

Univalence for logics and sets

Def. Given a type T and a predicate $P:T \rightarrow \text{Prop}$, the subtype of T given by P is

$$\sum_{t:T} P(t).$$

The projection

$$\pi, : \sum_{t:T} P(t) \longrightarrow T$$

gives us the 'inclusion'.

Ex. isIntr , isProp , $\text{isOfLevel } n$ are all predicates $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Prop}$.

Def. $\text{Prop} := \sum_{P:\text{Type}} \text{isProp}(P)$

Ex. The univalence axiom implies

$$(P =_{\text{Prop}} Q) \simeq (P \leftrightarrow Q).$$

Lem. $(P \leftrightarrow Q)$ is a proposition.

Cor. Prop is a set.

Def. $\text{Set} := \sum_{S:\text{Type}} \text{isSet}(S)$

Fact. The univalence axiom implies

$$(P =_{\text{Set}} Q) \simeq (P \cong Q).$$

Lem. $P \cong Q$ is a set.

Cor. \mathbf{Set} is a groupoid.

Def. $\mathbf{Grp} := \sum_{G: \mathbf{Set}} \sum_{e: G} \sum_{\substack{m: G \rightarrow G \\ -G}} \sum_{\substack{i: G \\ -G}} \prod_{x: G} (m(e, x) = x) \times (m(x, e) = x)$
 $\times \prod_{x, y, z: G} ((xy)z = x(yz))$
 $\times \prod_{x: G} (m(ix, x) = \text{id } x$
 $m(x, ix) = e) .$

Q. Why do we ask G to be a set?

Fact. The univalence axiom implies

$$(G =_H) \simeq (G \cong H)$$

\mathbf{Grp}

Cor. \mathbf{Grp} is a groupoid.

Fact. We leave the same univalence principle for any algebraic structure on a set.

Moral: univalence allows us to do mathematics up to the appropriate notion of sameness in a type (in these examples).

→ 'Structure Identity principle' (Aczel, Coquand)

→ 'identity of indiscernibles' (Leibniz)

Higher inductive types.

Homotopy type theory = MLTT + UA + higher inductive types

Recall: inductive types are generated by their constructors (terms) / canonical terms

Since we now consider types as having

- terms
- equalities
- equalities between equalities

We can consider higher inductive types, whose constructors can be terms, equalities, equalities between equalities, etc.

Ex. $S^0 := \text{bool}$ has constructors

- $\text{true} : \text{bool}$
- $\text{false} : \text{bool}$

Def. D' (the interval) has constructors

- $\text{true} : D'$
- $\text{false} : D'$
- $p : \text{true} =_{D'} \text{false}$

We could define D' with four rules:

$$\frac{}{D' \text{ type}} \quad D' - \text{form}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{true} : D'} \quad \frac{}{\text{false} : D'} \quad \frac{}{p : \text{true} =_{D'} \text{false}} \quad D' - \text{intro}$$

$$d : D' \vdash E(d) \text{ Type}$$

$$\vdash t : E(\text{true})$$

$$\vdash f : E(\text{false})$$

$$\vdash \pi : p \# t = f : E(\text{false})$$

$$\frac{d : D' \vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (d) : E(d)}{\quad} \quad \left. \vphantom{\frac{d : D' \vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (d) : E(d)}} \right\} D' - \text{elim}$$

$$\vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (\text{true}) \doteq t : E(\text{true})$$

$$\vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (\text{false}) \doteq f : E(\text{false})$$

$$\vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (p) \doteq \pi : p \# t = f : E(\text{false})$$

$$\left. \vphantom{\vdash \text{ind}_{D', t, f, \pi} (\text{true}) \doteq t : E(\text{true})} \right\} D' - \text{comp}$$

Def. S' (the circle) has constructors

- $\text{base} : S'$
- $\text{loop} : \text{base} = \text{base}$

Thm. $\pi_1(S') = \mathbb{Z}$ where

$\pi_1 : T \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is defined as

$S' \rightarrow T \dots ?$

- We want to make this a set.
- We also want to make thing into propositions.

Ex. Given $P, Q: \text{Prop}$

$P + Q$ is not a proposition in general

Thm. • If $P, Q: \text{Prop}$, $P \times Q: \text{Prop}$ (w/ik $P \wedge Q$)

• If $P: \text{Prop}$, $E: P \rightarrow \text{Prop}$,

$$\sum_{p:P} e(p) \quad \prod_{p:P} e(p)$$

are in Prop .

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{w/ik } \exists_{p:P} e(p) \\ \forall_{p:P} e(p) \end{array} \right)$$

Def. Given a type T , the propositional truncation $\|T\|_1$ of T is the higher inductive type with constructors

• $| - |_1: T \rightarrow \|T\|_1$,

• $\prod_{x,y:T} |x|_1 = |y|_1$

Ex. Show that for any type T , $\|T\|_1$ is a proposition.

Def. Define $P \vee Q := \|P + Q\|_1$, for $P, Q: \text{Prop}$.

Ex. Functions $(T \rightarrow P) \simeq (\|T\|_1 \rightarrow P)$ for any type T , Proposition P .

Def. Given a type T , the set truncation $\|T\|_2$ of T is the higher inductive type with constructor

- $| \cdot |_2 : T \rightarrow \|T\|_2$
- $\prod_{\substack{x, y : T \\ p : x = y}} |p|_2 = |q|_2.$

Ex. Show that for any type T , $\|T\|_2$ is a set.

Def. $\pi_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$
 $\quad := \lambda T. \|S' \rightarrow T\|_2.$

Thm. $\pi_1(S') = \mathbb{Z}.$