



Calculation of predictions for non-identical particle correlations in AA collisions at LHC energies from hydrodynamics-inspired models

MASTER OF SCIENCE THESIS

Author:

Mateusz Wojciech Gałążyn

Supervisor:

Prof. Adam Kisiel

Warsaw, 3rd August 2014



Obliczenia teoretycznych przewidywań korelacji cząstek nieidentycznych w zderzeniach AA przy energiach LHC pochodzących z modeli hydrodynamicznych

PRACA MAGISTERSKA

Autor:

Mateusz Wojciech Gałążyn

Promotor:

dr hab. inż. Adam Kisiel, prof. PW

Warszawa, 3 sierpnia 2014

Abstract

Streszczenie

Contents

4	1 Theory of heavy ion collisions	2
5	1.1 The Standard Model	2
6	1.2 Quantum Chromodynamics	3
7	1.2.1 Quarks and gluons	3
8	1.2.2 Quantum Chromodynamics potential	4
9	1.2.3 The quark-gluon plasma	6
10	1.3 Relativistic heavy ion collisions	7
11	1.3.1 QGP signatures	7
12	2 Terminator model	8
13	2.1 (3+1)-dimensional viscous hydrodynamics	8
14	2.2 Statistical hadronization	9
15	2.2.1 Cooper-Frye formalism	10
16	3 Particle interferometry	12
17	3.1 HBT interferometry	12
18	3.2 Intensity interferometry in heavy ion collisions	12
19	3.2.1 Theoretical approach	12
20	3.2.2 Experimental approach	12
21	3.3 Scaling of femtoscopic radii	12
22	4 Results	13
23	4.1 Identical particles correlations	13
24	4.2 Results of the fit	13
25	4.3 Discussion of results	13
26	5 Summary	14

27 Introduction

Chapter 1

Theory of heavy ion collisions

1.1 The Standard Model

In the 1970s, a new theory of fundamental particles and their interaction emerged. A new concept, which concerns the electromagnetic, weak and strong nuclear interactions between know particles. This theory is called *The Standard Model*. There are seventeen named particles in the standard model, organized into the chart shown below (Fig. 1.1). Fundamental particles are divided into two families: *fermions* and *bosons*.




Figure 1.1: The Standard Model of elementary particles [1].

Fermions are the building blocks of matter. They are divided into two groups. Six of them, which must bind together are called *quarks*. Quarks are known to bind into doublets (*mesons*), triplets (*baryons*) and recently confirmed four-quark states.¹ Two of baryons, with the longest lifetimes, are forming a nucleus: a proton and a neutron. A proton is build from two up quarks and one down, and neutron consists of two down quarks and one up. A proton is found to be a stable particle (at least it has a lifetime larger than 10^{35} years) and a free neutron has a mean lifetime about 8.8×10^2 s. Fermions, that can exist independently are called *leptons*. Neutrinos are a subgroup of leptons, which are only influenced by weak interaction. Fermions can be divided into three generations (three columns in the Figure 1.1). Generation I particles can combine into hadrons with the longest life spans. Generation II and III consists of unstable particles which form also unstable hadrons.

Bosons are force carriers. There are four fundamental forces: weak - responsible for radioactive decay, strong - coupling quarks into hadrons, electromagnetic - between charged particles and gravity - the weakest, which causes the attraction between particles with a mass. The Standard Model describes the first three. The weak force is mediated by W^\pm and Z^0 bosons, electromagnetic force is carried by photons γ and the carriers of a strong interaction are gluons g . The fifth boson is a Higgs boson which is responsible for giving other particles mass.

1.2 Quantum Chromodynamics

1.2.1 Quarks and gluons

Quarks interact with each other through the strong interaction. The mediator of this force is a *gluon* - a massless and chargeless particle. In the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) - theory describing strong interaction - there are six types of "charges" (like electrical charges in the electrodynamics) called *colours*. The colors were introduced because some of the observed particles, like Δ^- , Δ^{++} and Ω^- appeared to consist of three quarks with the same flavour (*ddd*, *uuu* and *sss* respectively), which was in conflict with the Pauli principle. One quark can carry one of the three colors (usually called *red*, *green* and *blue*) and antiquark one of the three anti-colors respectively. Only color-neutral (or white) particles could exist, mesons are assumed to be a color-anticolor pair, while baryons are *red-green-blue* triplets. Gluons also are color-charged and there are 8 types of gluons. Therefore they can interact with themselves [3].

¹The LHCb experiment at CERN in Geneva confirmed recently existence of $Z(4430)$ - a particle consisting of four quarks [2].

1.2.2 Quantum Chromodynamics potential

As a result of that gluons are massless, one can expect, that the static potential in the QCD will have the similar form like one in the electrodynamics e.g. $\sim 1/r$. In reality the QCD potential is assumed to have the form of [3]

$$V_s = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{r} + kr, \quad (1.1)$$

where the α_s is a coupling constant of the strong force and the kr part is related with the *confinement*. In comparison to the electromagnetic force, a value of the strong coupling constant is $\alpha_s \approx 1$ and the electromagnetic one is $\alpha = 1/137$.

The fact that quarks does not exist separately, but they are always bound, is called a confinement. As two quarks are pulled apart, the linear part kr in the eq. 1.1 becomes dominant and the potential becomes proportional to the distance. This situation resembles stretching of a string. At some point, when the string is so large it is energetically favourable to create a quark-antiquark pair. At this moment such pair (or pairs) is formed, the string breaks and the confinement is preserved (Fig. 1.2).




Figure 1.2: A string break and a creation of a pair quark-anti-quark [4].

On the other hand, for the small r , an interaction between the quarks and gluons is dominated by the Coulomb-like term $-\frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{r}$. The coupling constant α_s depends on the four-momentum Q^2 transferred in the interaction. This dependence is presented in Fig. 1.3. The value α_s decreases with increasing momentum transfer and the interaction becomes weak for large Q^2 ($\alpha_s(Q) \rightarrow 0$). Because of weakening of coupling constant, quarks at large energies (or small distances) are starting to behave like free particles. This phenomenon is known as an *asymptotic freedom*. The QCD potential has also temperature dependence - the force strength “melts” with the temperature increase. Therefore the asymptotic freedom is expected to appear in either the case of high baryon densities (small distances between quarks) or very high temperatures. This temperature dependence is illustrated in the Fig. 1.4.

If the coupling constant α_s is small, one can use perturbative methods to calculate physical observables. Perturbative QCD (pQCD) successfully describes hard processes (with large Q^2), such as jet production in high energy proton-antiproton collisions. The applicability of pQCD is defined by the *scale parameter*



Figure 1.3: The coupling parameter α_s dependence on four-momentum transfer Q^2 [5].




Figure 1.4: The QCD potential for a pair quark-antiquark as a function of distance for different temperatures [4].

100 $\Lambda_{QCD} \approx 200$ MeV. If $Q \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$ then the process is in the perturbative domain
 101 and can be described by pQCD. A description of soft processes (when $Q < 1$ GeV)
 102 is a problem in QCD - perturbative theory breaks down at this scale. Therefore,
 103 to describe processes with low Q^2 , one has to use alternative methods like Lattice
 104 QCD. Lattice QCD (LQCD) is non-perturbative implementation of field theory
 105 in which QCD quantities are calculated on a discrete space-time grid. LQCD al-
 106 lows to obtain properties of matter in equilibrium, but there are some limitations.

Lattice QCD requires fine lattice spacing to obtain precise results - therefore large computational resources are necessary. With the constant growth of computing power this problem will become less important. The second problem is that lattice simulations are possible only for baryon density $\mu_B = 0$. At $\mu_B \neq 0$, Lattice QCD breaks down because of the sign problem [6].

1.2.3 The quark-gluon plasma

The new state of matter in which quarks are no longer confined is known as a *quark-gluon plasma* (QGP). The predictions coming from the discrete space-time Lattice QCD calculations reveal a phase transition from the hadronic matter to the quark-gluon plasma at the high temperatures and baryon densities. The results




Figure 1.5: A number of degrees of freedom as a function of a temperature [7].

obtained from such calculations are shown on Fig. 1.5. The energy density ϵ which is divided by T^4 is a measure of number of degrees of freedom in the system. One can observe significant rise of this value, when the temperature increases past the critical value T_C . Such increase is signaling a phase transition - the formation of QGP [8]. The values of the energy densities plotted in Fig. 1.5 do not reach the Stefan-Boltzmann limit ϵ_{SB} (marked with arrows), which corresponds to the ideal gas. This can indicate some residual interactions in the system. According to the results from the RHIC², the new phase of matter behaves more like an ideal fluid, than like a gas [9].

One of the key questions, to which current heavy ion physics tries to find an answer is the value of a critical temperature T_C as a function of a baryon chemical potential μ_B (baryon density), where the phase transition occurs. The results coming from the Lattice QCD are presented in the Fig. 1.6. The phase of matter in which quarks and gluons are deconfined is expected to exist at large temperatures. In the region of small temperatures and high baryon densities, a

²Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider at Brookhaven National Laboratory in Upton, New York



Figure 1.6: Phase diagram coming from the Lattice QCD calculations [8].

different state is supposed to appear - a *colour superconductor*. The phase transition between hadronic matter and QGP is thought to be of 1st order at $\mu_B \gg 0$. However as $\mu_B \rightarrow 0$ quarks' masses become significant and a sharp transition transforms into a rapid but smooth cross-over. It is believed that in Pb-Pb collisions observed at the LHC³, the created matter has high enough temperature to be in the quark-gluon plasma phase, then cools down and converts into hadrons, undergoing a smooth transition [8].

1.3 Relativistic heavy ion collisions

1.3.1 QGP signatures

³Large Hadron Collider at CERN, Geneva

Chapter 2

Therminator model

THERMINATOR [10] is a Monte Carlo event generator designed to investigate the particle production in the relativistic heavy ion collisions. The functionality of the code includes a generation of the stable particles and unstable resonances at the chosen hypersurface model. It performs the statistical hadronization which is followed by space-time evolution of particles and the decay of resonances. The key element of this method is an inclusion of a complete list of hadronic resonances, which contribute very significantly to the observables. The second version of THERMINATOR [11] comes with a possibility to incorporate any shape of freeze-out hypersurface and the expansion velocity field, especially those generated externally with various hydrodynamic codes.

2.1 (3+1)-dimensional viscous hydrodynamics

Most of the relativistic viscous hydrodynamic calculations are done in (2+1)-dimensions. Such simplification assumes boost-invariance of a matter created in a collision. Experimental data reveals that no boost-invariant region is formed in the collisions [12]. Hence, for the better description of created system a (3+1)-dimensional model is required.

In the four dimensional relativistic dynamics one can describe a system using a space-time four-vector $x^\nu = (ct, x, y, z)$, a velocity four-vector $u^\nu = \gamma(c, v_x, v_y, v_z)$ and a energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$. The particular components of $T^{\mu\nu}$ have a following meaning:

- T^{00} - an energy density,
- $cT^{0\alpha}$ - an energy flux across a surface x^α ,
- $T^{\alpha 0}$ - an α -momentum flux across a surface x^α multiplied by c ,
- $T^{\alpha\beta}$ - components of momentum flux density tensor,

where $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ is Lorentz factor and $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Using u^ν one can express $T^{\mu\nu}$ as follows [13]:

$$T_0^{\mu\nu} = (e + p)u^\mu u^\nu - pg^{\mu\nu} \quad (2.1)$$

where e is an energy density, p is a pressure and $g^{\mu\nu}$ is an inverse metric tensor:

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

The presented version of energy-momentum tensor (2.1) can be used to describe dynamics of a perfect fluid. To take into account influence of viscosity, one has to apply the following corrections coming from shear $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ and bulk Π viscosities [14]:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_0^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu} + \Pi(g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu). \quad (2.3)$$

The stress tensor $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ and the bulk viscosity Π are solutions of dynamical equations in the second order viscous hydrodynamic framework [13]. The comparison of hydrodynamics calculations with the experimental results reveal, that the shear viscosity divided by entropy η/s has to be small and close to the AdS/CFT estimate $\eta/s = 0.08$ [14, 15].

When using $T^{\mu\nu}$ to describe system evolving close to local thermodynamic equilibrium, relativistic hydrodynamic equations in a form of:

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

can be used to describe the dynamics of the local energy density, pressure and flow velocity.

Hydrodynamic calculations are starting from the Glauber¹ model initial conditions. The collective expansion of a fluid ends at the freeze-out hypersurface. That surface is usually defined as a constant temperature surface, or equivalently as a cut-off in local energy density. The freeze-out is assumed to occur at the temperature $T = 140$ MeV.

2.2 Statistical hadronization

Statistical description of heavy ion collision has been successfully used to describe quantitatively *soft* physics, i.e. the regime with the transverse momentum not exceeding 2 GeV. The basic assumption of the statistical approach of evolution of the quark-gluon plasma is that at some point of the space-time evolution of the fireball, the thermal equilibrium is reached. When

¹The Glauber Model is used to calculate “geometrical” parameters of a collision like an impact parameter, number of participating nucleons or number of binary collisions.

the system is in the thermal equilibrium the local phase-space densities of particles follow the Fermi-Dirac or Bose-Einstein statistical distributions. At the end of the plasma expansion, the freeze-out occurs. The freeze-out model incorporated in the THERMINATOR model assumes, that chemical and thermal freeze-out occur at the same time.

2.2.1 Cooper-Frye formalism

The result of the hydrodynamic calculations is the freeze-out hypersurface Σ^μ . A three-dimensional element of the surface is defined as [11]

$$d\Sigma_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial x^\gamma}{\partial \gamma} d\alpha d\beta d\gamma, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor and the variables $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are used to parametrize the three-dimensional freeze-out hypersurface in the Minkowski four-dimensional space. The Levi-Civita tensor is equal to 1 when the indices form an even permutation (eg. ϵ_{0123}), to -1 when the permutation is odd (e.g. ϵ_{2134}) and has a value of 0 if any index is repeated. Therefore [11],

$$d\Sigma_0 = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial \gamma} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \gamma} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \gamma} \end{vmatrix} d\alpha d\beta d\gamma \quad (2.6)$$

and the remaining components are obtained by cyclic permutations of t, x, y and z .

One can obtain the number of hadrons produced on the hypersurface Σ^μ from the Cooper-Frye formalism. The following integral yields the total number of created particles [11]:

$$N = (2s + 1) \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 E_p} \int d\Sigma_\mu(x) p^\mu f(x, p), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$f(p \cdot u) = \left\{ \exp \left[\frac{p_\mu u^\mu - (B\mu_B + I_3\mu_{I_3} + S\mu_S + C\mu_C)}{T} \right] \pm 1 \right\}^{-1} \quad (2.8)$$

is the phase-space distribution for particles (for stable ones and resonances). For the Fermi-Dirac distribution in the 2.8 there is a plus sign and for Bose-Einstein statistics minus sign respectively. The thermodynamic quantities appearing in the $f(\cdot)$ are T - temperature, μ_B - baryon chemical potential, μ_{I_3} - isospin chemical potential, μ_S - strange chemical potential, μ_C - charmed chemical potential and the s is a spin of a particle. One can simply derive from equation 2.7, the dependence of the momentum density [16]:

$$E \frac{dN}{d^3p} = \int f(x, p) p^\mu d\Sigma_\mu. \quad (2.9)$$

221 The equations presented above are directly used in the THERMINATOR to generate
222 the hadrons with the Monte-Carlo method.

223 Chapter 3

224 Particle interferometry

225 3.1 HBT interferometry

226 3.2 Intensity interferometry in heavy ion collisions

227 3.2.1 Theoretical approach

228 Two particle wave function

229 Source function

230 Theoretical correlation function

231 Spherical harmonics decomposition of correlation function

232 3.2.2 Experimental approach

233 3.3 Scaling of femtoscopic radii

234 **Chapter 4**

235 **Results**

236 **4.1 Identical particles correlations**

237 **4.2 Results of the fit**

238 **4.3 Discussion of results**

²³⁹ **Chapter 5**

²⁴⁰ **Summary**

Bibliography

- [1] Standard Model of Elementary Particles - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/standard_model.
- [2] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration). Observation of the resonant character of the $z(4430)^-$ state. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 112:222002, Jun 2014.
- [3] Donald H. Perkins. *Introduction to High Energy Physics*. Cambridge University Press, fourth edition, 2000. Cambridge Books Online.
- [4] G. Odyniec. *Phase Diagram of Quantum Chromo-Dynamics* - course at Faculty of Physics, Warsaw University of Technology, Jun 2012.
- [5] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group). The Review of Particle Physics. *Phys. Rev.*, D86:010001, 2012.
- [6] Z. Fodor and S.D. Katz. The Phase diagram of quantum chromodynamics. 2009.
- [7] F. Karsch. Lattice results on QCD thermodynamics. *Nuclear Physics A*, 698(1-4):199 – 208, 2002.
- [8] Adam Kisiel. *Studies of non-identical meson-meson correlations at low relative velocities in relativistic heavy-ion collisions registered in the STAR experiment*. PhD thesis, Warsaw University of Technology, Aug 2004.
- [9] J. Bartke. *Relativistic Heavy Ion Physics*. World Scientific Pub., 2009.
- [10] Adam Kisiel, Tomasz Taluc, Wojciech Broniowski, and Wojciech Florkowski. THERMINATOR: THERMal heavy-IoN generATOR. *Comput.Phys.Commun.*, 174:669–687, 2006.
- [11] Mikolaj Chojnacki, Adam Kisiel, Wojciech Florkowski, and Wojciech Broniowski. THERMINATOR 2: THERMal heavy IoN generATOR 2. *Comput.Phys.Commun.*, 183:746–773, 2012.
- [12] I. et al (BRAHMS Collaboration) Bearden. Charged meson rapidity distributions in central Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 94:162301, Apr 2005.

- 269 [13] W. Israel and J.M. Stewart. Transient relativistic thermodynamics and kin-
270 etic theory. *Annals of Physics*, 118(2):341 – 372, 1979.
- 271 [14] Piotr Bożek. Flow and interferometry in $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional viscous hydro-
272 dynamics. *Phys. Rev. C*, 85:034901, Mar 2012.
- 273 [15] K. Kovtun, P. D. T. Son, and A. O. Starinets. Viscosity in strongly interacting
274 quantum field theories from black hole physics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 94:111601,
275 Mar 2005.
- 276 [16] Fred Cooper and Graham Frye. Single-particle distribution in the hydro-
277 dynamic and statistical thermodynamic models of multiparticle production.
278 *Phys. Rev. D*, 10:186–189, Jul 1974.

List of Figures

280	1.1	The Standard Model of elementary particles [1].	2
281	1.2	A string break and a creation of a pair quark-anti-quark [4].	4
282	1.3	The coupling parameter α_s dependence on four-momentum transfer Q^2 [5].	5
283			
284	1.4	The QCD potential for a pair quark-antiquark as a function of distance for different temperatures [4].	5
285			
286	1.5	A number of degrees of freedom as a function of a temperature [7].	6
287	1.6	Phase diagram coming from the Lattice QCD calculations [8]. . . .	7