

## Department of Information Engineering and Computer Science

Distributed Systems 1 2021/09/03

## PROJECT REPORT

Carlo Corradini Nicoló Vinci 223811 220229

Academic year 2020/2021

# Contents

1	Introduction			
	1.1	The project	1	
	1.2	GitHub Repository	1	
	1.3	Scenario	1	
	1.4	Assumptions	1	
	1.5	Logger	2	
		1.5.1 Log levels	2	
		1.5.2 Configuration	3	
<b>2</b>	Cor	nfiguration	4	
3	Pro	ject structure	6	
4	Protocol design			
	4.1	Begin transaction	8	
		4.1.1 Private workspace	8	
	4.2	End transaction	8	
		4.2.1 Validation phase	9	
	4.3	Crash and recovery	9	
		4.3.1 Crash	9	
		4.3.2 Recovery	9	
5	Implementation discussion			
	5.1	Strict serializability	10	
	5.2	Deadlock	10	
	5.3	Stateful and memory	11	
	5.4	Improvements	11	
Bi	hlios	oranhy 1	12	

## 1 Introduction

Our project is named banky to reflect a simulated bank system where multiple customers (clients) make multiple operations (read/write) simultaneously with the restriction that all client's operations are made in a transaction keeping the system always consistent.

All the available Java code is documented via Javadoc to have a more comprehensive view of the overall logic and context.

## 1.1 The project

The project consists on developing a protocol to manage distributed transactions. The protocol must ensure strict serializability for successfully committed transactions and abort any inconsistent transactions. The optimistic approach has been considered to check if a transaction is consistent or not. The decision is taken at the end of the transaction checking the item version that a transaction wants to modify. A transaction can be handled by one coordinator that validates it applying a two phase commit protocol to ensure consistency between the various data stores.

## 1.2 GitHub Repository

The source code and the documentation of *banky* are available on GitHub at the following URL: https://github.com/carlocorradini/ds1

#### 1.3 Scenario

In the project scenario there are three main components:

#### • Clients

A client wants to perform some operations to read or write item in data stores. A transaction is composed by different operations. The client can not contact directly data stores to execute a transaction, but they interface to them via one of the possible coordinators.

#### • Coordinators

The coordinator obtains the various read or write requests of a transaction made by a client and it forwards them to the corresponding data stores. The coordinator keeps track of transactions assigning to them a unique identifier. At the end of a transaction, the coordinator manages the validation phase exploiting the two phase commit protocol to ensure consistency among data stores.

#### • Data Stores

A data store keeps a mapping between key and item. An item is composed by the value and the version. When a transaction will be successfully committed, the version of involved items will be incremented by one.

## 1.4 Assumptions

Some assumptions have been considered during the implementation of the system:

- Channels are reliable and FIFO.
- The strict serializability requirement is only enforced for committed transactions.
- One client can perform one transaction at a time. However, multiple clients can generate concurrent transactions.

- Coordinator and data servers can crash. Then, they will recover after a certain delay.
- Clients don not crash.
- Crashes occur only during validation or commit phase.

## 1.5 Logger

In the project all messages and errors are logged using Log4j 2: an industry standard.

Apache Log4j is a Java-based logging utility. It was originally written by Ceki Gülcü and is part of the Apache Logging Services project of the Apache Software Foundation. Log4j is one of several Java logging frameworks. [...] The Apache Log4j team has created a successor to Log4j 1 with version number 2/1].

Apache Log4j 2 is an upgrade to Log4j that provides significant improvements over its predecessor, Log4j 1.x, and provides many of the improvements available in Logback while fixing some inherent problems in Logback's architecture[3].

#### 1.5.1 Log levels

Log levels are a simple means of classifying log messages based on the information contained. During the configuration phase (see next section), a level is chosen from those in the list below (ordered by importance) in which it is expected that the lower levels are ignored compared to the higher ones.

In the project, the minimum log level defined in the Root tag is set to INFO.

#### **FATAL**

The FATAL level designates very severe error events that will presumably lead the application to abort.

#### **ERROR**

The ERROR level designates error events that might still allow the application to continue running.

#### WARN

The WARN level designates potentially harmful situations.

#### **INFO**

The INFO level designates informational messages that highlight the progress of the application at coarse-grained level.

#### **DEBUG**

The DEBUG level designates fine-grained informational events that are most useful to debug an application.

#### TRACE

The TRACE level designates fine-grained informational events than the DEBUG.

#### $\mathbf{ALL}$

The ALL has the lowest possible rank and is intended to turn on all logging.

#### **OFF**

The OFF has the highest possible rank and is intended to turn off logging.



Table 1.1: Correlation between log levels and output

#### 1.5.2 Configuration

In the project we have configured Log4j 2 via **Automatic Configuration** where a configuration file, named log4j2.xml, is used during the initialization phase.

The configuration file is available under src/resources/log4j2.xml.

The configuration file defines the available Appenders. Appenders are responsible for delivering LogEvents to their destination.

Two Appenders are available:

#### 1. ConsoleAppender

ConsoleAppender writes its output to either System.out or System.err with System.out being the default target. A Layout must be provided to format the LogEvent.

#### 2. FileAppender

FileAppender is an OutputStreamAppender that writes to the File named in the fileName parameter. The FileAppender uses a FileManager (which extends OutputStreamManager) to actually perform the file I/O.

The generated log file name is named banky.log.

Note that on every new execution the old file is overwritten with the new one. Therefore, if you want to keep the old log file remember to save it to another location.

Both Appenders are used in the default Root logger. Thus, whenever we call the logger, the message is *redirected* either on both of the Appenders.

As written in the previous section, the default level is set to INFO. This can be easily changed by modifying the Root's *level* attribute.

For more information about configuring Log4j 2, refer to https://logging.apache.org/log4j/2.x/manual/configuration.html.

## 2 Configuration

The project has a configuration file named  ${\tt Config}$  used to customize the application's behavior.

The file is under: src/main/java/it.unitn.disi.ds1.Config.

The following is a list describing all configuration variables (omitting those that are calculable):

#### MODE

type: Mode

Mode to use when the application starts.

Currently two modes are available:

#### 1. INTERACTIVE

After a run is completed, the user is always asked if it wants to verify the storage to check the consistency and if it wants to continue with another run.

Note that this mode is intrinsically infinite since there is not an upper limit on the number of total run(s) the user can achieve.

#### 2. AUTOMATIC

There is no user interaction until all run(s) have been completed. The total number of run(s) is configurable (see next config variable). After all run(s) have been completed, a message to the user is prompted asking if it wants to verify the storage to check consistency; after that it terminates.

This mode is very useful for debugging and testing purposes to verify that after all run(s) the system (Data Store(s)) it's still consistent.

#### • N\_RUNS

type: int

The number of run(s) to process.

Note that this variable is used only when MODE (see above) is set to AUTOMATIC, otherwise it will be ignored.

#### • N\_DATA\_STORES

type: int

The number of Data Store(s) in the system.

Note that this reflects the total number of available Item(s) and the maximum Item key (MAX\_ITEM\_KEY, see below).

### • N\_COORDINATORS

type: int

The number of Coordinator(s) in the system.

### • N\_CLIENTS

type: int

The number of Client(s) in the system.

Note that this reflects the total number of concurrent transactions in a run.

#### • MIN\_SLEEP\_TIMEOUT\_MS

type: int

Minimum sleep timeout in millisecond(s). This is used in combination with MAX\_SLEEP\_TIMEOUT\_MS to simulate a random delay due to network communication.

#### • MAX\_SLEEP\_TIMEOUT\_MS

type: int

Maximum sleep timeout in millisecond(s). This is used in combination with MIN\_SLEEP\_TIMEOUT\_MS to simulate a random delay due to network communication.

### • TWOPC\_COORDINATOR\_TIMEOUT\_MS

type: int

 $\label{eq:2PC timeout in millisecond} \end{soldinate} \begin{subarray}{l} \textbf{2PC timeout in millisecond}(s) \ when a \end{soldinate} \begin{subarray}{l} \textbf{Coordinator sends the vote request message} \end{subarray} \begin{subarray}{l} \textbf{TwoPcVoteMessage} \end{subarray} \\ \textbf{to the Data Store.} \end{subarray}$ 

#### • TWOPC\_DATA\_STORE\_TIMEOUT\_MS

type: int

This timeout is used for two different purposes:

- 1. 2PC timeout in millisecond(s) when a Data Store sends the vote response message (TwoPcVoteResultMessage) to the Coordinator.
- 2. 2PC timeout in millisecond(s) when the Data Store is waiting the decision message (TwoPcDecisionMessage) from the Coordinator.

#### • TWOPC\_RECOVERY\_TIMEOUT\_MS

type: int

2PC timeout in millisecond(s) to wait before recovering from a crash.

#### • CRASH\_COORDINATOR\_ON\_RECOVERY

type: boolean

Crash the Coordinator during the recovery phase.

Use this crash with caution since it produces cyclic crashes in the Coordinator causing a general blocking of the system.

#### • CRASH\_COORDINATOR\_VOTE\_FIRST

type: boolean

Crash the Coordinator after sending the first 2PC vote request message (TwoPcVoteMessage) to the Data Store(s) involved in the transaction.

#### • CRASH\_COORDINATOR\_VOTE\_ALL

type: boolean

Crash the Coordinator after sending all 2PC vote request message (TwoPcVoteMessage) to all the Data Store(s) involved in the transaction.

#### • CRASH\_COORDINATOR\_DECISION\_FIRST

type: boolean

Crash the Coordinator after sending the first 2PC decision message (TwoPcDecisionMessage) to the Data Store(s) involved in the transaction.

#### • CRASH\_COORDINATOR\_DECISION\_ALL

type: boolean

Crash the Coordinator after sending all 2PC decision message (TwoPcDecisionMessage) to all the Data Store(s) involved in the transaction.

### • CRASH\_DATA\_STORE\_VOTE

type: boolean

Crash the Data Store before sending the 2PC vote response message (TwoPcVoteResultMessage) to the Coordinator involved in the transaction.

#### • CRASH\_DATA\_STORE\_DECISION

type: boolean

Crash the Data Store before receiving the 2PC decision message (TwoPcDecisionMessage) sent by the Coordinator involved in the transaction.

Note that the crash is triggered right after the Data Store has sent the 2PC vote response message (TwoPcVoteResultMessage) to the Coordinator involved in the transaction.

## 3 Project structure

The overall project is in package it.unitn.disi.ds1
Inside are present multiple packages and two Java classes:

#### • actor

Actors have been defined in this package. There is an abstract class called *Actor.Java* which includes the basic functionalities such as the actor id, the mapping between transaction and final decision, the timeout transactions and the crash state. Then, *Client.Java*, *Coordinator.Java* and *DataStore.Java* extend it.

#### • etc

Two custom classes and one enumeration have been defined in this package. Each of them overrides the method to String returning a Java Gson instance. The ActorMetadata. Java class emulates a Java pair. Indeed, it has only two attributes: id and ref. Hence, this class associates an actor id to its reference. The Decision. Java enumeration defines two possible decisions: ABORT or COMMIT. So, it can be used during the validation phase by a Coordinator to take the final decision and by a DataStore to send its vote. Then, the Item. Java class represents the data stored in the DataStore. The class contains four different attributes:

- value

type: int

Value of the Item.

- version

type: int

Version of the Item.

- locker

type: *UUID*Lock of the Item.

valueChangedtype: boolean

Boolean flag to check if the value of the Item has changed after creation.

#### • message

All types of messages exchanged among actors have been defined in this package. Each message implements the *Serialazible* interface and has the attribute *serialVersionUID*. Each message also overrides the method *toString* returning a Java *Gson* instance. There is an abstract class called *Message.Java* which includes the basic attribute for a message: the integer *sender id*. Then, there is a *StopMsg.Java* that defines a generic message to stop a client at any time. After that, there are other four packages:

- snapshot
  - Messages used to perform a global snapshot of the system have been defined here.
- twopc
  - Messages used to develop the two phase commit protocol have been defined in this package. There is a basic abstract message *TwoPcMessage.class* which includes the sender id, transaction id, and decision. The other messages extend it.
- txn

Messages involved in a transaction have been developed in this package. The basic

abstract message is *TxnMessage.Java* which extends the *Message.Java* class. Its attributes are the sender id and the transaction id. Other messages rely on it. There are also two packages called *read* and *write*. They contain the corresponding messages used for a read or write operation during a transaction.

#### - welcome

Messages exchanged at the start of the system have been defined here. There are three different welcome messages: ClientWelcomeMessage.Java to send to clients the coordinators references, CoordinatorWelcomeMessage.Java to send to coordinators the data stores references and DataStoreWelcomeMessage.Java to send to data stores the references of other data stores.

#### • util

In this package there is a *JsonUtil.Java* class which defines the basic Gson builder to override the method *toString* for other classes. Also, there is a package *adapter.serialize* which contains a class *ActorRefSerializer*. It is used to serialize an instance of type *ActorRef* in order to print it correctly.

#### • Main.Java

In this class, there is the public static method main where various actors are initialized and welcome messages are sent among them. Then, run(s) have been executed inside a loop considering the MODE defined in the Configuration.Java file.

#### • Configuration. Java

In this class, there are all the possible configuration variables described in the chapter 2.

## 4 Protocol design

In this chapter we explain how we have designed our protocol to handle a transaction during all its phases following the 2PC protocol to keep the system consistent even during possible crashes.

## 4.1 Begin transaction

Each Client can perform a transaction formed by a random number of operations. The minimum number of operations is 20 and the maximum is 40. These two constants can be modified inside the class Client.java. A Client can start a new transaction before sending to one of the possible Coordinator (chosen randomly) a TxnBeginMessage message. When the Coordinator receives the message, it associates a UUID¹ transaction identifier to that transaction. Then, it stores the transaction id and the client's ActorRef into a map called transactionIdToClient. Moreover, the Coordinator stores the Client id and the transaction id into another map called clientIdToTransaction. The project messages have been designed in a way that each message sent between the client and coordinator contains the client id and each message, regarding a transaction, between coordinator and data store contains the transaction id. Therefore, the map transactionIdToClient is used by the Coordinator to retrieve the Client ActorRef from a Data Store message and the map clientIdToTransaction is used by the Coordinator to retrieve the transaction id from a client message.

#### 4.1.1 Private workspace

Every instance of a Data Store must keep track, inside a private workspace, of all operations (reads and/or writes) made on the affected Item(s) during a live transaction. Since a Data Store can handle multiple transaction(s) at a time internally uses a data structure, called workspaces, where all the operations associated to a transaction are stored. The list of operations is identified thanks to the transaction identifier (UUID) available on every message sent by the Coordinator. workspaces is of type: Map<UUID, Map<Integer, Item> The map's key is the transaction identifier and the value is a map/list of a one to one representation of the storage, where the key is the Item's key (calculated using the actor's id) and the value is the Item object on which the operations have been made. Note that each Item in the workspace is a pure copy of the Item available in the storage. This has been to avoid conflicts and consistency problems across multiple transactions before the final Coordinator's decision.

All changes present in a workspace are written to the "persistent storage" only if the current Data Store voted to COMMIT (during the voting phase) and the final decision taken by the Coordinator (after all votes have been received) is also to COMMIT. Otherwise, if the final decision of the Coordinator is to ABORT, the persistent storage is left unchanged, and the private workspace of the transaction is removed freeing useful memory. All the phases described before following the 2PC (2 Phase Commit) protocol to keep the system consistent.

### 4.2 End transaction

When the client ends operations, it sends a TxnEndMessage message with a decision to terminate the transaction. The client decision can be COMMIT or ABORT. When the coordinator receives it, the validation phase can start.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A universally unique identifier (UUID) is a 128-bit label used for information in computer systems. [...] When generated according to the standard methods, UUIDs are, for practical purposes, unique. Their uniqueness does not depend on a central registration authority or coordination between the parties generating them, unlike most other numbering schemes. While the probability that a UUID will be duplicated is not zero, it is close enough to zero to be negligible[2].

#### 4.2.1 Validation phase

The validation phase consists of developing the two phase commit algorithm to guarantee consistency among data stores. The project considers optimistic control to validate a transaction or not. The transaction will be committed or aborted considering the item versions and the locks on modified items acquired by a transaction. The two phase commit starts when the coordinator receives the TxnEndMessage from the client. Then, it retrieves the transaction id from the client id as it has been explained in the section 4.1. If the client wants to:

- COMMIT: the coordinator retrieves data stores affected by that transaction. Then, it sends to all of them a TwoPcVoteMessage message. When a data store receives it, it checks if a transaction is valid or not calling the canCommit method. The Data Store checks the Item versions and locks to validate a transaction. First, it compares item versions in the private workspace with those in the storage. The check is passed if all item versions coincide. Then, the second check is passed if the data store is able to lock all items in storage that involved in the transaction. So, if both checks passed, the data store will send a TwoPcVoteResultMessage message to the coordinator voting COMMIT (YES). Otherwise, it will vote ABORT (NO). If at least one data store voted for ABORT (NO), the coordinator will immediately fix the final decision to ABORT and call the method terminateTransaction. If the coordinator is able to gather all votes, it means that all data stores voted for COMMIT (YES). Hence, the coordinator can fix the final decision to COMMIT and call the method terminateTransaction.
- ABORT: the coordinator fixes the final decision to ABORT and calls the method terminate-Transaction.

With the method terminate Transaction the coordinator informs affected data stores and the client of the final decision fixed before with TwoPcDecisionMessage message.

## 4.3 Crash and recovery

The system supports different type of crashes for both the Coordinator and the Data Store. Whenever an Actor crashes, after a fixed amount of time (TWOPC\_RECOVERY\_TIMEOUT\_MS) it can recovery and return operative. The recovery is done thanks to a callback named onTwoPcRecoveryMessage where a message of type TwoPcRecoveryMessage is delivered to itself. Note that each Actor recovery procedure is different depending on the type, state, and context.

#### 4.3.1 Crash

To crash an Actor we have made a utility method called <code>crash()</code> inside Actor.java. This method set a new Receive "crashed" state and spin up a timeout. The duration of the timeout is the time that the Actor is crashed. After the timeout is triggered, a TwoPcRecoveryMessage message is sent to itself starting the recovery phase.

All possible crash states are listed in the Configuration chapter 2. Each crash state starts with the word CRASH\_. To sum up there are a total of 6 crash states.

#### 4.3.2 Recovery

When the coordinator recovers after a crash, firstly it changes it's context to return "normal". Then, it fixes a decision for each pending transaction that affects a data store. If it has not decided to COMMIT or ABORT for a transaction before the crash, it will fix the final decision to ABORT. Otherwise, the coordinator has already fixed a decision before the crash. After that, the coordinator calls the method terminate Transaction to communicate the final decision to affected data stores and clients. When the coordinator recovers after a crash, firstly it changes it's context to return "normal". Then, it retrieves pending transactions from workspaces. If the data store has not voted yet for a transaction, it will safely ABORT unilaterally, sending to itself a TwoPcDecisionMessage message with final decision set to ABORT. If the data store does not know the final decision, it will ask it directly to the coordinator that handled the transaction. Otherwise, it means that the data store has already known the final decision.

## 5 Implementation discussion

In this chapter we discuss problems, achievements, and improvements of the system.

## 5.1 Strict serializability

Banky, as written in section 1.4, follows the Strict Serializability model.

Informally, strict serializability means that operations appear to have occurred in some order, consistent with the real-time ordering of those operations; e.g. if operation A completes before operation B begins, then A should appear to precede B in the serialization order. Strict serializability is a transactional model: operations (usually termed "transactions") can involve several primitive operations performed in order. Strict serializability guarantees that operations take place atomically: a transaction's sub-operations do not appear to interleave with sub-operations from other transactions[4].

Thanks to the private workspaces mechanism, two transactions can read/modify the same Item asynchronously without interfering at each other. However, this freedom in the management of various operations by a transaction is valid until the TxnEndMessage is sent by the corresponding Client. The termination message involves a series of consistency checks and locking mechanisms on all Item(s) that are present in each single private workspace managed by one or multiple Data Store(s). As written in the previous chapters, if even a single check fails, the entire transaction and all the correlated operations done in the workspace are removed/ignored leaving the storage unmodified.

If two transactions have a common *Item* in their respective private workspace and want to *COM-MIT* at the same time there must be a mechanism to ensure that the first transaction, managed at a hypothetical time t0, must certainly be allowed to COMMIT the changes before the second transaction arrive and is managed at the time t1 where t1 is greater than t0. Note that the previous statement takes for granted that on the 2PC vote request phase of the first transaction all Data Store(s) responded with COMMIT allowing the transaction to succeed.

Strict Serializability can also be seen as a distributed FIFO (First In, First Out) where the transactions that want to terminate are managed as a virtual queue. The first in the queue will be the first that starts the 2PC procedure and the last will be the last; and so on when a new transaction is added to the queue.

In addition to the position in the queue, we should also take in consideration that in the project there are random delays (can be turned off) that could also affect the locking and consistency checks done by one or more Data Store(s).

Note that a transaction that affects an Item that is also affected by another transaction and the first transaction is served first, can *ABORT* whether the second one can *COMMIT*. The "final decision" on a transaction's status given only the order in the virtual queue is not deterministic.

### 5.2 Deadlock

There is a well-known blocking state since the validation phase is performed by two-phase commit. If the Coordinator crashes during the validation phase, Data Store(s) will perform the termination protocol. However, if no Data Store(s) knows the final decision, they are blocked. So, they can only wait for the Coordinator recovery. If the Coordinator crashes, the Data Store handles it in the method called on TwoPcTimeoutMessage. Indeed, if the Data Store has voted for COMMIT and it does not know the final decision, it asks around to other Data Store(s). However, if no one knows the decision, the validation phase is blocked until the Coordinator recovery.

### 5.3 Stateful and memory

As other stateful architectures, our system suffers from high memory usage when multiple transactions are alive at the same time. Each Coordinator and Data Store have built in specialized structures to "remember" the state of a transaction. Even though a part of these resources is freed when the transaction end (commit or abort) there is a possibility that multiple Client(s) start a transaction and make a single operation (even a simple read) on a consistent set of Item(s) without terminating the transaction keeping the resources reserved. In a short time, the available resources are fully occupied causing real crashes and the impossibility of legitimate Client(s) to start or end a transaction.

This is a famous type of Denial-of-service attack.

## 5.4 Improvements

Regarding the section 5.2, one improvement could be to exploit the three-phase commit to perform the validation phase. In this way, there is no more the blocking state described previously, because if all Data Store(s) are in ready state they can safely ABORT. Otherwise, if at least one Data Store is in PRECOMMIT state, they can safely COMMIT. Briefly, the READY state is no more an uncertainty state. However, the three-phase commit introduces new possible blocking cases. Indeed, it does not tolerate network failures and total crash failures. This is because there is no protocol that guarantees correctness and non-blocking property.

# **Bibliography**

- [1] Wikipedia contributors. Log4j. https://wikipedia.org/wiki/Log4j.
- [2] Wikipedia contributors. Universally unique identifier. https://wikipedia.org/wiki/Universally\_unique\_identifier.
- [3] The Apache Software Foundation. Apache Log4j 2. https://logging.apache.org/log4j
- [4] LLC Jepsen. Strict Serializability. https://jepsen.io/consistency/models/strict-serializable.