

Math 258 Lecture Notes, Fall 2020

Harmonic Analysis

Professor: Michael Christ

Vishal Raman

Contents

1 August 27th, 2020	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Fourier Analysis	3
1.3 On Tori of Arbitrary Dimension	3
1.4 Euclidean Spaces	4
2 September 1st, 2020	7
2.1 Proof of Plancherel's Theorem	7
2.2 Introduction to Convolution	8
2.3 General Convolution	9
3 September 3rd, 2020	11
3.1 Convolution and Continuity	11
3.2 Convolution and Differentiation	11
3.3 Approximation	12
4 September 8th, 2020	15
4.1 Fourier Transform Identities	15
4.2 The Gaussian	16
4.3 Schwartz Spaces	17
5 September 10th, 2020	19
5.1 Schwartz Space, continued	19
5.2 Tempered Distributions	19
6 September 15th, 2020	23
6.1 Poisson Summation Formula	23
6.2 Size of Fourier Coefficients	23
7 September 17th, 2020	27
7.1 Size of Fourier Coefficients, continued	27
7.2 Comparing Size of Functions to Size of Fourier Coefficients	28
8 September 22nd, 2020	30
8.1 Comparing Size of Functions to Size of Fourier Coefficients, continued	30
8.2 Rademacher Functions	30
9 September 24th, 2020	31
9.1 Rademacher Functions, continued	31
9.2 Convergence of Fourier Series for 1-dimensional Tori	32

§1 August 27th, 2020

§1.1 Introduction

We begin by considering the problem of conduction of heat in a circle. We use the map $x \mapsto e^{ix}$, $x \in [0, 2\pi)$. Where u is the temperature, t is the time, we believed that $u_t = \gamma u_{xx}$, where subscripts denote partial derivatives. We also have an initial condition, $f(x) = u(x, 0)$.

There are some simple solutions $e^{inx}e^{-\gamma n^2 t}|_{t=0} = e^{inx}$. The product of solutions, the sum of solutions, and scalar multiple of solutions are all solutions, so he wrote the solution as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}, u(x, t) = \sum_n a_n e^{-\gamma n^2 t} e^{inx}.$$

§1.2 Fourier Analysis

We take a circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, which can also be thought of as $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, with the map $x \mapsto e^{ix}$. Suppose we have G a finite abelian group, and $\widehat{G} = \{\text{hom } \varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$, the dual group. \widehat{G} is also a group, formally known as the set of characters.

Example 1.1

If we take $G = \mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, with the map $x \mapsto e^{2\pi i x n/N}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

Similarly, taking $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_2} \times \dots$, we take $x \mapsto \prod e^{2\pi i x n/N_i}$.

Take $e_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi(x)}$, where $\xi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Working in $L^2(G)$, we note the following:

Fact 1.2. If $\xi \neq \varphi$, then $\langle e_\xi, e_\varphi \rangle = 0$.

Proof.

$$\sum_{x \in G} \xi(x) \overline{\varphi(x)} = \sum_u \xi(u+y) \overline{\varphi(u+y)} - \left(\sum_u \xi(u) \overline{\varphi(u)} \right) \xi(y) \overline{\varphi(y)}.$$

Hence, either $\langle \xi, \varphi \rangle = 0$ or $\xi(y) \overline{\varphi(y)} = 1$ for all $y \in G$, which implies $\xi = \varphi$. \square

It follows that $\{e_f : f \in \widehat{G}\}$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(G)$. Then, the dimension is $|\widehat{G}| = |G| = \dim(L^2(G))$. Hence, the set forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(G)$.

Then, for all $f \in L^2(G)$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2(G)}^2 = \sum_{\varphi \in \widehat{G}} |\langle f, e_\varphi \rangle|^2,$$

$$f = \sum_{e_\xi \in \widehat{G}} \langle f, e_\xi \rangle e_\xi.$$

§1.3 On Tori of Arbitrary Dimension

We define $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, from $[0, 2\pi]$. We then work on \mathbb{T}^d , $d \geq 1$.

For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we define

$$\widehat{f}(n) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int f(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

We have an inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\mu(x)$ defined over a Lebesgue measure or Euclidean measure on \mathbb{T}^d .

Theorem 1 (Parseval's Theorem)

For all $f \in L^2(\Pi^d)$,

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}(n)|^2,$$

and we have

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx},$$

in the sense that

$$\|f - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}\|_L^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Note: you can usually figure out the constant with the simplest example, $f = 1$.

Proof. Take $\mathbb{T}^d, e_n(x) = e^{in \cdot x}$. The $\{(2\pi)^{-d/2} e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is orthonormal (left as an exercise). Then, for all f , $\sum_n \langle f, (2\pi)^{-d/2} e_n \rangle \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2$, with equality if the set is a basis (Bessel's inequality).

It suffices to show that $\text{span}\{e_n\}$ is dense in L^2 . Take $P = \text{span}\{e_n\}$, and note that P is an algebra of continuous functions on Π^d , closed under conjugation, contains 1, and separates points. Hence, the Stone-Weierstrass theorem implies that P is dense in $C^o(\Pi^d)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{C^o}$. Then $C^o \subset L^2$ is dense (general theory about Compact Hausdorff spaces, Radon Measures).

The statement $\|f - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}\|_L^2 \rightarrow 0$ follows from the general theory of orthonormal systems. \square

§1.4 Euclidean Spaces

We work in \mathbb{R}^d , ($d \geq 1$). Take $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $x \mapsto x\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is a homomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, but if we take $x \mapsto e^{ix\xi}$, we have a homomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \Gamma$. We try to define the following:

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx = \langle f, e_\xi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $e_{xi}(x) = e^{ix\xi}$.

Some problems:

1. $e_\xi \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$
2. $f(x) e^{-ix\xi}$ need not be in L^1 if $f \in L^2$.

We fix this by imposing extra conditions.

Definition 1.3. For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx.$$

Note that $f \in L^1$ implies that \hat{f} is bounded, continuous. We see this as follows: $\hat{f}(\xi + u) - \hat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-ix\xi} (e^{-ixu} - 1) dx$. If we let $u \rightarrow 0$, the right goes to 0 pointwise, and $(2|f|) \in L^1$ dominates the integral, it goes to 0.

Proposition 1.4

If $f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Theorem 2 (Plancherel's Theorem)

$\pi : L^1 \cap L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ extends uniquely to $\widehat{\pi} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, linear, bounded, $\|\widehat{\pi}f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \|f\|_{L^2}^2$, and for all $f \in L^2$, we have an inverse Fourier Transform, $\check{f}(y) = \int f(\xi) e^{iy\xi} d\xi$ for $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$, and $\check{\cdot}$ also extends.

Finally,

$$\|f - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\check{f}(y) = \widehat{f}(-y)$.

Proof. We first prove that $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^{-d} \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}^2$ for all $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$. We prove this for a dense subspace \mathcal{P} of L^2 . We will show later that there exists a subspace $V \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ so that V is dense in L^2 , $V \subset L^1$, $\forall f \in V$, there exists $C_f < \infty$, so for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq C_f (f(\xi))^{-d}$ and f is continuous with compact support.

We are given $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ supported where $|x| \leq R = R_f < \infty$. For large $t \geq 0$, define $f_t(x) = f(tx)$ (this shrinks the support of f), supported where $|x| \leq R/t < \pi$. We can then think of $f_t : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Now, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_t(n) &= (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f_t(x) e^{-inx} dx \\ &= t^{-d} (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-in/ty} dy \\ &= t^{-d} (2\pi)^{-d} \widehat{f}(t^{-1}n), \end{aligned}$$

where the first hat is on \mathbb{T}^d and the second is on \mathbb{R}^d , so the Fourier coefficients in the euclidean case are scalar multiples of the Fourier coefficients in the Tori case.

Thus,

$$\|f_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 = t^{-d} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = c_d \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{f}_t(n)|^2 = c'_d t^{-2d} \sum_n |\widehat{f}(t^{-1}n)|^2$$

Hence,

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = c'_d t^{-d} \sum_n |\widehat{f}(t^{-1}n)|^2.$$

This has a nice tiling Riemann sum interpretation: if we take \mathbb{R}^d and tile it with cubes of sidelength $1/t$ where one corner is at $t^{-1}n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = c'_d t^{-d} \sum_n \left| \widehat{f}(t^{-1}n) \right|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_t|^2 dx,$$

where $g(x) = \widehat{f}(t^{-1}n)$.

We claim

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_t|^2 \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}|^2,$$

which follows from the dominated convergence theorem: where we take a sequence over t going to infinity, with dominator $C_f^2(1 + |\xi|)^{-2d}$ in L^1 and $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq C_f^2(1 + |\xi|)^{-2d}$. Furthermore, we have $g_t(\xi) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(\xi)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, and \widehat{f} is continuous so g_t is pointwise convergent, and we have

$$|g_t(\xi)| = |\widehat{f}(t^{-1}n)| \leq C_f(1 + |t^{-1}n|)^{-d} \leq C'(1 + |\xi|)^{-d}.$$

□

§2 September 1st, 2020

§2.1 Proof of Plancherel's Theorem

Last time

- \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx.$$

- $V = \{f \in L_1 \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^d \text{ is a bounded linear function, } \langle x \rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2} \geq 1, = |x| \text{ for } x \text{ large.}\}$
- Claim: V is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|f\|_{L^2}$ for all $f \in V$ so there exists a unique bounded linear operator \mathcal{F} on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where \mathcal{F} takes a function to its fourier transform.
- We discussed some properties of \mathcal{F} .
 - $\|\mathcal{F}f\|_2 = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|f\|_2$
 - \mathcal{F} is onto.
 - For all $f \in L^2$,

$$\left\| f - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

in the limit where $R \rightarrow \infty$.

First note that \mathcal{F} has closed range (this was an exercise). It suffices to show: If $g \in L^2, g \perp \mathcal{F}(f)$ for all $f \in V$, then $g = 0$.

Proof. First, note that

$$0 = \langle g, \mathcal{F}(f) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^*(g), f \rangle,$$

and for all $g \in V$,

$$\mathcal{F}^*g(x) = \int g(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{F}^*(g)(x) = (\mathcal{F}g)(-x)$ for all $g \in V$, which is dense in L^2 . Hence, $\mathcal{F}g = 0$, and the Fourier transform preserves norms, so $g = 0$. \square

We also claimed the following: Let $f \in L^2$:

$$\|f(x) - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let $g_r = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$. We have to show $\langle f, g_r \rangle \rightarrow \|f\|_2^2$. Then

$$\|f - g_r\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2 + \|g_r\|_2^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle f, g_r \rangle \rightarrow \|f\|_2^2 + \|f\|_2^2 - 2\|f\|_2^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g_r \rangle &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int f(x) \overline{\int_{|\xi| \leq R} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi} dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \left(\int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \right) \overline{(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) d\xi} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} |\mathcal{F}f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \rightarrow (2\pi)^{-d} \|\mathcal{F}f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

However, it's not clear that we can use Fubini's theorem. We would need $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$. But this is not an issue as $L^1 \cap L^2 \subset L^2$ is dense, so if we let $\epsilon > 0$, $f = G + h$, $\|h\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ and $G \in L^1 \cap L^2$. Showing the convergence from here is an exercise. \square

We still need $V = (f \in L^1 \cap L^2 : \langle \xi \rangle^d (\widehat{f}(\xi)) \text{ is bounded})$ is dense in L^2 . We'll discuss this in the future.

§2.2 Introduction to Convolution

Our meta definition is $f * g(x) = \int f(x-y)g(y)dy$, but it will depend on the conditions of the function for the integral to be defined.

Convolution is generally associated to a group, where

$$\int_G f(xy^{-1}g(y)d\mu(y)),$$

with the Haar measure(done in 202b).

If we substitute $y = x - u$, then

$$f * g(x) = \int f(u)g(x-u)du = g * f(x).$$

It is also associative: $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$ for all f, g, h (involves Fubini's theorem).

We can formally write

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(u)g(v)d\lambda_x(u, v),$$

where λ_x is supported on $\Lambda = \{(u, v) : u + v = x\}$ (an affine subspace). If we have a subset $E \subset \Lambda$, $\lambda_x(E) = |\pi_1(E)| = |\pi_2(E)|$, where π_i are Lebesgue measure s of projections on the i -th factor. Note the following: suppose that f, g are continuous with compact support. Then $\text{supp}(f * g) \subset \text{supp}(f) + \text{supp}(g)$, where $A + B = \{a + b : (a, b) \in A \times B\}$.

Let $T : C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_b^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be bounded, linear and $T \circ \tau_y = \tau_y \circ T$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($\tau_y f(x) = f(x + y)$, a translation). Then, there exists a Complex Radon measure μ on \mathbb{R}^d so that for all $f \in C_0^0$, $T(f) = f * \mu$, where

$$f * \mu(x) = \int f(x-y)d\mu(y).$$

In the case of \mathbb{T}^1 , $f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)e^{inx}$ for all $f \in L^2$. Suppose we wanted to consider the partial sums,

$$\sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{f}(n)e^{inx} = S_N(f)(x).$$

In what sense does $S_N f \rightarrow f$, and for which functions f do we have convergence?

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(f)(x) &= \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inx}(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y)e^{-iny}dy = (2\pi)^{-1} \int f(y) \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{in(x-y)}dy \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y)D_N(x-y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

The Dirichlet Kernels, $D_N(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inx} = \frac{\sin((N+1/2)x)}{\sin(x/2)}$ if $x \neq 0$ or $D_N(x) = 2N+1$ if $x = 0$.

§2.3 General Convolution

Theorem 3

Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, the following are true:

- $y \mapsto f(x - y)g(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- $x \mapsto \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$.
- If $f, g \geq 0$, then $\|f * g\|_1 = \int f * g = \int f \int g$.
- The operation commutative and associative, so L^1 is an algebra, but it no multiplicative identity, so no inverses.
- For $f, g \in L^1$, $\widehat{(f \star g)} = \widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g}$.

In other words, convolution is a nice bilinear operation.

Proof. Let $F(x, y) = f(x - y)g(y)$, $F : \mathbb{R}^{d+d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Lebesgue measurable. We claim that $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. It follows from

$$\int |F(x, y)| dx dy = \int |f(x - y)| |g(y)| dx dy = \int |g(y)| dy \int |f(x)| dx = \|g\|_1 \|f\|_1 < \infty.$$

Now, $F \in L^1$, so by Fubini's theorem, for almost every $x, y \mapsto f(x - y)g(y) \in L^1$ and $x \mapsto \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$ is Lebesgue measurable.

$$\|f * g\|_1 = \int |f * g(x)| dx = \int \left| \int f(x - y)g(y) dy \right| dx \leq \int \int |f(x - y)| |g(y)| dy dx = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1.$$

Note that $\int (f * g)(x) dx = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$, for non-negative functions.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)^\wedge(\xi) &= \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \left(\int f(x - y)g(y) dy \right) dx \\ &= \int \left(\int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x - y) dx \right) dy, x = u + y \\ &= \int \left(e^{-i(u+y) \cdot \xi} f(u) du \right) g(y) dy \\ &= \int e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(u) g(y) dy \\ &= \widehat{f}(\xi) \cdot \widehat{g}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 2.1 (A Warning)

In \mathbb{R}^1 , $f(x) = |x|^{-2/3} 1_{|x| \leq 1}$, which has an asymptote at 0. $f \in L^1$, and

$$(f * f)(0) = \int_{-1}^1 |u|^{-4/3} dy = +\infty.$$

Proposition 2.2

Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $f \in L^1, g \in L^p$. Then,

- $y \mapsto f(x - y)g(y) \in L^1$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- $x \mapsto \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$ is Lebesgue measurable.
- $f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$.

Proof. For $p = \infty$, $\int f(x - y)g(y)dy \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

If $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p \subset L^1 + L^\infty$, as follows:

$$f(x) = f(x)1_{|f(x)| \leq 1} + f(x)1_{|f(x)| > 1}.$$

We can prove the rest with Minkowski's inequality, or a simpler way. Let $q = p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ (hence $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$). We use the norm definition,

$$\|f * g\|_p = \sup_{\|h\|_q \leq 1} \int |g * f| \cdot |h|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |g * f| \cdot |h| &\leq \int (|g| * |f|) \cdot |h| = \int \int |g(x - y)| |f(y)| dy h(x) dx \\ &= \int |f(y)| \int |g(x - y)| h(x) dx dy \leq \int |f(y)| \|g\|_p * 1 dy = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

□

§3 September 3rd, 2020

§3.1 Convolution and Continuity

Recall convolution:

$$f * g(x) = \int f(x-y)g(y)dy, f * \mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-y)d\mu(y),$$

where f is continuous, bounded, μ is a complex Radon measure ($|\mu|$ is finite)

Proposition 3.1

Let $T : C_0^0 \rightarrow C_b^0$. Suppose T is translation invariant: $T \circ \tau_y = \tau_y \circ T$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. [There exists $A < \infty : \|Tf\|_{C_0} \leq A\|f\|_{C_0}$ for all f . Recall $\|f\|_{C_0} = \sup_x |f(x)|$, and C_0^0, C_b^0 are Banach spaces.] There exists a complex radon measure μ such that $Tf = f * \mu$ for all f .

Proof. Given $T : C_0^0 \rightarrow C_b^0$, consider the map $\ell : C_0^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f \mapsto (Tf)(0)$. It is clear that ℓ is linear. Furthermore, ℓ is bounded, since

$$|Tf(0)| \leq \|Tf\|_{C_0} \leq A\|f\|_{C_0},$$

so $\ell \in (C_0^0)^*$. Recall the Riesz Representation Theorem, there exists ν , a complex Radon measure, such that for all $f \in C_0^0$

$$\ell(f) = \int f d\nu.$$

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We have

$$Tf(-y) = Tf(0 - y) = (\tau_y Tf)(0) = T(\tau_y f)(0) = \int \tau_y f(x) d\nu(x) = \int f(x - y) d\nu(x).$$

Similarly, for all x , $(Tf)(-x) = \int f(y - x) d\nu(y)$. [See lecture notes for correct algebra, sad]. \square

§3.2 Convolution and Differentiation

Informally,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int f(x-y)g(y)dy = \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} f(x-y)g(y)dy.$$

Proposition 3.2

Assume $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^1$ and $f, \nabla f$ is bounded. Then

$$f * g \in C^1, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f * g) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) * g.$$

Proof. We assume $d = 1$ for clarity.

$$\frac{(f * g)(x+t) - (f * g)(x)}{t} = \int \frac{f(x+t-y) - f(x-y)}{t} g(y) dy.$$

Let $t \rightarrow 0$. Use DCT, with dominator

$$|g(y)| \cdot \sup_{t,u} \frac{|f(u+t) - f(u)|}{|t|}.$$

The supremum is finite by the mean value theorem. \square

Example 3.3

Take $g \in L^\infty$, $f \in C_1$, and there exists $a < \infty$ such that for all x ,

$$|f(x)| + |\nabla f(x)| \leq A\langle x \rangle^{-\gamma}.$$

Hence, $f, \nabla f \in L^1$. Then $f * g \in C^1$, $\nabla(f * g) = (\nabla f) * g$.

We can iterate this: Under appropriate conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^\alpha (f * g)}{\partial x^\alpha} &= \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} * g, \\ \frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta} (f * g)}{\partial x^{\alpha+\beta}} &= \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} * \frac{\partial^\beta g}{\partial x^\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.4

If $f \in L^1$ and $g \in L^\infty$, then $f * g \in C_b^0$.

Proof. Recall: If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $y \mapsto \tau_y f \in L^1$ is continuous: As $y \rightarrow 0$,

$$\|\tau_y f - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Then,

$$(f * g)(x) - (f * g)(x') = \int (f(x-y) - f(x'-y))g(y)dy = \int [f(x-y) - (\tau_u f)(x-y)]g(y)dy,$$

where $u = x' - x$. As $u \rightarrow 0$, $\|f - \tau_u f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, and $g \in L^\infty$, so the integral approaches 0, as desired. \square

§3.3 Approximation

Definition 3.5 (Approximate Identity Sequence). An approximate identity sequence for \mathbb{R}^d is $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\varphi_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the following conditions:

- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_n = 1$.
- For all $\delta > 0$, $\int_{|x| \geq \delta} |\varphi_n| dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 4

Let (φ_n) be an approximate identity sequence in \mathbb{R}^d .

1. Let $f \in C_b^0$ be uniformly continuous. Then $f * \varphi_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.
2. Let $f \in C_b^0$. Then $f * \varphi_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on every compact set.
3. If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then for all $f \in L^p$, $\|f * \varphi_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$.

[All the above limits are taken for $n \rightarrow \infty$.]

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} f * \varphi_n(x) - f(x) &= \int f(x-y)\varphi_n(y)dy - f(x) \\ &= \int (f(x-y) - f(x))\varphi_n(y)dy \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$|f * \varphi_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \int |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy.$$

Let $\delta > 0$. Then,

$$\int |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy = \int_{|y| \leq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy + \int_{|y| \geq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| \leq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy &\leq \|\varphi_n\|_1 \cdot \sup_{x, |y| \leq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| \\ &= \|\varphi_n\|_1 \cdot \omega_f(\delta) \\ &\leq A \cdot \omega_f(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy &\leq \int_{|y| \geq \delta} 2\|f\|_{C^0} \cdot |\varphi_n(y)|dy \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_{C^0} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} |\varphi_n|dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|f * \varphi_n(x) - f(x)| \leq A\omega_f(\delta) + 2\|f\|_{C^0} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} |\varphi_n|dy.$$

Taking the lim sup, the second term goes to 0, so for all $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \|f * \varphi_n - f\|_{C^0} \leq A\omega_f(\delta).$$

Since f is uniformly continuous, $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega_f(\delta) = 0$, which proves the claim. \square

Corollary 3.6

$C^\infty \cap L^p$ is dense in L^p for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Proof. We want to construct (φ_n) with $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty$.

We claim there exists a function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\int \varphi = 1$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. In $d = 1$, take $h(x) = 1x > 0e^{-\|x\|}$. Then, define $\varphi(x) = h(x)h(1-x) \in C_0^\infty$. Then, we normalize φ .

Now, take $\varphi_n(x) = n^d \varphi(nx)$. □

Example 3.7

Let $\varphi \geq 0$, $\int \varphi = 1$. Define $\varphi_n(x) = n^d \varphi(nx)$. Then $\int \varphi_n = 1$.

Furthermore,

$$\int_{|x| \geq \delta} n^d \varphi(nx) dx = \int_{|y| \geq n\delta} \varphi(y) dy \rightarrow 0.$$

Example 3.8

Let $\varphi(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} e^{-|x|^2/2}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $t > 0$ and $\varphi_t(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} t^{-d/2} e^{-|x|^2/(2t)}$. Now $t \rightarrow 0^+$ and

$$\int_{|x| \geq \delta} \varphi_t(x) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

This is an approximate identity family.

Example 3.9 (Interpretation of $f * g$)

$$f * g = \int \tau_y f(x) \cdot g(y) dy.$$

If $g \geq 0$ and $\int g = 1$, then we have an **average** of translates of f .

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $g = \varphi_n$ so the weight concentrates asymptotically at the origin.

§4 September 8th, 2020

§4.1 Fourier Transform Identities

We have many functorial identities.

1. For $f \in L^1$,

$$(\tau_y f)^\wedge(\xi) = e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

2. For $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(f * g)^\wedge = \widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g}.$$

3. For $f \in L^1$,

$$(e^{ix \cdot \eta} f)^\wedge(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta).$$

4. We use the notation

$$\xi^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^d \xi_j^{\alpha_j}.$$

For $f \in C^0, C^{|\alpha|}, C_0^0$,

$$(\partial^\alpha f)^\wedge(\xi) = (i\xi)^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

This comes from the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} f(x) \right) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx,$$

so we integrate by parts, use Fubini in \mathbb{R}^d and induct on $|\alpha|$.

5. For $f \in C_0^\infty$,

$$(X^\beta f(x))^\wedge(\xi) = (i\partial_\xi)^\beta \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

where

$$x^\beta = \prod_{j=1}^d x_j^{\beta_j}, (i\partial_\xi)^\beta = i^{|\beta|} \partial^\beta.$$

6. For $f \in C_0^\infty$,

$$(\partial_x^\alpha f)^\wedge(\xi) = (i\xi)^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

7. If $L \in GL(d)$, $L : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, linear invertible, then for all $f \in L^1$,

$$(f \circ L)^\wedge(\xi) = |\det(L)|^{-1} \widehat{f} \circ ((L^*)^{-1})(\xi).$$

The proof follows from the substitution $x = L^{-1}(y)$ and $(L^{-1})^* = (L^*)^{-1}$.

Corollary 4.1

$$V = \{f \in (L^1 \cap L^2)(\mathbb{R}^d) : \exists A = A_f < \infty, |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq A_f \langle \xi \rangle^{-d}\}$$

is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. We showed last time that C_0^∞ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We need to show that $f \in C_0^\infty$ implies that $\widehat{f}(\xi) = O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-N})$ for all $N \leq \infty$.

WLOG, assume $\xi \neq 0$, $\xi_d \neq 0$, $|\xi_d| \geq \frac{|\xi|}{d}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx &= (-i\xi_d)^{-1} \int f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} (e^{-ix \cdot \xi}) dx \\ &= (-i\xi_d)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_d}(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \leq \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We can pick up as many factors of ξ_d as we'd like to get arbitrary bounds. \square

§4.2 The Gaussian

Fact 4.2. ($d \geq 1$) Take $e^{-z|x|^2/2} = f(x) = f_z(x)$. Assume $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0 \rightarrow f_z \in L^1$.

$$(e^{-z|x|^2/2})^\wedge(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} z^{-d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/(2z)}.$$

We consider $z^{-d/2}$ in the principal branch. When $z = 1$, $(e^{-|x|^2/2})^\wedge(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/2}$. Note the fact

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

In order to calculate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2/2} e^{-ix\xi} dx,$$

we have

$$x^2/2 + ix\xi = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + 2ix\xi) = 1/2(x + i\xi)^2 + \xi^2/2,$$

so

$$e^{-\xi^2/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(x+i\xi)^2/2} = e^{-\xi^2/2} \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

If $F(x) = \prod_{j=1}^d f_j(x_j)$, then $\widehat{F}(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^d \widehat{f}_j(\xi_j)$.

For $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $e^{-z|x|^2/2} = e^{-|L(x)|^2/2}$, where

$$L(x) = z^{1/2}x.$$

Then, we use $(f \circ L)^\wedge(\xi) = |\det(L)|^{-1} \widehat{f}((L^*)^{-1}(\xi))$. For $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$,

$$\int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = \int e^{-z|x|^2/2} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

We claim that this is a homomorphic function of z in $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$.

Fact 4.3. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\widehat{f} \in L^1$, then

$$f = (2\pi)^{-d} (\widehat{f})^\vee, \check{g}(x) = \int g(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

Corollary 4.4

If $f \in L^1$, $\widehat{f} = 0$, then $f = 0$ almost everywhere.

Proof. Given $f, \widehat{f} \in L^1$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ with $\int \varphi = 1$. Let $\varphi_n(x) = n^d \varphi(nx)$. Define $f_n = f * \varphi_n$. We know that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Moreover, $f_n \in L^2$, since $f_n \in L^1 * L^2$. For each n , we have

$$\|(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \widehat{f}_n(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi - f_n(x)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that

$$\widehat{f}_n(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}_n(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(n^{-1}\xi).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\widehat{\varphi}(n^{-1}\xi) \rightarrow \widehat{\varphi}(0) = \int \varphi = 1$. Hence,

$$\widehat{f}_n(\xi) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Furthermore

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq R} \widehat{f}_n(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi,$$

since $\widehat{f}_n \in L^1$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, we have that

$$(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(n^{-1}\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi = f_n(x),$$

in the L^2 norm. Now, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f_n = f * \varphi_n \rightarrow f$ in the L^1 norm.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(n^{-1}\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi = (\widehat{f})^\vee(x),$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. Thus,

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} (\widehat{f})^\vee(x).$$

But we actually proved a stronger result: $g \in L^1 \implies \check{g} \in C^0$, so if $g = \widehat{f}$, $(\widehat{f})^\vee \in C^0$ if $f \in L^1$, so if f, \widehat{f} are in L^1 , then f agrees almost everywhere with $(2\pi)^{-d} (\widehat{f})^\vee \in C^0$. \square

Example 4.5

Take $f(x) = 1_{[0,1]}(x)$. Hence $\widehat{f} \notin L^1$. Essentially, we have that $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \approx \frac{1}{|\xi|}$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

§4.3 Schwartz Spaces

Definition 4.6 (Schwartz Space).

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f \in C^\infty, \forall N, \alpha, x \mapsto \langle x \rangle^N \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} \text{ is bounded.}\}.$$

It is clear that \mathcal{S} is a vector space over \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, \mathcal{S} is a topological vector space.

The topology on \mathcal{S} is defined by a countable family of seminorms.

$$\|f\|_{M,N} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^N \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq M} \left| \frac{\partial^\beta f}{\partial x^\beta}(x) \right|.$$

We have that $f \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $f \in C^\infty$ and for all $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|f\|_{M,N} < \infty$.

A neighborhood base for the topology at g would be

$$V(g, M, N, \epsilon) = \{f \in \mathcal{S} : \|f - g\|_{M,N} < \epsilon\}.$$

Note that if ρ_n is a metric,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left(\frac{\rho_n}{1 + \rho_n} \right)$$

is also a metric, but it wouldn't preserve the vector space condition. Next time, we will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5

$\wedge : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a linear, bijective homeomorphism.

§5 September 10th, 2020

§5.1 Schwartz Space, continued

Last time, we introduced the Schwartz space,

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f \in C^\infty : \forall M, N \|f\|_{M,N} < \infty\},$$

$$\|f\|_{M,N} = \sup_x \{ \langle x \rangle^M \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^N \left| \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} \right| \}.$$

An equivalent formulation is $x^\beta \partial^\alpha f$ is bounded for all α, β .

Theorem 6

The fourier transform, $\wedge : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a linear, bijective homeomorphism.

Proof. Note that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\widehat{f} \in C^\infty$. This is clear since

$$\partial_\xi^\alpha \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = \int f(x) \partial_{xi}^\alpha (e^{-ix \cdot \xi}) dx.$$

Hence $f \cdot \langle x \rangle^N$ is in L^1 for all N .

Note the following identities:

$$(\partial_x^\alpha f)^\wedge = (i\xi)^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi), (x^\beta f)^\wedge = (i\partial_{xi}^\beta) \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

which can be verified from repeated integration by parts.

We claim that $\xi^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \widehat{f}$ is bounded for all α, β . Moreover, there exists M, N such that

$$\sup_{xi} |\xi^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \|f\|_{M,N}.$$

Note that

$$|\xi^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)| = |(\partial_x^\beta x^\alpha f)^\wedge(\xi)|,$$

so

$$\sup_{xi} |\xi^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \|(\partial_x^\beta x^\alpha f)^\wedge(\xi)\|_{L^1} \leq C_d \sup_x |\langle x \rangle^{d+1} \partial_x^\beta (x^\alpha f)|.$$

By the Leibniz rule, we can commute ∂_x^β , which gives the result.

Hence, we have proved that $\widehat{\mathcal{S}} \subset \mathcal{S}$, and $\wedge : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is continuous. and the same holds for $f \mapsto \check{f}$, so $f \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow f \in L^1$ and $\widehat{f} \in L^1$, so \wedge is 1-1 on \mathcal{S} and \vee is onto, so we get that \wedge is onto. \square

§5.2 Tempered Distributions

We will consider the dual of the Schwartz space,

$$\mathcal{S}' = \{\varphi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ linear and continuous}\}.$$

Recall, continuity by definition is given by the existence of $M, N, C < \infty$ so that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $|\varphi(f)| \leq C \|f\|_{M,N}$.

Example 5.1 (Dirac Mass)

We can take $\varphi(f) = f(0)$, the dirac mass. We can also take $\varphi(f) = \partial^\alpha f(y_0)$.

Let μ be a complex Radon measure, $h \in L^1_{loc}$, $\int_{|x| \leq R} |h| dx \leq C_h \langle R \rangle^{A_h}$. We can define

$$\varphi(f) = \int \partial^\alpha f(x) \cdot h(x) d\mu(x) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Theorem 7

Every $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$ is a finite linear combination of $f \mapsto \int \partial^\alpha f \cdot h d\mu$, with h, μ, α as before.

The proof is left as an exercise. The key ingredient is the Riesz Representation theorem and the Hahn-Banach theorem.

\mathcal{S}' is given a weak topology: a neighborhood base of $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$ is given by choosing J , a finite index set, $\epsilon > 0$ and $f_j \in \mathcal{S} (j \in J)$. Then

$$V = \{\psi \in \mathcal{S}' : |\psi(f_j) - \varphi(f_j)| < \epsilon \forall j \in J\}.$$

Definition 5.2. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$, $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a map $f \in \mathcal{S} \mapsto \varphi(\widehat{f})$. Then $\widehat{\varphi} : \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ is linear. Similarly, we can define $\check{\varphi} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, linear.

We can verify that $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}'$. Note that

$$|\widehat{\varphi}(f)| = |\varphi(\widehat{f})| \leq C_\varphi \|\widehat{f}\|_{M,N} \leq C' \|f\|_{M',N'}.$$

Theorem 8

$\wedge : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ is a bijective homeomorphism.

Proof. We first show that $\varphi \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous at ψ . Given V , a neighborhood of ψ : J finite, $\epsilon > 0$, $f_j : j \in J$, we need to control $|\widehat{\varphi}(f_j) - \psi(f_j)| < \epsilon$ for every $j \in J$. The neighborhood $W = \{\varphi : |\varphi(\widehat{f}_j) - \psi(\widehat{f}_j)| < \epsilon \forall j \in J\}$ gives the desired bound.

Now we claim for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$, $(\widehat{\varphi})^\vee = (2\pi)^d \varphi$. This comes from

$$(\widehat{\varphi})^\vee(f) = \widehat{\varphi}(\check{f}) = \varphi((\check{f})^\wedge) = \varphi((2\pi)^d f).$$

Hence \wedge is 1-1 and onto, so we conclude that it is a bijective homeomorphism. \square

We can define a partial derivative of a distribution, $\partial^\alpha \varphi$, with $\partial^\alpha : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ continuous, linear. This is a bit shocking: Take $\varphi = h \in L^1_{loc}$ with $\int_{|x| \leq R} |h| dx \leq C_h R^{A_h}$. This defines a distribution $f \mapsto \int f h = \varphi(f)$. That means, we have a way of essentially differentiating anything.

Note that we have a natural map $i : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ injective, where $i(g)(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f g$. Then, we take $g \mapsto i(g)$. Note that i is a continuous map.

Given some linear operator $T : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, we want to associate an extension $\tilde{T} : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ for all $g \in \mathcal{S}$.

Define $T' : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, where $T'(\varphi)(f) = \varphi(T(f))$. It's easy to check that $T' \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S}')$, but there are some bad examples.

Example 5.3

If we take $T(f) = \frac{df}{dx}$, $\int f \cdot g' = -\int f' \cdot g$, then

$$T(i(g)) = -i(T(g)).$$

Suppose we have some $T \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S})$ and a transpose $A \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S})$ in the sense that

$$\int T(f)g = \int fA(g) \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}.$$

For example, $T = \frac{d}{dx}$, $A = -\frac{d}{dx}$. With $T, A \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S})$, we can define $\tilde{T}(\varphi)(f) = \varphi(A'(f))$, which defines our extension.

Proposition 5.4

$i(\mathcal{S})$ is dense in \mathcal{S}' .

Definition 5.5 (Convolution for Distributions). If $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$, then

$$\varphi * f(x) = \varphi(f_x), f_x(y) = f(x - y).$$

One can show that $\varphi * f \in C^\infty$ if $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 5.6

Let $(\varphi_n) \in \mathcal{S}'$. If $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in \mathcal{S}' , then $\varphi_n f \rightarrow \varphi(f) \forall f \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 5.7

Let $(\varphi_n) \in \mathcal{S}'$. If $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S}' . Then there exists $M, N < \infty$ such that for all n and for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$|\varphi_n(f)| \leq C_n \|f\|_{M,N},$$

and $C_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof uses the Baire Category Theorem. Recall \mathcal{S} is a complete metrizable space, where we define a norm from

$$\sum_{M,N} 2^{-M-N} \frac{\|f\|_{M,N}}{1 + \|f\|_{M,N}}.$$

For $d \geq 1$, define $g(x) = e^{-i\lambda|x|^2/2}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $g \in L^\infty$, $|g| \equiv 1$.

We define $\hat{g}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} (i\lambda)^{-d/2} e^{-i|\xi|^2/(2\lambda)}$, for $\lambda \neq 0$. If we take $g \mapsto i(g) \in \mathcal{S}'$, note that $(i(g))^\wedge = i$, so we are in fact doing a normal fourier transform.

Define $g_z(x) = e^{-z\lambda|x|^2/2}$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Re}(z) \geq 0$. We claim that as $z \rightarrow i\lambda$, $g_z \rightarrow g$ in the topology of \mathcal{S}' . Furthermore,

$$\int f g_z \rightarrow \int f g$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$ by the dominated convergence theorem, with dominator $|f|$, since $|g_z| \leq 1$, $|g| \equiv 1$.

We know that $\widehat{g}_z \rightarrow \widehat{g}$ in \mathcal{S}' as $z \rightarrow i\lambda$. Note that

$$\widehat{g}_z(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} z^{-d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/(2z)}.$$

If $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$, then $g_z \in \mathcal{S}$.

Then as $z \rightarrow i\lambda$,

$$(2\pi)^{d/2} z^{-d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/(2z)} \rightarrow (2\pi)^{d/2} (i\lambda)^{-d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/(2i\lambda)}.$$

So $\widehat{g}_z \rightarrow \widehat{g}$ in \mathcal{S}' , so we have the result.

§6 September 15th, 2020

§6.1 Poisson Summation Formula

Define $\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$. We have that $\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$ for all $f \in L^2 \cap L^1$.

Theorem 9

For all $f \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(k).$$

This has a nice interpretation: suppose we define $\delta_n(g) = g(n)$. We have $\delta_n \in \mathcal{S}'$, and

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_n\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_k.$$

Proof. Given $f \in \mathcal{S}$, set $g : \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by $g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(x + n)$. Note that g is periodic: $g(x + e_j) = g(x)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$.

$$g(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\int g(y) e^{-2\pi i k \cdot y} dy \right) e^{ik \cdot x}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n f(n) &= g(0) = \sum_k \int e^{-2\pi i k \cdot y} \sum_n f(y + n) dy \\ &= \sum_k \int_{[0,1]^d} \sum_n e^{-2\pi i k \cdot (y+n)} f(y + n) = \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(u) e^{-2\pi i k \cdot u} du = \sum_k \hat{f}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Because f is a Schwartz function, all these series converge and we can easily swap sums and integrals. \square

Example 6.1

There are lots of functions that are their own Fourier transforms. Take $x^n e^{-x^2/2}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Apply Gram-Schmidt in the order of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We get an orthonormal basis $P_n(x) e^{-x^2/2}$, where $P_n = c_n x^n + O(|x|^{n-2})$.

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$,

$$(P_n e^{-x^2/2})^\wedge = (2\pi)^{1/2} P_n e^{-x^2/2}.$$

§6.2 Size of Fourier Coefficients

Remark: If $f \in C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $C^k(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-k}).$$

This comes from $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}\right)^\wedge \xi = i \xi_j \hat{f}(\xi)$.

We can have a stronger bound,

$$\langle \xi \rangle^k \widehat{f} \in L^2, \ell^2.$$

The proof is the same since $\xi^\alpha \widehat{f} \in L^2/\ell^2$ whenever $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$.

Recall the class

$$\text{Lip} = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} < \infty \right\}.$$

Proposition 6.2

Assume $f \in \text{Lip}$ and has compact support. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\xi) &= O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-1}), \\ \langle \xi \rangle \widehat{f} &\in L^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have $f \in C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \text{Lip}$. Assuming $\xi \neq 0$,

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int f(x + \frac{\pi}{\xi}) e^{-i(x + \frac{\pi}{\xi}) \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Since $e^{-i(\pi/\xi) \cdot \xi} = -1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int [f(x) - f(x + \pi/\xi)] e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Because f is Lipschitz, $f(x) - f(x + \pi/\xi) \in O(|\xi|^{-1})$, so it's clear the whole integral is bounded.

Definition 6.3 (Holder Class). Define Λ_α ($0 < \alpha < 1$), as $f : \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} < \infty$.

Note that $\alpha > \beta \Rightarrow \Lambda_\alpha \subset \Lambda_\beta$. Furthermore $\text{Lip} \subset \Lambda_\alpha$.

We can state a similar proposition as above for Holder classes.

Example 6.4

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} e^{i2^n x}.$$

The function $f \in \Lambda_\alpha$, but not Λ_β for any $\beta > \alpha$, since $\widehat{f}(2^n) = (2^n)^{-\alpha}$.

Let $f \in \text{Lip} \cap C_0^0$. Claim $f' \in L^\infty$ in the \mathcal{S}' sense. In other words, there exists $g \in L^\infty$ such that $\int f \varphi' = - \int g \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$.

The claim immediately implies that $\xi \widehat{f}(\xi) \in L^2$, since $\widehat{g} \in L^2 = i \xi \widehat{f}$ and has compact support.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int f(x) \frac{\varphi(x+t) - \varphi(x)}{t} dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{f(x) - f(x-t)}{t} \varphi(x) dx$$

Let $f_t = \frac{f(x) - f(x-t)t}{t}$. Note that $f_t \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^\infty = (L^1)^*$, so by Alaoglu's theorem, there exists a sequence $t_\nu \rightarrow 0$ and $g \in (L^1)^*$ with $f_t \rightarrow -g$ in the weak star topology.

Therefore, $\int f_{t_\nu} \varphi \rightarrow -\int g \varphi$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $\int f \varphi' = -\int g \varphi$. \square

Example 6.5

Take

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} e^{i2^n x}$$

with $\alpha = 1$. f is not Lipschitz, since

$$\sum_{\xi=2^n} |\xi| |\widehat{f}(\xi)| = \sum_n 1 = \infty.$$

Remark: For $\alpha < 1$, f is nowhere differentiable.

Example 6.6

Take $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^1)$ with compact support, the class with bounded variation. Then $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \pi V(f) |\xi|^{-1}$.

Lemma 6.7 (Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma)

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or (\mathbb{T}^d) (then $\widehat{f} \in C^0$ bounded), then $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Note that

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x) - f(x + \frac{\pi \xi}{|\xi|^2})) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

Then

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(x) - f(x + \frac{\pi \xi}{|\xi|^2})\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

How fast do they go to zero? Is there a quantitative bound? (Nope) How do we characterize \widehat{L}^1 ? Is $C_{\rightarrow 0}^0 = (L^1)^\wedge$? (Nope).

Proposition 6.8

The map $\wedge : L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_{\rightarrow 0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is not onto. Equivalently, $\vee : C_{\rightarrow 0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \not\rightarrow L^1$.

Proof. $\wedge : L^1 \rightarrow C_{\rightarrow 0}^0$ is linear, bounded, and an injective mapping between Banach spaces. We can apply the Open Mapping Theorem: if the map was onto, there would exist $A < \infty$ such that $\|f\|_{L^1} \leq A \|\widehat{f}\|_{C^0}$.

We claim that $\frac{\|\widehat{f}\|_{C^0}}{\|f\|_{L^1}}$ can be arbitrarily small. Define $f_t(x) = e^{-(1+it)|x|^2/2}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ going to ∞ .

We know that

$$\widehat{f}_t(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2}(1+it)^{-d/2}e^{-(1-it)|\xi|^2/(2(1+t^2))}.$$

Hence,

$$|\widehat{f}_t| = (2\pi)^{d/2}(1+t^2)^{-d/4}e^{-|\xi|^2/(2(1+t^2))} \leq (2\pi)^{d/2}(1+t^2)^{-d/4} \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand $\|f_t\|_{L^1}$ is independent of t . □

Theorem 10

Let $w : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $w(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. There exists $f \in L^1$ with

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \geq w(\xi) \forall \xi.$$

Proof. We have a key lemma: Let $w : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ continuous, even, piecewise, $C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$, convex on $(0, \infty)$ with compact support. Then, $\widehat{w} \in L^1$ and $\widehat{w} \geq 0$, hence, $\|\widehat{w}\|_{L^1} = \int \widehat{w} = (2\pi)^d w(0)$. □

§7 September 17th, 2020

§7.1 Size of Fourier Coefficients, continued

Theorem 11

Let $w : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $w(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. There exists $f \in L^1$ with

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \geq w(\xi) \forall \xi.$$

Proof. We have a key lemma:

Lemma 7.1

Let $w : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ continuous, even, piecewise $C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$, convex on $(0, \infty)$ with compact support and nondecreasing. Then, $\widehat{w} \in L^1$ and $\widehat{w} \geq 0$, hence,

$$\|\widehat{w}\|_{L^1} = \int \widehat{w} = (2\pi)^d w(0).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\widehat{w}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) \cos(x\xi) dx.$$

Furthermore, note that $|x| \cdot |w'(x)|$ is a bounded function (as $x \rightarrow 0$). It follows from Jensen's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{w}(\xi) &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(x) \cos(x\xi) dx \\ &= 2\xi^{-2} \int_0^\infty w''(x)(1 - \cos(x\xi)) dx \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show the equality $\int_0^\infty w(x) \cos(x\xi) dx = \xi^{-2} \int_0^\infty w''(x)(1 - \cos(x\xi)) dx$. We integrate by parts twice:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{w}(\xi) &= 2 \int_0^\infty w'(x) \xi^{-1} \sin(x\xi) dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w''(x) \xi^{-2} (1 - \cos(x\xi)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We might have issues at 0, but we can take a limit for integrating from ϵ to ∞ with boundary terms $w''(\epsilon)(1 - \cos(\epsilon\xi)) \in O(\epsilon^2)$. Hence, $\widehat{w} \geq 0$.

Note that $\widehat{w} \in L^1$ and for $|\xi| \geq 1$,

$$|\widehat{w}(\xi)| \leq 2\xi^{-1} \int_0^\infty |w''(x)| dx \cdot 2$$

. Assume $|w'(0)| < \infty$, where the derivative is the right-hand derivative at 0.

Then

$$\int_0^\infty w''(x) dx = -w'(0)$$

so it follows that $\widehat{w} \in L^1$.

Finally,

$$w(0) = (2\pi)^{-1}(\widehat{w})^\vee(0) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int \widehat{w}(\xi) d\xi = (2\pi)^{-1} \|\widehat{w}\|_{L^1},$$

which gives the desired bound. \square

Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ continuous, with $g(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 7.2

There exists $w : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ so that $w \geq g$ and w is even, convex on $(0, \infty)$, $w(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, and w is piecewise C^2 , where we may have infinity many breaks.

To prove the theorem, it suffices to find a function $f \in L^1$ such that $\widehat{f}(\xi) \geq w(\xi)$ for all ξ .

WLOG, g is even (replace $g(\xi) + g(-\xi)$), nonincreasing (we can replace $\tilde{g}(x) = \sup_{y \geq x} g(y)$ for $x \geq 0$). Note that $\tilde{w}(\xi) = \widehat{w}(-\xi)$ so define $f = \widehat{w}$. $\widehat{f} = (2\pi)w \geq 2\pi g$.

To treat w , we approximate it with functions of compact support. Let $t > 0$ and define $w_t = \max(w - t, 0)$. We conclude that $\widehat{w}_t \in L^1$ and $\|\widehat{w}_t\|_{L^1} = (2\pi)w_t(0)$. As $t \rightarrow 0^+$, $w_t \rightarrow w$ in \mathcal{S}' so $\widehat{w}_t \rightarrow \widehat{w}$ in \mathcal{S}' . We have that \widehat{w} is a complex radon measure.

Fact 7.3. If μ is a complex Radon measure and if $\mu|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus 0}$ is absolutely continuous, then $\mu = c\delta_0 + h$ for $h \in L^1$.

We know that $w(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) = c + \widehat{h}(\xi)$ so $c = 0$ and $\widehat{w} \in L^1$ as desired. \square

§7.2 Comparing Size of Functions to Size of Fourier Coefficients

We have that $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \|f\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\widehat{f}\|_{C^0} \leq \|f\|_{L^1}$.

Theorem 12 (Hausdorff-Young)

Let $p \in [1, 2]$. The $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ implies that $\widehat{f} \in L^q$ for $q = p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$, and

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_q \leq C(p, d) \|f\|_p.$$

For \mathbb{T}^d ,

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{\ell^q} \leq C(p)^d \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Note that for \mathbb{R}^d , $\wedge : L^p \rightarrow L^r$ is bounded.

Proof. We must have that $r = p'$. Fix a function $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{S}$. Define $f_t(x) = f(tx)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

$$\widehat{f}_t(\xi) = t^{-d} \widehat{f}(t^{-1}\xi).$$

Note that

$$\|f_t\|_p^p = \int |f(tx)|^p dx = t^{-d} \int |f(y)|^p dy = t^{-d} \|f\|_p^p.$$

Then $\|\widehat{f}_t\|_r = t^{-d} t^{d/r} \|\widehat{f}\|_r$, so

$$\frac{\|\widehat{f}_t\|_r}{\|f_t\|_p} = t^\gamma \frac{\|\widehat{f}\|_r}{\|f\|_p}$$

where $\gamma = -d + d/r + d/p$. We must have that $\gamma = 0$ for the ratio to be bounded, which gives $1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}$.

For \mathbb{T}^d , we can only take $t \rightarrow +\infty$ so $\gamma \leq 0$, and we can only conclude that $r \geq p'$. But $r \geq p'$ implies that $\ell^{p'} \subset \ell^r$, so $\wedge : L^p \rightarrow \ell^{p'} \subset \ell^r$. \square

Theorem 13 (Riesz-Thoren)

Let $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu)$ be σ -finite measure spaces. Suppose we have exponents $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \in [1, \infty]$. Let $S(X)$ be the set of simple functions from $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Assume $T : S(X) \rightarrow (L^1 + L^\infty)(Y)$ is linear and there exists $A_0, A_1 < \infty$ so that for all $f \in S(X)$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q_j}} \leq A_j \|f\|_{L_j^{p_j}}.$$

§8 September 22nd, 2020

§8.1 Comparing Size of Functions to Size of Fourier Coefficients, continued

Recall

Theorem 14 (Riesz-Thoren)

Let $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu)$ be σ -finite measure spaces. Suppose we have exponents $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \in [1, \infty]$. Let $S(X)$ be the set of simple functions from $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Assume $T : S(X) \rightarrow (L^1 + L^\infty)(Y)$ is linear and there exists $A_0, A_1 < \infty$ so that for all $f \in S(X)$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q_j}} \leq A_j \|f\|_{L_j^{p_j}}.$$

We will prove this later, with an elegant application of complex analysis.

Remark: (\mathbb{R}^d) Is it true that $\widehat{L^p} \subset L^q$ ($2 < p, q = p'$)? No. We sketch the proof. Suppose it was true. For $f \in L^p$ with $\|f\|_p \leq 1$, define $\ell_f \in (L^{q'})^*$ by

$$\ell_f(g) = \int g \widehat{f}.$$

This defines a bounded linear functional as desired. We claim that $\{\ell_f\}$ is pointwise bounded. Then, by the Uniform Boundedness Principle, it follows that ℓ_f are uniformly bounded. We know that

$$\|\ell_f\|_{(L^{q'})^*} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{(q')'}} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^p}$$

by the Reverse Holder's Inequality. This would give the desired inequality.

Finally,

$$\ell_f(g) = \int g \widehat{f} = \int \widehat{g} f,$$

and $\widehat{g} \in L^q$. Then

$$|\ell_f(g)| = \left| \int \widehat{g} f \right| \leq \|\widehat{g}\|_q \|f\|_p \leq \|\widehat{g}\|_{L^q}.$$

§8.2 Rademacher Functions

Theorem 15 (Kahane)

If $a \in \ell^2$, there exists $f \in L^\infty$ such that for all n , $|\widehat{f}(n)| \geq |a_n|$.

We prove a weaker result.

Theorem 16

For \mathbb{T}^d , $d \geq 1$. For any $a \in \ell^2$, there exists $f \in \cap_{p < \infty} L^p$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|\widehat{f}(n)| = |a_n|$$

We will use **Rademacher Functions**: $r_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, with $n \geq 0$. We let $r_0(x) = 1$, for r_n , we split $[0, 1]$ into 2^n intervals and alternate between 1 and -1 . Note that $\|r_n\|_{L^2([0,1])} = 1$. If $n > m$, then

$$\int r_n r_m dx = 0.$$

Lemma 8.1

For $a_j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$,

$$\int_0^1 \prod_{j=1}^N r_{n_j}^{a_j} dx = 0,$$

unless every a_j is even.

We can now form a Rademacher Series:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n r_n(x).$$

If $c \in \ell^2$, then $f \in L^2$ and $\|c\|_{\ell^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$.

Theorem 17 (Khinchine's Inequality)

If $c \in \ell^2$ then $f \in \bigcap_{p < \infty} L^p$. For all $p, q \in (0, \infty)$, there exists $A_{p,q} < \infty$ such that for all c , $\|f\|_{L^q} \leq A_{p,q} \|f\|_{L^p}$.

Proof. WLOG, $p = 2q$.

$$\int |f|^{2q} = \int f^q \overline{f}^q = \int \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_q} \prod_{j=1}^q c_{n_j} r_{n_j} \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_q} \prod_{i=1}^q \overline{c_{m_i}} r_{m_i}.$$

which is

$$\sum \sum \int_0^1 \left(\prod_{j=1}^q r_{n_j} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^q r_{m_i} \right) dx.$$

If the n 's and m 's are pairwise distinct, we bounded it above by $q! \|c\|_{\ell^2}^{2q} \leq C^q q^q \|c\|_{\ell^2}^{2q}$ in general. \square

§9 September 24th, 2020

§9.1 Rademacher Functions, continued

We consider $\Omega = [0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure, a probability space. Then $\{r_n\}$ are independent random variables: for $N, a_j = \pm 1$. Consider $B = \{x \in [0, 1] : r_j(x) = a_j, j \in [1, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}, r_{N+1}(x) = 1\}$. Then,

$$\frac{\mu(B)}{\mu(\{x : r_j(x) = a_j\})} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We were proving the following theorem:

Theorem 18

For \mathbb{T}^d , $d \geq 1$. For any $a \in \ell^2$, there exists $f \in \bigcap_{p < \infty} L^p$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|\widehat{f}(n)| = |a_n|.$$

Proof. We have Khinchine's Inequality: For all $p < \infty$, there exists $C_p < \infty$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n r_n \right\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|c\|_{\ell^2}.$$

We are given $a \in \ell^2$ and we want $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d) : |\widehat{f}(n)| = |a_n|$ for all n .

Define

$$f_\omega(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} r_n(\omega) a_n e^{in \cdot x}, \omega \in [0, 1] = \Omega.$$

We know that $f_\omega \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \|f_\omega\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p d\omega &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_n r_n(\omega) a_n e^{in \cdot x} \right|^p d\omega dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} C_p^p \|a\|_{\ell^2}^p dx \\ &= (2\pi)^d C_p^p \|a\|_{\ell^2}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the average $\int_{\Omega} \|f_\omega\|_{L^p}^p d\omega < \infty$, so for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, $f_\omega \in L^p$.

Hence, for any p ,

$$|\widehat{f_\omega}(n)| = |r_n(\omega) a_n| = |a_n|.$$

Finally, we can take $p = 2, 4, 6, \dots$, so that the set of all bad ω is a countable union of Lebesgue null sets.

For almost every ω , $f_\omega \in \bigcap_{p < \infty} L^p$ and $|\widehat{f_\omega}(n)| = |a_n|$ for all n . \square

§9.2 Convergence of Fourier Series for 1-dimensional Tori

Recall

$$\widehat{f}(n) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-in \cdot x} dx,$$

where we identify $\pi^1 = [-\pi, \pi]$.


The partial sums

$$S_N f(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{f}(n) e^{inx} = f * D_N(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int f(x-y) D_N(y) dy,$$

and recall that

$$D_N(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inx} = \frac{\sin((N+1/2)x)}{\sin(x/2)}$$

if $x \neq 0$, or $2N+1$ if $x = 0$. Note that $D_N(x) \in C^\infty$, so there are no issues with singularities at 0.



Note that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_N(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inx} dx = 1.$$

However, $\|D_N\|_{L^1} = \Omega(\log(N))$, so we don't have an approximate identity sequence.

Proof. Let $M = N + \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^k 2\pi/M}^{2^{(k+1)} 2\pi/M} \frac{|\sin(Mx)|}{|\sin(x/2)|} dx &\geq \int_{2^k 2\pi/M}^{2^{(k+1)} 2\pi/M} \frac{2|\sin(Mx)|}{|x|} dx \\ &\geq 2^{-k} \frac{M}{2\pi} \int_{2^k 2\pi/M}^{2^{(k+1)} 2\pi/M} |\sin(Mx)| dx \\ &= 2^{-k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{2^k 2\pi}^{2^{(k+1)} 2\pi} |\sin(y)| dy \\ &= C_0 2^{-k} 2^k = C_0. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|D_n\| = \Omega(\log N)$. □

Theorem 19

There exists a function $f \in C^0(\mathbb{T}^1)$ such that $S_N f(0) \not\rightarrow f(0)$ (and $\{S_N f(0)\}$ unbounded).

Proof. Suppose for all $f \in C^0$, $\{S_N f(0)\}$ is bounded.

$$\ell_N(g) = S_N g(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(-y) D_N(y) dy,$$

so $\ell_N \in (C_0(\mathbb{T}^1))^*$. By the Uniform Boundedness Principle, ℓ_N is uniformly bounded:

$$\|\ell_N\|_{(C^0)^*} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|D_N\|_1 < \infty.$$

□

Theorem 20

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$. If

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x) - a| |x - x_0|^{-1} dx < \infty,$$

then $S_N f(x_0) \rightarrow a$.

Proof. Let $g(x) = f(x - x_0)$ and reduce to the case where $x_0 = 0$. Similarly, $g(x) = f(x) - a$ reduces to the case where $a = 0$.

So $\int |f(x)| |x|^{-1} < \infty$, and we want $S_N f(0)$.

$$2\pi S_N f(0) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f(x)}{\sin(x/2)} \sin((N + 1/2)x) dx.$$

So we have

$$I = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x) e^{iNx} dx \rightarrow 0,$$

by the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma. □

Corollary 9.1

If $\alpha > 0$, then $S_N f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all x for all $f \in \Lambda_\alpha$.

Theorem 21

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There exists $C_\alpha < \infty$ so that for every $f \in \Lambda_\alpha(\mathbb{T})$, and for all N ,

$$\|S_N f - f\|_{C^0} \leq C_\alpha N^{-\alpha} \log(N + 2) \|f\|_{\Lambda_\alpha}$$

Proof. We can reduce to $S_N f(0) - f(0)$, $f(0) = 0$.

$\|f\|_{\Lambda_\alpha}$ has norms:

$$\sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}.$$

$$2\pi S_N f(0) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \frac{\sin(Mx)}{\sin(x/2)}, M = N + 1/2$$

Then

$$\left| \int_{|x| \leq \delta} f(x) D_N(x) \right| \leq \int_{|x| \leq \delta} |x|^\alpha \|f\|_{\Lambda_\alpha} \frac{2}{|x|} dx = C_\alpha \|f\|_{\Lambda_\alpha} \delta^\alpha,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \frac{f(x)}{\sin(x/2)} e^{iMx} dx &= \int_{\delta}^{\pi} g(x) e^{iMx} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} g(x) e^{iMx} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\delta+\pi/M}^{\pi+\pi/M} g\left(x - \frac{\pi}{M}\right) e^{iMx} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} [g(x) - g(x - \pi/M)] e^{iMx} dx \pm \frac{1}{2} \int_{\delta}^{\delta+\pi/M} g(x - \pi/M) e^{iMx} dx \\
 &\quad \pm \frac{1}{2} \int_{\pi}^{\pi+\pi/M} g(x - \pi/M) e^{iMx}
 \end{aligned}$$

□