

Fences and Gardens: An Ayeri Translation of a Medieval Neighborhood Dispute

1 Friedrich Wilhelm and His Diplomatic Dream

Part of the data basis of my upcoming master's thesis is formed by Wilhelm et al.'s *Corpus der altdutschen Originalurkunden bis zum Jahr 1300* ('Corpus of Old German Original Deeds up to the Year 1300', henceforth *CAO*). This collection comprises over 4,000 legal documents in mostly German language from the 13th century and was compiled by several generations of researchers over the course of over 70 years. Most of the deeds originate in the southwestern corner of the German-speaking area, with centers in Strasbourg, Basel, Zurich and Constance. An exponential increase in activity over the last quarter of the 13th century can generally be observed, however.

In his foreword to the first volume of the *CAO*, Wilhelm made a strong argument for making modern transcriptions of the handwritten documents as close as possible to the medieval originals in a time when most scholars in the field preferred normalized editions of medieval texts for literary criticism – he harshly derided the notion of normalized texts as “Esperanto-Mittelhochdeutsch” (*CAO* I: VIII–IX). Wilhelm's intended target audience was what we call linguists today, rather than literary critics – back then, they didn't make the distinction. He explains in his own words:

Es ergibt sich daher die weitere Notwendigkeit, diese urkundlichen Quellen in einer Ausgabe vor sich zu haben, die diese Orthographien nicht durch Normalisieren nach Lachmanns und seiner Nachfolger Art verwischt. Denn dieses Normalisieren macht zum guten Teil die modernen Urkundenveröffentlichungen, welche Urkunden in deutscher Sprache enthalten, [...] für den Sprachforscher unbrauchbar [...]. Gerade das “Normalisieren” also mußte bei diesem Corpus, das in erster Linie dem Sprachforscher dienen soll, vermieden werden. Ein möglichst genauer Abdruck, soweit ein solcher überhaupt das Original einer Urkunde ersetzen kann, war das erste Erfordernis, das erfüllt werden mußte. (*CAO* I: LX)

Thus, the additional necessity arises to have these diplomatic sources be laid out in an edition that does not smudge these orthographies by means of normalization after the fashion of Lachmann and his followers. For this normalizing renders the better part of modern publications of deeds which contain documents in German language [...] useless to the language scholar [...]. Especially this “normalizing” thus had to be avoided in this corpus, which is first and foremost catering to language scholars. The first requirement that had to be met was a rendition of the text as close as possible to the original, if such a rendition in print can ever be a replacement for the original document at all.

The bulk of the texts contained in the *CAO* is formed by such mundane things as sales and lease contracts, wills, legal settlements, and regulations concerning trade and construction. Moreover, the texts are usually not too long and not too complex either, which makes some of them suitable for translation challenges, I suppose.

Due to the nature of the deeds, there is a fair amount of legal formulas in these documents, however, these use very transparent native terms, which ought to make finding equivalents reasonably straightforward. An English translation based on the annotations by the editors of the *CAO* will be provided (see Gärtner et al. 2007).

2 “Alle die, die disen brief lesent, horent oder sehent ...”

In the following, I will present the text of the deed number N 163 (381 a) – effectively dated Augsburg, March 19, 1279 – in Middle High German language as given in de Boor et al. (2004), Gärtner et al. (2007). In spite of Wilhelm’s self-professed firm belief in diplomatic editions, the version of this document as it is presented in the *CAO* shows signs of modern editing, that is, abbreviations are resolved, non-names are changed to consistent lower case, and punctuation is adjusted to modern standards.

*Jnnomine domini amen!*¹ Alle die, die disen brief lesent, horent oder sehent, die fuln daz wizen, daz her Vlrich frovn Engeln tohterman verkaufte herm Chvnrat dem Hafener einen halben garten mit fogtaner bescheidenheit, daz der her Chvnrat der Hafener vf den selben halben garten weder zvn noch hvf bwen folte noch de cheinen bv dar vf tvn folte, der im ze schaden chomen mohte. Daz stvnt alf lange, vnz der her Chvnrat der Hafener dar fvr vnde wolte gezvnet haben den selben garten. Do def her Vlrich innan wart, do fvr er fvr gerihte vnde clagte hinze dem Hafener, daz er da zvnen wolte, da erf niht tvn folte, wande er ez mit gedingede im alfo geben hete, daz er weder zvn noh hvf da bwen folte noh nihtef def, daz im ze schaden chomen mohte. Def laugent im der Hafener, daz er mit im alfo iht kauft hete. Dar vber wart erteilt, daz der Hafener bereite, daz er mit im alfo iht kauft hete, in bezivgte danne her Vlrich, daz ez alfo wære. Da wolte her Vlrich fmf rehtef niht vmbe vnde gerte einf tagef vmbe finen gezivk. Do der tak chom, do gie her Vlrich fvr vnde erzivgte selbe dritte, alf reht waf, daz er vf den selben garten chein den bv tvn folte, der im ze schaden chomen mohte, alf da vor gefchriben stat. Vnde do er daz erzivgte, do gert er vrteil, wande die lyte tötlich wæren vnde auh vergæzzen, man folte im wol der stet² brief dar vber geben. Daz wart im erteilt mit gefamenter vrteil. Vnde da von, daz zwifchen in chein kriech mer gewahfen myge vmbe die sache, dar vmbe wart geben dirre brief verfigelt mit der stet² jnfigel² ze Aufpurk, daz dar an hanget. Vnde fint def geziuge her Volkwin, her Sibot der Stolzhirz, her Vlrich Fundan, her Livpolt der Schroter, her Livpolt der Stolzhirz, her Chvnrat Reinbot, meifter Chvnrat von Schoenegge, her Chvnrat Notkauf, her Chvnrat der Bart vnde ander genyge. Do daz gefchach vnde auh dirre brief geben wart, do waf von gotef² geburte tufent² iar zwej hvndert iar in dem nivn² vnde sibenzigften iare an dem fvnnetage vor dem balm tage.

S[iegel]

The text goes about like this in English:

In nomine domini amen! All those who read this writ, by hearing or seeing it, they shall know that Mr. Ulrich, Mrs. Engel’s son-in-law, sold Mr. Chunrat der Hafener half of a garden under the provision that Mr. Chunrat der Hafener build neither fence nor house in this same half garden, nor put any other building in it which would cause damage to him. This was set, up until Mr. Chunrat der Hafener

¹ “Original *Jnnōie dñj am̃*”.

² “Erster Buchstabe dieses Wortes im Original Majuskel” (First letter of this word originally majuscule).

went and wanted to fence the garden. As Mr. Ulrich became aware of this, he went to court and sued Hafener since he wanted to set up a fence there even though he was not supposed to, since he had pledged by contract that he would neither build a fence nor a house in it, nor anything else that would cause damage to him. Der Hafener denied this: he had not contracted with Ulrich this way. The court assessed that der Hafener refuted that he had contracted with Ulrich this way, unless Mr. Ulrich could prove that he had. Mr. Ulrich did not want to forfeit his rights, then, and asked for a day to testify. When the day came, Mr. Ulrich came up and testified together with two other witnesses, as was the law, that der Hafener was not supposed to build anything in this same garden that would cause damage to him, as written above. And after giving his testimony, he demanded judgement and a municipal writ, since people are mortal and forgetful. This was awarded to him unanimously. And so that there may not spring any more disputes between them from this issue, this writ was issued, sealed with the city of Augsburg's seal which is attached. To this are witness Mr. Volkwin, Mr. Sibot der Stolzhirz, Mr. Ulrich Fundan, Mr. Liupolt der Schroter, Mr. Liupolt der Stolzhirz, Mr. Chunrat Reinbot, Master Chunrat von Schoenegge, Mr. Chunrat Notkauf, Mr. Chunrat der Bart and others enough. When this took place and also this writ was issued, the count from God's birth was one thousand years, two hundred years, in the seventy-ninth year on the Sunday before Palm Sunday.

Seal

3 Inching the Way to an Ayeri Translation

In nomine domini ...

- (1) Garāneri na Nahang, āmen!

Garān-eri na Nahang, āmen!

name -INS GEN Lord, amen!

“In the name of the Lord, amen!”

I really wish I had something besides Ayeri ready to draw from, but since I don't, I decided to also translate this Latin phrase into Ayeri. Since I have come up with at least a sketch for another language a while ago (the Ayeri exonym for it being ^{ᠰᠢᠷᠠᠨᠢ} *Turayi* ‘Hillandic’), however, it was tempting to come up with something in that as well.

- (1.1) Āsti-n na Pāg ko saṭ, āmen!

[*Turayi, tentatively*]

Āsti -n na Pāg ko saṭ, āmen!

name-DEF DEF Lord of with, amen!

“In the name of the Lord, amen!”

Turayi in its modern version is supposed to be some kind of anti-Ayeri in being fairly isolating with modifier-head word order, which is why there are postpositions in the translation above. In a

little twist on modifier-head word order, however, determiners go into the second position of the NP if nothing else occupies this slot, otherwise they don't. In Turayi, you would thus get N DET if no adjectives are involved, but ADJ DET N if there are. There would, however, be an exception for possessive phrases, in which the more basic word order DET N *of* would be preferred instead of N DET *of* as one would otherwise expect. I will have to think about whether postpositions in general block movement of determiners into the second position in spite of different levels of dependency.

Alle die, die ...

- (2) Mya koronyan keynamang-hen si ang layayan eda-tahang nivayēri soyang tangyēri tan, sa lataya mondo mesam yam Sikontendo Biratayati tiga ang Hasanjan tiga si samyanang na Nina-vay tiga, dilengeri, ya mya vehoyya ang Sikontendo Biratayati tiga kong eda-mondo mesam lahanley soyang nangās soyang vehanley palung siley eng ming nupisongara yās.

- a. *Mya koron-yan keynam-ang=ben si ang laya-yan eda= tabang-ley*
 shall know-3PL.M people -A =all REL AT read-3PL.M.TOP this=writ -P.INAN
niva-ye -eri soyang tang-ye -eri tan, ...
 eye -PL-INS or ear -PL-INS 3PL.GEN, ...

“All people who read this writ with their eyes or their ears shall know that ...”

- b. *sa lata-ya mondo mesam yam=Sikontendo Biratayati tiga ang=Hasanjan*
 PT sell -3SG garden.TOP half DAT= Chunrat der Hafener honorable A= Ulrich
tiga sang samyan -ang na= Ninavay tiga, dileng-eri, ...
 honorable REL.A son.in.law-A GEN=Engel honorable, rule -INS, ...

“the honorable Ulrich, son-in-law of the honorable Engel, sold half a garden to the honorable Chunrat der Hafener, under the condition that ...”

- c. *ya mya veb -oy -ya ang=Sikontendo Biratayati tiga kong*
 LOCT shall build-NEG-3SG.M A= Chunrat der Hafener honorable inside
eda= mondo mesam laban-ley soyang nanga-as soyang vehan -ley
 this=garden.TOP half fence-P.INAN or house-P or building-P.INAN
palung si -ley eng ming nupa-isa -ong-ara yās.
 other REL-P.INAN AT.INAN can hurt -CAUS-IRR-3SG.INAN.TOP 3SG.M.P.

“Chunrat der Hafener is not supposed to build into the garden either fence or house or another building that could cause him harm.”

This is one hell of a long sentence which I nonetheless didn't split up into several ones in order to keep the legalese spirit of the original. However, for convenience, I split the one long sentence into its constituent parts for glossing. The initial passage, *Alle die, die difen brief lefent, horent oder*

	German		Ayeri
Chunrat	OHG <i>kuoni</i> ‘brave, strong’, OHG <i>rāt</i> ‘counsel’	ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>Sikontendo</i>	ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>sikong</i> ‘advice’, ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>tendo</i> ‘courageous’
Engel	GK <i>ἄγγελος</i> <i>ángelos</i> ‘messenger’	ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>Ninavay</i>	ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>ninaya</i> ‘messenger’, ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>-vaya</i> ‘fem. occupation’
Hafener	MHG <i>‘havenære’</i> ‘potter’ ³	ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>Biratayati</i>	ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>biratay</i> ‘pot’, ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>-ati</i> ‘-maker’
Ulrich	OHG <i>uodal</i> ‘heritage, home’, OHG <i>rihhi</i> ‘noble, rich, powerful’	ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>Hasanjan</i>	ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>hasang</i> ‘origin’, ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ <i>ijan</i> ‘rich’

Table 1: The negotiating parties’ names calqued into Ayeri

fehnt ‘All those who read this writ, by hearing or seeing it’ is a rhetorical topos of the genre and the way basically all deeds in the *CAO* begin, with some variations. Since it’s always fun to look up the etymologies of names and trying to calque them into Ayeri, I permitted myself to do that here as well and came up with the translations you can see in Table 1.

Another choice I made is translating the titles ‘*herre*’ ‘lord’ and ‘*vrouwe*’ ‘lady’ equally as ꨀꨩꨱ *tiga* ‘honorable’. In the case of this deed, I *assume* that the participants in the sale and their families are not noble as the titles would suggest, but more or less wealthy townspeople. Thus, ‘*herre*’ and ‘*vrouwe*’, respectively, have probably already assumed their modern meanings as generic respectful terms of address comparable to English *Mr.* and *Mrs.* in this context, which I used in the English translation of the deed above as well for the same reason.

Daz stunt als lange ...

- (3) Adareng tono, ang no vehya Sikontendo Biratayati tiga lahanley miday eda-mondoya pesan.

Ada-reng tono, ang sara-ya Ø= *Sikontendo Biratayati tiga adaya pesan*
that-A.INAN certain, AT go -3SG.M TOP=Chunrat der Hafener honorable there until
nay ang no veh -ya lahan-ley miday eda= mondo-ya.
and AT want build-3SG.M.TOP fence-P.INAN around this=garden-LOC.

“This was certain until the honorable Chunrat der Hafener went there and wanted to build a fence around this garden.”

Literally, *Daz stvnt als lange* means ‘this stood so long’, which is quite idiomatic, so I didn’t want to translate it word for word and decided to go with ꨀꨩꨱꨱꨱ *adareng tono* ‘this was certain’ instead. What is expressed here is that the deal between Chunrat and Ulrich was good until Chunrat wanted to build a fence, which of course runs counter their agreement.

³ Compare MHG ‘*haven*’ ‘pot’; normalized spellings follow the headwords in *Lexer* and are indicated by ‘...’ brackets when referring to the text using retrofitted spellings.

Do des her Ulrich innan wart ...

- (4) Tadayya si kengya ang Hasanjan tiga, ang sahaya tagātiya nay sa tirayyāng Biratayati, ang no vehya lahanley nārya mya da-vehoyyāng yanoyam ang tonisaya narāneri ban yana, ang vehoyongya adaya lahanley soyang nangās soyang vehanley palung siley eng ming nupisongara yās.

- a. *Taday-ya si keng -ya ang= Hasanjan tiga, ...*
time -LOC REL notice-3SG.M A= Ulrich honorable, ...
“When the honorable Ulrich noticed this, ...”
- b. *ang saha -ya tagāti-ya nay sa tiray -yāng Ø= Biratayati, ...*
AT come-3SG.M.TOP judge-LOC and PT complain.about-3SG.M.A TOP=der Hafener, ...
“he came to a judge and complained about der Hafener that ...”
- c. *ang no veh -ya lahan-ley nārya mya da= veh -oy -yāng ...*
AT want build-3SG.M.TOP fence-P.INAN although shall such=build-NEG-3SG.M.A ...
“he wants to build a fence although he was not supposed to build one ...”
- d. *yanoyam ang tonisa -ya maritay narān-eri ban yana, ...*
because AT assure-3SG.M.TOP before word -INS good 3SG.M.GEN, ...
“because he had assured before with his good word that ...”
- e. *ang veh -oy -ong-ya adaya lahan-ley soyang nanga-as soyang*
AT build-NEG-IRR-3SG.M.TOP there fence-P.INAN or house-P or
vehan -ley palung si -ley eng ming nupa-isa -ong-ara
building-P.INAN other REL-P.INAN AT.INAN can hurt -CAUS-IRR-3SG.INAN.TOP
yās.
3SG.M.P.
“he would not build there either fence or house or another building that could cause him harm.”

I was a little stumped at first about how to translate the original *do* ‘when’ in (4a), since Ayeri uses its equivalent word *ṣitaday* ‘when’ only in questions but usually not as a relative pronoun. And a headless relative clause is what we have here in both German and English. What is left out is something like *ze der zît* or ‘at the time’, respectively. This realization made me remember that I could just translate it as that, which is how we get *ṣitadayya si* here.

I translated ‘*klagen*’ ‘sue’ as *ṣitay*- ‘complain, bemoan’ since I thought that this fits the general situation and action well. Maybe I was not uninfluenced by the German word *NHG klagen*, which covers both meanings, ‘complain’ and ‘sue’. What is interesting here, though, is that the

verb 𑜉𑜂𑜫: *tiray-* takes a direct object/patient NP as an argument, not a PP, which is why I gave the translation in the gloss as ‘complain about’.

Des laugent im der Hafener ...

- (5) Le garica ang Biratayati adanya, narayāng, rī intoyyāng da-dileng.

Le garik-ya ang= Biratayati adanya, nara-yāng, rī int -oy -yāng
 PT deny-3SG.M A= der Hafener that.TOP, say -3SG.M.A, INST buy-NEG-3SG.M.A
eda= dileng.
 such=rule.TOP

“This der Hafener denied, he said he did not buy under such a condition.”

The Ayeri sentence is fairly vanilla here. I purposefully used references to the purchase and its conditions as the respective topics in both cases and introduced the reportative 𑜉𑜂𑜫 *narayāng* ‘he said’ for more clarity. What is a little more interesting, though, is the second half of the MHG sentence, *daz er mit im also iht kauft bete* ‘that he had not bought with him this way’. “Buy with someone” as an idiomatic expression for ‘conclude a sale with someone’ I haven’t heard in either modern German or in English so far.

Dar uber wart erteilt ...

- (6) Maritapya tagātiang, ranya ang Biratayati, rī incāng da-dileng, nārya ang ming pukatongya Hasanjan tiga puluyley yayam.

Maritap -ya tagāti-ang, ran -ya ang=Biratayati, rī int -yāng
 determine-3SG.M judge-A, contest-3SG.M A= der Hafener, INST buy-3SG.M.A
eda= dileng, nārya bata ang ming pukat-ong-ya Ø= Hasanjan tiga
 such=rule.TOP, except if AT can prove-IRR-3SG.M TOP=Ulrich honorable
puluy -ley yayam.
 opposite-P.INAN 3SG.M.DAT.

“The judge determined that der Hafener contested that he had bought under such a condition, except if the honorable Ulrich could prove the opposite to him.”

So far, I had 𑜉𑜂𑜫 *puluy* only mean ‘mirror’, but I don’t see why this shouldn’t be itself derived from the meaning ‘opposite’. After all, that is what a mirror image is.

Da wolte her Ulrich ...

- (7) Le no da-subroyya ang Hasanjan tiga kaytan yana nay ang da-pinyaya bahisyam siyā ming hakongyāng.

Le no da= subr -oy -ya ang=Hasanjan tiga kaytan yana nay ang
 PT want thus=give.up-NEG-3SG.M A= Ulrich honorable right.TOP 3SG.M.GEN and AT
da= pinya -ya babis-yam si -ya<:> ming haka -ong-yāng.
 thus=ask.for-3SG.M.TOP day -DAT REL<-DAT>-LOC can testify-IRR-3SG.M.A.

“The honorable Ulrich did not want to give up his right there and he thus asked for a day on which he could testify.”

Another remark on interesting idioms here. The MHG sentence contains the phrase *wolte [...]* *sinf rehtef niht vmbe*, literally ‘did not want of his right about’, meaning that he did not want to forfeit his rights according to the annotation in Gärtner et al. (2007).

Do der tak chom ...

- (8) Girendara ada-bahisreng nay nimya ang Hasanjan tiga nay ang hakaya kayvo ayonya palung sam, dilengya nasyam, ya mya vehoyongya ang Biratayati eda-mondo vehanley si eng ming nupisongara yās, ku-tahanrey marin edaya.

a. *Girend-ara ada= bahis-reng nay nim -ya ang=Hasanjan tiga nay*
 arrive -3SG.INAN that=day -A.INAN and appear-3SG.M A= Ulrich honorable and
ang haka -ya kayvo ayon-ya sam palung, dileng-ya nasyam, ...
 AT testify-3SG.M along.with man-LOC other two, law -LOC according.to, ...

“That day came and the honorable Ulrich appeared and testified together with two other men, according to law, ...”

b. *ya mya veh -oy -ong-ya ang=Biratayati eda= mondo vehan -ley*
 LOCT shall build-NEG-IRR-3SG.M A= der Hafener this=garden.TOP building-P.INAN
si eng ming nupa-isa -ong-ara yās, ku= taban-rey
 REL AT.INAN can hurt -CAUS-IRR-3SG.INAN.TOP 3SG.M.P, like=write -3SG.INAN.P
marin edaya.
 before here.

“that der Hafener was not supposed to build into this garden a building that could cause him harm, as is written before.”

Again a sentence starting with *do* ‘when’.⁴ I was really tempted here to break with the rule of not using relativizers without syntactic antecedents since it’s just so convenient in order to recount the logical succession of events. However, I think the solution I came up with here works as well.

The MHG original does not give the name of der Hafener here again, but I still included it for greater clarity. The original also just says that there were two others testifying along with Ulrich, but due to how Ayeri works – *palung* ‘other’ is not typically a pronoun but rather an adjective – I specified that it would be men; women were not usually independent legal subjects at the time. The construction used in MHG is interesting in this case, too, since it says *selbe dritte*, literally ‘self third’. Looking up ‘*selp*’ in Lexer, we find that ‘*selp*’ together with an ordinal refers to the given number of people including the person who is acting themselves.

Unde do er daz erziugte ...

- (9) Nay lugaya si hakayāng, yam pinyayāng beratān, yanoyam tenyasayan keynamang, koronaryasayan naynay, kada le mya tavisongyāng māy tahang rimanena ran.

Nay luga -ya si haka -yāng, yam pinya -yāng beratān, yanoyam
 and while-LOC REL testify-3SG.M.A, DATT ask.for-3SG.M.A decision.TOP, because
tenya-asa -yan keynam-ang, koronarya-asa -yan naynay, kada le mya
 die -HAB-3PL.M people -A, forget -HAB-3PL.M also, so PT shall
tavisa -ong-yāng māy tahang riman-ena ran.
 receive-IRR-3SG.M.A well writ city -GEN 3SG.INAN.GEN.

“And the while he testified, he asked for a verdict because people would die and also forget, so he should well receive the city’s writ about it.”

This part contains my favorite line in this text, *wande die lve tötlich weren unde aub vergæzzen*. I think it seems almost a little sarcastic to state that people are mortal and forgetful in this case (I rendered these as habitative verbs in Ayeri), as though Ulrich would not trust his neighbor to not offend against their agreement again. Interpretations aside, such justifications for documenting the proceedings or the outcome of oral negotiations in writing are very common. The usual reason stated is that the parties wish to keep written proof to reinforce their contracts, deals and agreements. In the late 13th century, even common people seem to increasingly become aware of the use of writing things down as a practical means to increase legal certainty, but also to commemorate, since the written word is more enduring and reliable than witnesses’ memory.

If you’ve ever studied German for a while, you know that in its spoken form it has a lot of those little words like *doch*, *halt*, *ja* and such (which will probably have driven you crazy for a while, as an English speaker) that indicate the attitude of the speaker but don’t really change the meaning of the utterance much. The *wol* ‘well’ in the MHG original text is one such particle that in this

⁴ See page 6, example (4a).

case indicates that Ulrich deems it advisable to receive an attestation of the court's decision. Ayeri has a particle ṣṣ *māy* that is used for 'yes' but can also be used as an affirmative emphasis on verbs, so I used that here. It usually occurs between the topic marker and the verb, but since that position is already filled with the modal verb ṣṣ *mya* 'be supposed to, shall', I decided to use it like an adverb and placed it after the verb. While I was at it, I also changed the original's impersonal phrasing, *man folte im [...] geben* 'one ought to give him', to a more personal one, ṣṣ *mya tavisongyāng* 'he should receive'.

Daz wart im erteilt ...

- (10) Le kilisara adanya yayam beratāneri kadisu.

Le kilis -ara adanya yayam beratān -eri kadisu.

PT.INAN grant-3SG.INAN that.TOP 3SG.M.DAT decision-INS unanimous.

"This was granted to him by unanimous verdict."

ṣṣ *kadisu* 'united' acquired a new shade of meaning here, namely, 'unanimous'. Furthermore, ṣṣ *kilis*- 'allow, permit' can also mean 'grant' now.

Unde da von ...

- (11) Nay na mya nakasoyyo kadāre peno sayling eda-mine luga tas, le ilisanang eda-tahang si rī tonisanang melakam rimanena Vamareng si ikaparey adaya.

Nay na mya nakas-oy -yo kadāre peno sayling eda= mine luga

and GENT shall grow -NEG-3SG.N so.that strife further this=issue.TOP between

tas, le ilisa -nang eda= tabang si rī tonisa -nang melakam riman-ena

3SG.M.LOC, PT issue-IPL.A this=writ.TOP REL INST ascertain-IPL.A seal.TOP city -GEN

Vamareng si ikapa -rey adaya.

Augsburg REL attach-3SG.INAN.P there.

"And so that there grow no further strife from this issue between them, we issued this writ which we ascertained with the city of Augsburg's seal, which is attached there."

The name of the city of Augsburg, MHG *Aufpurk*, derives from its Latin name *Augusta Vindelicum* plus MHG 'burc', OHG *burg* 'castle, city'. In Ayeri, we thus get ṣṣ *vamuay* 'praiseworthy' + ṣṣ *-areng* 'fort, city', which is condensed into *Vamareng*.

Again, the Ayeri translation does not contain anything very noteworthy, though the MHG text contains a phrase that is unusual in this way in modern German:

- (11.1) *mit der stet jnsigel ze Aufpurk*
 with [[the.GEN city t_i] seal] [to Augsburg]_i

This looks like a discontinuous genitive phrase,⁵ compare the modern German, which does not put the possessee into the position of a modifier (between article and noun) but has it follow the possessor, while not bracketing out the embedded PP *zu Augsburg* either:

- (11.2) *mit dem Siegel der Stadt (zu) Augsburg*
 with [the.DAT seal [the.GEN city [(to) Augsburg]]]

The bracketification of the Ayeri phrase should look the same as in NHG.

Unde sint des geziuge ...

- (12) *Nay ang bengyan eda-mandanya ayonye tiga Ledayyan, Baysikān Ikandesay, Hasanjan Pundān, Keynantendo Vehimati, Keynantendo Ikandesay, Sikontendo Baysāruan, Sikontendo na Hinyanveno baykan, Sikontendo Intamarkan, Sikontendo Piku nay keynam-ma palung.*

- a. *Nay ang beng -yan eda= mandan-ya ayon-ye tiga ...*
 and AT attend-3PL.M this=hearing -LOC man-PL.TOP honorable ...

“And this hearing was attended by the honorable men ...”

- b. *Ledayyan, Baysikān Ikandesay, Hasanjan Pundān, Keynantendo Vehimati, Volkwin, Sibot der Stolzhirz, Ulrich Fundan, Liupolt der Schroter, Keynantendo Ikandesay, Sikontendo Baysāruan, Sikontendo na Hinyanveno Liupolt der Stolzhirz, Chunrat Reinbot, Chunrat GEN Schoenegge baykan, Sikontendo Intamarkan, Sikontendo Piku ...*
 master, Chunrat Notkauf, Chunrat der Bart ...

“Volkwin, Sibot der Stolzhirz, Ulrich Fundan, Liupolt der Schroter, Liupolt der Stolzhirz, Chunrat Reinbot, master Chunrat von Schoenegge, Chunrat Notkauf, Chunrat der Bart”

- c. *nay keynam =ma palung.*
 and people.TOP=enough other.

“and enough other people.”

At this point, I wonder if I better shouldn't have calqued the names. The problem is that the names *Reinbot*, *Sibot* and *Volkwin* aren't common German names anymore. Also, I have no clue

⁵ Demske (2001: 224 ff.) wrote about it in her dissertation on the historical development of the German NP.

	German		Ayeri
Bart	MHG <i>bart</i> ‘beard’	ပိန့် <i>Piku</i>	ပိန့် <i>piku</i> ‘beard’
Liupolt	OHG <i>liut</i> ‘people’, OHG <i>bald</i> ‘bold’	လိယိုလ် <i>Keynantendo</i>	လိယိုလ် <i>keynam</i> ‘people’, လိယို <i>tendo</i> ‘courageous’
Notkauf	MHG ‘ <i>nôt</i> ’ ‘hardship, trouble’, MHG ‘ <i>kouf</i> ’ ‘trade, bargain’	နိယာမာန် <i>Intamarkan</i>	နိယာ <i>intan</i> ‘purchase’, မာန် <i>markan</i> ‘trouble’
Reinbot	GMC * <i>ragina</i> ‘counsel, fate’; OS <i>bodo</i> ‘master, ruler’ or OHG <i>boto</i> ‘messenger’	ရိယို <i>Baysāruan</i>	ရိယို <i>baybi</i> ‘ruler’, ဆာယို <i>sabaruan</i> ‘fate, destiny’
von Schoenegge	MHG ‘ <i>schöne</i> ’ ‘beautiful’, MHG ‘ <i>ecke</i> ’ ‘corner’	ဆီယို <i>Hinyanveno</i>	ဆီယို <i>hinyan</i> ‘corner’, ဆီယို <i>veno</i> ‘beautiful’
Schroter	MHG ‘ <i>schrôtäre</i> ’ ‘taylor’	ဗီယို <i>Vehimati</i>	ဗီယို <i>vehim</i> ‘dress’, မာ <i>-ati</i> ‘-maker’
Sibot	OHG <i>sigu</i> ‘victory’; OS <i>bodo</i> ‘master, ruler’ or OHG <i>boto</i> ‘messenger’	ရိယို <i>Baysikān</i>	ရိယို <i>baybi</i> ‘ruler’, နိယို <i>sikatu</i> ‘victorious’
Stolzhirz	MHG <i>stolz</i> ‘foolish, superb’, MHG <i>hirz</i> ‘deer’	နိယို <i>Ikandesay</i>	နိယို <i>ikam</i> ‘deer’, နိယို <i>desay</i> ‘noble, distinguished’
Volkwin	OHG <i>folc</i> ‘people, folk’, OHG <i>wini</i> ‘friend’	လီယို <i>Ledayyan</i>	လီယို <i>ledan</i> ‘friend’, အိယို <i>ayye</i> ‘people’

Table 2: The witnesses’ names calqued into Ayeri

about *Fundan*.⁶ The rest of the names – especially the surnames – are transparent enough to venture an educated guess. For *Chunrat* and *Ulrich*, see Table 1 above.

While *Chunrat*, *Ulrich*, *Liupolt* and *Volkwin* can simply be looked up in a dictionary,⁷ *Reinbot* and *Sibot* I could only guess at indirectly by looking up similar modern names. Both of these names contain the element *-bot*, which may be related to the modern name *Bodo*, which derives from OS *bodo* ‘master, ruler’ or OHG *boto* ‘messenger’. *Rein-* is probably related to the *Rein-* in similar surviving names like *Reinfried*, *Reinhard*, *Reinhold*, where it derives from GMC **ragina* ‘counsel, decision (of the spirits), fate’. For the *Si-* in *Sibot* I assumed a contraction from *Sige-* (compare MHG *sige* ‘victory’), and thus a relation to *Siegfried* (in other deeds sometimes abbreviated to *Sifrit* or similar), *Si(e)gmar*, *Siegmund*, where the *Sieg-* part is from OHG *sigu* ‘victory’.

Table 2 gives a summary of the names in the list of witnesses, as far as they haven’t already occurred, and their respective calques. A general problem with two-part Germanic given names is that when calquing them, they often become at least twice as long since nouns in Ayeri are very commonly disyllabic already.

⁶ Since I’ve already made up something in Turayi above, see page 3, I could try to explain the oddity of not translating this one by it being from the other language, but that also doesn’t make sense as Turayi lacks /f/, /v/ in the current draft. Whatever this name would be from in-universe, then, the form *Pundan* is permissible in Ayeri in any case.

⁷ Such as R. Kohlheim & V. Kohlheim (2013), for example, though Wiktionary said the same things in all cases.

Abbreviations

I	First person	GMC	Germanic	NHG	New High German
3	Third person	HAB	Habitative	NP	Noun phrase
A	Agent	INAN	Inanimate	OHG	Old High German
ADJ	Adjective	INS	Instrumental	OS	Old Saxon
AT	Agent topic	INST	Instrumental topic	P	Patient
CAUS	Causative	IRR	Irrealis	PL	Plural
DAT	Dative	LOC	Locative	PP	Prepositional phrase
DATT	Dative topic	LOCT	Locative topic	PT	Patient topic
DEF	Definite	M	Masculine	REL	Relative
DET	Determiner	MHG	Middle High German	SG	Singular
GEN	Genitive	N	Noun	TOP	Topic
GENT	Genitive topic	N	Neuter		
GK	Greek	NEG	Negative		

References

- N 163 (381 a). 2004. In Helmut de Boor et al. (eds.), *Corpus der altdeutschen Originalurkunden bis zum Jahr 1300*. Vol. 5: *Nachtragsurkunden 1261–1297*, 5 vols., 127. Berlin: Erich Schmidt.
- Demske, Ulrike. 2001. *Merkmale und Relationen: Diachrone Studien zur Nominalphrase des Deutschen* (Studia Linguistica Germanica 56). Berlin & New York.
- N 163 (381 a) 1279 März 19. 2007. In Kurt Gärtner et al. (eds.), *<CORPUS>: Corpus der altdeutschen Originalurkunden bis zum Jahr 1300*. Trier: University of Trier. <http://tcdho1.uni-trier.de/cgi-bin/iCorpus/CorpusIndex.tcl?hea=qf&for=qfcoraltdu&cnt=qfcoraltdu&xid=CW50172> (23 September, 2015).
- Kohlheim, Rosa & Volker Kohlheim (eds.). 2013. *Duden Lexikon Vornamen*. 6th edn. Mannheim: Duden.
- Lexner, Matthias (ed.). 1998–2015. *Mittelhochdeutsches Handwörterbuch von Matthias Lexner*. 3 vols. Trier: University of Trier. <http://woerterbuchnetz.de/Lexner/> (8 October, 2015).
- Wilhelm, Friedrich (ed.). 1932. *Corpus der altdeutschen Originalurkunden bis zum Jahr 1300*. Vol. 1: *1200–1282*. 5 vols. Lahr (Baden): Moritz Schauenburg.
- Wilhelm, Friedrich et al. (eds.). 1932–2004. *Corpus der altdeutschen Originalurkunden bis zum Jahr 1300*. 5 vols. Lahr/Schwarzwald & Berlin: Moritz Schauenburg & Erich Schmidt.