1 Exercise 1

1.1 Cross Entropy

 $H(y,g) = -(0*\log(0.25) + 1*\log(0.6) + 0*\log(0.15)) = 0.222$

1.2 Mean Squared Error Loss

$$MSE(y,g) = \frac{1}{3}(0.25^2 + (-0.4)^2 + 0.15^2) = 0.0817$$

1.3 Hinge Loss

SVM(y,j) = max(0,1.25) + max(0,0.6)max(0,1.15) = 3

2 Task A

2.1 Accuracy

$$ACC = \frac{TP + TN}{P + N}$$

, high accuracy of predicting predominant class is easily achieved and misleading. If we have highly unbalanced data the problem of accuracy as a metric is quite apparent: Let's consider an example with medical data: normally only a few patients have a certain disease. If our dataset consists of 200 patients, of which only 8 have cancer, than a classifier which predicts that no patient has cancer would yield 96 percent accuracy; this prediction however would be deadly for the patients. If we also consider false negatives, than we see that in every case of a cancer patient, the classifier predicted no cancer and thus false negatives are 100 percent. The ability to capture misclassifications in a satisfying way is what is lacking in the accuracy metric.

In a muti-class setting, exact matching does not distinguish between partially correct and completely incorrect labeling. The denominator, $\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{N}$ which is equivalent to number of samples(N) is constant. It is thus sensitive to only how many labels correctly identified with respect to N

For a single class C Precision, Recall and F1 are defined as follows:

$$F1_c = \frac{2|T_c \cap P_c|}{|P_c| + |T_c|}$$

The hamonic mean of precision $Precision = \frac{|T_c \cap P_c|}{|T_c|}$ and recall $Recall = \frac{|T_c \cap P_c|}{|P_c|}$

$$Jacc_c = \frac{|T_c \cap P_c|}{|T_c \cup P_c|}$$

which can e rewritten as

$$Jacc_c = \frac{|T_c \cap P_c|}{|P_c| + |T_c| - |T_c \cap P_c|}$$
$$Jacc_c < F1_c$$

2.2 Task B

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} & GT \text{ Class} & \# & \text{Freq} \\ \hline & B & 4 & 0.5 \\ & TD & 4 & 0.5 \\ \text{all other classes} (B^*C^*D^*T^*) \backslash (B,TB) & 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}$$

Evaluation of class B

$$|T_b| = |4B| = 4$$

$$|P_b| = |2B, 2T, 2D| = 6$$

$$Jacc_b = \frac{|4B \cap (2B, 2T, 2D|)}{|P_b| + |T_b| - |(4B \cap 2B, 2T, 2D)|}$$

$$Jacc_b = \frac{|2B|}{4 + 6 - |2B|}$$

$$Jacc_b = \frac{2}{4 + 6 - 2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

Following the definition:

$$Precision_b = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$Recall_b = \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$F1 = \frac{2(Precision \times Recall)}{Precision + Recall} = \frac{2}{5}$$

Evaluation of class TD

$$|T_{td}| = |4T, 4D| = 8, |P_{td}| = |3T, 2C, B, D| = 7$$

$$Jacc_b = \frac{|(4T, 4D) \cap (3T, 2C, B, D|)}{|P_{td}| + |T_{td}| - |(4T, 4D) \cap (3T, 2C, B, D|)|}$$

$$Jacc_{td} = \frac{|(3T, D)|}{8 + 7 - |(3T, D)|}$$

$$Jacc_{td} = \frac{4}{8 + 7 - 4} = \frac{4}{11}$$

$$Precision_{td} = \frac{4}{7}$$

$$Recall_{td} = \frac{4}{8} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$F1 = \frac{2(Precision \times Recall)}{Precision + Recall} = \frac{8}{15}$$

Mean Computations class balance:

$$Jacc_{cb} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|T_i \cap P_i|}{|T_i \cup P_i|} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{4}{11}\right) = 0.3068$$

$$Precision_{cb} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|T_i \cap P_i|}{|T_i|} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{7}\right) = 0.4\overline{523809}$$

$$Recall_{cb} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|T_i \cap P_i|}{|P_i|} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.5$$

$$F1_{cb} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2|T_i \cap P_i|}{|P_i| + |T_i|} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{5} + \frac{8}{15}\right) = 0.4\overline{6}$$

class frequency:

Because we only have two classes and both have a freq of 0.5 the results are the same as with the class balance.

exact match:

$$ExMatch = \frac{\#correctInstances}{\#instances} = \frac{2}{8} = 0.25$$

Questions

1. Intuitively the Exact Match would be the strictest metric possible. However, it might not be the lowest number: how come?

Proposed the absolute values of those metrics are not compareble. A value of 1

Because the absolute values of these metrics are not comparable. A value of 1 in the jaccard metric does not mean the same as a F1 value of 1

- 2. Can you compute the global accuracy on this example? Justify your answer.
- 3. What is the main issue going from multi-class to multi-label setting?

I a multi-class setting a prediction is eighter correct of not. In a multi-label setting we can have partially correct labels. Under these conditions we need different definition for the metrics.