



## WALK THROUGH ENERGY AUDIT

PT. DIAN INSAN LESTARI

Jl. Cemara I No. 53 Lippo Cikarang – Bekasi T: 62-21-8972895



## **Executive Summary**

This is a report of walk through audit in Star Energy Geothermal (Wayang Windu) Ltd undertaken by PT. Dian Insan Lestari. The team was carry out walk through energy audit in Star Energy Geothermal Unit I and Unit II on May 2012 in Pangalengan. The work was conducted in close cooperation with the plant personnel. Because the level of energy audit is walk through energy audit so there was no measurements performed during the audit period. An energy balance, plant and equipment performance has been calculated and determined based upon the information received from the plant management and plant engineer.

The first power generating station of Star Energy Geothermal at the Wayang Windu was commissioned in 2000 for unit I, and for unit II commissioned in 2008. The plant comprises of 110 MWe – unit I, and 117 Mwe of unit II. The plant production is 8760 hour in 2011, and power generated are 949.957 MW for unit I, and 997.191,87 MW for unit II. Net production of power plant are 97,03 % for unit I and 96,81 % for unit II. This figure are rather good compered to geothermal power plant in general where 3-5% of the turbine output is needed for all the parasitic loads.

The plant steam consumption (2011) are 6565975 and 7423000 ton/mouth respectivelly for unit I and Unit II. The energy intensity of unit I during a period of 2010-2011 is about 6.9 tons of steam / MWh production, and for unit II the energy intensity is about 6.8 tons of steam / MWh production. For the unit I energy intensity is tends to increase and fluctuate, but for unit II the energy intensity relatively constant. This energy intensity of unit I indicate performance derating, and potential improvement for energy efficiency.

Best practice relating to the operation of the operating parameter settings and the wet bulb temperature at the outlet cooling tower is one that have significant influential in to the power production. Regression equation indicates that every o.47 degree wet bulb increase would increase production output by 1 MW. As for the outlet CT, each 1.2-degree drop in temperature will increase the production by 1 MW. The outlet tmperature of cooling tower varies with the wet-bulb temperature and the heat load of the cooling tower. As the wet-bulb temperature drops, the cold water temperature also drops. But actual and design water circulation ratio are also determine factors for CT heat load and effectiveness of cooling tower. The operating parameter that effecting the CT heat load and effectiveness are water circulation and approach. Based on the data analysis the approach of CT are water circulation ratio CT unit 1 and unit 2 are: 88,06 % and 89,22 % respectively. In design condition, the expected CT approach are 5.5 C, but from operating data the approach are 7.2 C. Cold water temperature from performance curve of CT of wet-bulb temperature (16,3 C) is 22,2 C, but calculated from actual operating data, the cold water temperature is 25,09 C, and CT effectivenes is 73,69 %. As operating conditions of CT shown cold temperature 1-2 C less than design condition, and for effectivenes 3-5 % less than design condition. It means that there are potential for increasing power production by improving the cooling tower effectivenes.

Elasticity are a tool that indicate how the response of steam consumption to the power production. Data analysis for unit 1 shown the elasticity is still above 1 (no elastic). It means that improvement in energy management is needed for more respond on change of energy consumption due to the production (elasticity <1). For Unit 2, the average elasticity <1, but at the end of the year showed elasticity > 1. In depth energy audit is needed to reveal what does really for the cause, since the power production tends to decrease.

Although energy policy and organizational of energy management have been made in the plant structure, but so far it not formally become a routine part of activities in the corporate organizations. Energy management reporting has not been made yet, management already realized that energy efficiency has relation to the performance of production or other plant performance as well as to the averall efficiency of the company. The plant performance seems to be reliable as indicate in plant key performance factors of unit I and unit II shown in table below:



Plant Performance Factors	Unit I	Unit II
Grid Availability Factor (%)	99.98	99.95
Plant Availability Factor (%)	100.00	99.92
Plant Availability Factor (%)	95.78	97.07
Plant Availability Factor (%)	95.81	96.91
Load Factor (%)	95.31	96.95

To support a positive tendency of plant management for implementing energy saving programs, the things that need to consider in Energy Management System are improving energy management organization functions according to the standard ISO 50 001. Standard operating procedure of energy management for planning, operation and maintenance activities need to be prepare. There is an interest in energy conservation activities among the manager and engineers but they need to be trained in spesific topic such as energy conservation and energy audit techniques in order to be able to maintain reduced energy consumption after energy management and energy saving measures implemented at the plant. It also important that the interest of the management in energy saving activities is shares by operators and related energy management officials. This can be achieved by information campaign where all personnel are informed of the need for energy conservation and on how to act in an energy saving manner. A five day training course for enggineer in energy conservation and energy audit tecniques need to be held. The cost of training are very low compared with the amount of the money that can be saved by improving the awareness of energy situation among the all related personnel

Others thing that need to consider and develop are:

- Tracking monitoring and reporting system by comprehensive system sets targets, monitor, identifies faults, quantities savings and product budget tracking
- Staff awareness/training and promotion by marketing the value of energy efficiency and the performance of energy management both within the organization and outside it.
- Investment program by positive discrimination in favour of energy saving schemes with detailed investment appraisal of all new equipment and system and refurbishing opportunities.
- Staff motivation by energy committee used as main channel together with direct contact with major users
- Organizing the energy management by assign the accountable energy manager to energy committee representing all users, chaired by a member of the managing board.
- Energy management policy and action plan and regular review have commitment of top management as part of corporate strategy. Energy management fully integrated into management structure.
- In the process side, there are two option:
  - Implementation of absorption chiller for extended cooling of the outlet water from cooling tower. The extended cooling will decrease the water wet bulb which directly will increase the capacity of electric production in turbine.
  - Implementation of Binary Power Plant. Binary system is more efficient than flash system. Most geothermal binary plants are constructed from a number of smaller modules, each having a capacity of 1 to 12 MW net.

In the early stages of program, sophisticated and complicated data analysis are probably not necessary, but a graph of energy intensity and evaluation of energy balance and energy performance as well as the the related factors to energy efficiency presented in the form of table and in the form chart or grafic will suffice. It is always necessary to break down the energy consuming areas of the plant or operating equipment to smallest practical units in order to better pintpoint where deviation from plan are occurring.



Table of Figure

CONTENTS	
Executive Summary	2
Background	8
Introduction	8
Energy consumption and production	10
Findings	14
Energy balance	14
Unit 1	14
Unit 2	14
Energy Intensity	16
Unit 1	16
Unit 2	16
Elasticity	17
Intensity vs Production	18
Production Simulation and target	19
Effect of operating parameters on the production	20
Status of Energy Management	22
Energy management matrix	24
Power Plant Process	25
Process description	25
Hot Well Pump	27
Cooling Tower	Error! Bookmark not defined.
General Power Plant performance	27
Recommendation	45
Energy Management System	45
Process	45



Figure 1. Wayang Windu Power Plant Location	8
Figure 2. Walk Through energy audit Schedule	9
Figure 3. Unit 1 production and steam consumption 2010	11
Figure 4. Unit 1 production and steam consumption 2011	11
Figure 5. Unit 2 production and consumption 2010	12
Figure 6. Unit 2 production and consumption 2011	13
Figure 7. Energy balance unit 1	14
Figure 8. Energy balance unit 2	15
Figure 9. Energy Consumption share unit 1 & 2	15
Figure 10. Intensity (steam rate) unit 1	16
Figure 11. Intensity unit 2	17
Figure 12. Elasticity unit 1	17
Figure 13. Elasticity unit 2	18
Figure 14. Intensity unit 1	18
Figure 15. Intensity unit 2	19
Figure 16. Model and simulation unit 1	19
Figure 17. Model and simulation unit 2	20
Figure 18. Energy management struktur Wayang Windu	23
Figure 19. Energy management matrix	24
Figure 20. Power Plant Process	25
Figure 21. Li Br Absorption chiller	26
Figure 22. Binary Power Plant	27
Figure 23 Vertical Mixed Flow Pump Unit 1	28
Figure 24 Pump Performance Curve of HWP Unit 1	28
Figure 25 Power Generated vs Water Circulation of Cooling Tower Unit 1 (201)	29
Figure 26 CT outlet temperature vs CT water circulation CT 1 ( Jan 2011).	30
Figure 27 Power Output vs CT outlet temperature (Jan 2011).	30
Figure 28 Wetbulb temperature of Unit 1 - Jan 2011	30
Figure 29 CT cooling water flow of Unit 1- Jan 2011	31



Figure 30. Power output of Unit 1 - Jan 2011	31
Figure 31: Vertical Pump HWP Unit 2	32
Figure 32 Pump Performance Curve of Unit 2	33
Figure 33 Power Generated vs Water Circulation of Cooling Tower of Unit 2 – Jan 2011	33
Figure 34 CToutlet temperature vs Wetbulb temperature of Unit 2 – Jan 2011	34
Figure 35: CToutlet temperature vs Cooling water flow of Unit 2 – Jan 2011	34
Figure 36 Power output of Unit 2 – Jan 2011	35
Figure 37 Cooling water flow of Unit 2 — Jan 2011	35
Figure 38 Wet bulb temperature of Unit 2 – Jan 2011	36
Figure 39 Forced counter flow type, fans 2x8 cell	36
Figure 40 Range & Approach of Cooling Tower	37
Figure 41 Cooling Tower Performance 2011	38
Figure 42 Cold Water CT vs Approach Cooling Tower 1	39
Figure 43 Cold Water vs Effectiveness Cooling Tower 1	39
Figure 44 Wet Bulb Temperature vs Cold Water Temperature of CT Unit 1	40
Figure 45 CT outlet temperature vs CT cooling water flow Unit 1	41
Figure 46 Wet Bulb Temperature vs Cold Water Temperature CT unit 2	42
Figure 47 Generated power vs Cold water temperature CT unit 2	43
Figure 48 Power Generated vs Effectiveness of CT Unit 2	43
Table of table	
Table 1 Production and energy consumption unit 1	10
Table 2 Production and energy consumption unit 2	12
Table 3 Energy balance power plant 2010-2011	14
Table 4 Regresion to operation production parameter unit 1	21
Table 5 Regression of production parameter	22
Table 6 HWPump 1 (Design)	27
Table 7 HWP1 actual operating condition	29
Table 8 HWPump 2 (Design)	31



Table 9 Operating conditions of HWP unit 2	32
Table 10 Actual operating data 2011 of CT Unit 1	38
Table 11: Heat Load Cooling Tower Unit 1 (data recording 2011)	40
Table 12 Heat Load Cooling Tower Unit 1 (Design)	40
Table 13 Data operating condition CT unit 2	41
Table 14 Heat Load Cooling Tower Unit 2 (Design)	42
Table 15 Heat Load Cooling Tower Unit 2 (data recording 2011)	43