

Concepts and Computation: A Few Notes on Research Methods in Political Science

Carlisle Rainey

2018-01-08

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1 Placeholder | 5 |
| 2 Placeholder | 7 |
| 3 Questions | 9 |
| 3.1 Normative Questions | 9 |
| 3.2 Descriptive Questions | 9 |
| 3.3 Causal Questions | 9 |
| 4 Models | 11 |
| 4.1 The Scientific Method | 11 |
| 4.2 Building Models | 11 |
| 4.3 Evaluating Models | 11 |
| 4.4 Review Exercises | 11 |
| 5 Proportions | 13 |
| 5.1 Calculating Proportions | 13 |
| 5.2 The Mathematics of Proportions | 14 |
| 5.3 SD of a 0-1 List | 14 |
| 5.4 Properties of Proportions | 15 |
| 5.5 Review Exercises | 15 |

Chapter 1

Placeholder

Chapter 2

Placeholder

Chapter 3

Questions

3.1 Normative Questions

3.2 Descriptive Questions

3.3 Causal Questions

3.3.1 Meaning

Chapter 4

Models

4.1 The Scientific Method

4.1.1 Concepts

4.1.2 Models

4.1.3 Measurements and Comparisons

4.2 Building Models

4.3 Evaluating Models

4.4 Review Exercises

Chapter 5

Proportions

We can imagine lots of lists that are not numbers. This lists contain qualitative variables. We might have a lists that contains the class of each student in the class. Rather than contain numbers, this list contains values like “Freshman,” “Sophomore,” “Junior,” and “Senior.”

Similarly, at the end of the semester, I have a list of grades. The most important list is Letter Grades which contains values like A, B, C, D, and F. We might have lists that contain partisanship, ideology, regime type, and so on.

When we deal with lists that contain not-numbers, we cannot use the average because we cannot sum the not-numbers.

Instead, we use proportions. We choose a particular category and compute the proportion of a list that fall into that category. Note that this “particular category” might contain several values, such as freshman or sophomore (underclassmen) or A, B, or C (passing grades). For example, we say a certain proportion of the class are freshman. Or we say that a certain proportion of a class received an A, B, or C.

5.1 Calculating Proportions

To compute a proportion, simply count the number of entries in the list that fall in the particular category and divide that by the total number of entries.

$$\text{proportion in the category} = \frac{\text{number of entries that fall into the category}}{\text{total number of entries}}$$

For example, take the

Example: Compute the percent of sophomores in the class.

First count the number of sophomores in the list. We have 2. Next, count the number of total students in the class. We have 10. Finally, divide the number of sophomores by total number of students. We have $\frac{2}{10}$.

Table 5.1: Class standing and letter grades of 15 hypothetical students.

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Class | Junior | Senior | Senior | Senior | Sophomore | Freshman | Sophomore | Junior | Junior | Senior |
| Grade | C | C | D | C | B | B | B | B | C | A |

If we want, we can leave this proportion as is, reduce it to a simpler form, or convert it to a percent. It's usually easiest to convert the final proportion to a percent. In this case, we have 20%.

Exercises:

1. Compute the percent of students in the class that are sophomores. Compute the percent that are juniors or seniors.
2. Compute the percent of students that received an F. Compute the percent that received an A or a B.
3. Compute the percent of seniors that received an A. Compute the percent of freshmen that received an A.

5.2 The Mathematics of Proportions

The approach above to compute a proportion works perfectly. However, it does not clearly connect to the past or future discussion of averages.

If we can connect proportions and averages, then we can apply the same theory to two concepts, cutting our theory and formulas by half.

To connect proportions and averages, we simply convert the list of not-numbers into a list of numbers. To create the new list of numbers, simply assign the number 1 to the entries that fall into the particular category. Assign zero to the other entries. This creates a list of numbers that contains only 0s and 1s. I call this a 0-1 list. The proportion is simply the average of this 0-1 list.

Note: We can think of a proportion as an average of a 0-1 list.

Notice that a proportion is a special type of average: it is an average of a 0-1 list that you create by replacing the entries that fall into the categories of interest with 1s and replacing the other entries with zero.

Exercise: Suppose I'm evaluating the admissions process so I put together a list containing the gender of the admitted graduate students. This list is M, M, F, F, M, M, M, M, F, M, and M.

Try two ways to calculating the proportion of women admitted. 1. The initial way I described: count the number of women in the list and divide that by the total number of entries. 2. The more mathematical way I described: write down the implied 0-1 list (replace Woman with 1 and everything else with 0) and then average the list. Are these two approaches different or the same? Elaborate?

If we understand proportions as a special type of average, then anything we say about averages applies to proportions too!

5.3 SD of a 0-1 List

If we convert a list of qualitative values into a 0-1 list, then we can compute the SD of the new list. Just like with other lists of numbers, the SD of a 0-1 list is the r.m.s. of the deviations from average. We can compute the SD the usual way. But the usual way takes time, and if we have a 0-1 list, we have a shortcut.

$$\text{SD of a 0-1 list} = \sqrt{\text{ave. of list} \times (1 - \text{ave. of list})}$$

Notice that the average of a 0-1 list determines the SD *exactly*. If the average of a 0-1 list is 0.25, then the SD is exactly $\sqrt{0.2 \times 0.8} = 0.4$. If the average of a 0-1 list is 0.5, then the SD is exactly $\sqrt{0.5 \times 0.5} = 0.5$.

Because of this, we do not often discuss the variation when working with lists of qualitative values—the proportion contains all the information we need.

However, this shortcut will help us later.

5.4 Properties of Proportions

We can say three things about proportions:

1. They are at least 0 and at most 1. If none of the entries fall into the category, then the top (numerator) of the proportion equals 0, and therefore the whole proportion equals 0. If all of the entries fall into the category, then the top of the proportion (numerator) equals the bottom of the proportion (denominator), and therefore the whole proportion equals 1.
2. The proportion that do not fall into a category is 1 minus the proportion that fall into a category.
3. It is easier to talk about percents. After we finish all our calculations, we usually convert proportions to percents by multiplying the proportion by 100%. It usually round this percent to the nearest whole percent.

5.5 Review Exercises

1. Table 5.2 shows the partisan control of each branch of the 49 U.S. states (excluding Nebraska) in 2011. Compute the proportion of states with a Republican governor. Repeat for house and senate. Is the proportion of Democratic governors, houses, and senates necessarily $1 - \text{proportion Republican}$? Why or why not? Which party has most control in the U.S. states? Can you think of any ways that control of state governments affect national politics?
2. Obtain a copy of Gerber, Green, and Larimer's 2008 article "Social Pressure and Voter Turnout: Evidence from a Large-scale Field Experiment" published in the *American Political Science Review* (Volume 102, Issue 1, pp. 33-48). Complete the following tasks:
 - a. Read the introduction, pp. 33-34 though "Social Norms, The Calculus of Voting, and Prior Research." What question interests the authors? What type of question is it (normative, descriptive, causal)? Would you say that the question matters? Why?
 - b. Read the section "Experimental Design," pp. 36-38 though "Results". Briefly describe the design of the study. When and where was the study conducted? Who was included in or excluded from the study? How did the researchers assign the subjects to the treatment and control groups?
 - c. Examine the four mailers reproduced on pp. 43-46. Using your intuition about voter psychology and behavior, rank these mailers from most effective to least effective. Which mailer do you suspect makes the recipient most likely to vote? Least likely? Do you suspect any of the mailers have a negative effect (i.e., receiving the mailer makes the recipient less likely to vote than if she had received no mailer at all)?
 - d. Table 5.3 contains the data set **social-pressure**. Use these data to re-compute the percentages that the authors present in their Table 2 on p. 38. Fill these in the appropriate column in Table 5.3.
 - e. Estimate the average treatment effect by subtracting the proportion that voted in the control group from the proportion that voted in each treatment group (i.e., groups that received a mailer). Convert these changes in proportions to changes in percentages by multiplying by 100%.¹ According to these estimates, what treatment is most effective? Least effective? Do any treatments have a negative effect? Does the treatment effects match your guesses about the rankings?
 - f. Comment on the ethics of this study? Would you describe this study as unethical? Why or why not?

¹We must take care when discussing changes in percentages. A 10% increase in 50%, could mean either (a) $0.5 + 0.1 = 0.6 = 60\%$ or (b) $0.5 + (0.5 \times 0.1) = 0.5 + 0.05 = 0.55 = 55\%$. To make the change clear, we usually talk about changes in "percentage points," which clearly refers to (a). Throughout these notes, I always describe changes in percents using percentage point changes (a).

Table 5.2: The partisan control of each branch of the 49 (excluding Nebraska) state governments in 2011.

| state | governor | house | senate |
|----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Alabama | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Alaska | Republican | Republican | Split |
| Arizona | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Arkansas | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| California | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| Colorado | Democrat | Republican | Democrat |
| Connecticut | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| Delaware | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| Florida | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Georgia | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Hawaii | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| Idaho | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Illinois | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| Indiana | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Iowa | Republican | Republican | Democrat |
| Kansas | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Kentucky | Democrat | Democrat | Republican |
| Louisiana | Republican | Republican | Democrat |
| Maine | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Maryland | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| Massachusetts | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| Michigan | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Minnesota | Democrat | Republican | Republican |
| Mississippi | Republican | Democrat | Democrat |
| Missouri | Democrat | Republican | Republican |
| Montana | Democrat | Republican | Republican |
| Nevada | Republican | Democrat | Democrat |
| New Hampshire | Democrat | Republican | Republican |
| New Jersey | Republican | Democrat | Democrat |
| New Mexico | Republican | Democrat | Democrat |
| New York | Democrat | Democrat | Republican |
| North Carolina | Democrat | Republican | Republican |
| North Dakota | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Ohio | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Oklahoma | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Oregon | Democrat | Split | Democrat |
| Pennsylvania | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Rhode Island | Non-Major Party | Democrat | Democrat |
| South Carolina | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| South Dakota | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Tennessee | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Texas | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Utah | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Vermont | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| Virginia | Republican | Republican | Democrat |
| Washington | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| West Virginia | Democrat | Democrat | Democrat |
| Wisconsin | Republican | Republican | Republican |
| Wyoming | Republican | Republican | Republican |

Table 5.3: The numbers of experimental subjects in each condition and the number of subjects in each condition that voted in Gerber, Green, and Larimer's (2008) experiment.

| Condition | Number of Subjects in Condition | Number of Subjects in Condition that Voted | Percent of Subject in Condition that Voted | Average Treatment Effect (in Percentage Points) |
|------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Control | 191243 | 56730 | | Not Applicable |
| Civic Duty | 38218 | 12021 | | |
| Hawthorne | 38204 | 12316 | | |
| Self | 38218 | 13191 | | |
| Neighbors | 38201 | 14438 | | |

Bibliography