

Math 205: Complex Variables

Professor: Dan-Virgil Voiculescu, Spring 2021

Scribe: Vishal Raman

Contents

1	January 20th, 2021	4
1.1	Intro to Riemann Mapping Theorem	4
1.2	Cauchy's Integral Formula	4
1.3	Schwarz Lemma	4
1.4	Maximum Principles	5
2	January 25th, 2021	6
2.1	Uniform Convergence	6
3	January 27th, 2021	8
3.1	Uniform Convergence, continued	8
3.2	Metric Convergence	8
3.3	Riemann Sphere	8
4	February 1st, 2021	9
4.1	Connectivity	9
4.2	Fractional Linear Transformations	9
4.3	Fractional Linear Transformations, Unit Disk	10
5	February 3rd, 2021	11
5.1	Remark on the Zeta Function	11
5.2	Fractional Linear Transformations, continued	11
5.3	Automorphisms of the Half Plane	11
5.4	The Cross Ratio	12
6	February 8th, 2021	13
6.1	Mappings of Circles and Lines	13
6.2	Revisiting the Schwarz Lemma	13
6.3	Functions on Simply Connected Regions	14
6.4	Injective Functions	14
7	February 10th, 2021	15
7.1	Riemann Mapping Theorem	15
8	February 17th, 2021	17
8.1	Caratheodory Extension Theorem	17
8.2	Rectifiable Arcs	17
9	February 22nd, 2021	19
9.1	Schwarz Reflection and Variants	19
9.2	Schwarz-Christoffel Formula	20
10	February 24th, 2021	21
10.1	Schwarz-Christoffel Formula, continued	21
10.2	Schwarz-Christoffel Formula on the Upper Half-Plane	21
10.3	Triangle Functions	22
11	March 1st, 2021	23
11.1	Schwarz Triangle Functions	23

11.2 Conformal Mappings of Rectangles	23
12 March 3rd, 2021	24
12.1 Elliptic Integrals and Functions	24
12.2 Tiling the Unit Disk	24
12.3 Schwarz-Christoffel Revisted	24
13 March 8th, 2021	26
13.1 Schwarzian Derivative	26
13.2 Curvilinear Polygons	26

§1 January 20th, 2021

§1.1 Intro to Riemann Mapping Theorem

Our first goal is to prove a fundamental theorem of Riemann on conformal mappings. We start with several preparations, including some detours. The theorem essentially says that lots of open sets in \mathbb{C} are holomorphically isomorphic, given that they satisfy some simple topological conditions.

§1.2 Cauchy's Integral Formula

Recall Cauchy's formula:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

where Γ is a simple closed curve, piecewise differentiable, $z_0 \in \text{Int}(\Gamma)$, and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function, with Ω open, $\Omega \supset \Gamma \cup \text{Int}(\Gamma)$.

If Γ is the circle $|z - z_0| = R$, we parameterize with $z = Re^{i\theta} + z_0$ with $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. This gives

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + Re^{i\theta}) d\theta,$$

which represents the average of f on the circle.

It follows that

$$|f(z_0)| \leq \max_{\partial B_R(z_0)} |f(z)|,$$

with equality if and only if f is constant.

If $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic for Ω connected, open and $z_0 \in \Omega$, then

$$|f(z_0)| \leq \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)|$$

with equality if and only if f is constant.

§1.3 Schwarz Lemma

Theorem 1 (Schwarz Lemma)

For $f : B_1(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic with $|f(z)| \leq 1$ for all z and $f(0) = 0$. Then

$$|f(z)| \leq |z|, |f'(0)| \leq 1.$$

If for some $z_0 \neq 0$, $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ or if $|f'(0)| = 1$ then $f(z) = cz$ for some $|c| = 1$.

Proof. Define a function

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} f(z)/z, & \text{if } 0 < |z| \leq 1 \\ f'(0), & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Note that $g(z)$ is continuous since at zero,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z)}{z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z - 0} = f'(0).$$

Hence, $|g(z)| \leq C < \infty$ using the Weierstrass Extreme Value theorem. If $0 < \epsilon < |w| < r < 1$, note that taking a Keyhole Contour, we have

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{|z|=r} - \int_{|z|=\epsilon} \right) \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz.$$

Note that

$$\left| \int_{|z|=\epsilon} \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz \right| \leq (2\pi\epsilon) \cdot C \frac{1}{|w|-\epsilon} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

It follows that

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz$$

for $0 < |w| < r$. The right side is holomorphic in w if $|w| < r$, so it follows that

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz$$

is holomorphic in $|z| < 1$.

This can also be proved by taking a Taylor series about the origin. Since there is no constant term, we can divide by z to still have a convergent Taylor series.

If $r < 1$,

$$\sup_{|z| \leq r} |g(z)| = \sup_{|z|=r} |g(z)| \leq \sup_{|z|=r} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z|} \leq \frac{1}{r}.$$

If we let $r \uparrow 1$, then we get $\sup_{|z| < 1} |g(z)| \leq 1$. It follows that $|f(z)| \leq |z|$, $|f'(0)| \leq 1$.

If $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ for some $0 < |z_0| < 1$ then $|g(z_0)| = 1$ and g is constant by the maximum principle so $g(z) = c$, $f(z) = cz$. If $|f'(0)| = 1$, then $|g(0)| = 1$ so g is constant and $f = cz$. \square

§1.4 Maximum Principles

In the above proof, we used the maximum principle. Some other versions we will use are the following:

If $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ compact and $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous, and the restriction of f to the interior of K is holomorphic, then

$$\sup_{z \in K} |f(z)| = \sup_{z \in \partial K} |f(z)|.$$

If Ω is open and connected, $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $z_0 \in \Omega$, and $|f(z_0)| = \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)|$, then f is constant. Applying this to e^f and using that $|e^f| = e^{\operatorname{Re} f}$, we find that

$$\operatorname{Re} f(z_0) = \sup_{z \in \Omega} \operatorname{Re} f(z),$$

implies that f is constant. We have the same result for $\operatorname{Im} f$ by replacing f with $-if$.

§2 January 25th, 2021

§2.1 Uniform Convergence

Remark 2.1. They sometimes call open connected sets "regions".

Definition 2.2 (Uniform Convergence). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. Let $f_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a function so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in K} |f(z) - f_n(z)| = 0$ for all $K \subset \Omega$ compact (also denoted $K \subset\subset \Omega$).

Remark 2.3. Recall from real analysis that f is a continuous function.

Some further remarks:

- It suffices to check the result for a sequence of compact subsets K_m so that $\bigcup_m K_m^\circ = \Omega$, then it suffices to check those. If $K \subset\subset \Omega$, then K is compact and covered by the union of the subsets so there exists a finite subcovering, and uniform convergence on the subcovering implies uniform convergence on K .
- It is often convenient to introduce $\|g\|_K = \sup_{z \in K} |g(z)|$. Uniform convergence can be restated as $\|f_n - f\|_K \rightarrow 0$ for all $K \subset\subset \Omega$.
- If $\|f_n - f\|_K \rightarrow 0$ for all $K \subset\subset \Omega$, then f is also holomorphic. It follows by passing to the limit in the Cauchy Integral formula. Namely, take $\{z : |z - z_0| \leq R\} \subset \Omega$ and consider the points in $|z_0 - \zeta| < R$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f_n(\zeta) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f(z)}{z-\zeta} dz \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f_n(z)}{z-\zeta} dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f(z)}{z-\zeta} dz \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{R - |z_0 - \zeta|} \cdot (2\pi R) \|f_n - f\|_{|z-z_0|=R} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So it follows that

$$f(\zeta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|} \frac{f(z)}{z-\zeta} dz.$$

It follows that f continuous on $|z - z_0| = R$ is holomorphic in $\zeta \in \{|z - z_0| < R\}$, so it follows that f is holomorphic.

- We can similarly show that

$$f_n^{(j)}(\zeta) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f_n(z)}{(z-\zeta)^{n+1}} dz$$

$$\text{and } \|f_n^{(j)} - f^{(j)}\|_K \rightarrow 0.$$

From the last item, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2

If $f_n \rightarrow f$ on compact subsets of Ω , then if f_n is holomorphic we find that f is holomorphic and $f_n^{(j)} \rightarrow f^{(j)}$ uniformly on compact subsets of Ω .

Theorem 3 (Hurwitz)

Let Ω be a region, $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $f_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic with $f_n(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|f_n - f\|_K \rightarrow 0$ for all compact subsets. Then either $f \equiv 0$ or $f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. If f is not identically zero on ω , then since f is holomorphic, its zeros are isolated. If $z_0 \in \Omega$, $f(z_0) = 0$, then there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that when $0 < |z - z_0| < \epsilon$, $f(z) \neq 0$.

Since $f(z) \neq 0$ for $|z - z_0| = \epsilon/2$, by the Weierstrass theorem applied to $|f|$ on $|z - z_0| = \epsilon$, we have $|f(z)| \geq m > 0$ on $\{|z - z_0| = \epsilon/2\} = \Gamma$. If $\|f_n - f\|_\Gamma \leq m/2$ for $n \geq N$, then

$$|f_n(z)| \geq |f(z)| - m/2 \geq m - m/2 = m/2$$

for $z \in \Gamma$. Hence, it follows that $\|1/f_n - 1/f\|_\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ (we leave this as an exercise).

Since $\|f'_n - f'\|_\Gamma \rightarrow 0$, we find that $\|f'_n/f_n - f'/f\| \rightarrow 0$ (another exercise) and hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f'_n}{f_n} dz \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f'}{f} dz.$$

The integrand of the left hand side is $(\log f_n)'$, whose integral is 0, and the right side is the order of the zero of f at z_0 by the argument principle. It follows that the order of z_0 as a possible zero is 0, so $f(z_0) \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 4

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, \mathcal{F} a set of holomorphic functions, the following are equivalent:

- for every $K \subset\subset \Omega$ $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_K < \infty$
- for every sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$, there is a subsequence $(f_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ so that $(f_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of Ω .

Proof. We first show 2 implies 1. If $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_K = \infty$, then we can find for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $\|f_n\|_K \geq n$. If we abstract a convergence subsequence, then $\|f_{n_j} - f\|_K \leq C < \infty$ and $\|f_{n_j}\|_K \leq \|f\|_K + C$, while $\|f_{n_j}\|_K \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. \square

§3 January 27th, 2021

§3.1 Uniform Convergence, continued

Theorem 5

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, \mathcal{F} a set of holomorphic functions, the following are equivalent:

- for every $K \subset\subset \Omega$ $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_K < \infty$
- for every sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$, there is a subsequence $(f_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ so that $(f_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of Ω .

I missed the beginning of the class, but I will add the proof of the theorem once notes are posted.

§3.2 Metric Convergence

One can put a metric on holomorphic functions so that convergence in the metric is uniform convergence on compact sets. For $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, but $K_n \Subset \Omega$ so that $\bigcup_n K_n^\circ = \Omega$ and take

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|f - g\|_{K_n}}{1 + \|f - g\|_{K_n}} 2^{-n}.$$

§3.3 Riemann Sphere

On the set $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, we consider the topology which makes it the Alexandroff(one-point) compactification of \mathbb{C} . If $z \in \mathbb{C}$, a neighborhood is one that contains a neighborhood in \mathbb{C} and a neighborhood of ∞ is of the form $\{\infty\} \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus K)$ for $K \Subset \mathbb{C}$.

Let $U_+ = \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $U_- = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \cup \{\infty\}$. Note that the union of the two sets covers the Riemann Sphere. Define $\psi_+ : U_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\psi_+(z) = z$ and $\psi_- : U_- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\psi_-(w) = 1/w$ if $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\infty\}$ and 0 if $w = \infty$. Notice that these two functions are bijections.

If $V \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is open, a function $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if

$$f|_{V \cup U_{\pm}} \circ (\psi_{\pm}|_{V \cup U_{\pm}})^{-1} : \psi_{\pm}(V \cup U_{\pm}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is holomorphic. In this way, we know what holomorphic functions are on open sets of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

More generally, we can describe a Riemann surface in the following way - Let X be a topological space. Take $\{(U_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in I}$ where $U_{\alpha} \subset X$ is open, and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha} = X$ and $z_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, $z_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha})$ is open and z_{α} is a homeomorphism. The key requirement is that the maps $z_{\alpha} \circ z_{\beta}^{-1} : z_{\beta}(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) \rightarrow z_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta})$ are holomorphic.

Then, if $U \subset X$ is open, $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if for all $\alpha \in I$,

$$f|_{U \cap U_{\alpha}} \circ (z_{\alpha}|_{U \cap U_{\alpha}})^{-1}$$

is holomorphic. Two such atlases give the same Riemann surface if put together, we get an atlas.

§4 February 1st, 2021

§4.1 Connectivity

Definition 4.1. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open is connected if $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ open with $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$ implies that one of the two is empty. For open sets, this is equivalent to arcwise connected.

Definition 4.2. A set is arcwise connected if for every $z_1, z_2 \in \Omega$, there is a path $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ which is continuous and $\varphi(0) = z_1, \varphi(1) = z_2$.

Definition 4.3. Ω is simply connected if for $z_0 \in \Omega$, $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ continuous and $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma(1) = z_0$, then there is $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ continuous with $G(t, 0) = \Gamma(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $G(t, 1) = z_0$, for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Simply connected corresponds to the idea of being able to continuously deform the set to a point for each point.

In $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$, Ω -open simply connected is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \Omega$ is connected in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. That is, if $F = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \Omega$, which is closed in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, with $F \cap V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$, then at least one of the $F \cap V_k = \emptyset$. If $0 \in \Omega$, then Ω is simply connected if and only if $\{0\} \cup \{1/z : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega\}$ is connected (this is a local representation).

- Take $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{tz_j : t \in [1, \infty)\}$ for $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.
- $\mathbb{C} \setminus$ spirals.

Theorem 6 (Riemann Mapping Theorem)

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, connected, simply connected, $\emptyset \neq \Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$, then Ω and $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$ are holomorphic isomorphisms.

§4.2 Fractional Linear Transformations

Recall that if $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ then $f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, which was proved using the Schwarz lemma. We view the fractional linear maps from a different context.

We define a map $p : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by

$$p\left(\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{cases} z_1/z_2 & \text{if } z_2 \neq 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } z_2 = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then $p(\xi) = p(\eta)$ if and only if $\xi = \lambda\eta$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

There is a larger group acting on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ given by $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ the invertible 2×2 matrices in the natural way so that

$$A\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{A_{11}p(\xi) + A_{12}}{A_{21}p(\xi) + A_{22}}.$$

Define $T_g : \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by

$$T_g z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

with $T_g(\infty) = \frac{a}{c}$. We have the action $T_g p(\xi) = p(g\xi)$ for $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g_1} \circ T_{g_2} &= T_{g_1 g_2}, \\ (T_g)^{-1} &= T_{g^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We can also ask about the fixed point:

$$T_g p(\xi) = p(\xi) \leftrightarrow p(\xi) = p(g\xi) \Leftrightarrow g\xi = \lambda\xi, \lambda \in C^\times$$

It follows that the fixed points of T_g correspond to the eigenvectors of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

§4.3 Fractional Linear Transformations, Unit Disk

If we have $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}$, then $p(\xi) \in \mathbb{D}$ if and only if $|z_1| < |z_2|$ if and only if $z_1 \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2 < 0$.
If we let

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we consider the sesquilinear form $\langle J \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$, where it is linear in the first coordinate and conjugate linear in the second coordinate. Note that

$$\left\langle J \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \xi_2 \bar{\eta}_2.$$

When does $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ preserve $\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle$?

This means that

$$\langle Jg\xi, g\xi \rangle = \langle J\xi, \xi \rangle$$

for all $\xi \in C^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Then,

$$\langle g^* Jg\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle J\xi, \xi \rangle$$

so it follows that $g^* Jg = J$. (We prove this by transforming ξ in polar coordinates, $\xi = x + i^k y$, and considering $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. These four equations allow us to determine the equality). Note that $U(1, 1) = \{g : g^* Jg = J\}$ forms a group structure where J has eigenvalues ± 1 for this reason, we denote $U(1, 1) \subset GL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

We claim the following: $T_g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \Leftrightarrow g \in C^\times \cdot U(1, 1)$.

§5 February 3rd, 2021

§5.1 Remark on the Zeta Function

Theorem 5.1 (S.M. Voronin 1975)

For $D = \{\frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$, $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If $K \subset\subset D$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|f(\cdot) - \zeta(\cdot + it)\|_K < \epsilon.$$

This theorem essentially says that if I slide around the zeta function in the strip D , I can uniformly approximate pretty much any function I want.

§5.2 Fractional Linear Transformations, continued

Note that $\operatorname{Ker}(g \mapsto T_g) = \mathbb{C}^\times I_2$. We define $SL(2; \mathbb{C}) = \{g \in GL(2; \mathbb{C}) : \det g = 1\}$, the special linear group.

Theorem 5.2

For $g \in SL(2; \mathbb{C})$, $T_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $g \in U(1, 1)$.

Proof. We start with the forward direction. From the first homework, we showed that $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ implies that $f(z) = T_g z$ where g is the composition of a rotation g_1 and $g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_0 \\ \bar{z}_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$. It suffices to check that $g_1, g_2 \in U(1, 1) \times \mathbb{C}^\times I_2$. This is easy to check.

Now, we show the converse. If $g \in U(1, 1)$, then $g^{-1} \in U(1, 1)$. If $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $z = p(\xi)$, $\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle < 0$. We have $T_g z = p(g\xi)$ and $\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle < 0$ implies that $\langle g^* J g \xi, \xi \rangle < 0$, which implies that $\langle J g \xi, g \xi \rangle < 0$, which shows that $T_g z = p(g\xi) \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence $T_g \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{D}$. The same argument holds for $T_g^{-1} \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{D}$ so we have $T_g \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}$ exactly, so $T_g = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. \square

§5.3 Automorphisms of the Half Plane

There is a conformal map from $\mathbb{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ given by $f : z \mapsto \frac{z-i}{z+i}$. This corresponds to

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$f^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_+) = \{(T_f)^{-1} T_g T_f | T_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})\} = \{T_{f^{-1} g f} | g \in SU(1, 1)\}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_+) = \{T_h | f h f^{-1} \in SU(1, 1)\}$ (assuming $h \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $f h f^{-1} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$). It follows that $(f h f^{-1})^* J (f h f^{-1}) = J$, so $h^*(f^* J f) h = f^* J f$. We can compute

$$f^* J f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2i \\ 2i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$h^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we let $h = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h = I_2.$$

If we check the computation, we find that $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, so it follows that $h \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

§5.4 The Cross Ratio

Note that T_g is completely determined by $T_g 0, T_g 1, T_g \infty$. Suppose $T_g 0 = T_h 0, T_g 1 = T_h 1, T_g \infty = T_h \infty$. If we let $r = g^{-1}h$, we have $T_r 0 = 0, T_r 1 = 1, T_r \infty = \infty$, so it follows that $r \in C^\times I_2$ (carry out the matrix multiplication for an arbitrary matrix).

if we look at g^{-1} instead of g , we find that T_g is completely determined by $a, b, c \in C \cup \infty$ so that $Ta = 1, Tb = 0, Tc = \infty$. Given, a, b, c , such a T_g is the map

$$z \mapsto \frac{z - b}{z - c} : \frac{a - b}{a - c}.$$

We denote the RHS by (z, a, b, c) , which is a fractional linear map taking a, b, c to $1, 0, \infty$. This is called the cross ratio of z, a, b, c .

Theorem 5.3

If T_g is a fractional linear transformation and z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 are distinct points in $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, then

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (T_g z_1, T_g z_2, T_g z_3, T_g z_4).$$

Remark 5.4. The above theorem shows that cross ratios are invariant under fractional linear transformations.

§6 February 8th, 2021

§6.1 Mappings of Circles and Lines

Lemma 6.1

For $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\{w \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} : T_g w \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}\}$ is a circle or a straight line with a point at infinity.

Proof.

$$\frac{aw + b}{cw + d} = \frac{\overline{aw + b}}{\overline{cw + d}},$$

Then $(a\bar{c} - c\bar{a})|w|^2 + (a\bar{d} - c\bar{b})w + (b\bar{c} - d\bar{a})\bar{w} + b\bar{d} - d\bar{b} = 0$. If $a\bar{c} - c\bar{a} = 0$, then we have a straight line. If $a\bar{c} - c\bar{a} \neq 0$, we have

$$\left| w + \frac{\bar{a}d - \bar{c}b}{\bar{a}c - \bar{c}a} \right| = \left| \frac{ad - bc}{\bar{a}c - \bar{c}a} \right|,$$

a circle. □

§6.2 Revisiting the Schwarz Lemma

Recall we have $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, with $f(0) = 0$. We will use the fractional linear transformations so that $0 \in \mathbb{D}$ no longer has a special role.

Given $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ holomorphic with $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$. Take an automorphism mapping $0 \rightarrow z_0$ given by $\frac{\cdot + z_0}{1 + \bar{z}_0(\cdot)}$. Then, applying f and applying $(\frac{\cdot + f(z_0)}{1 + \bar{f}(z_0)(\cdot)})^{-1}$, which sends $f(z_0) \rightarrow 0$. These are all holomorphic, so it follows that the composition is a holomorphism from $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ mapping $0 \rightarrow 0$. Now, we can apply the Schwarz Lemma as usual: For the derivatives, we use the chain rule:

$$\left(\frac{\cdot + z_0}{1 + \bar{z}_0(\cdot)} \right)' \Big|_{z=0} = 1 - |a|^2.$$

Composing the derivatives along the composition, we find the derivative evaluated at 0 which we require to be ≤ 1 .

It follows that

$$\frac{|f'(z_0)|}{1 - |f(z_0)|^2} \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z_0|^2}.$$

Moreover, by the Schwarz Lemma, we have equality if and only if $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. if we put $w = f(z)$, then $dw = f'dz$ and the inequality is

$$\frac{|dw|}{1 - |w|^2} \leq \frac{dz}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

This can be interpreted as having on \mathbb{D} the Riemannian metric

$$\frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{(1 - (x^2 + y^2))^2}$$

and $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, contracting the metric.

§6.3 Functions on Simply Connected Regions

Recall the following properties of holomorphic functions in simply connected regions:

- For $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, then there is $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic so that $F' = f$.
- $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then there exists $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic so that $e^g = f$.
- $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ holomorphic, then there exists $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that $h^n = f$.
- $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic and non-constant, Ω a region, then $f(V)$ is open if $V \subset \Omega$, V is open.

§6.4 Injective Functions

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow G$ be a holomorphic function with Ω open and connected. If f is injective, then $f'(z) \neq 0$. If so, then $f(z) - f(z_0) = u(z)^n$ if $0 = f'(z_0) = \dots, f^{(n-1)}(z_0)$ and $f^{(n)}(z_0) \neq 0$, with $u(z_0) = 0$. Then $u(\{|z - z_0| < \epsilon\})$ is open for some $\epsilon > 0$ so it contains $\{|\zeta| < \delta\}$ for some $\delta > 0$. It follows that $U(z_k) = \frac{\delta}{z} e^{2\pi i k/n}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $f(z_1) = \dots = f(z_n)$. We could also use the argument principle to show that $f'(z) \neq 0$.

Then, $f(\Omega)$ is open and f has local inverses: for each $z \in \Omega$, there is a neighborhood V_z , where f is a holomorphic isomorphism in the region. It follows that $f : \Omega \rightarrow G$ is holomorphic, injective, then $f|f(\Omega) : \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega)$ is a holomorphic isomorphism.

If Ω is an open region so that $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a holomorphic isomorphism, then if fix $z_0 \in \Omega$, we have $g \in \text{Iso}(\Omega, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow (g(z_0), \frac{g'(z_0)}{|g'(z_0)|}) \in \mathbb{D} \times \{|z| = 1\}$ is a bijection.

§7 February 10th, 2021

Lemma 7.1

If Ω is an open region so that $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a holomorphic isomorphism, then if fix $z_0 \in \Omega$, we have $g \in \text{Iso}(\Omega, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow (g(z_0), \frac{g'(z_0)}{|g'(z_0)|}) \in \mathbb{D} \times \{|z| = 1\}$ is a bijection.

Proof. We provide a sketch of the proof. Replace f with

$$\left(\frac{\cdot - f(z_0)}{1 - \overline{f(z_0)} \cdot} \right) \circ f$$

so that $f(z_0) = 0$. Then, $\text{Iso}(\Omega, \mathbb{D}) \ni g \rightarrow g \circ f^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ is a bijection and

$$\left(g(z_0), \frac{g'(z_0)}{|g'(z_0)|} \right) = \left((g \circ f^{-1})(0), \frac{(g \circ f^{-1})'(0)}{|(g \circ f^{-1})'(0)|} \frac{f'(z_0)}{|f'(z_0)|} \right)$$

so the proof reduces to the case where $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$ and $z_0 = 0$. It is easy to show that the map is onto and 1-1. \square

§7.1 Riemann Mapping Theorem

Theorem 7 (Riemann Mapping Theorem)

Suppose Ω is simply connected and $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$. Then, there exists $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ a holomorphic isomorphism.

Remark 7.2. There is no holomorphic isomorphism from $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ because of Liouville's Theorem.

Proof. (Kobe) Let $z_0 \in \Omega$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D} : f \text{ injective}, f(z_0) = 0, f'(z_0) > 0\}$. The steps are as follows:

- $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$, there is a point $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$. If Ω is simply connected, there exists $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic with $h^2(z) = z - a$. Then $h(\Omega)$ is open and there exists r such that $B_r(h(z_0)) \subset h(\Omega)$. Then $h^2(\cdot) = \cdot - a$ is injective, so h is injective. Then $-B(h(z_0), r) \cap h(\Omega) = \emptyset$. Otherwise, there are z_1, z_2 with $h(z_1) = -h(z_2) \neq 0$. Then, we have $z_1 \neq z_2$ and $h(z_1) = -h(z_2)$ which implies that $h^2(z_1) = h^2(z_2)$.

Hence, $|h(z) - h(z_0)| \geq r$ for all $z \in \Omega$. It we take $p = r/2 > 0$, then we have $|h(z) + h(z_0)| \geq p$. Then, we find $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ so that

$$c \frac{h(z) - h(z_0)}{h(z) + h(z_0)} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Rotating by a sufficient $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$z \mapsto ce^{i\theta} \frac{h(z) - h(z_0)}{h(z) + h(z_0)} \in \mathcal{F}$$

\square

- Show there is f which maximizes $f'(z_0)$ in \mathcal{F} .

Proof. Let $g_n \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g'_n(z_0) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f'(z_0)$. Since $\|g_n\|_\Omega \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can pass to a subsequence so that $g_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly on compact subsets of Ω for some holomorphic $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $g'_n \rightarrow g'$ uniformly on compact sets in Ω . Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g'_n(z_0) = g'(z_0)$ and $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f'(z_0) = g'(z_0) < \infty$ and $g'(z_0) > 0$.

We still need to show g is injective. Let $z_1 \neq z_2$, $z_1, z_2 \in \Omega$, $g(z_1) = g(z_2)$. Then in $\Omega \setminus \{z_1\}$, $g_n(\cdot) - g_n(z_1) \neq 0$ for all points in $\Omega \setminus \{z_1\}$. By the Hurwitz theorem, $g(\cdot) - g(z_1)$ is either 0 or never vanishes. But $g(\cdot)$ is not a constant function since $g'(z_0) > 0$, so we have $g(\cdot) - g(z_1)$ never vanishes on $\Omega \setminus \{z_1\}$, so $g(z_2) \neq g(z_1)$, a contradiction.

Moreover, $\|g\|_\Omega \leq 1$ gives that $g(\Omega) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, but by the maximum principle, we have $g(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{D}$.

□

- If $f'(z_0)$ maximal, then f is an isomorphism.

Proof. It suffices to show that $g(\Omega) = \mathbb{D}$. Suppose there is $w_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus g(\Omega)$. We perform several modifications of g .

First, let $F(z) = \sqrt{\frac{g(z) - w_0}{1 - \overline{w_0}g(z)}}$. This is well-defined since Ω is simply connected. Note that $F(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and F is injective with $0 \notin F(\Omega)$.

Second, we make z_0 go to 0. Define $G(z) = \frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{1 - \overline{F(z_0)}F(z)}$. Then, G is injective from $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $G(z_0) = 0$.

We now show that $G'(z_0) > g'(z_0)$, a contradiction. We will show that $g = k \circ G$, where $k : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, holomorphic. The inverse of G is a fractional linear transformation given by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & F(z_0) \\ \overline{F(z_0)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

From F to g , we take the $T_w \circ (z \mapsto z^2)$, where w is the corresponding matrix from the initial FLT. So we have $k = T_w \circ (z \mapsto z^2) \circ T_h$. Note that $k(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $k(0) = \frac{F(z_0)^2 + w_0}{1 + \overline{w_0}F(z_0)^2}$, so since we have $F(z_0)^2 = -w_0$, we get $k(0) = 0$.

Since $k \notin \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, so we must have $|k'(0)| < 1$ by the Schwarz Lemma. It follows that

$$|G'(z_0)| > |k'(0)||G'(z_0)| = |(k \circ G)'(z_0)| = |g'(z_0)|,$$

a contradiction.

□

□

§8 February 17th, 2021

§8.1 Caratheodory Extension Theorem

Definition 8.1. A Jordan curve is given by a map $[0, 1] \ni t \rightarrow C(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ which is continuous, 1-1 on $[0, 1]$ and $C(0) = C(1)$.

Theorem 8 (Jordan Curve Theorem)

If $C : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Jordan curve, then $\mathbb{C} \setminus C([0, 1])$ has 2 connected components, one of which is bounded and the other is unbounded.

We refer to the bounded component as the interior region, or the Jordan region.

We denote $C([0, 1])$ as $|C|$ when $C : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 9 (Caratheodory)

Let Γ be a Jordan curve and Ω the bounded region determined by Γ (then $\partial\Omega = |\Gamma|$). if $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ is a holomorphic isomorphism, then f extends to a homeomorphism $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}$ where $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is mapped to $\partial\Omega = |\Gamma|$.

Some remarks:

- Note that the winding of the boundary around interior points is preserved so correspondence $\partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ preserves clockwise orientation (see Ahlfors for more detail).
- It is easy to derive a more general statement for Ω_1, Ω_2 of Jordan curves Γ_1, Γ_2 . So we have homeomorphisms giving $\Omega_1 \cup |\Gamma_1| = \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $\Omega_2 \cup |\Gamma_2| = \overline{\Omega_2}$.
- It also tells us things about regions with slits. For instance, take $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \setminus [0, 1]$. By the Riemann Mapping Theorem, we have a holomorphic isomorphism between this set and the unit disk. The boundary behaves as if $[0, 1]$ would infinitesimally be a double line, but we can still factor a map $g : \mathbb{D} \cap \{Im(z) > 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \setminus [0, 1]$. Then the map $z \mapsto z^2$ sends this set to $\mathbb{D} \setminus [0, 1]$. Then, the homeomorphism $\partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \partial(\mathbb{D} \cap \{Im(z) > 0\})$ is given by Caratheodory.

§8.2 Rectifiable Arcs

Definition 8.2. An arc $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a 1-1, continuous map is rectifiable if it has "length" (bounded variation) that is finite:

$$\sup_{a=t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k=b} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\varphi(t_{j+1}) - \varphi(t_j)| < \infty.$$

If this definition is bothersome, we can make stronger assumptions about the arc being piecewise differentiable.

First, we present an analytic continuation theorem. Here the rectifiable arc will be without endpoints $\varphi : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 10

If Ω, ω are disjoint regions and Γ a rectifiable arc, so that $|\Gamma| = \partial\Omega \cap \partial\omega$ and $|\Gamma| \cap \Omega \cap \omega$ is open. Assume $f : |\Gamma| \cup \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $g : |\Gamma| \cup \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous and $f|_{\Omega}$, $g|_{\omega}$ holomorphic and $f|_{|\Gamma|} = g|_{|\Gamma|}$. Then $F : \Omega \cup |\Gamma| \cup \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $F|_{\Omega \cup |\Gamma|} = f$, $F|_{|\Gamma| \cup \omega} = g$ is holomorphic.

Proof. We sketch the proof. Analyticity is a local property, so we only need to show that for a point on $|\Gamma|$, there is a neighborhood where F is holomorphic. While F had no endpoints, we take γ , a small portion of the arc. Then, for an open ball containing the arc, we split into regions C_1, C_2 . On this, we define

$$f^*(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad z \in \Omega_1 \cup \omega_1,$$

going counterclockwise. Similarly, we define $g^*(z)$ over the lower part. Intersection over γ is a Stieltjes integral.

When we add the two, we get $F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{F(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$. This shows that F is holomorphic. \square

§9 February 22nd, 2021

§9.1 Schwarz Reflection and Variants

Let $\Omega = \Omega^* = \{\bar{z} | z \in \Omega\}$ an open region. Suppose that $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R} \subset (a, b)$. Then, $\Omega_{\pm} = \omega \cap \{\pm \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}$. If $f : \Omega_+ \cup (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous and $f|_{(a,b)} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $f|_{\Omega_+}$ holomorphic, then

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} f(z), & z \in \Omega_+ \cup (a, b) \\ \overline{f(\bar{z})}, & z \in \Omega_- \end{cases}$$

is holomorphic in $\Omega_+ \cup (a, b) \cup \Omega_-$.

Proof. Use the previous result with $\Omega = \Omega_+$, $\omega = \Omega_-$, $|\Gamma| = (a, b)$ with $f = f$, $\overline{f(\bar{\cdot})} = g(\cdot)$. \square

Variants:

- Suppose we set $\Omega_+ \subset \mathbb{D}$, γ , an arc in $\{|z| = 1\} \cap \partial\Omega_+$. We have $|\gamma| \cup \Omega_+$ open, and $f : |\gamma| \cup \Omega_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous, $f|_{\Omega_+}$ holomorphic and $f|_{|\gamma|} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

We set

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} f(z), & z \in \Omega_+ \cup |\gamma| \\ \overline{f(1/\bar{z})}, & z \in \{1/\bar{w} : w \in \Omega_+ \setminus \{0\}\} \end{cases}$$

If we work on the Riemann sphere, we don't need to remove 0, as it gets mapped to ∞ . For circles, we have $OA \cdot OB = R^2$.

- Let $\varphi : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an Analytic arc - that there is $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ univalent so that $\omega \supset (a, b)$, $f|_{(a,b)} = \varphi$, a holomorphic extension. (this definition avoids the discussion of real analytic functions).

Let Ω be a region, γ an analytic arc, $|\gamma| \supset \partial\Omega$ from univalent $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and we assume ω is chosen so that

$$f(\omega \cap \{\operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}) \subset \Omega, \quad f(\omega \cap \{\operatorname{Im}(z) < 0\}) \cap \Omega = \emptyset.$$

Let $F : \Omega \cup |\gamma| \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous. $F|_{\Omega}$ holomorphic, where $F(|\gamma|) \subset |\Gamma|$, where Γ is another analytic arc. Then, there is Ω_1 open with $\Omega_1 \supset \Omega \cup |\gamma|$ so that it has F has a holomorphic extension to Ω_1 with $|\gamma|$ mapping to another analytic arc.

First, after a suitable restriction, we take $g^{-1} \circ F \circ f$, reducing the result where we have a segment on the real axis mapped to \mathbb{R} . We then apply Schwarz reflection to the segment.

- Let Ω be an inner region of a polygon(not necessarily convex). Suppose z_1, \dots, z_n appear counterclockwise and $\alpha_k\pi$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ inner angles $0 < \alpha_k < \pi$ and $\beta_k\pi$ the outer angles, $\pi - \alpha_k\pi = \beta_k\pi$ or $1 - \alpha_k = \beta_k$. Then $\sum_k \beta_k = 2$ (the sum of exterior angles is 2π). A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ a holomorphic isomorphism has continuous extension to $\tilde{f} : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ by Caratheodory with $\tilde{f}(\partial\Omega) = \partial\mathbb{D}$. We let $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ be the inverse map. We choose f so that $f(z_j) = w_j$, preserving the counterclockwise orientation.

By the Schwarz Reflection, since $f((z_k, z_{k+1})) = (w_k, w_{k+1})$, f has an analytic extension across (z_k, z_{k+1}) and some neighborhood of (z_k, z_{k+1}) is mapped injectively into a neighborhood of (w_k, w_{k+1}) . Note that F has holomorphic extension into a neighborhood of (w_k, w_{k+1}) and etc.

§9.2 Schwarz-Christoffel Formula

$F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$ is a homeomorphism which extends the inverse map and $F(w_k) = z_k$. $\bar{\Omega}$ is a polygon with angles $\alpha_k\pi, \beta_k = 1 - \alpha_k$. Then

$$F(w) = C \int_0^w \prod_{i=1}^k (w - w_k)^{-\beta_k} dw + C'.$$

Remark 9.1. This is not an explicit formula. The constants C, C' need to be found and w_1, \dots, w_n are not known. We can fix w_1, w_2, w_3 , but not more.

Proof. Consider a map $\varphi(\zeta) = \zeta^{\alpha_k} e^{i\omega_k} + z_k$, which maps a semicircle to the angle $\alpha_k\pi$. Note that φ extends to $\{|\zeta| < \epsilon : \text{Im}(\zeta) \geq 0\}$ and maps $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ to the corner at z_k . Then $\tilde{f} \circ \varphi$ maps $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ to an arc of the circle containing w_k .

Applying the reflection principle to the segment, $\tilde{f} \circ \varphi$ has an analytic extension to the open disc of radius ϵ . Moreover, this extension has nonzero derivative at 0, so it has a local inverse at w_k .

So, take $(\tilde{f} \circ \varphi)^{-1}(w) = (w - w_k)K(w)$ with $K(w_k) \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of w_k . But then, in a neighborhood of w_k , if $w \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$F(w) = \varphi \circ (\tilde{f} \circ \varphi)^{-1}(w) = (w - w_k)^{\alpha_k} \cdot e^{i\omega_k} K(w)^{\alpha_k} + z_k.$$

But $K(w)^{\alpha_k}$ is holomorphic near w_k since $K(w_k) \neq 0$ so we can define (the branch of) this power in a small disc around w_k . Thus, locally near $w_k \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}$, we have

$$F(w) - z_k = (w - w_k)^{\alpha_k} \cdot G_k(w)$$

where $G_k(w_k) \neq 0$ and holomorphic in a neighborhood of w_k .

Computing the derivative, we have

$$F'(w) = (w - w_k)^{-\beta_k} (\alpha_k G_k(w) + (w - w_k) G'_k(w))$$

or $(w - w_k)^{\beta_k} F'(w)$ is holomorphic and nonzero near w_k so $F'(w) \prod_{k=1}^n (w - w_k)^{\beta_k}$ is holomorphic near $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. \square

§10 February 24th, 2021

§10.1 Schwarz-Christoffel Formula, continued

We show that $F'(w) \prod_{k=1}^n (w - w_k)^{\beta_k}$ is a constant, via the maximum principle.

Proof. Let $H(w) = F'(w) \prod_{k=1}^n (w - w_k)^{\beta_k}$ be extended to a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. It suffices to show that $\operatorname{Im}(\log H(w))$ is constant. Note that $\operatorname{Im}(\log H(w)) = \log e^{\operatorname{Im}(\log H(w))} = \log |e^{-i \log H(w)}|$.

It suffices to show that $|e^{-i \log H(w)}|$ is constant on the arcs (w_k, w_{k+1}) . So, we show that $\arg H(w)$ is constant on the open arcs (w_k, w_{k+1}) .

On (w_k, w_{k+1}) , $F(e^{i\theta})$ takes values in (z_k, z_{k+1}) , so $\arg iF'(e^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}$ is constant. So $\arg F'(e^{i\theta}) = c - \theta$, for $\theta \in (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1})$, where $w_k = e^{i\theta_k}$.

On the other hand, $\arg(w - w_p) = \arg((e^{i(\theta - \theta_p)} - 1)e^{i\theta_p})$. It follows that $\arg(w - w_p) = C + \theta/2$.

This gives

$$\arg H(e^{i\theta}) = C - \theta + \sum \beta_p (c_p + \theta/2) = C + (1/2 \sum \beta_p - 1)\theta,$$

which is a constant. □

§10.2 Schwarz-Christoffel Formula on the Upper Half-Plane

If $G : \{ \operatorname{Im}(u) > 0 \} \rightarrow \Omega$ a conformal map mapping ∞ to one of the vertices, where Ω is the interior of a polygon with outer angles $\beta_1\pi, \dots, \beta_n\pi$, then

$$G(u) = C \int_0^u \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (u - \xi_k)^{-\beta_k} du$$

where $\xi_k \in \mathbb{R}$ (it is not really the first $n - 1$ angles, but the ones that aren't coming from infinity). If the sum $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{n-1} = 2$, then $\beta_n = 0$, and we have an $(n - 1)$ -gon.

It follows from inverting the line $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 0$ to a disk given by $\varphi(u) = \frac{u-i}{u+i}$ (the Cayley Map). Then $G = F \circ \varphi$ and $\varphi(\xi_k) = w_k$. If $\xi_n = \infty$, then $w_n = 1$. Assume $w_k \neq 1$, from $\xi_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then if we let $w = \varphi(u)$,

$$G'(u) = F'(\varphi(u))\varphi'(u) = 2iF'(\varphi(u)) \cdot (u + i)^{-2}.$$

Then $w - w_k = \varphi(u) - \varphi(\xi_k) = C_k \frac{u - \xi_k}{u + i}$, so it follows that

$$G'(u) = C \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{u - \xi_k}{u + i} \right)^{-\beta_k} (u + i)^{-2} = C \prod_{k=1}^n (u - \xi_k)^{-\beta_k}$$


if all the $w_k \neq 1$. If $w_n = 1$, then $w - w_n = \varphi(u) - \varphi(\infty) = C(u + i)^{-1}$. We find that $G'(u) = C \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (u - \xi_k)^{-\beta_k}$, from a similar computation. So if $\sum \beta_k < 2$, then $\infty \rightarrow w_n$ and $\beta_n > 0$. one of the vertices of the polygon corresponds to ∞ in the boundary of the upper half-plane (in the Riemann sphere).

§10.3 Triangle Functions

Take ξ_1, ξ_2, ∞ mapped to the vertices of a triangle with angles $\alpha_1\pi, \alpha_2\pi, \alpha_3\pi$ with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1$. Then

$$G(u) = \int_0^u (u - \xi_1)^{\alpha_1-1} (u - \xi_2)^{\alpha_2-1}.$$

We get rid of the constants by applying linear transformations to the triangles. What can we say about the inverse function of G , $g = G^{-1}$? We know that g is real on each open side of the triangle, so g extends by reflection in a side to an additional triangle.



If we let B reflect to D in AC , then the extension of g to ADC will also take real values on AD and DC . Also, the extended g will extend by reflection to ADE . The result of the reflection in AC and AD is a rotation by $2\varphi + \psi$, where $\varphi + \psi = \alpha_k\pi$, so a rotation by $2\alpha_k\pi$ and when we perform 2 reflections, there is no more conjugation of the function.

§11 March 1st, 2021

§11.1 Schwarz Triangle Functions

We took $G(u) = \int_0^u (u - \xi_1)^{\alpha_1-1} (u - \xi_2)^{\alpha_2-1} du$. We can take $\xi_1 = 0, \xi_2 = 1$ after scaling. By reflections, recall that g extends by rotations around a vertex by double the angle. We get that $\tilde{g}((z - z_k)e^{2i\alpha_k\pi} + z_k)$ where \tilde{g} is the extension after one reflection in an adjacent side to z_k . After repeated reflections to an integer number of rotations by $n_k 2\alpha_k\pi$ and this gets us back to the initial triangle. In other words, there exists n_k such that $n_k 2\alpha_k\pi = 2\pi$. Then, g is holomorphic extended to some $\{0 \leq |z - z_k| < \epsilon\}$ and if the corresponding point is ∞ for z_k , then g is meromorphic near z_k with a pole at z_k ; otherwise it is holomorphic near z_k . This happens when $\alpha_k = 1/n_k$, for $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $1/n_1 + 1/n_2 + 1/n_3 = 1$ with $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3$. This has 3 solutions $(3, 3, 3)$, $(2, 4, 4)$ and $(2, 3, 6)$. We can combine these rotations around vertices to get invariance under shifts. In the end, g is meromorphic with two periods $g(z + L_k) = g_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. These are called the Schwarz Triangle Functions.

§11.2 Conformal Mappings of Rectangles

We can arrange so that the points are mapped from $-1/k, -1, 1, 1/k$ with $0 < k < 1$ and

$$G(u) = \int_0^u \frac{du}{\sqrt{(1-u^2)(1-k^2u^2)}}.$$

We consider how G maps the boundary of \mathbb{H} onto $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. We have that $G(0) = 0$ and if $K = \int_{-1}^{-1/k} \frac{du}{\sqrt{(1-u^2)(1-k^2u^2)}}$, then G maps $[-1, 1]$ to $[-K/2, K/2]$. When $u \in (1, 1/k)$, then if $K' = \int_1^{1/k} \frac{du}{\sqrt{(u^2-1)(1-k^2u^2)}}$, then the boundary moves along $[K/2, K/2 + iK']$. Similarly, $(-1/k, -1)$ goes to $(-K/2 + iK', -K/2)$.

Finally, the cases where $(-\infty, -1/k)$ and $(1/k, \infty)$ are symmetric, and the integrand is real, so ∞ goes to the middle of $(-K/2 + iK', K/2 + iK')$ and $(-\infty, -1/k)$ goes to $(iK', -K/2 + iK')$.

Then, the inverse function g is real on the boundary of the rectangle and can be extended by reflection. We find that $g(z + 2K) = g(z)$ and $g(z + 2iK') = g(z)$ and g has a pole at iK' and $K + iK'$ and zeros at 0 and K so we have poles at $nK + 2(m+1)K'i$ and zeros at $nK + 2mK'i$.

§12 March 3rd, 2021

§12.1 Elliptic Integrals and Functions

Recall we had a conformal mapping of rectangles given by

$$G(w) = \int_0^w \frac{dw}{\sqrt{(1-w^2)(1-k^2w^2)}} \quad 0 < k < 1.$$

This is an example of a basic elliptic integral. More generally, we have

$$\int_0^w R(w, \sqrt{P(w)}) dw$$

where R is a rational function and P is a polynomial of degree 3 or 4. Then, the inverse $g = G^{-1}$ is an elliptic function: doubly periodic and meromorphic.

§12.2 Tiling the Unit Disk

We tile the unit disk. We can tile the disk with a curvilinear triangle with arcs that are geodesics with respect to the hyperbolic metric $\frac{|dz|^2}{(1-|z|^2)^2}$. We start with the equilateral triangle with vertices at i , $ie^{2\pi i/3}$, and $ie^{-2\pi i/3}$. If we reflect i with respect to the lower arc, it is mapped to $-i$. We do the same for the other vertices, and we repeat this to get an Escher-like picture. All the arcs are the same size with respect to the hyperbolic metric.

Now, if we consider a mapping from the Escher picture to the upper-half plane, it should be invariant under some group of fractional linear transformations, namely $SU(1, 1)$. So, we look for a way to pass from $SU(1, 1) \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

We can map our points to $0, 1$ and ∞ . (Did you know conformal mappings preserve angles?) We wish to understand what happens when we reflect about this figure. When we reflect about the lines, we have the usual reflection. Before doing this, we precisely describe the connection between the two figures. Namely, the transformation is given by the cross ratio $(z, ie^{-2\pi i/3}, ie^{2\pi i/3}, i)$ (recall that it makes the second point to 0 , third to 1 and last to ∞). We can understand what's happening by noting that when we map Jordan domains to Jordan domains, they preserve orientation. Note that $0, 1, \infty$ are counterclockwise. Writing down the mapping

$$z \mapsto \frac{z - ie^{2\pi i/3}}{z - i} : \frac{ie^{-2\pi i/3} - ie^{2\pi i/3}}{ie^{-2\pi i/3} - i}.$$

Notice that the second fraction is equal to $e^{\pi i/3} \frac{-\sin(2\pi/3)}{-\sin(\pi/3)} = e^{\pi i/3}$. This is a counterclockwise rotation by 60° . Hence $z \mapsto e^{-\pi i/3} \frac{z - ie^{2\pi i/3}}{z - i}$. Note that the reflections are given by $z \mapsto -\bar{z}$ for $0, \infty$ $z \mapsto -\bar{z} - 1 + 1 = z - \bar{z}$ for $1, \infty$ and $z \mapsto \frac{1/4}{z-1/2} + 1/2$. Composing the rotations, we have $z \mapsto z$ and $\frac{z}{2z+1}$. These give two elements of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, so it follows that Γ , the free group of invariant transformations generated by these two elements. This subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ will also be important later.

§12.3 Schwarz-Christoffel Revisted

We will use the Schwarzian derivative, which is similar to the role of the logarithmic derivative in the Schwarz-Christoffel formula.

Note that the Schwarz-Christoffel formula is given by

$$F' = c \prod_{k=1}^n (w - w_k)^{-\beta_k}$$

and we get that

$$\frac{F''}{F'} = (\log F')' = C + \sum \frac{-\beta_k}{w - w_k}.$$

[The constant might not be necessary.]

The Schwarzian derivative is given by

$$S(f) = \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2.$$

There are some interesting properties of $S(f)$:

- $S(f \circ g) = (S(f) \circ g)(g')^2 + S(g)$.
- For $f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, $S(f) = 0$.
- Combining the results, $S(g)$ is invariant under fractional linear transformations.

§13 March 8th, 2021

§13.1 Schwarzian Derivative

Recall that for a fractional linear transformation f , $S(f) = 0$, where S is the Schwarzian derivative.

Proposition 13.1

If $S(f) = S(g)$ and g^{-1} exists, then $f = \frac{ag+b}{cg+d}$, with $ad - bc \neq 0$.

Proof. Write $f = h \circ g$. Then $S(f) = (S(h) \circ g)(g')^2 + S(g)$ and $h = f \circ g^{-1}$, so it follows that $S(h) = 0$.

Then, we claim $S(h) = 0$ implies that $h = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$. Let $y = \frac{h''}{2h'} = \frac{1}{2}(\log h')'$. Since $S(h) = 0$, we have $y' = y^2$, so $(-1/y)' = 1$, so $y = -\frac{1}{z+c}$. This implies that $-1/2(\log h')' = (\log(z+c))'$, so $(z+c)^2 h' = a$ and $h' = \frac{a}{(z+c)^2}$. Therefore, $h = b - \frac{a}{z+c}$, which implies the result. \square

Given $S(f) = 2p$, we wish to recover the function.

Fact 13.2. Given two linearly independent solutions y_1, y_2 of $y'' + py = 0$, then $u = \frac{y_1}{y_2}$ is so that $S(u) = 2p$.

Proof. Given $y_1 = uy_2$, we have $y_1'' + py_1 = 0$ so it follows that

$$u''y_2 + 2u'y_2' + uy_2'' + py_2 = u''(y_2) + 2u'y_2' + u(y_2'' + py_2) = 0,$$

so it follows that $u''/u' = -2y_2'/y_2$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(u) &= (u''/u')' - 1/2(u''/u')^2 \\ &= -2(y_2'/y_2)' - 1/2(2y_2'/y_2)^2 \\ &= -2\frac{y_2''y_2}{y_2^2} \\ &= 2p. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark 13.3. This is well defined because any pair of solutions is connected by a fractional linear transformation, which has a null Schwarzian derivative.

§13.2 Curvilinear Polygons

This case is more complicated than Schwarz Christoffel because the radius of curvature for the arcs between vertices are not uniform.

We can show that

$$S(f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{1 - \alpha_k^2}{(z - \alpha_k)^2} + \sum_k \frac{\beta_k}{z - \alpha_k} + \gamma.$$

Take $n = 3$. The constants are determined by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ (we change this to α, β, γ). The equation

$$u'' + \frac{p}{2}u = 0$$

can be replaced by

$$u'' + Pu' + Qu = 0,$$

where we have solutions $y_i \mapsto \sigma(z)y_i$. The new equation is given by

$$z(1-z)y'' + (c - (a+b+1)z)y' - aby = 0,$$

which has solutions

$$c \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} dt,$$

the *hypergeometric functions*.

Proposition 13.4

If $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, then $f(z) = \frac{T(z)}{T(1-z)}$, where

$$T(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(1-t)(1-zt)}}.$$