# 

Scribe: Vishal Raman

# Contents

1	January 20th, 2021	3
	1.1 Intro to Riemann Mapping Theorem	3
	1.2 Cauchy's Integral Formula	3
	1.3 Schwarz Lemma	3
	1.4 Maximum Principles	4
2	January 25th, 2021	5
	2.1 Uniform Convergence	5
3	January 27th, 2021	7
	3.1 Uniform Convergence, continued	7
	3.2 Metric Convergence	7
	3.3 Riemann Sphere	7
4	February 1st, 2021	8
	4.1 Connectivity	8
	4.2 Fractional Linear Transformations	8
	4.3 Fractional Linear Transformations, Unit Disk	9
5	February 3rd, 2021	10
	5.1 Remark on the Zeta Function	10
	5.2 Fractional Linear Transformations, continued	10
	5.3 Automorphisms of the Half Plane	10
	5.4 The Cross Ratio	11
6	February 8th, 2021	12
	6.1 Mappings of Circles and Lines	12
	6.2 Revisiting the Schwarz Lemma	12
	6.3 Functions on Simply Connected Regions	13
	6.4 Injective Functions	13
7	February 10th, 2021	14
	7.1 Riemann Mapping Theorem	14

# §1 January 20th, 2021

## §1.1 Intro to Riemann Mapping Theorem

Our first goal is to proof a fundamental theorem of Riemann on conformal mappings. We start with several preparations, including some detours. The theorem essentially says that lots of open sets in  $\mathbb{C}$  are holomorphically isomorphic, given that they satisfy some simple topological conditions.

## §1.2 Cauchy's Integral Formula

Recall Cauchy's formula:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a simple closed curve, piecewise differentiable,  $z_0 \in \operatorname{Int}(\Gamma)$ , and  $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphic function, with  $\Omega$  is open,  $\Omega \supset \Gamma \cup \operatorname{Int}(\Gamma)$ .

If  $\Gamma$  is the circle  $|z - z_0| = R$ , we parameterize with  $z = Re^{i\theta} + z_0$  with  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . This gives

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + Re^{i\theta}) d\theta,$$

which represents the average of f on the circle.

It follows that

$$|f(z_0)| \le \max_{\partial B_R(z_0)} |f(z)|,$$

with equality if and only if f is constant.

If  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic for  $\Omega$  connected, open and  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , then

$$|f(z_0)| \le \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)|$$

with equality if and only if f is constant.

## §1.3 Schwarz Lemma

**Theorem 1** (Schwarz Lemma)

For  $f: B_1(0) \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic with  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  for all z and f(0) = 0. Then

$$|f(z)| \le |z|, |f'(0)| \le 1.$$

If for some  $z_0 \neq 0$ ,  $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$  or if |f'(0)| = 1 then f(z) = cz for some |c| = 1.

*Proof.* Define a function

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} f(z)/z, & \text{if } 0 \le |z| \le 1\\ f'(0), & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Note that g(z) is continuous since at zero,

$$\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z)}{z} = \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z - 0} = f'(0).$$

Hence,  $|g(z)| \le C < \infty$  using the Weierstrass Extreme Value theorem. If  $0 < \epsilon < |w| < r < 1$ , note that taking a Keyhole Contour, we have

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{|z|=r} - \int_{|z|=\epsilon} \right) \frac{g(z)}{z - w} dz.$$

Note that

$$\left| \int_{|z|=\epsilon} \frac{g(z)}{z-w} \, dz \right| \le (2\pi\epsilon) \cdot C \frac{1}{|w|-\epsilon} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} 0.$$

It follows that

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{g(z)}{z - w} dz$$

for 0 < |w| < r. The right side is holomorphic in w if |w| < r, so it follows that

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{g(z)}{z - w} dz$$

is holomorphic in |z| < 1.

This can also be proved by taking a Taylor series about the origin. Since there is no constant term, we can divide by z to still have a convergent Taylor series.

If r < 1,

$$\sup_{|z| \le r} |g(z)| = \sup_{|z| = r} |g(z)| \le \sup_{|z| = r} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z|} \le \frac{1}{r}.$$

If we let  $r \uparrow 1$ , then we get  $\sup_{|z| < 1} |g(z)| \le 1$ . It follows that  $|f(z)| \le |z|$ ,  $|f'(0)| \le 1$ . If  $|f(z_0)| = z_0$  for some  $0 < |z_0| < 1$  then  $|g(z_0)| = 1$  and g is constant by the maximum principle so g(z) = c, f(z) = cz. If |f'(0)| = 1, then |g(0)| = 1 so g is constant and f = cz.

# §1.4 Maximum Principles

In the above proof, we used the maximum principle. Some other versions we will use are the following:

If  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  compact and  $f: K \to \mathbb{C}$  continuous, and the restriction of f to the interior of K is holomorphic, then

$$\sup_{z \in K} |f(z)| = \sup_{z \in \partial K} |f(z)|.$$

If  $\Omega$  is open and connected,  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{C},\,z_0\in\Omega$ , and  $|f(z_0)|=\sup_{z\in\Omega}|f(z)|$ , then f is constant. Applying this to  $e^f$  and using that  $|e^f|=e^{\mathrm{Re}\,f}$ , we find that

Re 
$$f(z_0) = \sup_{z \in \Omega} \operatorname{Re} f(z),$$

implies that f is constant. We have the same result for Im f by replacing f with -if.

# §2 January 25th, 2021

## §2.1 Uniform Convergence

Remark 2.1. They sometimes call open connected sets "regions".

**Definition 2.2** (Uniform Convergence). Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be open. Let  $f_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic and  $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  a function so that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{z\in K} |f(z) - f_n(z)| = 0$  for all  $K \subset \Omega$  compact(also denoted  $K \subset \Omega$ ).

**Remark 2.3.** Recall from real analysis that f is a continuous function.

Some further remarks:

- It suffices to check the result for a sequence of compact subsets  $K_m$  so that  $\bigcup_m K_m^{\circ} = \Omega$ , the it suffices to check those. If  $K \subset\subset \Omega$ , then K is compact and covered by the union of the subsets so there exists a finite subcovering, and uniform convergence on the subcovering implies uniform convergence on K.
- It is often convenient to introduce  $||g||_K = \sup_{z \in K} |g(z)|$ . Uniform convergence can be restated as  $||f_n f||_K \to 0$  for all  $K \subset\subset \Omega$ .
- If  $||f_n f||_K \to 0$  for all  $K \subset\subset \Omega$ , then f is also holomorphic. It follows by passing to the limit in the Cauchy Integral formula. Namely, take  $\{z : |z z_0| \leq R\} \subset \Omega$  and consider the points in  $|z_0 \zeta| < R$ .

$$\left| f_n(\zeta) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f(z)}{z-\zeta} dz \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f_n(z)}{z-\zeta} dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f(z)}{z-\zeta} dz \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{R - |z_0 - \zeta|} \cdot (2\pi R) ||f_n - f||_{|z-z_0|=R} \to 0.$$

So it follows that

$$f(\zeta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|} \frac{f(z)}{z-\zeta} dz.$$

It follows that f continuous on  $|z - z_0| = R$  is holomorphic in  $\zeta \in \{|z - z_0| < R\}$ , so it follows that f is holomorphic.

• We can similarly show that

$$f_n^(j)(\zeta) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f_n(z)}{(z-\zeta)^{n+1}} dz$$

and 
$$||f_n^{(j)} - f(j)||_K \to 0$$
.

From the last item, we have the following theorem.

#### Theorem 2

If  $f_n \to f$  on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ , the if  $f_n$  is holomorphic we find that f is holomorphic and  $f_n^(j) \to f^{(j)}$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ .

#### Theorem 3 (Hurwitz)

Let  $\Omega$  be a region,  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $f_n: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic with  $f_n(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $||f_n - f||_K \to 0$  for all compact subsets. Then either  $f \equiv 0$  or  $f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* If f is not identically zero on  $\omega$ , then since f is holomorphic, its zeros are isolated. If  $z_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $f(z_0) = 0$ , then there is  $\epsilon > 0$  so that when  $0 < |z - z_0| < \epsilon$ ,  $f(z) \neq 0$ .

Since  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $|z - z_0| = \epsilon/2$ , by the Weierstrass theorem applied to |f| on  $|z - z_0| = \epsilon$ , we have  $|f(z)| \geq m > 0$  on  $\{|z - z_0| = \epsilon/2\} = \Gamma$ . If  $||f_n - f||_{\Gamma} \leq m/2$  for n > N, then

$$|f_n(z)| \ge |f(z)| - m/2 \ge m - m/2 = m/2$$

for  $z \in \Gamma$ . Hence, it follows that  $||1/f_n - 1/f||_{\Gamma} \to 0$  (we leave this as an exercise). Since  $||f'_n - f'||_{\Gamma} \to 0$ , we find that  $||f'_n/f_n - f'/f|| \to 0$  (another exercise) and hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f_n'}{f_n} dz \to \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f'}{f} dz.$$

The integrand of the left hand side is  $(\log f_n)'$ , whose integral is 0, and the right side is the order of the zero of f at  $z_0$  by the argument principle. It follows that the order of  $z_0$  as a possible zero is 0, so  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ .

#### Theorem 4

For  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  open,  $\mathcal{F}$  a set of holomorphic functions, the following are equivalent:

- for every  $K \subset\subset \Omega \sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}} \|f\|_K < \infty$
- for every sequence  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{F}$ , there is a subsequence  $(f_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $n_1< n_2<\ldots$  so that  $(f_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* We first show 2 implies 1. If  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_K = \infty$ , then we can find for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$  so that  $\|f_n\|_K \geq n$ . If we abstract a convergence subsequence, then  $\|f_{n_j} - f\|_K \leq C < \infty$  and  $\|f_{n_j}\|_K \leq \|f\|_K + C$ , while  $\|f_{n_j}\|_K \to \infty$ , a contradiction.  $\square$ 

# §3 January 27th, 2021

## §3.1 Uniform Convergence, continued

#### Theorem 5

For  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  open,  $\mathcal{F}$  a set of holomorphic functions, the following are equivalent:

- for every  $K \subset\subset \Omega \sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}} \|f\|_K < \infty$
- for every sequence  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{F}$ , there is a subsequence  $(f_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $n_1< n_2<\ldots$  so that  $(f_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ .

I missed the beginning of the class, but I will add the proof of the theorem once notes are posted.

## §3.2 Metric Convergence

One can put a metric on holomorphic functions so that convergence in the metric is uniform convergence on compact sets. For  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{C}$ , but  $K_n\in\Omega$  so that  $\bigcup_n K_n^\circ=\Omega$  and take

$$d(f,g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|f - g\|_{K_n}}{1 + \|f - g\|_{K_n}} 2^{-n}.$$

## §3.3 Riemann Sphere

On the set  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , we consider the topology which makes it the Alexandroff(one-point) compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , a neighborhood is one that contains a neighborhood in  $\mathbb{C}$  and a neighborhood of  $\infty$  is of the form  $\{\infty\} \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus K)$  for  $K \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $U_+ = \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $U_- = (C \setminus \{0\}) \cup \{\infty\}$ . Note that the union of the two sets covers the Riemann Sphere. Define  $\psi_+ : U_+ \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\psi_+(z) = z$  and  $\psi_i : U_- \to \mathbb{C}$  is given by  $\psi_-(w) = 1/w$  if  $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\infty\}$  and 0 if  $w = \infty$ . Notice that these two functions are bijections.

If  $V \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is open, a function  $f: V \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic if

$$f|_{V \cup U_{\pm}} \circ (\psi_{\pm}|_{V \cup U_{\pm}})^{-1} : \psi_{\pm}(V \cup U_{\pm}) \to \mathbb{C}$$

is holomorphic. In this way, we know what holomorphic functions are on open sets of  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

More generally, we can describe a Riemann surface in the following way - Let X be a topological space. Take  $\{(U_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in I}$  where  $U_{\alpha} \subset X$  is open, and  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha} = X$  and  $z_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{C}$  is continuous,  $z_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha})$  is open and  $z_{\alpha}$  is a homeomorphism. The key requirement is that the maps  $z_{\alpha} \circ z_{\beta}^{-1} : z_{\beta}(U_{\alpha} \cup U_{\beta}) \to z_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha} \cup U_{\beta})$  are holomorphic.

Then, if  $U \subset X$  is open,  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic if for all  $\alpha \in I$ ,

$$f|_{U\cup U_{\alpha}}\circ (z_{\alpha}|_{u\cup U_{\alpha}})^{-1}$$

is holomorphic. Two such atlases give the same Riemann surface if put together, we get an atlas.

# §4 February 1st, 2021

## §4.1 Connectivity

**Definition 4.1.**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  open is connected if  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$  open with  $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$  implies that one of the two is empty. For open sets, this is equivalent to arcwise connected.

**Definition 4.2.** An set is arcwise connected if for every  $z_1, z_2 \in \Omega$ , there is a path  $\varphi : [0,1] \to \Omega$  which is continuous and  $\varphi(0) = z_1, \varphi(1) = z_2$ .

**Definition 4.3.**  $\Omega$  is simply connected if for  $z_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $\Gamma : [0,1] \to \Omega$  continuous and  $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma(1) = z_0$ , then there is  $G : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \Omega$  continuous with  $G(t,0) = \Gamma(t)$  for  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $G(t,1) = z_0$ , for  $t \in [0,1]$ .

Simply connected corresponds to the idea of being able to continuously deform the set to a point for each point.

In  $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Omega$ -open simply connected is equivalent to  $(C \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \Omega$  is connected in  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . That is, if  $F = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \Omega$ , which is closed in  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ , with  $F \cap V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ , then at least one of the  $F \cap V_k = \emptyset$ . If  $0 \in \Omega$ , then  $\Omega$  is simply connected if and only if  $\{0\} \cup \{1/z : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega\}$  is connected (this is a local representation).

- Take  $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{tz_j : t \in [1, \infty)\}$  for  $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .
- $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{spirals}$ .

#### Theorem 6 (Riemann Mapping Theorem)

If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  open, connected, simply connected,  $\emptyset \neq \Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\Omega$  and  $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$  are holomorphic isomorphisms.

# §4.2 Fractional Linear Transformations

Recall that if  $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$  then  $f(z) = \frac{az+b}{xz+d}$ , which was proved using the Schwarz lemma. We view the fractional linear maps from a different context.

We define a map  $p: \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{\binom{0}{0}\} \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  given by

$$p\left(\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{cases} z_1/z_2 \text{ if } z_2 \neq 0\\ \infty \text{ if } z_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then  $p(\xi) = p(\eta)$  if and only if  $\xi = \lambda \eta$  for  $\lambda \in C^{\times} = C \setminus \{0\}$ .

There is a larger group acting on  $C^2 \setminus \{\binom{0}{0}\}$  given by  $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$  the invertible  $2 \times 2$  matrices in the natural way so that

$$A \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{A_{11}p(\xi) + A_{12}}{A_{21}p(\xi) + A_{22}}.$$

Define  $T_g: \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  given by

$$T_g z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d},$$

with  $T_g(\infty) = \frac{a}{c}$ . We have the action  $T_g p(\xi) = p(g\xi)$  for  $g \in GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ .

This gives

$$T_{g_1} \circ T_{g_2} = T_{g_1 g_2},$$
  
 $(T_g)^{-1} = T_{g^{-1}}.$ 

We can also ask about the fixed point:

$$T_q p(\xi) = p(\xi) \leftrightarrow p(\xi) = p(g\xi) \Leftrightarrow g\xi = \lambda \xi , \lambda \in C^{\times}$$

It follows that the fixed points of  $T_q$  correspond to the eigenvectors of  $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ .

## §4.3 Fractional Linear Transformations, Unit Disk

If we have  $\xi = {z_1 \choose z_2}$ , then  $p(\xi) \in \mathbb{D}$  if and only if  $|z_1| < |z_2|$  if and only if  $z_1\overline{z_1} - z_2\overline{z_2} < 0$ . If we let

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we consider the sesquilinear form  $\langle J(\xi_1), (\eta_1) \rangle$ , where it is linear in the first coordinate and conjugate linear in the second coordinate. Note that

$$\langle J\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \rangle = \xi_1 \overline{\eta_1} - \xi_2 \overline{\eta_2}.$$

When does  $g \in GL(2,\mathbb{C})$  preserve  $\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle$ ?

This means that

$$\langle Jg\xi, g\xi \rangle = \langle J\xi, \xi \rangle$$

for all  $\xi \in C^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Then,

$$\langle g^* J g \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle J \xi, \xi \rangle$$

so it follows that  $g^*Jg = J$ . (We prove this by transforming  $\xi$  in polar coordinates,  $\xi = x + i^k y$ , and considering k = 0, 1, 2, 3. These four equations allow us to determine the equality). Note that  $U(1,1) = \{g : g^*Jg = J\}$  forms a group structure where J has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$  for this reason, we denote  $U(1,1) \subset GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

We claim the following:  $T_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \Leftrightarrow g \in C^{\times} \cdot U(1,1)$ .

# §5 February 3rd, 2021

#### §5.1 Remark on the Zeta Function

**Theorem 5.1** (S.M. Voronin 1975)

For  $D = \{\frac{1}{2} < \text{Re}(z) < 1\}$ ,  $f : D \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $K \subset\subset D$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exists  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$||f(\cdot) - \zeta(\cdot + it)||_K < \epsilon.$$

This theorem essentially says that if I slide around the zeta function in the strip D, I can uniformly approximate pretty much any function I want.

#### §5.2 Fractional Linear Transformations, continued

Note that  $\operatorname{Ker}(g \mapsto T_g) = C^{\times}I_2$ . We define  $SL(2; C) = \{g \in GL(2; \mathbb{C}) : \det g = 1\}$ , the special linear group.

#### Theorem 5.2

For  $g \in SL(2; C)$ ,  $T_g \in Aut(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if  $g \in U(1, 1)$ .

*Proof.* We start with the forward direction. From the first homework, we showed that  $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$  implies that  $f(z) = T_g z$  where g is the composition of a rotation  $g_1$  and  $g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_0 \\ \overline{z_0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ . It suffices to check that  $g_1, g_2 \in U(1, 1) \times \mathbb{C}^{\times} I_2$ . This is easy to check.

Now, we show the converse. If  $g \in U(1,1)$ , then  $g^{-1} \in U(1,1)$ . If  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then  $z = p(\xi), \langle J\xi, \xi \rangle < 0$ . We have  $T_g z = p(g \ xi)$  and  $\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle < 0$  implies that  $\langle g^* jg\xi, \xi \rangle < 0$ , which implies that  $\langle Jg\xi, g\xi \rangle < 0$ , which shows that  $T_g z = p(g\xi) \in \mathbb{D}$ . Hence  $T_g \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{D}$ . The same argument holds for  $T_g^{-1} \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{D}$  so we have  $T_g \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}$  exactly, so  $T_g = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ .  $\square$ 

## §5.3 Automorphisms of the Half Plane

There is a conformal map from  $\mathbb{H}_+ \to \mathbb{D}$  given by  $f: z \mapsto \frac{z-i}{z+i}$ . This corresponds to

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$f^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now,  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_+) = \{(T_f)^{-1}T_gT_f|T_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})\} = \{T_{f^{-1}gf}|g \in SU(1,1)\}.$  it follows that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_+) = \{T_h|fhf^{-1} \in SU(1,1)\}$  (assuming  $h \in SL(2,\mathbb{C}), fhf^{-1} \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ ). It follows that  $(fhf^{-1})^*J(fhf^{-1}) = J$ , so  $h^*(f^*Jf)h = f^*Jf$ . We can compute

$$f^*Jf = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2i \\ 2i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$h^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we let  $h = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h = I_2.$$

If we check the computation, we find that  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ , so it follows that  $h \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

#### §5.4 The Cross Ratio

Note that  $T_g$  is completely determined by  $T_g0, T_g1, T_g\infty$ . Suppose  $T_g0 = T_h0, T_g1 = T_h1, T_g\infty = T_h\infty$ . If we let  $r = g^{-1}h$ , we have  $T_r0 = 0, T_r1 = 1, T_r\infty = \infty$ , so it follows that  $r \in C^{\times}I_2$  (carry out the matrix multiplication for an arbitrary matrix).

if we look at  $g^{-1}$  instead of g, we find that  $T_g$  is completely determined by  $a, b, c \in C \cup \infty$  so that  $Ta = 1, Tb = 0, Tc = \infty$ . Given, a, b, c, such a  $T_g$  is the map

$$z \mapsto \frac{z-b}{z-c} : \frac{a-b}{a-c}.$$

We denote the RHS by (z, a, b, c), which is a fractional linear map taking a, b, c to  $1, 0, \infty$ . This is called the cross ratio of z, a, b, c.

#### Theorem 5.3

If  $T_g$  is a fractional linear transformation and  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  are distinct points in  $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ , then

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (T_g z_1, T_g z_2, T_g z_3, T_g z_4).$$

**Remark 5.4.** The above theorem shows that cross ratios are invariant under fractional linear transformations.

# §6 February 8th, 2021

## §6.1 Mappings of Circles and Lines

#### Lemma 6.1

For  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\{w \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} : T_g w \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}\}$  is a circle or a straight line with a point at infinity.

Proof.

$$\frac{aw+b}{cw+d} = \frac{\overline{aw+b}}{\overline{cw+d}},$$

Then  $(a\overline{c} - c\overline{a})|w|^2 + (a\overline{d} - c\overline{b})w + (b\overline{c} - d\overline{a})\overline{w} + b\overline{d} - d\overline{b} = 0$ . If  $a\overline{c} - c\overline{a} = 0$ , then we have a straight line. If  $a\overline{c} - c\overline{a} \neq 0$ , we have

$$\left| w + \frac{\overline{a}d - \overline{c}b}{\overline{a}c - \overline{c}a} \right| = \left| \frac{ad - bc}{\overline{a}c - \overline{c}a} \right|,$$

a circle.

## §6.2 Revisiting the Schwarz Lemma

Recall we have  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ , with f(0) = 0. We will use the fractional linear transformations so that  $0 \in \mathbb{D}$  no longer has a special role.

Given  $f: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  holomorphic with  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ . Take an automorphism mapping  $0 \to z_0$  given by  $\frac{\cdot + z_0}{1 + \overline{z_0}(\cdot)}$ . Then, applying f and applying  $(\frac{\cdot + f(z_0)}{1 + \overline{f}(z_0)(\cdot)})^{-1}$ , which sends  $f(z_0) \to 0$ . These are all holomorphic, so it follows that the composition is a holomorphism from  $\mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  mapping  $0 \to 0$ . Now, we can apply the Schwarz Lemma as usual: For the derivatives, we use the chain rule:

$$\left(\frac{\cdot + z_0}{1 + \overline{z}_0(\cdot)}\right)'|_{z=0} = 1 - |a|^2.$$

Composing the derivatives along the composition, we find the derivative evaluated at 0 which we require to be  $\leq 1$ .

It follows that

$$\frac{|f'(z_0)|}{1 - |f(z_0)|^2} \le \frac{1}{1 - |z_0|^2}.$$

Moreover, by the Schwarz Lemma, we have equality if and only if  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ . if we put w = f(z), then dw = f'dz and the inequality is

$$\frac{|dw|}{1 - |w|^2} \le \frac{dz}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

This can be interpreted as having on  $\mathbb{D}$  the Riemannian metric

$$\frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{(1 - (x^2 + y^2))^2}$$

and  $f: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ , contracting the metric.

## §6.3 Functions on Simply Connected Regions

Recall the following properties of holomorphic functions in simply connected regions:

- For  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic, then there is  $F: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic so that F' = f.
- $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , then there exists  $g: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic so that  $e^g = f$ .
- $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  holomorphic, then there exists  $g: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  so that  $h^n = f$ .
- $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic and non-constant,  $\Omega$  a region, then f(V) is open if  $V \subset \Omega$ , V is open.

## §6.4 Injective Functions

Let  $f: \Omega \to G$  be a holomorphic function with  $\Omega$  open and connected. If f is injective, then  $f'(z) \neq 0$ . If so, then  $f(z) - f(z_0) = u(z)^n$  if  $0 = f'(z_0) = \dots, f^{n-1}(z_0)$  and  $f^{(n)}(z_0) \neq 0$ , with  $u(z_0) = 0$ . Then  $u(\{|z - z_0| < \epsilon\})$  is open for some  $\epsilon > 0$  so it contains  $\{|\zeta| < \delta\}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . It follows that  $U(z_k) = \frac{\delta}{z} e^{2\pi i k/n}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $f(z_1) = \dots = f(z_n)$ . We could also use the argument principle to show that  $f'(z) \neq 0$ .

Then,  $f(\Omega)$  is open and f has local inverses: for each  $z \in \Omega$ , there is a neighborhood  $V_z$ , where f is a holomorphic isomorphism in the region. It follows that  $f: \Omega \to G$  is holomorphic, injective, then  $f|f(\Omega): \Omega \to f(\Omega)$  is a holomorphic isomorphism.

If  $\Omega$  is an open region so that  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{D}$  is a holomorphic isomorphism, then if fix  $z_0\in\Omega$ , we have  $g\in\mathrm{Iso}(\Omega,\mathbb{D})\to(g(z_0),\frac{g'(z_0)}{|g'(z_0)|})\in\mathbb{D}\times\{|z|=1\}$  is a bijection.

# §7 February 10th, 2021

#### Lemma 7.1

If  $\Omega$  is an open region so that  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{D}$  is a holomorphic isomorphism, then if fix  $z_0\in\Omega$ , we have  $g\in\mathrm{Iso}(\Omega,\mathbb{D})\to(g(z_0),\frac{g'(z_0)}{|g'(z_0)|})\in\mathbb{D}\times\{|z|=1\}$  is a bijection.

*Proof.* We provide a sketch of the proof. Replace f with

$$\left(\frac{\cdot - f(z_0)}{1 - \overline{f(z_0)}}\right) \circ f$$

so that  $f(z_0) = 0$ . Then,  $Iso(\Omega, \mathbb{D}) \ni g \to g \circ f^{-1} \in Aut(\mathbb{D})$  is a bijection and

$$\left(g(z_0), \frac{g'(z_0)}{|g'(z_0)|}\right) = \left((g \circ f^{-1})(0), \frac{(g \circ f^{-1})'(0)}{|(g \circ f^{-1})'(0)|} \frac{f'(z_0)}{|f'(z_0)|}\right)$$

so the proof reduces to the case where  $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$  and  $z_0 = 0$ . It is easy to show that the map is onto and 1-1.

## §7.1 Riemann Mapping Theorem

#### **Theorem 7** (Riemann Mapping Theorem)

Suppose  $\Omega$  is simply connected and  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ . Then, there exists  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{D}$  a holomorphic isomorphism.

**Remark 7.2.** There is no holomorphic isomorphism from  $\mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$  because of Liouville's Theorem.

*Proof.* (Kobe) Let  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{f : \Omega \to \mathbb{D} : f \text{ injective}, f(z_0) = 0, f'(z_0) > 0\}$ . The steps are as follows:

•  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof. If  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ , there is a point  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ . If  $\Omega$  is simply connected, there exists  $h: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic with  $h^2(z) = z - a$ . Then  $h(\Omega)$  is open and there exists r such that  $B_r(h(z_0)) \subset h(\Omega)$ . Then  $h^2(\cdot) = \cdot - a$  is injective, so h is injective. Then  $-B(h(z_0), r) \cap h(\omega) = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, there are  $z_1, z_2$  with  $h(z_1) = -h(z_2) \neq 0$ . Then, we have  $z_1 \neq z_2$  and  $h(z_1) = -h(z_2)$  which implies that  $h^2(z_1) = h^2(z_2)$ .

Hence,  $|h(z) - h(z_0)| \ge r$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . It we take p = r/2 > 0, then we have  $|h(z) + h(z_0)| \ge p$ . Then, we find  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  so that

$$c\frac{h(z) - h(z_0)}{h(z) + h(z_0)} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Rotating by a sufficient  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$z \mapsto ce^{i\theta} \frac{h(z) - h(z_0)}{h(z) + h(z_0)} \in \mathcal{F}$$

• Show there is f which maximizes  $f'(z_0)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Proof. Let  $g_n \in \mathcal{F}$  so that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} g'_n(z_0) = \sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}} f'(z_0)$ . Since  $||g_n||_{\Omega} \leq 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can pass to a subsequence so that  $g_n \to g$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$  for some holomorphic  $g: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $g'_n \to g'$  uniformly on compact sets in  $\Omega$ . Hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} g'_n(z_0) = g'(z_0)$  and  $\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}} f'(z_0) = g'(z_0) < \infty$  and  $g'(z_0) > 0$ .

We still need to show g is injective. Let  $z_1 \neq z_2$ ,  $z_1, z_2 \in \Omega$ ,  $g(z_1) = g(z_2)$ . Then in  $\Omega \setminus \{z_1\}$ ,  $g_n(\cdot) - g_n(z_1) \neq 0$  for all points in  $\Omega \setminus \{z_1\}$ . By the Hurwitz theorem,  $g(\cdot) - g(z_1)$  is either 0 or never vanishes. But  $g(\cdot)$  is not a constant function since  $g'(z_0) > 0$ , so we have  $g(\cdot) - g(z_1)$  never vanishes on  $\Omega \setminus \{z_1\}$ , so  $g(z_2) \neq g(z_1)$ , a contradiction.

Moreoever,  $||g||_{\Omega} \leq 1$  gives that  $g(\Omega) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , but by the maximum principle, we have  $g(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{D}$ .

• If  $f'(z_0)$  maximal, then f is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $g(\Omega) = \mathbb{D}$ . Suppose there is  $w_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus g(\Omega)$ . We perform several modifications of g.

First, let  $F(z) = \sqrt{\frac{g(z)-w_0}{1-\overline{w_0}g(z)}}$ . This is well-defined since  $\Omega$  is simply connected. Note that  $F(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{D}$  and F is injective with  $0 \notin F(\Omega)$ .

Second, we make  $z_0$  go to 0. Define  $G(z) = \frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{1 - \overline{F}(z_0)F(z)}$ . Then, G is injective from  $\Omega \to \mathbb{D}$  and  $G(z_0) = 0$ .

We now show that  $G'(z_0) > g'(z_0)$ , a contradiction. We will show that  $g = k \circ G$ , where  $k : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ , holomorphic. The inverse of G is a fractional linear transformation given by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & F(z_0) \\ \overline{F}(z_0) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

From F to g, we take the  $T_w \circ (z \mapsto z^2)$ , where w is the corresponding matrix from the initial FLT. So we have  $k = T_w \circ (z \mapsto z^2) \circ T_h$ . Note that  $k(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$  and  $k(0) = \frac{F(z_0)^2 + w_0}{1 + \overline{w_0} F(z_0)^2}$ , so since we have  $F(z_0)^2 = -w_0$ , we get k(0) = 0.

Since  $k \notin \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ , so we must have |k'(0)| < 1 by the Schwarz Lemma. It follows that

$$|G'(z_0)| > |k'(0)||G'(z_0)| = |(k \circ G)'(z_0)| = |g'(z_0)|,$$

a contradiction.  $\Box$