

Takagi Factorisation

Carl Martin Fevang

September 30, 2023

Abstract

This document describes the diagonalisation procedure by Takagi for diagonalising symmetric, complex-valued matrices.

1 Schur decomposition and singular-value decomposition

Schur decomposition tells us that any (potentially complex) matrix A can be written as

$$A = U^\dagger \Delta U,$$

where U is a unitary matrix, and Δ is an upper triangular matrix. It follows then that if A as a symmetric matrix ($A^T = A$), then

$$(U^\dagger \Delta U) = (U^\dagger \Delta U)^T = U^T \Delta^T U^*$$

2 Takagi factorisation

Assume $A = A^T$ is a symmetric, complex-valued, $n \times n$ matrix. Takagi factorisation¹ tells us that there exists a unitary matrix U , and a real, non-negative diagonal matrix D such that

$$A = U^T D U. \tag{1}$$

2.1 Factorisation algorithm

The algorithm will be based on finding vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ that satisfy $A\mathbf{v}^* = \sigma\mathbf{v}$, for some real, positive σ . This vector will be called a *Takagi vector* for future reference. Existence of these vectors for any matrix A such that AA^* only has real, positive eigenvalues is detailed later.

To find U , I propose here an algorithm based on the proof for Takagi factorisation in.² Given a Takagi vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of A ,³ and an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n , it is possible to write A as

$$A = V \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_2 \end{bmatrix} V^T,$$

¹Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1990. ISBN: 0521386322. URL: <http://www.amazon.com/Matrix-Analysis-Roger-Horn/dp/0521386322%3FSubscriptionId%3D192BW6DQ43CK9FN0ZGG2%26tag%3Dws%26linkCode%3Dxm2%26camp%3D2025%26creative%3D165953%26creativeASIN%3D0521386322>.

²Horn and Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*.

³A proof that this can be found is detailed elsewhere.

where A_2 is a symmetric $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix and V is a unitary matrix with the aforementioned orthonormal basis as its columns. This process can be repeated with A_2 and so on until you have

$$A = V_1 \cdots V_n \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sigma_n \end{bmatrix} V_n^T \cdots V_1^T,$$

where

$$V_p = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{(p-1) \times (p-1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \tilde{V}_p \end{bmatrix}$$

and \tilde{V}_p is the unitary matrix that makes a diagonalisation step on A_p . Comparing to Eq. (1), we find that

$$U = V_n^T \cdots V_1^T, \quad (2a)$$

$$D = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n). \quad (2b)$$

It is easy to show that U is unitary, as promised. Furthermore, by assumption, all the values σ_p are real and positive. Now the values on the diagonal of D can be permuted to any order using a permutation matrix P , such that we get

$$A = U_P^T D_P U_P,$$

where $U_P = PU$ and $D_P = PDP^T$. U_P is still unitary, and D_P diagonal.

3 Proofs

The Takagi vector. For any $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that AA^* only has real, positive eigenvalues, there exists a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $A\mathbf{v}^* = \sigma\mathbf{v}$, where σ is a real, positive number.

Proof. Consider a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ that is an eigenvector of AA^* with corresponding eigenvalue λ . There are two cases:

- (a) $A\mathbf{x}^*$ and \mathbf{x} are linearly dependent.
- (b) $A\mathbf{x}^*$ and \mathbf{x} are linearly independent.

In case (a), we must have that $A\mathbf{x}^* = \mu\mathbf{x}$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, since they are linearly dependent. Then $AA^*\mathbf{x} = A\mu^*\mathbf{x}^* = |\mu|^2\mathbf{x} \equiv \lambda\mathbf{x}$, which is positive by definition.

In case (b), the vector $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}^* + \mu\mathbf{x}$ is non-zero for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, since $A\mathbf{x}^*$ and \mathbf{x} are linearly independent. Then we can choose μ such that $|\mu|^2 = \lambda$ to get that $A\mathbf{y}^* = A(A^*\mathbf{x} + \mu^*\mathbf{x}^*) = \lambda\mathbf{x} + \mu^*A\mathbf{x}^* = \mu\mu^*\mathbf{x} + \mu^*A\mathbf{x}^* = \mu^*(A\mathbf{x}^* + \mu\mathbf{x}) = \mu^*\mathbf{y}$.

As such, we can always find a vector $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $A\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^* = \mu\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, we can define a vector $\mathbf{v} = e^{i\theta}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ for a $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ to get $A\mathbf{v}^* = A(e^{i\theta}\tilde{\mathbf{v}})^* = e^{-i\theta}A\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^* = e^{-i\theta}\mu\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = e^{-2i\theta}\mu e^{i\theta}\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = e^{-2i\theta}\mu\mathbf{v} \equiv \sigma\mathbf{v}$. This allows us to choose the phase of $\sigma = e^{-2i\theta}\mu$ to be such that σ is real and positive.

Eigenvalues of AA^* for symmetric A . Given an $N \times N$ complex matrix A , the eigenvalues of AA^* are always real and non-negative.

Proof. Consider the eigenvectors $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}$ of A with corresponding eigenvalues μ_i . Since A is symmetric, these eigenvectors form a basis for \mathbb{C}^n . As such, any vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ can be written as $\mathbf{v} = \sum_i a_i \mathbf{x}_i$ for some coefficients $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. The eigenvalues of AA^*

are real and non-negative if and only if AA^* is positive semi-definite. To check this, we can look at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}^T AA^* \mathbf{v}^* &= \left(\sum_i A^T a_i \mathbf{x}_i \right)^T \left(\sum_j A^* a_j^* \mathbf{x}_j^* \right) = \sum_{ij} a_i a_j^* (A \mathbf{x}_i)^T (A^* \mathbf{x}_j^*) \\ &= \sum_{ij} a_i a_j^* (\mu_i \mathbf{x}_i)^T (\mu_j^* \mathbf{x}_j^*) = \sum_{ij} a_i a_j^* \mu_i \mu_j^* (\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j)^* \\ &= \sum_i \left\{ |a_i|^2 |\mu_i|^2 \|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2 + 2 \sum_{j>i} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ a_i a_j^* \mu_i \mu_j^* (\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j)^* \right\} \right\} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that A is symmetric in the second transition. Since any vector in \mathbb{C}^n can be written on the form of \mathbf{v} , this means that AA^* must be positive semi-definite, and all its eigenvalues must be real and non-negative. This last part can easily be seen by considering an eigenvector \mathbf{y} of AA^* with corresponding eigenvalue λ . Then

$$0 \leq \mathbf{y}^\dagger AA^* \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^\dagger \lambda \mathbf{y} = \lambda \|\mathbf{y}\|^2,$$

meaning $\lambda \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} AA^* &= AA^\dagger = (AA^\dagger)^\dagger \\ \mathbf{x}^T AA^* \mathbf{x}^* &= (A^T \mathbf{x})^T (A \mathbf{x})^* = (A \mathbf{x})^T (A \mathbf{x})^* = \mu \mathbf{x}^T \mu^* \mathbf{x}^* = |\mu|^2 (\mathbf{x}^\dagger \mathbf{x})^* \geq 0 \\ \sum_i a_i^* \mathbf{x}_i^T AA^* \sum_j a_j \mathbf{x}_j^* &= \sum_{ij} a_i^* a_j (A \mathbf{x}_i)^T (A \mathbf{x}_j)^* = \sum_{ij} a_i^* a_j \mu_i \mu_j^* (\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j)^* \\ &= \sum_i |a_i|^2 |\mu_i|^2 \|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2 + 2 \sum_{j>i} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ a_i^* a_j \mu_i \mu_j^* (\mathbf{x}_i^\dagger \mathbf{x}_j)^* \right\} \\ (V^\dagger AV^*)_{i0} &= V_{ki}^* A_{kl} V_{l0}^* = V_{ki}^* \sigma V_{k0} = \sigma \delta_{i0} \\ (V^\dagger AV^*)_{0i} &= V_{k0}^* A_{kl} V_{li}^* = A_{lk} V_{k0}^* V_{li}^* = \sigma V_{l0} V_{li}^* = \sigma \delta_{0i} \\ \mathbf{v}_i^\dagger A \mathbf{v}^* &= \mathbf{v}_i^\dagger \sigma \mathbf{v} = \sigma \mathbf{v}_i^\dagger \mathbf{v} = \sigma e_0 \\ \mathbf{v}^\dagger A \mathbf{v}_i &= (A^T \mathbf{v}^*)^T \mathbf{v}_i = (A \mathbf{v}^*)^T \mathbf{v}_i = \sigma \mathbf{v}^\dagger \mathbf{v}_i = \sigma e_0^T \\ (V^\dagger AV^*)^T &= V^\dagger A^T V^* = V^\dagger AV^* \end{aligned}$$

References

Horn, Roger A. and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1990. ISBN: 0521386322. URL: <http://www.amazon.com/Matrix-Analysis-Roger-Horn/dp/0521386322%3FSubscriptionId%3D192BW6DQ43CK9FN0ZGG2%26tag%3Dws%26linkCode%3Dxm2%26camp%3D2025%26creative%3D165953%26creativeASIN%3D0521386322>.