

# Ninoofsepoort, an opportunity lost to capital?

Carla Hyenne



**A** Night view over Ninoofsepoort, from the rooftop of the MIMA museum. From the foreground to the background, we see: the canal over the Petite Senne; an art project from Antoine Caramalli along the canal walls; an undeveloped, overgrown, fenced off plot of land; to its left, tram lines which delimit the Sint-Jans-Molenbeek commune from Brussels Capital commune; further behind, the Ninoofsepoort Park; and the very brightly lit Rue Heyvaert.

The photo is a view over Ninoofsepoort, or Ninoof's Door. The name of this triangular plot of land is symbolic. Located on the edge of the Brussels' canal, it was once a city gate that provided entry to the historical city centre of Brussels. Occupied in the 20th century by warehouses and manufacturing companies, the land was left unused as the companies moved.

Today, Ninoofsepoort is at the same time a break in Brussels' urban fabric and a fantastic opportunity for development. As an area of roughly X squared kilometres, only ten minutes walking distance from the Grand Place, in a dense city with limited space, and in a neighbourhood targeted as a zone for urban renovation (**perspective2020zru**), there is a lot at stake. **As such, this project is high stakes for the city.**

The photo itself was taken from the rooftop of the MIMA, a museum of contemporary art opened in 2016. One of the museum's aims was to give the neighbourhood of Molenbeek a new image. An image of culture and dynamism, intended to overrule the prevailing sentiment of insecurity and fear. The faces with 3D glasses visible on the canal walls are a satirical piece by artist Antoine Caramalli, entitled 'The Big Molenbeek Show'. The faces of Molenbeek are staring back in irony at those looking onto the neighbourhood, following the "giant media circus" (**antoine2016canal**) after the 2016 Paris terrorist attacks carried out by Molenbeek hooligans **they're terrorists not delinquent teenagers!**.

I think Caramalli, an inhabitant of Molenbeek himself, perfectly summarises the neighbourhood as the "home of the poor, the artists, the immigrants and the tourists, fertile ground of mixity and culture" (**antoine2016canal**). This poetic description conveys Ninoofsepoort's multi-faceted **politicoeconomic, sociocultural** position (political, social, economic, cultural, touristic) with many actors (**includin'** inhabitants, politicians, planners, private investors).

Part of Ninoofsepoort has been developed into a park, visible in the back of the photo. Although minimal in its furniture, the park is well used by a diversity of inhabitants and addresses the concerns over the density of the neighbourhood and its critical lack of green space. The undeveloped plot of land, visible as overgrown shrubs surrounded by a fence covered in graffiti, is the centre of much debate. It leads us to wonder whether the park was planned for the inhabitants, or to attract private investors in a location with unrealised potential. What is to become of this land?

In 2016, the government mandated **perspective.brussels**, an NGO, to evaluate the land and plan its development (**diagnosticNinove**). **Perspective** formulated a goal for Ninoofsepoort - to make it "a real place of conviviality and attractiveness for all populations" (**perspectiveNinove**). From this, three aspects stand out: conviviality, attractiveness, and all populations.

### **Conviviality and Attractiveness**

Given Molenbeek's socio-economic situation, it is not hard to be critical of the PAD (Plan d'Aménagement Directeur) of Ninoofsepoort. The PAD is a tool that has the power to overrule regulations, and is being used to facilitate the plans of the private real estate developer BESIX RED. The land use has been changed to allow private housing and a height of 90 meters. The reasons for the PAD are to anchor Ninoofsepoort within Brussels' small ring, already dotted with high-rise buildings; giving Ninoofsepoort an identity; and improving the quality of the urban space.

To these ends, three housing towers were planned. They will comprise of 270 private housing units along

with 240 parking spots, and the ground floor will be open in order to create a cohesive urban fabric. None of the units will be social housing, and the mere plan for so much parking implies who will move in - a population who needs a car on a regular basis to get to work - and confirms the ambitions of BESIX RED to attract a new class.

## All populations

The plans promote open, public space on the ground floor of the towers, with metropolitan equipment such as socio-cultural infrastructure and daycares. But for whom is this space, and this equipment? **In light of the fact that the current population is lower-class** How will public and private spaces be developed, in order to facilitate diverse uses and populations? Perversely, this promotion for diversity, and the creation of the Ninoofsepoort park, encourage gentrification by attracting real estate developers like BESIX RED and middle to upper classes who are the only ones able to buy the new housing units.

**Tie this back to the main argument somehow, eg. given Molenbeek's need for public investments...** So who is represented in "all the populations"? Molenbeek is one of the poorest neighbourhoods of Brussels, one of the densest in Europe, and in desperate need of green and public spaces, and public equipment (**ieb2019ninove**). ~~The neighbourhood is a real challenge for the local government, no less because of its high levels of unemployment (25.4% compared to the regional average of 18.65% (**monitoring2018chomage**)).~~ Given that the towers are from a private company, and won't have any social housing, shows that the plan never aimed to include the population of Molenbeek, who could not afford the units. The argument of social mix, modernisation, and a supra-local vision prevailed.

This development is also a stark contrast to the resident's demands for their neighbourhood, when they were consulted in 2014 → maybe add this

Investing money in a disadvantaged neighbourhood tends to accelerate gentrification and displacement if there are no policies to reduce unemployment, and improve living conditions for existing residents. Moreover, Ninoofsepoort is being used as a strategic site to integrate a multitude of projects that are part of the Canal Plan. These plans, like the PAD Heyvaert, will further displace residents and especially local businesses important to the area, like the car dealerships in Rue Heyvaert.

## Hope for the Right to the City

this photo encapsulates the failure of brussels city to address the failures of brussels cit **Given the above, it is clear...**

Let's circle back to Perspective's goal for Ninoofsepoort, to make it "a real place of conviviality and attractiveness for all populations". Given what we now know about the project, it is clear that there is no intention to address the aspirations and concerns of the people who currently live in the area, but rather, to cater to the aspirations of the middle and upper classes.

The location's extremely attractive potential makes it vulnerable to investors. For the city, it is equally attractive to sell or lease the land, thus reaping the benefits of taxation and income from wealthier residents. But would they really be *benefits*? Trickle down effects have long been disproven (**biden2018speech**). Maybe, the neighbourhood would better benefit from direct public investments in employment and educa-

tion. And perhaps worst of all, the new investments will further gentrify the neighbourhood and displace the current inhabitants who would never profit from the investments. The vicious cycle of investment, gentrification, and displacement will perpetuate.

After a “massive citizen mobilisation” against speculation... → elaborate

(<https://www.ieb.be/Porte-de-Ninove-bientot-une-victoire-contre-la-speculation-fonciere-et-pour-le>)

Ninoofsepoort was an opportunity for the Brussels’ government to show more ambition in the social housing developments, given the enormous demand and embarrassing supply. Unfortunately, it fell victim to “Bruxellisation”, where the interests of private investors are prioritised over those of local inhabitants, justified by the necessity to modernise.

Even if the housing development is not directly destroying or displacing, it contributes to the gentrification of Molenbeek. It will raise the attractiveness of the area, thus rising prices, and displacing people and businesses who either cannot afford the new rent or are forced out for the sake of urban regeneration.