

## Midterm Exam

I hereby swear that the work done on this assignment is my own and I have not given nor received aid that is inappropriate for this assignment.

### Problem I

Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite CW-complexes with Euler characteristics  $\chi(X)$  and  $\chi(Y)$ . Show that  $\chi(X \times Y) = \chi(X) \cdot \chi(Y)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\chi(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i c_i^X$  and  $\chi(Y) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j c_j^Y$ , where  $c_k^X$  and  $c_k^Y$  denote the number of  $k$ -cells for  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively.

Furthermore, each  $k$ -cell of  $X \times Y$  is given from the product of a  $\ell$ -cell from  $X$  and an  $k - \ell$ -cell from  $Y$  (where we can set  $c_\ell^X, c_\ell^Y = 0$  if it has no  $\ell$ -cells). Hence, it follows that

$$c_k^{X \times Y} = \sum_{\ell=0}^k c_\ell^X c_{k-\ell}^Y.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X) \cdot \chi(Y) &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i c_i^X \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j c_j^Y \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{i+j} c_i^X c_j^Y \\ &= \sum_{i+j=0}^{n+m} (-1)^{i+j} \sum_{\ell=0}^{i+j} c_\ell^X c_{i+j-\ell}^Y \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} (-1)^k \sum_{\ell=0}^k c_\ell^X c_{k-\ell}^Y \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} (-1)^k c_k^{X \times Y} \\ &= \chi(X \times Y). \end{aligned}$$

□

## Problem II

Suppose that  $X$  is a finite CW-complex and  $n > 1$ . Show that  $H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{F}) = H_i(X; \mathbb{F}) \oplus H_{i-n}(X; \mathbb{F})$ .

*Proof.* First, we claim that  $H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n) \cong H_i(X) \oplus H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n, X \times \{pt\})$ . Define  $r : X \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow X \times \{pt\}$  by  $(x, a) \mapsto (x, pt)$ . Note that this is a retraction since  $r \circ i(x, pt) = r(x, pt) = (x, pt)$ , where  $i : X \times \{pt\} \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbb{S}^n$  is the inclusion map. Furthermore, note that

$$H(r) \circ H(i) = H(r \circ i) = H(\text{id}_{X \times \mathbb{S}^n}) = \text{id}_{H(X \times \mathbb{S}^n)},$$

which implies that  $H(i)$  is injective. It follows that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \times \{pt\} \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{S}^n / X \times \{pt\} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_i(X \times \{pt\}) \rightarrow H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n) \rightarrow H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n, X \times \{pt\}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that  $H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n) \cong H_i(X \times \{pt\}) \oplus H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n, X \times \{pt\})$ .

Next, we show that  $H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n, X \times \{pt\}) \cong H_{i-1}(X \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, X \times \{pt\})$ . Decompose  $\mathbb{S}^n = \tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}$ , where  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  are the upper and lower hemispheres respectively. We replace  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  with  $A$  and  $B$  where the hemisphere is slightly thickened at the equator by a factor  $\epsilon > 0$ . Note that  $\mathbb{S}^n = A \cup B = \text{int } A \cup \text{int } B$ . Note that  $A, B$  are homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}^n$  and  $A \cap B$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ , which is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . From Mayer-Vietoris, we have the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_i(X \times \mathbb{D}^n, X \times \{pt\}) \oplus H_i(X \times \mathbb{D}^n, X \times \{pt\}) \rightarrow H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n, X \times \{pt\})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta_{i-1}} H_{i-1}(X \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, X \times \{pt\}) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(X \times \mathbb{D}^n, X \times \{pt\}) \oplus H_{i-1}(X \times \mathbb{D}^n, X \times \{pt\}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since  $\mathbb{D}^n$  is homotopy equivalent to a point, it follows from exactness that  $H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, X \times \{pt\}) \cong H_{i-1}(X \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, X \times \{pt\})$ . By iterating this  $n$  times, we obtain  $H_i(X \times \mathbb{S}^n, X \times \{pt\}) \cong H_{i-n}(X \times \mathbb{S}^0, X \times \{pt\}) \cong H_{i-n}(X)$ , since  $\mathbb{S}^0$  consists of two points and  $H_{i-n}(X \times \{pt\}) \cong H_{i-n}(X)$ .  $\square$

### Problem III

Let  $X$  be the topological space we get by identifying opposite points on the equator of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . What is  $H_*(X; \mathbb{F})$ ?

*Proof.* We give a CW-decomposition of  $X$  consisting of a point attached to  $S^1$ , and attaching the northern and southern hemispheres to  $S^1$ . Then  $C_0(X) = \mathbb{F}$  since it is generated by a point,  $C_1(X) = \mathbb{F}$  since it is generated by the equator, and  $C_2(X) = \mathbb{F}^2$  since it is generated by the two hemispheres. Note that the gluing maps for the hemispheres are of degree 2 and  $-2$  respectively since under the quotient, when going around the boundary of each hemisphere we wind twice around  $S^1$ , and the two maps go in opposite directions.

This gives the sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2 \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathbb{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $d_1 = 0$  since when we go around  $S^1$ , we meet the point from both sides. If we denote  $e_1^2, e_2^2$  to be the gluing maps for the hemispheres and  $e$  as the gluing map for  $S^1$ , from the Cellular Boundary Formula, we have

$$d_2(e_1^2) = 2e^1, d_2(e_2^2) = -2e^1.$$

It follows that  $\text{im } d_2$  is generated by  $2e^1$ , which is isomorphic to  $2\mathbb{F}$ .

$$0 = d_2(ae_1^2 + be_2^2) = 2ae^1 - 2be^1,$$

which happens when  $a = b$ . Thus,  $\ker d_2$  is generated by  $e_1^2 + e_2^2$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}$ . Thus,  $H_2(X) = \mathbb{F}$ ,  $H_1(X) = \mathbb{F}/2\mathbb{F}$ , and  $H_0(X) = \mathbb{F}$ ,  $H_i(X) = 0$  for  $i > 2$ . Therefore,  $H_*(X) = \mathbb{F}_{(2)} \oplus \mathbb{F}/2\mathbb{F} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{(0)}$ .

□

## Problem IV

Let  $X$  be the topological space we get from the full triangle  $\Delta^2$  by identifying its three vertices. Compute  $H_*(X; \mathbb{F})$ .

*Proof.* We give two arguments. First note that  $\Delta^2$  is homeomorphic to the closed disc  $\mathbb{D}^2$ , which is homotopic to a point. Since homology is preserved under homotopy equivalence, it follows that  $H_*(X; \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F}_{(0)}$ .

We can also compute this explicitly. We take a triangle with vertices  $x, y, z$ , edges  $u = [xy]$ ,  $v = [yz]$ ,  $w = [zx]$ , and face  $T = [xyz]$ . Note that  $C_0(X) = \mathbb{F}^3$  since it is generated by  $x, y, z$ ,  $C_1(X) = \mathbb{F}^3$  since it is generated by  $u, v, w$  and  $C_2(X) = \mathbb{F}$  since it is generated by  $T$ . This gives the chain complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbb{F}^3 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{F}^3 \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $\partial_1 u = y - x$ ,  $\partial_1 v = z - y$ ,  $\partial_1 w = x - z$ . Furthermore,  $\partial_2 T = v + w + u$ . Note that

$$0 = \partial_1(au + bv + cw) = a(y - x) + b(z - y) + c(x - z) = x(-a + c) + y(a - b) + z(b - c),$$

which happens whenever  $a = b = c$ . This implies that  $\ker \partial_1$  is generated by  $u + v + w$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}$ . Furthermore, note that the image of  $\partial_2$  is generated by  $u + v + w$ , so  $H_1(X) = 0$ .

Then, the image of  $\partial_1$  is generated by  $x - y, y - z, z - x$  and the kernel of  $\partial_0$  is generated by  $x, y, z$  so it follows that  $H_0(X) = \mathbb{F}$ . For  $i > 1$ , it is clear that  $H_i(X; \mathbb{F}) = 0$ , so it follows that  $H_*(X; \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F}_{(0)}$ , as desired.  $\square$

## Problem V

Show that chain homotopy of chain maps is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f, g, h : C \rightarrow D$  are chain maps.

- Reflexive: Note that  $f - f = 0$ , so if we take the zero map  $0 : C \rightarrow D$ , then  $0 = \partial_D \circ 0 - 0 \circ \partial_C = f - f$ .
- Symmetric: Suppose  $f$  is chain homotopic to  $g$ . There exists a homomorphism  $\varphi : C \rightarrow D$  so that  $f - g = \partial_D \circ \varphi - \varphi \circ \partial_C$ . Then, note that  $g - f = \partial_D \circ (-\varphi) - (-\varphi) \circ \partial_C$ , so it follows that  $g$  is chain homotopic to  $f$ .
- Transitive: Suppose that  $f$  is chain homotopic to  $g$  and  $g$  is chain homotopic to  $h$ . There exist homomorphisms  $\varphi, \psi : C \rightarrow D$  such that  $f - g = \partial_D \circ \varphi - \varphi \circ \partial_C$  and  $g - h = \partial_D \circ \psi - \psi \circ \partial_C$ . Then, note that

$$\begin{aligned} f - h &= (f - g) + (g - h) \\ &= \partial_D \circ \varphi - \varphi \circ \partial_C + \partial_D \circ \psi - \psi \circ \partial_C \\ &= \partial_D \circ (\varphi + \psi) - (\varphi + \psi) \circ \partial_C. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Problem VI**

Suppose that  $X$  is a finite CW-complex and  $A, B \subset X$  are subcomplexes with the property that  $X = A \cup B$ . Show that

$$\chi(X) = \chi(A) + \chi(B) - \chi(A \cap B).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $c_n^{A \cup B} = c_n^A + c_n^B - c_n^{A \cap B}$ . This is precisely the principle of inclusion-exclusion: for finite sets  $C, D$ ,  $|C \cup D| = |C| + |D| - |C \cap D|$ . A short proof of this is as follows. In order to count the elements of  $C \cup D$ , we count the number of elements in  $C$  once and the number of elements in  $D$  once. However, the elements in  $C \cap D$  are counted twice, so we subtract this from our count so that every element is counted exactly once. The result follows from setting  $C = X_n^A$  and  $D = X_n^B$ , the respective  $n$ -skeletons.  $\square$