



A Remark on a Paper of M. Hironaka

Author(s): Maurice Auslander

Source: *American Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 84, No. 1 (Jan., 1962), pp. 8-10

Published by: [The Johns Hopkins University Press](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2372801>

Accessed: 10/12/2014 23:56

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at
<http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The Johns Hopkins University Press is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *American Journal of Mathematics*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

A REMARK ON A PAPER OF M. HIRONAKA.*

By MAURICE AUSLANDER.

In [4] H. Hironaka quoted and used, but did not prove, a theorem I had communicated to him which he called Theorem 0 in his paper. In this note I present a proof of this theorem.

We begin with a brief summary of some pertinent homological facts. The reader is referred to [1] for a more complete exposition.

Let R be a local ring and M a finitely generated non-zero R -module. A sequence of non-units x_1, \dots, x_t in R is said to be an M -sequence if x_i is not a zero divisor in $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$ for all $i = 1, \dots, t$. It is well known that if x_1, \dots, x_t is an M -sequence, then $t \leq \dim(M) = \dim(R/\alpha(M))$ where $\alpha(M)$ is the annihilator of M . Thus it makes sense to talk about maximal M -sequences. It can be shown that all maximal M -sequences have the same length and this length is called the codimension of M (notation: $\text{codim}_R M$). For an R -module M we define $d(M) = \dim(M) - \text{codim } M$. It follows from our previous remark that $d(M) \geq 0$.

Now if R is a regular local ring, then it is well known that the $\text{hd } M + \text{codim } M = \dim R$ for any finitely generated non-zero R -module M . Therefore $d(M) = \dim(M) - (\dim R - \text{hd } M) = \text{hd } M - \text{rank } M$ where $\text{rank } M = \text{rank}(\alpha(M))$ by definition.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let R be a regular local ring, M a non-zero finitely generated R -module and t an integer greater than or equal to 0. Then $d(M) \geq t$ if and only if there exist integers $i \geq 0$ and $h \geq t$ such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{i+h}(M, R) \neq 0$.*

Proof. We first recall a result of D. Rees (see [3]).

If S is a noetherian ring, M a finitely generated S -module and i the smallest integer such that $\text{Ext}_S^i(M, S) \neq 0$, then i is the length of the largest S -sequence contained in the $\alpha(M)$. If S is a regular local ring, then we have that $i = \text{rank}(\alpha(M))$ (see [1, Proposition 2.7]).

Now we return to the proof of the proposition. Suppose that $d(M) \geq t$. Then we have that the $\text{hd } M \geq \text{rank}(M) + t$. By the previous remark we

* Received April 10, 1961.

know that if we set $i = \text{rank}(M)$, then $\text{Ext}^i(M, R) \neq 0$. But it is well known that the $\text{hd } M$ is the largest integer k such that $\text{Ext}^k(M, R) \neq 0$ (see [2; VI, Exercise 9]). Therefore setting $h = k - i \geq t$ we have the desired integers i and h .

On the other hand suppose that $\text{Ext}^i_R(M, R) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}^{i+h}_R(M, R) \neq 0$ with $h \geq t$. Then it follows from what has been observed before that the rank $(M) \leq i$ and that the $\text{hd } M \geq i + h$. Therefore

$$d(M) = \text{hd } M - \text{rank } M \geq h \geq t.$$

We say that a noetherian ring R is regular if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular local ring for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in R and $\dim R$ is finite.

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a regular ring, M a finitely generated, non-zero R -module. For each integer $t \geq 0$, there exists an ideal $c_t \supset \alpha(M)$ such that a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \supset c_t$ if and only if $d(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq t$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha_i = \alpha(\text{Ext}^i_R(M, R))$ for all $i \geq 0$. It is clear that each $\alpha_i \supset \alpha(M)$ and only a finite number of $\alpha_i \neq R$ since only a finite number of $\text{Ext}^i_R(M, R) \neq 0$. For each pair of integers $i \geq 0$ and $h \geq 0$ define $\mathfrak{b}(i, h) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+h})$. It should be observed that only a finite number of the $\mathfrak{b}(i, h) \neq R$ and that each $\mathfrak{b}(i, h) \supset \alpha(M)$.

For each integer $t \geq 0$ define c_t to be $\bigcap_{\substack{h \geq t \\ i \geq 0}} \mathfrak{b}(i, h)$. The fact that $c_t \supset \alpha(M)$ is trivial. Since $\bigcap_{\substack{h \geq t \\ i \geq 0}} \mathfrak{b}(i, h)$ is really a finite intersection, we know that a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in R contains c_t if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{b}(i, h)$ for some i and some $h \geq t$. But $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{b}(i, h)$ if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \text{Ext}^i_R(M, R) \neq 0$ and $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \text{Ext}^{i+h}_R(M, R) \neq 0$. Since $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is R -flat, it follows from [2; VI, Exercise 11] that $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \text{Ext}^j_R(M, R) = \text{Ext}^j_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for all j . Therefore applying Proposition 1, we have that $\mathfrak{p} \supset c_t$ if and only if $d(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq t$.

The following module theoretic version of Theorem 0 of [4] is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 3. *Let S be a noetherian ring which is a factor ring of a regular ring and let M be a finitely generated non-zero S -module. Then for each integer $t \geq 0$, the set of all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $d(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq t$ forms a closed set.*

Proof. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be the canonical ring epimorphism. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in S and \mathfrak{P} the prime ideal $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ in R . Then it is well known that $\dim(M_{\mathfrak{P}}) = \dim(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\text{codim}(M_{\mathfrak{P}}) = \text{codim}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Therefore we have that $d(M_{\mathfrak{P}}) = d(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Now given an integer $t \geq 0$ we have by Theorem 2 that there exists an ideal \mathfrak{c}_t in R which contains $\mathfrak{a}(M)$, and therefore the $\text{Ker } f$, such that a prime ideal \mathfrak{P} in R contains \mathfrak{c}_t if and only if $d(M_{\mathfrak{P}}) \geq t$. It therefore follows by standard arguments that a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in S contains $f(\mathfrak{c}_t)$ if and only if $d(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq t$.

BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY.

REFERENCES.

- [1] M. Auslander and D. Buchsbaum, "Homological dimension in local rings," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 85 (1957), pp. 390-405.
- [2] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton, 1956.
- [3] D. Rees, "The grade of an ideal or module," *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 52 (1957), pp. 28-42.
- [4] H. Hironaka, "A generalized theorem of Krull Seidenberg on parameterized algebras of finite type," *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 82 (1960), pp. 831-850.