

Math 205: Complex Variables

Professor: Dan-Virgil Voiculescu, Spring 2021

Scribe: Vishal Raman

Contents

1	January 20th, 2021	3
1.1	Intro to Riemann Mapping Theorem	3
1.2	Cauchy's Integral Formula	3
1.3	Schwarz Lemma	3
1.4	Maximum Principles	4
2	January 25th, 2021	5
2.1	Uniform Convergence	5
3	January 27th, 2021	7
3.1	Uniform Convergence, continued	7
3.2	Metric Convergence	7
3.3	Riemann Sphere	7
4	February 1st, 2021	8
4.1	Connectivity	8
4.2	Fractional Linear Transformations	8
4.3	Fractional Linear Transformations, Unit Disk	9
5	February 3rd, 2021	10
5.1	Remark on the Zeta Function	10
5.2	Fractional Linear Transformations, continued	10
5.3	Automorphisms of the Half Plane	10
5.4	The Cross Ratio	11
6	February 8th, 2021	12
6.1	Mappings of Circles and Lines	12
6.2	Revisiting the Schwarz Lemma	12
6.3	Functions on Simply Connected Regions	13
6.4	Injective Functions	13

§1 January 20th, 2021

§1.1 Intro to Riemann Mapping Theorem

Our first goal is to prove a fundamental theorem of Riemann on conformal mappings. We start with several preparations, including some detours. The theorem essentially says that lots of open sets in \mathbb{C} are holomorphically isomorphic, given that they satisfy some simple topological conditions.

§1.2 Cauchy's Integral Formula

Recall Cauchy's formula:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

where Γ is a simple closed curve, piecewise differentiable, $z_0 \in \text{Int}(\Gamma)$, and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function, with Ω open, $\Omega \supset \Gamma \cup \text{Int}(\Gamma)$.

If Γ is the circle $|z - z_0| = R$, we parameterize with $z = Re^{i\theta} + z_0$ with $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. This gives

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + Re^{i\theta}) d\theta,$$

which represents the average of f on the circle.

It follows that

$$|f(z_0)| \leq \max_{\partial B_R(z_0)} |f(z)|,$$

with equality if and only if f is constant.

If $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic for Ω connected, open and $z_0 \in \Omega$, then

$$|f(z_0)| \leq \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)|$$

with equality if and only if f is constant.

§1.3 Schwarz Lemma

Theorem 1 (Schwarz Lemma)

For $f : B_1(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic with $|f(z)| \leq 1$ for all z and $f(0) = 0$. Then

$$|f(z)| \leq |z|, |f'(0)| \leq 1.$$

If for some $z_0 \neq 0$, $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ or if $|f'(0)| = 1$ then $f(z) = cz$ for some $|c| = 1$.

Proof. Define a function

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} f(z)/z, & \text{if } 0 < |z| \leq 1 \\ f'(0), & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Note that $g(z)$ is continuous since at zero,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z)}{z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z - 0} = f'(0).$$

Hence, $|g(z)| \leq C < \infty$ using the Weierstrass Extreme Value theorem. If $0 < \epsilon < |w| < r < 1$, note that taking a Keyhole Contour, we have

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{|z|=r} - \int_{|z|=\epsilon} \right) \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz.$$

Note that

$$\left| \int_{|z|=\epsilon} \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz \right| \leq (2\pi\epsilon) \cdot C \frac{1}{|w|-\epsilon} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

It follows that

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz$$

for $0 < |w| < r$. The right side is holomorphic in w if $|w| < r$, so it follows that

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{g(z)}{z-w} dz$$

is holomorphic in $|z| < 1$.

This can also be proved by taking a Taylor series about the origin. Since there is no constant term, we can divide by z to still have a convergent Taylor series.

If $r < 1$,

$$\sup_{|z| \leq r} |g(z)| = \sup_{|z|=r} |g(z)| \leq \sup_{|z|=r} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z|} \leq \frac{1}{r}.$$

If we let $r \uparrow 1$, then we get $\sup_{|z| < 1} |g(z)| \leq 1$. It follows that $|f(z)| \leq |z|$, $|f'(0)| \leq 1$.

If $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$ for some $0 < |z_0| < 1$ then $|g(z_0)| = 1$ and g is constant by the maximum principle so $g(z) = c$, $f(z) = cz$. If $|f'(0)| = 1$, then $|g(0)| = 1$ so g is constant and $f = cz$. \square

§1.4 Maximum Principles

In the above proof, we used the maximum principle. Some other versions we will use are the following:

If $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ compact and $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous, and the restriction of f to the interior of K is holomorphic, then

$$\sup_{z \in K} |f(z)| = \sup_{z \in \partial K} |f(z)|.$$

If Ω is open and connected, $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $z_0 \in \Omega$, and $|f(z_0)| = \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)|$, then f is constant. Applying this to e^f and using that $|e^f| = e^{\operatorname{Re} f}$, we find that

$$\operatorname{Re} f(z_0) = \sup_{z \in \Omega} \operatorname{Re} f(z),$$

implies that f is constant. We have the same result for $\operatorname{Im} f$ by replacing f with $-if$.

§2 January 25th, 2021

§2.1 Uniform Convergence

Remark 2.1. They sometimes call open connected sets "regions".

Definition 2.2 (Uniform Convergence). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. Let $f_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a function so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in K} |f(z) - f_n(z)| = 0$ for all $K \subset \Omega$ compact (also denoted $K \subset\subset \Omega$).

Remark 2.3. Recall from real analysis that f is a continuous function.

Some further remarks:

- It suffices to check the result for a sequence of compact subsets K_m so that $\bigcup_m K_m^\circ = \Omega$, then it suffices to check those. If $K \subset\subset \Omega$, then K is compact and covered by the union of the subsets so there exists a finite subcovering, and uniform convergence on the subcovering implies uniform convergence on K .
- It is often convenient to introduce $\|g\|_K = \sup_{z \in K} |g(z)|$. Uniform convergence can be restated as $\|f_n - f\|_K \rightarrow 0$ for all $K \subset\subset \Omega$.
- If $\|f_n - f\|_K \rightarrow 0$ for all $K \subset\subset \Omega$, then f is also holomorphic. It follows by passing to the limit in the Cauchy Integral formula. Namely, take $\{z : |z - z_0| \leq R\} \subset \Omega$ and consider the points in $|z_0 - \zeta| < R$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f_n(\zeta) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f(z)}{z-\zeta} dz \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f_n(z)}{z-\zeta} dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f(z)}{z-\zeta} dz \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{R - |z_0 - \zeta|} \cdot (2\pi R) \|f_n - f\|_{|z-z_0|=R} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So it follows that

$$f(\zeta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|} \frac{f(z)}{z-\zeta} dz.$$

It follows that f continuous on $|z - z_0| = R$ is holomorphic in $\zeta \in \{|z - z_0| < R\}$, so it follows that f is holomorphic.

- We can similarly show that

$$f_n^{(j)}(\zeta) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=R} \frac{f_n(z)}{(z-\zeta)^{n+1}} dz$$

$$\text{and } \|f_n^{(j)} - f^{(j)}\|_K \rightarrow 0.$$

From the last item, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2

If $f_n \rightarrow f$ on compact subsets of Ω , then if f_n is holomorphic we find that f is holomorphic and $f_n^{(j)} \rightarrow f^{(j)}$ uniformly on compact subsets of Ω .

Theorem 3 (Hurwitz)

Let Ω be a region, $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $f_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic with $f_n(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|f_n - f\|_K \rightarrow 0$ for all compact subsets. Then either $f \equiv 0$ or $f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. If f is not identically zero on ω , then since f is holomorphic, its zeros are isolated. If $z_0 \in \Omega$, $f(z_0) = 0$, then there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that when $0 < |z - z_0| < \epsilon$, $f(z) \neq 0$.

Since $f(z) \neq 0$ for $|z - z_0| = \epsilon/2$, by the Weierstrass theorem applied to $|f|$ on $|z - z_0| = \epsilon$, we have $|f(z)| \geq m > 0$ on $\{|z - z_0| = \epsilon/2\} = \Gamma$. If $\|f_n - f\|_\Gamma \leq m/2$ for $n \geq N$, then

$$|f_n(z)| \geq |f(z)| - m/2 \geq m - m/2 = m/2$$

for $z \in \Gamma$. Hence, it follows that $\|1/f_n - 1/f\|_\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ (we leave this as an exercise).

Since $\|f'_n - f'\|_\Gamma \rightarrow 0$, we find that $\|f'_n/f_n - f'/f\| \rightarrow 0$ (another exercise) and hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f'_n}{f_n} dz \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{f'}{f} dz.$$

The integrand of the left hand side is $(\log f_n)'$, whose integral is 0, and the right side is the order of the zero of f at z_0 by the argument principle. It follows that the order of z_0 as a possible zero is 0, so $f(z_0) \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 4

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, \mathcal{F} a set of holomorphic functions, the following are equivalent:

- for every $K \subset\subset \Omega$ $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_K < \infty$
- for every sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$, there is a subsequence $(f_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ so that $(f_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of Ω .

Proof. We first show 2 implies 1. If $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_K = \infty$, then we can find for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $\|f_n\|_K \geq n$. If we abstract a convergence subsequence, then $\|f_{n_j} - f\|_K \leq C < \infty$ and $\|f_{n_j}\|_K \leq \|f\|_K + C$, while $\|f_{n_j}\|_K \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. \square

§3 January 27th, 2021

§3.1 Uniform Convergence, continued

Theorem 5

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, \mathcal{F} a set of holomorphic functions, the following are equivalent:

- for every $K \subset\subset \Omega$ $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_K < \infty$
- for every sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$, there is a subsequence $(f_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ so that $(f_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of Ω .

I missed the beginning of the class, but I will add the proof of the theorem once notes are posted.

§3.2 Metric Convergence

One can put a metric on holomorphic functions so that convergence in the metric is uniform convergence on compact sets. For $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, but $K_n \Subset \Omega$ so that $\bigcup_n K_n^\circ = \Omega$ and take

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|f - g\|_{K_n}}{1 + \|f - g\|_{K_n}} 2^{-n}.$$

§3.3 Riemann Sphere

On the set $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, we consider the topology which makes it the Alexandroff(one-point) compactification of \mathbb{C} . If $z \in \mathbb{C}$, a neighborhood is one that contains a neighborhood in \mathbb{C} and a neighborhood of ∞ is of the form $\{\infty\} \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus K)$ for $K \Subset \mathbb{C}$.

Let $U_+ = \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $U_- = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \cup \{\infty\}$. Note that the union of the two sets covers the Riemann Sphere. Define $\psi_+ : U_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\psi_+(z) = z$ and $\psi_- : U_- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\psi_-(w) = 1/w$ if $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\infty\}$ and 0 if $w = \infty$. Notice that these two functions are bijections.

If $V \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is open, a function $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if

$$f|_{V \cup U_{\pm}} \circ (\psi_{\pm}|_{V \cup U_{\pm}})^{-1} : \psi_{\pm}(V \cup U_{\pm}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is holomorphic. In this way, we know what holomorphic functions are on open sets of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

More generally, we can describe a Riemann surface in the following way - Let X be a topological space. Take $\{(U_{\alpha}, z_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in I}$ where $U_{\alpha} \subset X$ is open, and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha} = X$ and $z_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, $z_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha})$ is open and z_{α} is a homeomorphism. The key requirement is that the maps $z_{\alpha} \circ z_{\beta}^{-1} : z_{\beta}(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) \rightarrow z_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta})$ are holomorphic.

Then, if $U \subset X$ is open, $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if for all $\alpha \in I$,

$$f|_{U \cap U_{\alpha}} \circ (z_{\alpha}|_{U \cap U_{\alpha}})^{-1}$$

is holomorphic. Two such atlases give the same Riemann surface if put together, we get an atlas.

§4 February 1st, 2021

§4.1 Connectivity

Definition 4.1. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open is connected if $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ open with $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$ implies that one of the two is empty. For open sets, this is equivalent to arcwise connected.

Definition 4.2. A set is arcwise connected if for every $z_1, z_2 \in \Omega$, there is a path $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ which is continuous and $\varphi(0) = z_1, \varphi(1) = z_2$.

Definition 4.3. Ω is simply connected if for $z_0 \in \Omega$, $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ continuous and $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma(1) = z_0$, then there is $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ continuous with $G(t, 0) = \Gamma(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $G(t, 1) = z_0$, for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Simply connected corresponds to the idea of being able to continuously deform the set to a point for each point.

In $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$, Ω -open simply connected is equivalent to $(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \Omega$ is connected in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. That is, if $F = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \Omega$, which is closed in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, with $F \cap V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$, then at least one of the $F \cap V_k = \emptyset$. If $0 \in \Omega$, then Ω is simply connected if and only if $\{0\} \cup \{1/z : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega\}$ is connected (this is a local representation).

- Take $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{tz_j : t \in [1, \infty)\}$ for $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.
- $\mathbb{C} \setminus$ spirals.

Theorem 6 (Riemann Mapping Theorem)

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, connected, simply connected, $\emptyset \neq \Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$, then Ω and $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$ are holomorphic isomorphisms.

§4.2 Fractional Linear Transformations

Recall that if $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ then $f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, which was proved using the Schwarz lemma. We view the fractional linear maps from a different context.

We define a map $p : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by

$$p \left(\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{cases} z_1/z_2 & \text{if } z_2 \neq 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } z_2 = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then $p(\xi) = p(\eta)$ if and only if $\xi = \lambda\eta$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

There is a larger group acting on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ given by $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ the invertible 2×2 matrices in the natural way so that

$$A \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{A_{11}p(\xi) + A_{12}}{A_{21}p(\xi) + A_{22}}.$$

Define $T_g : \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by

$$T_g z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

with $T_g(\infty) = \frac{a}{c}$. We have the action $T_g p(\xi) = p(g\xi)$ for $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g_1} \circ T_{g_2} &= T_{g_1 g_2}, \\ (T_g)^{-1} &= T_{g^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We can also ask about the fixed point:

$$T_g p(\xi) = p(\xi) \leftrightarrow p(\xi) = p(g\xi) \Leftrightarrow g\xi = \lambda\xi, \lambda \in C^\times$$

It follows that the fixed points of T_g correspond to the eigenvectors of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

§4.3 Fractional Linear Transformations, Unit Disk

If we have $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}$, then $p(\xi) \in \mathbb{D}$ if and only if $|z_1| < |z_2|$ if and only if $z_1 \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2 < 0$.
If we let

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0 \\ 0, -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we consider the sesquilinear form $\langle J \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$, where it is linear in the first coordinate and conjugate linear in the second coordinate. Note that

$$\left\langle J \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 - \xi_2 \bar{\eta}_2.$$

When does $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ preserve $\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle$?

This means that

$$\langle Jg\xi, g\xi \rangle = \langle J\xi, \xi \rangle$$

for all $\xi \in C^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Then,

$$\langle g^* Jg\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle J\xi, \xi \rangle$$

so it follows that $g^* Jg = J$. (We prove this by transforming ξ in polar coordinates, $\xi = x + i^k y$, and considering $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. These four equations allow us to determine the equality). Note that $U(1, 1) = \{g : g^* Jg = J\}$ forms a group structure where J has eigenvalues ± 1 for this reason, we denote $U(1, 1) \subset GL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

We claim the following: $T_g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \Leftrightarrow g \in C^\times \cdot U(1, 1)$.

§5 February 3rd, 2021

§5.1 Remark on the Zeta Function

Theorem 5.1 (S.M. Voronin 1975)

For $D = \{\frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$, $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If $K \subset\subset D$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|f(\cdot) - \zeta(\cdot + it)\|_K < \epsilon.$$

This theorem essentially says that if I slide around the zeta function in the strip D , I can uniformly approximate pretty much any function I want.

§5.2 Fractional Linear Transformations, continued

Note that $\operatorname{Ker}(g \mapsto T_g) = \mathbb{C}^\times I_2$. We define $SL(2; \mathbb{C}) = \{g \in GL(2; \mathbb{C}) : \det g = 1\}$, the special linear group.

Theorem 5.2

For $g \in SL(2; \mathbb{C})$, $T_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $g \in U(1, 1)$.

Proof. We start with the forward direction. From the first homework, we showed that $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ implies that $f(z) = T_g z$ where g is the composition of a rotation g_1 and $g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_0 \\ \bar{z}_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$. It suffices to check that $g_1, g_2 \in U(1, 1) \times \mathbb{C}^\times I_2$. This is easy to check.

Now, we show the converse. If $g \in U(1, 1)$, then $g^{-1} \in U(1, 1)$. If $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $z = p(\xi)$, $\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle < 0$. We have $T_g z = p(g\xi)$ and $\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle < 0$ implies that $\langle g^* J g \xi, \xi \rangle < 0$, which implies that $\langle J g \xi, g \xi \rangle < 0$, which shows that $T_g z = p(g\xi) \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence $T_g \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{D}$. The same argument holds for $T_g^{-1} \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{D}$ so we have $T_g \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}$ exactly, so $T_g = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. \square

§5.3 Automorphisms of the Half Plane

There is a conformal map from $\mathbb{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ given by $f : z \mapsto \frac{z-i}{z+i}$. This corresponds to

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$f^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_+) = \{(T_f)^{-1} T_g T_f | T_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})\} = \{T_{f^{-1} g f} | g \in SU(1, 1)\}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_+) = \{T_h | f h f^{-1} \in SU(1, 1)\}$ (assuming $h \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $f h f^{-1} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$). It follows that $(f h f^{-1})^* J (f h f^{-1}) = J$, so $h^* (f^* J f) h = f^* J f$. We can compute

$$f^* J f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2i \\ 2i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$h^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we let $h = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} h = I_2.$$

If we check the computation, we find that $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, so it follows that $h \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

§5.4 The Cross Ratio

Note that T_g is completely determined by $T_g 0, T_g 1, T_g \infty$. Suppose $T_g 0 = T_h 0, T_g 1 = T_h 1, T_g \infty = T_h \infty$. If we let $r = g^{-1}h$, we have $T_r 0 = 0, T_r 1 = 1, T_r \infty = \infty$, so it follows that $r \in C^\times I_2$ (carry out the matrix multiplication for an arbitrary matrix).

if we look at g^{-1} instead of g , we find that T_g is completely determined by $a, b, c \in C \cup \infty$ so that $Ta = 1, Tb = 0, Tc = \infty$. Given, a, b, c , such a T_g is the map

$$z \mapsto \frac{z - b}{z - c} : \frac{a - b}{a - c}.$$

We denote the RHS by (z, a, b, c) , which is a fractional linear map taking a, b, c to $1, 0, \infty$. This is called the cross ratio of z, a, b, c .

Theorem 5.3

If T_g is a fractional linear transformation and z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 are distinct points in $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, then

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (T_g z_1, T_g z_2, T_g z_3, T_g z_4).$$

Remark 5.4. The above theorem shows that cross ratios are invariant under fractional linear transformations.

§6 February 8th, 2021

§6.1 Mappings of Circles and Lines

Lemma 6.1

For $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\{w \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} : T_g w \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}\}$ is a circle or a straight line with a point at infinity.

Proof.

$$\frac{aw + b}{cw + d} = \frac{\overline{aw + b}}{\overline{cw + d}},$$

Then $(a\bar{c} - c\bar{a})|w|^2 + (a\bar{d} - c\bar{b})w + (b\bar{c} - d\bar{a})\bar{w} + b\bar{d} - d\bar{b} = 0$. If $a\bar{c} - c\bar{a} = 0$, then we have a straight line. If $a\bar{c} - c\bar{a} \neq 0$, we have

$$\left| w + \frac{\bar{a}d - \bar{c}b}{\bar{a}c - \bar{c}a} \right| = \left| \frac{ad - bc}{\bar{a}c - \bar{c}a} \right|,$$

a circle. □

§6.2 Revisiting the Schwarz Lemma

Recall we have $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, with $f(0) = 0$. We will use the fractional linear transformations so that $0 \in \mathbb{D}$ no longer has a special role.

Given $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ holomorphic with $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$. Take an automorphism mapping $0 \rightarrow z_0$ given by $\frac{\cdot + z_0}{1 + \bar{z}_0(\cdot)}$. Then, applying f and applying $(\frac{\cdot + f(z_0)}{1 + \bar{f}(z_0)(\cdot)})^{-1}$, which sends $f(z_0) \rightarrow 0$. These are all holomorphic, so it follows that the composition is a holomorphism from $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ mapping $0 \rightarrow 0$. Now, we can apply the Schwarz Lemma as usual: For the derivatives, we use the chain rule:

$$\left(\frac{\cdot + z_0}{1 + \bar{z}_0(\cdot)} \right)' \Big|_{z=0} = 1 - |a|^2.$$

Composing the derivatives along the composition, we find the derivative evaluated at 0 which we require to be ≤ 1 .

It follows that

$$\frac{|f'(z_0)|}{1 - |f(z_0)|^2} \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z_0|^2}.$$

Moreover, by the Schwarz Lemma, we have equality if and only if $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. if we put $w = f(z)$, then $dw = f'dz$ and the inequality is

$$\frac{|dw|}{1 - |w|^2} \leq \frac{dz}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

This can be interpreted as having on \mathbb{D} the Riemannian metric

$$\frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{(1 - (x^2 + y^2))^2}$$

and $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, contracting the metric.

§6.3 Functions on Simply Connected Regions

Recall the following properties of holomorphic functions in simply connected regions:

- For $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, then there is $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic so that $F' = f$.
- $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then there exists $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic so that $e^g = f$.
- $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ holomorphic, then there exists $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that $h^n = f$.
- $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic and non-constant, Ω a region, then $f(V)$ is open if $V \subset \Omega$, V is open.

§6.4 Injective Functions

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow G$ be a holomorphic function with Ω open and connected. If f is injective, then $f'(z) \neq 0$. If so, then $f(z) - f(z_0) = u(z)^n$ if $0 = f'(z_0) = \dots, f^{(n-1)}(z_0)$ and $f^{(n)}(z_0) \neq 0$, with $u(z_0) = 0$. Then $u(\{|z - z_0| < \epsilon\})$ is open for some $\epsilon > 0$ so it contains $\{|\zeta| < \delta\}$ for some $\delta > 0$. It follows that $U(z_k) = \frac{\delta}{z} e^{2\pi i k/n}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $f(z_1) = \dots = f(z_n)$. We could also use the argument principle to show that $f'(z) \neq 0$.

Then, $f(\Omega)$ is open and f has local inverses: for each $z \in \Omega$, there is a neighborhood V_z , where f is a holomorphic isomorphism in the region. It follows that $f : \Omega \rightarrow G$ is holomorphic, injective, then $f|_{f(\Omega)} : \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega)$ is a holomorphic isomorphism.

If Ω is an open region so that $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a holomorphic isomorphism, then if fix $z_0 \in \Omega$, we have $g \in \text{Iso}(\Omega, \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow (g(z_0), \frac{g'(z_0)}{|g'(z_0)|}) \in \mathbb{D} \times \{|z| = 1\}$ is a bijection.