### Remainder Theorem

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 iff  $rem(a,n) = rem(b,n)$ 
(This is the CS "a%n" definition)

**Proof:** 

$$(\text{rem}(a,b) = r_{a,b})$$

- Let  $a = q_a n + r_{a,n}, \qquad b = q_b n + r_{b,n}$
- **if**  $r_{a,n} = r_{b,n}$  then  $a b = (q_a q_b)n \to n|(a b)$
- also if n|(a-b) then  $n|((q_a-q_b)n+(r_{a,n}-r_{b,n}))$
- but  $0 \le r_{*,n} < n$  so  $r_{a,n} r_{b,n}$  must be 0

## Remainder Theorem: Consequences

```
a \equiv b \pmod{n} means that rem(a,n) = rem(b,n).
```

## Consequences:

- $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  implies that  $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$  implies  $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$
- $a \equiv \text{rem}(a,n) \pmod{n}$

- (important!)
- If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  then  $a + c \equiv b + c \pmod{n}$
- If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  then  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$
- If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ then  $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$  and  $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$

#### What does this mean?

Overall, arithmetic (mod n) is very similar to normal arithmetic.

If  $a \equiv a' \pmod{n}$  and a' is simpler, you can usually replace in the formula to make it easier.

Using  $a \equiv \text{rem}(a,n) \pmod{n}$  means that we can keep the numbers in modular arithmetic between 0 and n.

## Modular Arithmetic: Example

- What is  $287^9 \equiv ? \pmod{4}$
- $287^9 \equiv 3^9 \pmod{4}$  because  $r_{287.4} = 3$
- $3^9 = ((3^2)^2)^2 \times 3$
- $((3^2)^2)^2 \times 3 \equiv (1^2)^2 \times 3 \pmod{4}$  because  $9 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$
- $289^9 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

And we did not need to calculate any x<sup>9</sup>!

#### Difference between Arithmetic and Modular Arithmetic

We saw that Arithmetic and Modular Arithmetic are similar but...

- $8 \times 2 \equiv 3 \times 2 \pmod{10}$
- Can we do:  $8 \times 2 \equiv 3 \times 2 \pmod{10}$ ?
- 8 ≠ 3 (mod 10)
- We can't cancel arbitrarily!

When can we cancel  $ak \equiv bk \pmod{n}$ ?

You can cancel when k and n have no common factors.

OR, when GCD(k,n) = 1

#### Modular Inverses

- Modular Inverse: If GCD(k,n) = 1 then ∃k', k × k' ≡ 1 (mod n)
- If  $ak \equiv bk \pmod{n}$ , we can multiply both sides by k'
- $akk' \equiv bkk' \pmod{n} \rightarrow 1a \equiv 1b \pmod{n}$

k has an inverse (mod n) iff k is relatively prime to n

Claus Aranha (COINS) GB13604 2018-10-17

#### **Euler's Function**

Number of relatively primes of *n* between 0 and *n* 

$$\Phi(n) ::= \# k \in [0, n), GCD(k, n) = 1$$

Let us define:

$$gcd1\{n\} ::= \{k \in [0, n) | GCD(k, n) = 1\}$$

•  $gcd1{7} = {1,2,3,4,5,6}$ 

 $\Phi(7) = 6$ 

•  $gcd1\{12\} = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ 

## Calculating $\Phi(n)$

- If *n* is prime,  $\Phi(n) = n 1$
- If *n* is a power of a prime,  $\Phi(p^k) = p^k p^{k-1}$ 
  - Ex:  $\Phi(9) = 3^2 3 = 6$  {1,2,4,5,7,8}
- If *n* is *ab* where GCD(a,b)=1,  $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b)$ 
  - Ex:  $\Phi(12) = \Phi(3) \times \Phi(4) = (3-1) \times (2^2-2) = 4$

• Euler's Theorem: if GCD(k,n) = 1,  $k^{\Phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ 

## The Ring of $\mathbb{Z}_n$

## Working with just Remainders

- The integer interval [0, n) under  $+, \times (\mathbb{Z}_n)$  is called  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .
- $i+j(\mathbb{Z}_n) ::= \operatorname{rem}(i+j,n)$
- $i \times j(\mathbb{Z}_n) ::= \text{rem}(i \times j, n)$

#### Arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}_n$

- $3+6=2(\mathbb{Z}_7)$
- $9 \times 8 = 6(\mathbb{Z}_{11})$
- rem(a, n) is equivalent to  $r(a)(\mathbb{Z}_n)$

## $\equiv$ (mod n) and $\mathbb{Z}_n$

$$i \equiv j \pmod{n}$$
 iff  $r(i) = r(j)(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ 

As we saw before, most arithmetic rules apply to  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  arithmetic.

No Cancelling Rule – Be careful that you cannot easily cancel multiplication!

$$8 \times \cancel{2} \neq 3 \times \cancel{2}(\mathbb{Z}_{10})$$

2018-10-17

37/44

## $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ – Elements relatively prime to n

- $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  iff gcd(i, n) = 1
- *i* is cancellable in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$
- *i* has an inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$
- $\Phi(n) ::= |\mathbb{Z}_n^*|$
- Euler's Theorem:  $k^{\Phi(n)} = 1(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  if  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$

## The RSA Encryption System

- Public Key Cryptosystem;
- Anyone can send a secret (encrypted) message to the receiver without prior contact, using only public information.
- This sounds paradoxical: How can someone construct a secret message using only public information?

## RSA Cryptosystem: Basic Assumption

 Basic Assumption: One Way Functions that are easy to compute but hard to invert

- It is easy to compute the product n of two large primes p and q (n = pq)
- It is very hard to factor *n* into *p* and *q*.

## RSA Cryptosystem: Preparations

- sender wants to send a message to receiver
- rcv generates primes p, q, n ::= pq
- rcv finds e rel. prime to (p-1)(q-1)(hint:  $(p-1)(q-1) = \Phi(n)$ )
- (e,n) ::= public key. rcv publishes it widely.
- rcv finds  $d := e^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^*_{(p-1)(q-1)})$
- d ::= private key, rcv keeps it.

## RSA Cryptosystem: Message

- sender encodes a message m ∈ [1, n)
- sender reads (e,n) and calculates  $\hat{m} = m^e(\mathbb{Z}_n)$
- sender sends m̂ to rcv
- rcv calculates  $\hat{m}^d = m(\mathbb{Z}_n)$
- Euler's Theorem guarantees that  $\hat{m}^d = m, d = e^{-1}, (\mathbb{Z}_n)$

## RSA Cryptosystem: Requirements

- Find two large primes, p and q
  - Ok because: Lots of Primes
  - Need fast primality tester
- Find e relatively prime to (p-1)(q-1)
  - Ok because: Lots of relatively prime numbers
  - Fast because GCD(e, (p-1)(q-1)) is fast
- Find  $e^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^*_{(p-1)(q-1)})$ 
  - Fast because of the Pulverizer
- Check the book for the proofs.

## Summary of the Class

- GCD algorithm (with proof) and Pulverizer
- Arithmetic modulo n, and  $\mathbb{Z}$  ring
- Euler's Theorem
- The RSA cryptosystem

43/44

2018-10-17

## Extra Reading

Proof for Euler's Theorem

Relationship between SAT and factoring