Spectral resolution is not important for modeling galaxy growth

Louis E. Abramson¹[⋆], Daniel D. Kelson¹, and Alan Dressler¹

¹ Carnegie Observatories, 813 Santa Barbara Street, Pasadena, CA 91101, USA

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We compare actual $R \sim 800$ spectroscopy to model predictions based on galaxy star formation histories (SFHs) inferred from much lower resolution data: $ugrizJK_s$ photometry and $R \sim 25$ rest-optical prism spectra. From XXX systems, we find a median difference of $\leq 1\%$ between all predicted and measured absorption features in the Lick index bandpasses except the Blamer lines—explainable by unmodeled emission—and Ca4227 and Fe5270 in UVJ-classified passive galaxies, which are 1.7%-2.5% weaker than expected. χ^2 stuff. As such, absent a Ca– or Fe–age prior accurate to the 2% level—whose empirical apprehension is itself a motivation for SED fitting—we find no utility in adding high resolution spectroscopy as an SFH modeling constraint, at least when using models that capture the intrinsic diversity of real growth trajectories. Our results cast doubt on the extent to which spectra from the $James\ Webb\ Space\ Telescope$ will enhance our understanding of galaxy growth and suggest that progress requires new tactics as much as new data.

Key words: galaxies: spectroscopy

1 INTRODUCTION

A central ambition of the study of galaxy evolution is to understand stellar mass growth; i.e., galaxy star formation histories (SFHs). Spectral energy distributions (SEDs) are the key data in this work because they can be decomposed into combinations of distinct stellar subpopulations of known ages. The resulting coefficients yield the amount of stellar mass a galaxy is inferred to have formed at the lookback time corresponding to each subpopulation's age.

Different stellar subpopulations have different but not orthogonal SEDs. As such, galaxy decompositions are degenerate. Of course, those degeneracies are compounded by age-independent effects like metallicity and dust.

High resolution spectra ($R \sim 500-5000$) are often used to alleviate those degeneracies in SFH model fitting. The hope is that the absorption features that emerge in those data will increase the contrast between constituent stellar subpopulations, constrain metallicities, and yield more accurate age/mass coefficients. The utility of these data is usually taken as axiomatic, but it is also testable.

Here we present experiment that shows there is in fact little information in high resolution spectra that enhance constraints on galaxy SFHs compared to inferences based on a combination of broadband photometry and low resolution ($R \sim 25$) prism spectra. We use precomputed SFH inferences based on such low resolution

SEDs for a set of **XXX** systems at $\langle z \rangle = \mathbf{ZZZ}$ to produce predictions of each galaxy's high-resolution spectrum. We then compare those predictions to actual high resolution ($R \sim 800$) observations taken post-facto. With the exception of the Balmer lines—whose divergence from predictions is readily ascribable to emission line infilling—we find differences to be of order **whatever they are**, suggesting **whatever we say they do**.

Section 2 describes the data on which our experiment is based, Section 3 shows the comparisons between our spectral predictions and the high resolution data, and Section 4 describes the implications of these results. We use AB magnitudes and assume a Chabrier (2003) stellar initial mass function (IMF) with $(H_0, \Omega_M, \Omega_\Lambda) = (70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}, 0.3, 0.7)$ throughout.

2 DATA

2.1 Master sample

This experiment is based on the *Carnegie Spitzer IMACS Survey* (CSI; Kelson et al. 2014). CSI provides Magellan-IMACS Low- and Uniform-Dispersion Prism spectroscopy (CITE) for objects with *Spitzer* [3.5] \leq 21 in XXX sq. deg. from THESE FIELDS. Combined with supplemental $ugrizJK_S$ photometry from the NEWFIRM archive (CITE) and Canada-France-Hawai'i Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHTLS; CITE), these data were used to derive flexible SFHs for each galaxy as part of the redshift estimation process. The sample is complete to $\log M_*/M_{\odot} \sim 10.3$ at $z \sim 0.7$. The spectral resolution of the prisms varies from $R \sim XXX$

^{*} E-mail: labramson@carnegiescience.edu

A future paper will extend this statement to pure photometry-based inferences.

2 Abramson, Kelson, & Dressler

to $R \sim YYY$ at wavelengths, about THIS MUCH WORSE than the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (York et al. 2000).

Dressler et al. (2016, 2018) examine the CSI SFHs in detail. Dressler et al. (2018) provides a thorough treatment of CSI SFH quality in its Appendix. We defer the reader to those texts for that information, but briefly review the SFH inference process here. None of these details are important in the context of the experiment we detail below, which should be repeated using other approaches.

The CSI spectrophotometry was using 5 precomputed SEDs based on SFHs with constant star formation rates (SFRs) spanning:

- 0.0 to 0.2 Gyr prior to *t*_{obs};
- 0.2 to 0.5 Gyr prior to t_{obs} ;
- 0.5 to 1.0 Gyr prior to *t*_{obs};
- 1.0 to 2.0 Gyr prior to *t*_{obs};
- 2.0 Gyr prior to t_{obs} to z = 5;

where $t_{\rm obs}$ corresponds to the object's redshift and z=5 is taken as the onset of star formation. If the data prefer, the oldest bin can also take the form of a 1 Gyr top hat starting at z=5. The median redshift of the samples studied in Dressler et al. (2016, 2018) is $z\sim0.7$, or $t_{\rm obs}\sim7$ Gyr.

Each of the above SEDs was allowed to take an independent A_V (assuming a Calzetti et al. 2000 extinction law) but not metallicity. The latter was inferred using a prior peaked at $Z=Z_{\odot}$. As such, the predicted spectra do not capture the likely enrichment history of any object (cf. Pacifici et al. 2012; Morishita et al. 2019)—a fact to bear in mind as we proceed.

All spectral templates were generated using Flexible Stellar Population Synthesis (FSPS; Conroy et al. 2009) assuming default abundance patterns. When inferring the SFHs, these models—5 mass amplitudes + 5 A_V s + 1 metallicity + 1 redshift + 1 spectrophotometry fluxing factor = 12 free parameters—were typically constrained by 7 + ~100 photometric + spectral datapoints. The spectral models studied below are were regenerated using the best-fit SFH parameters at $R \sim 800$ in the rest optical. Uncertainties are tabulated for the SFH bins but *not* propagated to the high resolution model spectra. As they therefore rely purely on data uncertainties, all comparisons below should be regarded as conservative.

2.2 High-resolution spectroscopy

- 3 CONFRONTING PREDICTIONS WITH HIGH-RESOLUTION DATA
- 3.1 Systematic errors
- 4 IMPLICATIONS
- 4.1 Where is the information going?

Redshifts.

5 SUMMARY

Foo.

Facilities: Magellan/IMACS
Software: IDL (Coyote libraries; http://www.idlcoyote.com/), python (CarPy).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

```
Calzetti D., Armus L., Bohlin R. C., Kinney A. L., Koornneef J., Storchi-Bergmann T., 2000, ApJ, 533, 682
Chabrier G., 2003, PASP, 115, 763
Conroy C., Gunn J. E., White M., 2009, ApJ, 699, 486
Dressler A., et al., 2016, ApJ, 833, 251
Dressler A., Kelson D. D., Abramson L. E., 2018, ApJ, 869, 152
Kelson D. D., et al., 2014, ApJ, 783, 110
Morishita T., et al., 2019, ApJ, 877, 141
Pacifici C., Charlot S., Blaizot J., Brinchmann J., 2012, MNRAS, 421, 2002
York D. G., et al., 2000, AJ, 120, 1579
```

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.