# Computational Companion

to "Hypothesis Tests Under Separation"

In this computational companion, I illustrate how to compute the Wald, likelihood ratio, and score p-values using data from Barrilleaux and Rainey (2014).

# Preliminary Data Work

# load packages

First, I load the data from GitHub, select the variables we need (dropping the rest), and inverting the gop\_governor indicator into an indicator of *Democratic* governors. Note that all numeric variable are rescaled to have mean 0 and SD 0.5 and all indicators are rescaled to have mean 0.

```
library(tidyverse)
# load data and tidy the data
u <- \ "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/carlislerainey/need/master/Data/politics\_and\_need\_rescale.csv"
br <- read_csv(u) %>%
  select(oppose_expansion, gop_governor, percent_favorable_aca, gop_leg, percent_uninsured,
         bal2012, multiplier, percent_nonwhite, percent_metro) %>%
  # recode binary predictor so that 1 perfectly predicts the outcome
  mutate(dem_governor = 1 - (gop_governor - min(gop_governor))) %>%
  glimpse()
## Rows: 50
## Columns: 10
                           <dbl> 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0
## $ oppose_expansion
## $ gop_governor
                           <dbl> 0.4, 0.4, 0.4, -0.6, -0.6, -0.6, -0.6, -0.6, 0.4, 0.4, -0.6, 0.4, -0.6
## $ percent_favorable_aca <dbl> -0.353847092, -0.401333156, -0.273521798, -0.474745211, 0.668318169, 0
## $ gop_leg
                           <dbl> 0.46, 0.46, 0.46, 0.46, -0.54, -0.54, -0.54, -0.54, 0.46, 0.46, -0.54,
                           <dbl> -0.04385375, 0.56522612, 0.44341015, 0.44341015, 0.56522612, 0.0779622
## $ percent_uninsured
## $ bal2012
                           <dbl> -0.192312167, 3.238509236, -0.103217193, -0.205609925, -0.230875664, -
## $ multiplier
                           <dbl> 0.61380237, -0.49460333, 0.56837591, 0.80459351, -0.49460333, -0.45826
                           <dbl> 0.119902567, 0.119902567, 0.530095558, -0.132523889, 0.971841856, -0.0
## $ percent_nonwhite
                           <dbl> -0.011917015, -0.107219405, 0.305217062, -0.243147194, 0.682172044, 0.
## $ percent_metro
                           <dbl> 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0
## $ dem_governor
```

## Initial Fit with Maximum Likelihood

We can then fit the model from their Figure 2 using maximum likelihood. The separation problem is immediately apparent. The z value and Pr(>|z|) columns in the summary() output reports the Wald z-statistic and p-value.

```
# create model formula for the model shown in their Figure 2, p. 446
f <- oppose_expansion ~ dem_governor + percent_favorable_aca + gop_leg + percent_uninsured +
    bal2012 + multiplier + percent_nonwhite + percent_metro

# fit model with maximum likelihood
ml_fit <- glm(f, data = br, family = binomial)</pre>
```

```
# print estimates and (Wald) p-values
summary(ml_fit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = f, family = binomial, data = br)
## Coefficients:
                            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept)
                                        0.66708 -1.073
                            -0.71545
                                                            0.283
## dem_governor
                           -20.34924 3224.39979 -0.006
                                                            0.995
## percent_favorable_aca
                             0.12755
                                        1.54920
                                                  0.082
                                                            0.934
                             2.42938
## gop_leg
                                        1.47965
                                                  1.642
                                                            0.101
## percent_uninsured
                             0.92303
                                        2.23424
                                                  0.413
                                                            0.680
## bal2012
                            -0.05353
                                        0.85353 -0.063
                                                            0.950
## multiplier
                            -0.35474
                                        1.19260 -0.297
                                                            0.766
## percent_nonwhite
                            1.43356
                                        2.61588
                                                 0.548
                                                            0.584
## percent metro
                            -2.75893
                                        1.68666 -1.636
                                                            0.102
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
       Null deviance: 62.687 on 49 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 31.710 on 41 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 49.71
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 19
Under separation, the numerical algorithm is sensitive to numerical precision, so if we shrink the error
tolerance, we obtain different coefficient estimates and standard error estimates. (Notice that the coefficient
estimate gets a little larger, but the standard error estimate gets a lot larger-this is why the Wald test can
never reject the null hypothesis under separation.)
# fit model with maximum likelihood using maximum precision
ml_fit_maxprec <- glm(f, data = br, family = binomial, epsilon = .Machine$double.eps, maxit = 10^10)
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
# print estimates and (Wald) p-values
summary(ml_fit_maxprec)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = f, family = binomial, data = br, epsilon = .Machine$double.eps,
##
       maxit = 10^10
##
## Coefficients:
                            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
                          -7.155e-01 6.671e-01 -1.073
## (Intercept)
                                                            0.283
## dem_governor
                          -3.435e+01 1.501e+07
                                                   0.000
                                                            1.000
## percent_favorable_aca 1.275e-01 1.549e+00
                                                   0.082
                                                            0.934
## gop_leg
                           2.429e+00 1.480e+00
                                                  1.642
                                                            0.101
## percent_uninsured
                           9.230e-01 2.234e+00
                                                  0.413
                                                            0.680
## bal2012
                         -5.353e-02 8.535e-01
                                                 -0.063
                                                            0.950
## multiplier
                          -3.547e-01 1.193e+00
                                                 -0.297
                                                            0.766
## percent_nonwhite
                          1.434e+00 2.616e+00
                                                  0.548
                                                            0.584
```

## **Detecting Separation**

After noticing the unusual coefficients and strangely large standard error estimates, we might use the detectseparation package to formally check that separation actually exists. The package has two methods: the pre-fit detect\_separation() method and the post-fit check\_infinite\_estimates() method. Both methods should agree. See the helpful vignette for additional information.

```
library(detectseparation)
# pre-fit detection
ml_detect <- glm(f, data = br, family = binomial, method = "detect_separation")
ml_detect
## Implementation: ROI | Solver: lpsolve
## Separation: TRUE
## Existence of maximum likelihood estimates
##
             (Intercept)
                                   dem_governor percent_favorable_aca
                                                                                                  percent_
                                                                                      gop_leg
##
                                           -Inf
##
                               percent_nonwhite
              multiplier
                                                         percent_metro
##
## 0: finite value, Inf: infinity, -Inf: -infinity
# post-fit detection
ml_detect <- glm(f, data = br, family = binomial, method = "detect_infinite_estimates")</pre>
ml detect
## Implementation: ROI | Solver: lpsolve
## Infinite estimates: TRUE
## Existence of maximum likelihood estimates
             (Intercept)
##
                                   dem_governor percent_favorable_aca
                                                                                      gop_leg
                                                                                                  percent_
##
                                           -Inf
                                                                     0
##
                               percent_nonwhite
              multiplier
                                                         percent_metro
##
## 0: finite value, Inf: infinity, -Inf: -infinity
We can conveniently update the coefficient estimates with the output of detect_separation() or
check_infinite_estimates().
# print coefficient estimates
```

percent\_

##		
## ===================================	======== Model 1	Model 2
## ##	Moder i	Model Z
## (Intercept)	-0.72	-0.72
##	(0.67)	(0.67)
## dem_governor	-20.35	-Inf
##	(3224.40)	
## percent_favorable_aca	0.13	0.13
##	(1.55)	(1.55)
## gop_leg	2.43	2.43
##		(1.48)
## percent_uninsured		0.92
##	(2.23)	
## bal2012	-0.05	
##	(0.85)	-
## multiplier	-0.35	
##	(1.19)	-
## percent_nonwhite	1.43	
##		(2.62)
## percent_metro		-2.76
##	(1.69)	(1.69)
## ## AIC	40 71	49.71
## BIC		66.92
## Log Likelihood	-15.86	
## Deviance	31.71	
## Num. obs.	50	50
## ============		
## *** p < 0.001; ** p <		

#### Likelihood Ratio and Score Tests

As a first step, we might want to obtain a *p*-value for the coefficient of dem\_governor that is reasonable, but without turning immediately to penalized estimation, since Rainey (2016) shows that the inferences can be sensitive to the choice of prior. The likelihood ratio and score tests work well without a prior distribution or penalty, so they offer a principled, frequentist alternative to the *p*-values from penalized and Bayesian estimators.

### Likelihood Ratio

The below code computes the likelihood ratio test for the variable dem\_governor.

```
# fit the restricted model (omit dem_governor variable)
ml_fit0 <- update(ml_fit, . ~ . - dem_governor)

# likelihood ratio test
anova(ml_fit0, ml_fit, test = "Chisq")

## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model 1: oppose_expansion ~ percent_favorable_aca + gop_leg + percent_uninsured +</pre>
```

```
bal2012 + multiplier + percent_nonwhite + percent_metro
## Model 2: oppose_expansion ~ dem_governor + percent_favorable_aca + gop_leg +
##
      percent_uninsured + bal2012 + multiplier + percent_nonwhite +
##
      percent_metro
##
    Resid. Df Resid. Dev Df Deviance Pr(>Chi)
           42
                  40.551
## 1
           41
                  31.710 1
                              8.8407 0.002946 **
## 2
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The code below computes the *same* likelihood ratio p-value by supplying the same test = "LRT" argument to anova().

```
# likelihood ratio test, alternatively
anova(ml_fit0, ml_fit, test = "LRT")
```

```
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model 1: oppose_expansion ~ percent_favorable_aca + gop_leg + percent_uninsured +
##
       bal2012 + multiplier + percent_nonwhite + percent_metro
## Model 2: oppose_expansion ~ dem_governor + percent_favorable_aca + gop_leg +
##
       percent_uninsured + bal2012 + multiplier + percent_nonwhite +
##
       percent_metro
     Resid. Df Resid. Dev Df Deviance Pr(>Chi)
##
## 1
            42
                  40.551
## 2
            41
                  31.710 1
                              8.8407 0.002946 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

For a slightly more convenient syntax, we can use the <code>lrtest()</code> function in the lmtest package. This function takes the unrestricted fit as the first argument and the name of the variable to be dropped in the restricted model as the second argument.

lmtest::lrtest(ml\_fit, "dem\_governor") # specify name of variable to omit in the restricted model

```
## Likelihood ratio test
## Model 1: oppose_expansion ~ dem_governor + percent_favorable_aca + gop_leg +
##
      percent_uninsured + bal2012 + multiplier + percent_nonwhite +
##
      percent_metro
## Model 2: oppose_expansion ~ percent_favorable_aca + gop_leg + percent_uninsured +
      bal2012 + multiplier + percent_nonwhite + percent_metro
    #Df LogLik Df Chisq Pr(>Chisq)
##
## 1
      9 -15.855
## 2
      8 -20.276 -1 8.8407
                            0.002946 **
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

#### Score Test

The code below computes the score test for the variable dem\_governor.

```
# score test
anova(ml_fit0, ml_fit, test = "Rao")

## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model 1: oppose_expansion ~ percent_favorable_aca + gop_leg + percent_uninsured +
```

```
bal2012 + multiplier + percent_nonwhite + percent_metro
## Model 2: oppose_expansion ~ dem_governor + percent_favorable_aca + gop_leg +
##
      percent uninsured + bal2012 + multiplier + percent nonwhite +
##
       percent_metro
##
     Resid. Df Resid. Dev Df Deviance
                                        Rao Pr(>Chi)
            42
                   40.551
## 1
            41
                              8.8407 6.8156 0.009037 **
## 2
                  31.710 1
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Alternatively, we can use the glm.scoretest() function in the statmod package or the mdscore function in the mdscore package, though these methods are slightly more tedious.

```
mm <- model.matrix(ml_fit, data = br)</pre>
score <- statmod::glm.scoretest(ml fit0, x2 = mm[, 2])</pre>
2*(1 - pnorm(abs(score))) # p-value
## [1] 0.009036665
mm <- model.matrix(ml_fit, data = br)</pre>
score <- mdscore::mdscore(ml_fit0, X1 = mm[, 2])</pre>
summary(score)
##
                   Df
                       Value P-value
## Score
                                0.0090
                    1
                         6.82
## Modified score 1
                         6.14
                                0.0132
```

#### Both Tests for All Variables in the Model

The researcher only needs to compute the likelihood ratio or score tests for the separating variable (dem\_governor in this case). However, the summarylr() function reports likelihood ratio and/or score tests for all coefficients.

```
# ml with default precision
print(glmglrt::summarylr(ml_fit, force = TRUE, keep.wald = TRUE,
                        method = c("LRT", "Rao")), signif.stars = FALSE)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = f, family = binomial, data = br)
## Coefficients:
                                                       Pr(>|z|) LRT P-value Rao P-value
##
                          Estimate Std. Error z value
## (Intercept)
                                                                                 0.26466
                        -7.154e-01 6.671e-01
                                              -1.073 2.835e-01
                                                                    0.237686
## dem_governor
                        -2.035e+01 3.224e+03
                                               -0.006 9.950e-01
                                                                    0.002946
                                                                                 0.00904
## percent_favorable_aca 1.275e-01
                                    1.549e+00
                                                0.082 9.344e-01
                                                                    0.934332
                                                                                 0.93437
## gop_leg
                         2.429e+00 1.480e+00
                                                1.642 1.006e-01
                                                                    0.062830
                                                                                 0.07281
## percent_uninsured
                         9.230e-01 2.234e+00
                                                0.413 6.795e-01
                                                                    0.677801
                                                                                 0.67838
## bal2012
                        -5.353e-02 8.535e-01
                                              -0.063 9.500e-01
                                                                    0.950431
                                                                                 0.94995
## multiplier
                         -3.547e-01 1.193e+00
                                               -0.297
                                                       7.661e-01
                                                                    0.765817
                                                                                 0.76564
                                                                                 0.58162
                                                0.548 5.837e-01
                                                                    0.580658
## percent_nonwhite
                         1.434e+00 2.616e+00
## percent metro
                        -2.759e+00 1.687e+00 -1.636 1.019e-01
                                                                    0.055583
                                                                                 0.07554
##
  (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 62.687 on 49 degrees of freedom
##
## Residual deviance: 31.710 on 41 degrees of freedom
```

```
## AIC: 49.71
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 19
# ml with maximum precision
print(glmglrt::summarylr(ml_fit_maxprec, force = TRUE, keep.wald = TRUE,
                         method = c("LRT", "Rao")), signif.stars = FALSE)
##
## Call:
  glm(formula = f, family = binomial, data = br, epsilon = .Machine$double.eps,
##
       maxit = 10^10
##
## Coefficients:
                           Estimate Std. Error z value
                                                          Pr(>|z|) LRT P-value Rao P-value
##
## (Intercept)
                         -7.154e-01
                                     6.671e-01
                                                -1.073
                                                        2.835e-01
                                                                      0.237686
                                                                                   0.26466
## dem_governor
                         -3.435e+01
                                     1.501e+07
                                                  0.000
                                                        1.000e+00
                                                                      0.002946
                                                                                   0.00904
## percent_favorable_aca 1.275e-01
                                     1.549e+00
                                                  0.082
                                                        9.344e-01
                                                                      0.934332
                                                                                   0.93437
## gop_leg
                          2.429e+00
                                     1.480e+00
                                                  1.642
                                                        1.006e-01
                                                                      0.062830
                                                                                   0.07281
## percent_uninsured
                          9.230e-01
                                     2.234e+00
                                                 0.413
                                                        6.795e-01
                                                                      0.677801
                                                                                   0.67838
## bal2012
                         -5.353e-02 8.535e-01
                                                -0.063 9.500e-01
                                                                      0.950431
                                                                                   0.94996
## multiplier
                         -3.547e-01 1.193e+00
                                                -0.297
                                                        7.661e-01
                                                                      0.765817
                                                                                   0.76564
## percent nonwhite
                          1.434e+00
                                     2.616e+00
                                                 0.548
                                                        5.837e-01
                                                                      0.580658
                                                                                   0.58162
## percent_metro
                         -2.759e+00 1.687e+00
                                                -1.636 1.019e-01
                                                                      0.055583
                                                                                   0.07554
  (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
##
       Null deviance: 62.687 on 49 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 31.710 on 41 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 49.71
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 33
```

#### Penalized Maximum Likelihood

To obtain reasonable point estimates and compute meaningful quantities of interest, the researcher needs to use penalized estimatioon. For example, they might use logistic regression with a Jeffreys or Cauchy prior. I do not illustrate it here, but Stan provides a powerful tool for MCMC simulation, especially when interfaced with R using the rstan, cmdstanr, rstanarm, or brms packages.

The Wald p-values from these penalized estimators are reasonable, but Rainey (2016) shows that the inferences depend on the penalty the researcher chooses. While we should not draw strong conclusions from this, the estimate using Jeffreys prior is not statistically significant, but the estimate using the Cauchy prior is statistically significant.

```
# using jeffreys prior
pml_fit_jeffreys <- brglm::brglm(f, family = binomial, data = br)
summary(pml_fit_jeffreys)

##
## Call:
## brglm::brglm(formula = f, family = binomial, data = br)
##
##
##
## Coefficients:
##
## Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
```

```
## (Intercept)
                          -0.4250
                                      0.5133 -0.828
                                                        0.4077
## dem_governor
                          -2.6766
                                      1.4208
                                              -1.884
                                                        0.0596 .
                                      1.3133
## percent_favorable_aca -0.1384
                                              -0.105
                                                        0.9161
## gop_leg
                           1.6182
                                      1.1737
                                                1.379
                                                        0.1680
## percent_uninsured
                           0.1801
                                      1.1271
                                               0.160
                                                        0.8730
## bal2012
                                      0.7252
                                              -0.170
                                                        0.8652
                          -0.1231
## multiplier
                          -0.3265
                                      1.0181
                                              -0.321
                                                        0.7485
## percent_nonwhite
                           1.5620
                                      1.2078
                                                1.293
                                                        0.1959
## percent_metro
                          -1.8196
                                      1.1879 -1.532
                                                        0.1256
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 46.975 on 49 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 34.365 on 41 degrees of freedom
## Penalized deviance: 32.26169
## AIC: 52.365
# using cauchy prior
pml_fit_cauchy <- arm::bayesglm(f, family = binomial, data = br)</pre>
summary(pml fit cauchy)
##
## arm::bayesglm(formula = f, family = binomial, data = br)
## Coefficients:
##
                         Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                                              -1.071
## (Intercept)
                          -0.5610
                                      0.5240
                                                        0.2843
                                              -2.073
## dem_governor
                          -3.3771
                                      1.6294
                                                        0.0382 *
                                              -0.202
## percent_favorable_aca
                          -0.2086
                                      1.0350
                                                        0.8403
## gop_leg
                           1.6953
                                      1.0607
                                               1.598
                                                        0.1100
## percent_uninsured
                           0.5995
                                      1.0777
                                                0.556
                                                        0.5780
## bal2012
                                      0.7508
                                               0.206
                                                        0.8367
                           0.1548
## multiplier
                          -0.1625
                                      0.8766
                                              -0.185
                                                        0.8530
## percent_nonwhite
                                      1.2447
                                               0.751
                                                        0.4529
                           0.9341
## percent_metro
                          -1.4592
                                      1.0438
                                              -1.398
                                                        0.1621
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 62.687 on 49 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 33.313 on 41 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 51.313
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 22
```

Beyond the coefficient estimates and p-values, the researcher can use either of these approaches to obtain reseanable quantities of interest. Researchers should be wary, though, that default penalties are not suitable for all substantive applications, so careful thought about the prior distribution or robustness checks are warranted.

I do not illustrate it here, but researchers can use the informal posterior simulation suggested by King, Tomz, and Wittenberg (2001) to simulate the model coefficients and then transform these into simulations of the

quantities of interest. The sim() function in the arm package simulates the coefficients.