23. 05. 2018

Exercises for the Lecture Series

"Object-Oriented Programming for Scientific Computing"

Dr. Ole Klein

ole.klein@iwr.uni-heidelberg.de

To be handed in on 06, 06, 2018 before the lecture

EXERCISE 1 EXCEPTIONS AND DESTRUCTORS

What happens when a destructor throws an exception? Let's look at the program to the right.

#include <iostream>
#include <iostring>

- Augment the example with the definition of the class my_exception, which is derived from std::exception. The class my_exception should receive an error message in a std::string in its constructor. The virtual method what () should return this error message.
- What do you observe when executing the program?
- Try to explain the behavior.
- Section 15.2, point 3 of the C++ standard:
- #include <string> #include <exception> // class Foo throws in the destructor class Foo { public: ~Foo () { throw my_exception("Foo exception"); } } ; // class Bar throws in the constructor class Bar { public: Bar () { throw my_exception("Bar exception"); }; int main() Foo f; Bar b: catch (const std::exception & e) { std::cout << "ERROR:" << e.what() << std::endl;

3 The process of calling destructors for automatic objects constructed on the path from a try block to a throw-expression is called "stack *unwinding*." [Note: If a destructor called during stack unwinding exits with an exception, terminate is called (15.5.1). So destructors should generally catch exceptions and not let them propagate out of the destructor. —end note]

Why is the behavior detailed in the *note* sensible?

Further reading: a collection of the possible options when destructors and exceptions meet can be found under http://www.kolpackov.net/projects/c++/eh/dtor-1.xhtml
8 Points

The class NumVector on the last exercise sheet did not do bounds checking, i.e. it was possible to access indices smaller than zero or larger than the largest index. Modify the method <code>operator[]</code>, so that erroneous access results in an exception being thrown (comparable to the behavior of the method <code>std::vector<T>::at instead of std::vector<T>::operator[]</code>).

Also implement a method **operator*** that calculates the scalar product of two vectors. Exceptions that may result from incompatible lengths should be caught and a new, more detailed exception should be thrown.

The exceptions should be classes written by you and have distinct types. Write a program that tests both exceptions and prints a detailed error message in the case of errors without terminating the program.

8 Points

EXERCISE 3 FATHER AND SON

What do you think the Java code on the right will do? Translate it into equivalent C++ code (extends corresponds to **public** inheritance and Throwable to an exception).

Comment your program and write down in which order the lines are executed. Is this a valid C++ program? If yes, what does it do?

Source: Randall Munroe (xkcd.com)

```
CLASS BALL EXTENDS THROWABLE {}
CLASS P{
 P TARGET;
 P(P TARGET) {
    THIS.TARGET = TARGET;
  VOID AIM(BALL BALL) {
    TRY {
      THROW BALL;
    CATCH (BALL B) {
      TARGET.AIM(B);
  PUBLIC STATIC VOID MAIN (STRING[] ARGS) {
    P PARENT = NEW P(NULL);
    P CHILD = NEW P(PARENT):
   PARENT. TARGET = CHILD;
    PARENT. AIM (NEW BALL ());
3
```

4 Points