

# Chapter 1: Preliminaries

VISHAL RAMAN

December 26, 2020

My solutions to the problems and exercises from the first chapter of Stein/Shakarchi, *Complex Analysis*, "Preliminaries to Complex Analysis". Any typos or errors found are my own - kindly direct any concerns to my inbox.

## Contents

<b>1 Chapter 1:</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Exercise 1 . . . . .	2
1.2 Exercise 2 . . . . .	2
1.3 Exercise 3 . . . . .	3
1.4 Exercise 4 . . . . .	3
1.5 Exercise 5 . . . . .	3
1.6 Exercise 6 . . . . .	4
1.7 Exercise 7 . . . . .	5
1.8 Exercise 8 . . . . .	5
1.9 Exercise 9 . . . . .	6
1.10 Exercise 10 . . . . .	7
1.11 Exercise 11 . . . . .	7
1.12 Exercise 12 . . . . .	7
1.13 Exercise 13 . . . . .	7

## §1 Chapter 1:

### §1.1 Exercise 1

Describe geometrically the sets of points  $z$  in the complex plane defined by the following relations:

- $|z - z_1| = |z - z_2|$ , where  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- $1/z = \bar{z}$ .
- $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 3$ .
- $\operatorname{Re}(z) > c$  where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\operatorname{Re}(az + b) > 0$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- $|z| = \operatorname{Re}(z) + 1$ .
- $\operatorname{Im}(z) = c$ .

*Proof.* • This describes the perpendicular bisector between  $z_1, z_2$ , the set of points that are equidistant from both points.

- Equivalently,  $|z| = 1$ , the circle of radius 1.
- A vertical line through 3.
- The half plane to the right of  $c$  (excluding the boundary).
- This the half plane below a given line from the components of  $a, b$ .
- A horizontal parabola with vertex at  $-i$ .
- A horizontal line through  $c$ .

□

### §1.2 Exercise 2

Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the usual inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We have a Hermitian inner product in  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $(z, w) = z\bar{w}$ . Show that

$$\langle z, w \rangle = \frac{1}{2} ((z, w) + (w, z)) = \operatorname{Re}(z, w).$$

*Proof.* Let  $z = a + bi, w = c + di$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (z, w) + (w, z) &= z\bar{w} + w\bar{z} \\ &= (a + bi)(c - di) + (a - bi)(c + di) \\ &= (ac + bd) + (bc - ad)i + (ac + bd) + (bc - ad)i = 2(ac + bd) \\ &= 2\langle z, w \rangle = 2\operatorname{Re}(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

□

### §1.3 Exercise 3

With  $\omega = se^{i\varphi}$ , where  $s \geq 0$  and  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ , solve the equation  $z^n = \omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . How many solutions are there?

*Proof.* We have

$$z^n = \omega \implies z = (\omega)^{1/n} e^{\frac{2\pi im}{n}},$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$(\omega^{1/n})^n = se^{i\varphi} \implies \omega^{1/n} = s^{1/n} e^{i\varphi/n + \frac{2\pi ik}{n}},$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that

$$z = s^{1/n} e^{i\varphi/n} e^{\frac{2\pi i(k+m)}{n}}$$

and  $k + m$  is uniformly distributed in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , so we have  $n$  possible solutions if  $s \neq 0$ . Otherwise, we have one solution, namely 0.  $\square$

### §1.4 Exercise 4

Show that it is impossible to define a total ordering on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $i \succ 0$ . Then, we have  $i^2 = -1 \succ 0$ , which is impossible. Similarly, if  $0 \succ i$ , then

$$0 + (-i) = -i \succ i + (-i) = 0.$$

But then,

$$0 \cdot (-i) = 0 \succ i \cdot (-i) = 1,$$

a contradiction.  $\square$

### §1.5 Exercise 5

A set  $\Omega$  is said to be **pathwise connected** if any two points in  $\Omega$  can be joined by a curve entirely contained in  $\Omega$ . The purpose of this exercise is to prove that an *open* set  $\Omega$  is pathwise connected if and only if  $\Omega$  is connected.

- (a) Suppose first that  $\Omega$  is open and pathwise connected, and that it can be written as  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$  where  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are disjoint non-empty open sets. Choose two points  $w_1 \in \Omega_1, w_2 \in \Omega_2$  and let  $\gamma$  denote a curve in  $\Omega$  joining  $w_1$  to  $w_2$ . Consider a parameterization  $z : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$  of this curve with  $z(0) = w_1$  and  $z(1) = w_2$ .

Let

$$t^* = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{t : z(s) \in \Omega_1 \forall 0 \leq s < t\}.$$

Arrive at a contradiction by considering the point  $z(t^*)$ .

- (b) Conversely, suppose that  $\Omega$  is open and connected. Fix a point  $w \in \Omega$  and let  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$  denote the set of all points that can be joined to  $w$  by a curve contained in  $\Omega$ . Also, let  $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega$  denote the set of all points that cannot be joined to  $w$  by a curve in  $\omega$ . Prove that both  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are open, disjoint and their union is  $\Omega$ . Finally, since  $\Omega_1$  is non-empty (why?) conclude that  $\Omega = \Omega_1$  as desired.

*Proof.* (Part A) Consider the point  $z(t^*)$ . Suppose  $t^* < 1$ . We cannot have  $z(t^*) \in \Omega_1$ , since this implies there is an open ball  $B$  containing  $z(t^*)$  in  $\Omega_1$ . It follows that  $z^{-1}(B)$  is an open subset of  $[0, 1]$  since  $z$  is continuous, so contains points to the right of  $t^*$ , a contradiction. If  $t^* = 1$ , then, there is a sequence of points in  $\Omega_1$  converging to  $z(1) \in \Omega_2$ , contradicting the assumption that  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_2$  is closed.

If  $z(t^*) \in \Omega_2$ , then  $z(t) \in \Omega_2$  if and only if  $t > t^*$ . Hence,  $t^*$  is the infimum of values of  $t$  with  $z(t) \in \Omega_2$  and we repeat the argument from above.  $\square$

*Proof.* (Part B) It is clear that  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 = \Omega$  and that they are disjoint.

Since  $\Omega$  is open, we can find an open ball  $B$  around  $v \in \Omega_1 \subset \Omega$  which is contained in  $\Omega$ . If  $x \in B$  then there is a path from  $v$  to  $x$ . There is also a path from  $w$  to  $v$  so by the gluing lemma, we can find a path from  $w$  to  $x$ . This implies that  $B \subset \Omega_1$ , which shows that  $\Omega_1$  is open.

Take  $y \in \Omega_2$ . There exists an open ball  $C$  around  $y$  contained in  $\Omega$ . For any  $t \in C$ , if a path exists from  $w$  to  $C$ , then we can find a path from  $w$  to  $y$  by the gluing lemma. It follows that  $C \subset \Omega_2$  which shows that  $\Omega_2$  is open.

Since  $\Omega$  is connected, we must have either  $\Omega = \Omega_1$  or  $\Omega = \Omega_2$ . However,  $w \in \Omega_1$  implies that  $\Omega = \Omega_1$ .  $\square$

## §1.6 Exercise 6

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $z \in \Omega$ . The connected component of  $\Omega$  containing  $z$  is the set of points  $C_z$  of all points  $w$  in  $\Omega$  that can be joined to a curve entirely contained in  $\Omega$ .

- (a) Check that  $C_z$  is open and connected. Then, show that  $w \in C_z$  defines an equivalence relation.
- (b) Show that  $\Omega$  can have only countably many distinct connected components.
- (c) Prove that if  $\Omega$  is the complement of a compact set, then  $\Omega$  has only one unbounded component.

*Proof.* (Part A) Note that  $C_z$  is a pathwise connected set which is open if and only if it is connected. For any  $x \in C_z$ , there exists a ball  $B \subset \Omega$  containing  $x$ . Then, gluing the path from  $z$  to  $x$  and  $x$  to  $y \in B$  shows that  $B \subset C_z$ , which implies that  $C_z$  is open. By Exercise 5,  $C_z$  is connected.

We now show that  $w \in C_z$  is an equivalence relation. It is clear that  $z \in C_z$ . If  $w \in C_z$ , then there is a path from  $z$  to  $w$ , the reverse of which is a path from  $w$  to  $z$ , so  $z \in C_w$ . Finally, If  $a \in B_b$  and  $b \in B_c$ , then gluing the paths from  $a$  to  $b$  and  $b$  to  $c$  gives a path from  $a$  to  $c$ , so  $a \in B_c$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* (Part B) Suppose not. Then there is an uncountable collection of disjoint open balls of  $\Omega$ . From the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , each of these balls contains a unique rational, which is a contradiction since there are only countably many rationals.  $\square$

*Proof.* (Part C) Let  $C \subset \Omega$  be the unbounded component. Since  $\overline{\Omega}$  is compact, there exists an open ball  $B \supset \overline{\Omega}$ . Then  $B^c \subset \Omega$ , and note that  $B^c$  is unbounded and connected. Since  $C \cap B = \emptyset$ , we must have  $C \cap B^c \neq \emptyset$  so it follows that  $B^c = C$ . Hence, we have exactly one unbounded component.  $\square$

## §1.7 Exercise 7

We introduce mappings called **Blaschke factors**.

(a) Let  $z, w$  be two complex numbers such that  $\bar{z}w \neq 1$ . Prove that

$$\left| \frac{w - z}{1 - \bar{w}z} \right| < 1$$

if  $|z| < 1$  and  $|w| < 1$  and also that

$$\left| \frac{w - z}{1 - \bar{w}z} \right| = 1$$

if  $|z| = 1$  or  $|w| = 1$ .

(b) Prove that for a fixed  $w$  in the unit disc  $\mathcal{D}$ , the mapping  $F : z \mapsto \frac{w - z}{1 - \bar{w}z}$  satisfies the following conditions

- $F$  maps the unit disk to itself and is holomorphic.
- $F$  interchanges 0 and  $w$ .
- $|F(z)| = 1$  if  $|z| = 1$ .
- $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is bijective.

*Proof.* (Part A) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{w - z}{1 - \bar{w}z} \right| < 1 &\Leftrightarrow (w - z)\overline{(w - z)} \leq (1 - \bar{w}z)\overline{(1 - \bar{w}z)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (w - z)(\bar{w} - \bar{z}) \leq (1 - z\bar{w})(1 - w\bar{z}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow |w|^2 + |z|^2 - z\bar{w} - w\bar{z} \leq 1 - z\bar{w} - w\bar{z} + |z|^2|w|^2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow (1 - |w|^2)(1 - |z|^2) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives both results. □

*Proof.* (Part B) From the result above if  $|z| \leq 1$  then since  $|w| \leq 1$ , we have  $|F(z)| \leq 1$ , which implies that  $F(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}$ . Then, for any  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ , note that  $F(F(y)) = y$  (this can be easily verified), so it follows that  $\mathcal{D} \subset F(\mathcal{D})$ , which shows that  $F$  maps  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ , as desired. The function is holomorphic by the quotient rule. It is easy to see that  $F(0) = w$  and  $F(w) = 0$ . Then,  $|F(z)| = 1$  if  $|z| = 1$  by Part A. From  $F(F(y)) = y$  it is clear that  $F$  is surjective. Then, if  $F(x) = y$  then  $x = F(F(x)) = F(y)$ , so  $x = y$  implies that  $F(x) = F(y)$ . Therefore,  $F$  is bijective, as desired. □

## §1.8 Exercise 8

Suppose  $U$  and  $V$  are open sets in the complex plane. Prove that if  $f : U \rightarrow V$  and  $g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are two functions that are differentiable (in the real sense), and  $h = g \circ f$ , then

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial z}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$$

so it follows that

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}$$

□

### §1.9 Exercise 9

Show that in polar coordinates, the Cauchy-Riemann Equations take the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}.$$

Use these equations to show that the logarithm function defined by

$$\log z = \log r + i\theta$$

where  $z = re^{i\theta}$  with  $-\pi < \theta < \pi$  is holomorphic in the region  $r > 0$  and  $-\pi < \theta < \pi$ .

*Proof.* If we let  $z = x + iy = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}u_r &= u_x \cos \theta + u_y \sin \theta \\ &= \frac{1}{r} (rv_y \cos \theta - rv_x \sin \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} v_\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}v_r &= v_x \cos \theta + v_y \sin \theta \\ &= -\frac{1}{r} (ru_y \cos \theta - ru_x \sin \theta) \\ &= -\frac{1}{r} u_\theta.\end{aligned}$$

For  $\log z = \log r + i\theta$ , we have  $u(r, \theta) = \log r$  and  $v(r, \theta) = \theta$ , so

$$u_r = \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r} v_\theta$$

and

$$v_r = 0 = -\frac{1}{r} u_\theta$$

so it follows that the function is holomorphic as desired. □

**§1.10 Exercise 10**

Show that

$$4 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \Delta,$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}.$$

*Proof.* Trivially follows from the definitions of the operators.  $\square$

**§1.11 Exercise 11**

Show that if  $f$  is holomorphic in the open set  $\Omega$ , then the real and imaginary parts of  $f$  are harmonic.

*Proof.* If  $f$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$  then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f = 0$ , and the result follows from applying the previous exercise.  $\square$

**§1.12 Exercise 12**

Consider the function defined by  $f(x + iy) = \sqrt{|x||y|}$  whenever  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $f$  satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations at the origin, yet  $f$  is not holomorphic at 0.

*Proof.* Note that  $v_x, v_y = 0$ , since  $f$  is a real-valued function.

$$u_x(0, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(h, 0) - u(0, 0)}{h} = 0,$$

and

$$u_y(0, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(0, h) - u(0, 0)}{h} = 0$$

but

$$\frac{f(t(1+i)) - f(0)}{t(1+i)} = \frac{|t|}{t(1+i)},$$

which has no limit.  $\square$

**§1.13 Exercise 13**

Suppose that  $f$  is holomorphic in an open set  $\Omega$ . Prove that in any one of the following cases

- $\operatorname{Re}(f)$  is constant;
- $\operatorname{Im}(f)$  is constant;
- $|f|$  is constant;

one can conclude that  $f$  is constant.

*Proof.* The first and second cases are equivalent, following from the C-R equations. It follows that  $Re(f) + iIm(f) = f$  is constant in these cases.

Let  $f = u + iv$ . If  $|f|$  is constant, then  $|f|^2 = u^2 + v^2$  is constant, so it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u^2 + v^2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(u^2 + v^2) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$uu_x + vv_x = uu_y + vv_y = 0.$$

By C-R, we have

$$uv_y + vv_x = -uv_x - vv_y = 0.$$

□