

# **Math 258 Lecture Notes, Fall 2020**

## **Harmonic Analysis**

Professor: Michael Christ

Vishal Raman

# Contents

<b>1 August 27th, 2020</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	3
1.2 Fourier Analysis . . . . .	3
1.3 On Tori of Arbitrary Dimension . . . . .	3
1.4 Euclidean Spaces . . . . .	4
<b>2 September 1st, 2020</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Proof of Plancherel's Theorem . . . . .	7
2.2 Introduction to Convolution . . . . .	8
2.3 General Convolution . . . . .	9

## §1 August 27th, 2020

### §1.1 Introduction

We begin by considering the problem of conduction of heat in a circle. We use the map  $x \mapsto e^{ix}$ ,  $x \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Where  $u$  is the temperature,  $t$  is the time, we believed that  $u_t = \gamma u_{xx}$ , where subscripts denote partial derivatives. We also have an initial condition,  $f(x) = u(x, 0)$ .

There are some simple solutions  $e^{inx}e^{-\gamma n^2 t}|_{t=0} = e^{inx}$ . The product of solutions, the sum of solutions, and scalar multiple of solutions are all solutions, so he wrote the solution as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}, u(x, t) = \sum_n a_n e^{-\gamma n^2 t} e^{inx}.$$

### §1.2 Fourier Analysis

We take a circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ , which can also be thought of as  $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ , with the map  $x \mapsto e^{ix}$ . Suppose we have  $G$  a finite abelian group, and  $\hat{G} = \{\text{hom } \varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$ , the dual group.  $\hat{G}$  is also a group, formally known as the set of characters.

#### Example 1.1

If we take  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ , with the map  $x \mapsto e^{2\pi i x n/N}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ .

Similarly, taking  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_2} \times \dots$ , we take  $x \mapsto \prod e^{2\pi i x n/N_i}$ .

Take  $e_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi(x)}$ , where  $\xi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Working in  $L^2(G)$ , we note the following:

**Fact 1.2.** If  $\xi \neq \varphi$ , then  $\langle e_\xi, e_\varphi \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.*

$$\sum_{x \in G} \xi(x) \overline{\varphi(x)} = \sum_u \xi(u+y) \overline{\varphi(u+y)} - \left( \sum_u \xi(u) \overline{\varphi(u)} \right) \xi(y) \overline{\varphi(y)}.$$

Hence, either  $\langle \xi, \varphi \rangle = 0$  or  $\xi(y) \overline{\varphi(y)} = 1$  for all  $y \in G$ , which implies  $\xi = \varphi$ .  $\square$

It follows that  $\{e_f : f \in \hat{G}\}$  is an orthonormal set in  $L^2(G)$ . Then, the dimension is  $|\hat{G}| = |G| = \dim(L^2(G))$ . Hence, the set forms an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(G)$ .

Then, for all  $f \in L^2(G)$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2(G)}^2 = \sum_{\varphi \in \hat{G}} |\langle f, e_\varphi \rangle|^2,$$

$$f = \sum_{e_\xi \in \hat{G}} \langle f, e_\xi \rangle e_\xi.$$

### §1.3 On Tori of Arbitrary Dimension

We define  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ , from  $[0, 2\pi]$ . We then work on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ .

For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , we define

$$\hat{f}(n) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int f(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

We have an inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\mu(x)$  defined over a Lebesgue measure or Euclidean measure on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ .

**Theorem 1** (Parseval's Theorem)

For all  $f \in L^2(\Pi^d)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}(n)|^2,$$

and we have

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx},$$

in the sense that

$$\|f - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}\|_L^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Note: you can usually figure out the constant with the simplest example,  $f = 1$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\mathbb{T}^d, e_n(x) = e^{in \cdot x}$ . The  $\{(2\pi)^{-d/2} e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is orthonormal (left as an exercise). Then, for all  $f$ ,  $\sum_n \langle f, (2\pi)^{-d/2} e_n \rangle \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2$ , with equality if the set is a basis (Bessel's inequality).

It suffices to show that  $\text{span}\{e_n\}$  is dense in  $L^2$ . Take  $P = \text{span}\{e_n\}$ , and note that  $P$  is an algebra of continuous functions on  $\Pi^d$ , closed under conjugation, contains 1, and separates points. Hence, the Stone-Weierstrass theorem implies that  $P$  is dense in  $C^o(\Pi^d)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{C^o}$ . Then  $C^o \subset L^2$  is dense (general theory about Compact Hausdorff spaces, Radon Measures).

The statement  $\|f - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}\|_L^2 \rightarrow 0$  follows from the general theory of orthonormal systems.  $\square$

### §1.4 Euclidean Spaces

We work in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , ( $d \geq 1$ ). Take  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $x \mapsto x\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , but if we take  $x \mapsto e^{ix\xi}$ , we have a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \Gamma$ . We try to define the following:

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx = \langle f, e_\xi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where  $e_{xi}(x) = e^{ix\xi}$ .

Some problems:

1.  $e_\xi \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$
2.  $f(x) e^{-ix\xi}$  need not be in  $L^1$  if  $f \in L^2$ .

We fix this by imposing extra conditions.

**Definition 1.3.** For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx.$$

Note that  $f \in L^1$  implies that  $\hat{f}$  is bounded, continuous. We see this as follows:  $\hat{f}(\xi + u) - \hat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-ix\xi} (e^{-ixu} - 1) dx$ . If we let  $u \rightarrow 0$ , the right goes to 0 pointwise, and  $(2|f|) \in L^1$  dominates the integral, it goes to 0.

**Proposition 1.4**

If  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

**Theorem 2 (Plancherel's Theorem)**

$\pi : L^1 \cap L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  extends uniquely to  $\hat{\pi} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , linear, bounded,  $\|\hat{\pi}f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \|f\|_{L^2}^2$ , and for all  $f \in L^2$ , we have an inverse Fourier Transform,  $\check{f}(y) = \int f(\xi) e^{iy\xi} d\xi$  for  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ , and  $\check{\cdot}$  also extends.

Finally,

$$\|f - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $\check{f}(y) = \hat{f}(-y)$ .

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^{-d} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2}^2$  for all  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ . We prove this for a dense subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $L^2$ . We will show later that there exists a subspace  $V \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  so that  $V$  is dense in  $L^2$ ,  $V \subset L^1$ ,  $\forall f \in V$ , there exists  $C_f < \infty$ , so for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq C_f (f(\xi))^{-d}$  and  $f$  is continuous with compact support.

We are given  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  supported where  $|x| \leq R = R_f < \infty$ . For large  $t \geq 0$ , define  $f_t(x) = f(tx)$  (this shrinks the support of  $f$ ), supported where  $|x| \leq R/t < \pi$ . We can then think of  $f_t : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Now, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_t(n) &= (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f_t(x) e^{-inx} dx \\ &= t^{-d} (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-in/ty} dy \\ &= t^{-d} (2\pi)^{-d} \hat{f}(t^{-1}n), \end{aligned}$$

where the first hat is on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  and the second is on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , so the Fourier coefficients in the euclidean case are scalar multiples of the Fourier coefficients in the Tori case.

Thus,

$$\|f_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 = t^{-d} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = c_d \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}_t(n)|^2 = c'_d t^{-2d} \sum_n |\hat{f}(t^{-1}n)|^2$$

Hence,

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = c'_d t^{-d} \sum_n |\hat{f}(t^{-1}n)|^2.$$

This has a nice tiling Riemann sum interpretation: if we take  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and tile it with cubes of sidelength  $1/t$  where one corner is at  $t^{-1}n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , then

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = c'_d t^{-d} \sum_n \left| \hat{f}(t^{-1}n) \right|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_t|^2 dx,$$

where  $g(x) = \hat{f}(t^{-1}n)$ .

We claim

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_t|^2 \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}|^2,$$

which follows from the dominated convergence theorem: where we take a sequence over  $t$  going to infinity, with dominator  $C_f^2(1 + |\xi|)^{-2d}$  in  $L^1$  and  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq C_f^2(1 + |\xi|)^{-2d}$ . Furthermore, we have  $g_t(\xi) \rightarrow \hat{f}(\xi)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\hat{f}$  is continuous so  $g_t$  is pointwise convergent, and we have

$$|g_t(\xi)| = |\hat{f}(t^{-1}n)| \leq C_f(1 + |t^{-1}n|)^{-d} \leq C'(1 + |\xi|)^{-d}.$$

□

## §2 September 1st, 2020

### §2.1 Proof of Plancherel's Theorem

Last time

- $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx.$$

- $V = \{f \in L_1 \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : |\hat{f}(\xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^d \text{ is a bounded linear function, } \langle x \rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2} \geq 1, = |x| \text{ for } x \text{ large.}\}$
- Claim:  $V$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then  $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|f\|_{L^2}$  for all  $f \in V$  so there exists a unique bounded linear operator  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  takes a function to its fourier transform.
- We discussed some properties of  $\mathcal{F}$ .
  - $\|\mathcal{F}f\|_2 = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|f\|_2$
  - $\mathcal{F}$  is onto.
  - For all  $f \in L^2$ ,

$$\left\| f - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

in the limit where  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

First note that  $\mathcal{F}$  has closed range (this was an exercise). It suffices to show: If  $g \in L^2, g \perp \mathcal{F}(f)$  for all  $f \in V$ , then  $g = 0$ .

*Proof.* First, note that

$$0 = \langle g, \mathcal{F}(f) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^*(g), f \rangle,$$

and for all  $g \in V$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}^*g(x) = \int g(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}^*(g)(x) = (\mathcal{F}g)(-x)$  for all  $g \in V$ , which is dense in  $L^2$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F}g = 0$ , and the Fourier transform preserves norms, so  $g = 0$ .  $\square$

We also claimed the following: Let  $f \in L^2$ :

$$\|f(x) - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g_r = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$ . We have to show  $\langle f, g_r \rangle \rightarrow \|f\|_2^2$ . Then

$$\|f - g_r\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2 + \|g_r\|_2^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle f, g_r \rangle \rightarrow \|f\|_2^2 + \|f\|_2^2 - 2\|f\|_2^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g_r \rangle &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int f(x) \overline{\int_{|\xi| \leq R} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi} dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \left( \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \right) \overline{(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) d\xi} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} |\mathcal{F}f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \rightarrow (2\pi)^{-d} \|\mathcal{F}f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

However, it's not clear that we can use Fubini's theorem. We would need  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ . But this is not an issue as  $L^1 \cap L^2 \subset L^2$  is dense, so if we let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $f = G + h$ ,  $\|h\|_2 \leq \epsilon$  and  $G \in L^1 \cap L^2$ . Showing the convergence from here is an exercise.  $\square$

We still need  $V = (f \in L^1 \cap L^2 : \langle \xi \rangle^d (\hat{f}(\xi)) \text{ is bounded})$  is dense in  $L^2$ . We'll discuss this in the future.

## §2.2 Introduction to Convolution

Our meta definition is  $f * g(x) = \int f(x-y)g(y)dy$ , but it will depend on the conditions of the function for the integral to be defined.

Convolution is generally associated to a group, where

$$\int_G f(xy^{-1}g(y)d\mu(y)),$$

with the Haar measure(done in 202b).

If we substitute  $y = x - u$ , then

$$f * g(x) = \int f(u)g(x-u)du = g * f(x).$$

It is also associative:  $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$  for all  $f, g, h$ (involves Fubini's theorem).

We can formally write

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(u)g(v)d\lambda_x(u, v),$$

where  $\lambda_x$  is supported on  $\Lambda = \{(u, v) : u + v = x\}$ (an affine subspace). If we have a subset  $E \subset \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda_x(E) = |\pi_1(E)| = |\pi_2(E)|$ , where  $\pi_i$  are Lebesgue measure s of projections on the  $i$ -th factor. Note the following: suppose that  $f, g$  are continuous with compact support. Then  $\text{supp}(f * g) \subset \text{supp}(f) + \text{supp}(g)$ , where  $A + B = \{a + b : (a, b) \in A \times B\}$ .

Let  $T : C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_b^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be bounded, linear and  $T \circ \tau_y = \tau_y \circ T$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $\tau_y f(x) = f(x + y)$ , a translation). Then, there exists a Complex Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  so that for all  $f \in C_0^0$ ,  $T(f) = f * \mu$ , where

$$f * \mu(x) = \int f(x-y)d\mu(y).$$

In the case of  $\mathbb{T}^1$ ,  $f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{inx}$  for all  $f \in L^2$ . Suppose we wanted to consider the partial sums,

$$\sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{f}(n)e^{inx} = S_N(f)(x).$$

In what sense does  $S_N f \rightarrow f$ , and for which functions  $f$  do we have convergence?

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(f)(x) &= \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inx}(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y)e^{-iny}dy = (2\pi)^{-1} \int f(y) \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{in(x-y)}dy \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y)D_N(x-y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

The Dirichlet Kernels,  $D_N(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inx} = \frac{\sin((N+1/2)x)}{\sin(x/2)}$  if  $x \neq 0$  or  $D_N(x) = 2N+1$  if  $x = 0$ .



## §2.3 General Convolution

### Theorem 3

Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, the following are true:

- $y \mapsto f(x - y)g(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $x \mapsto \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$ .
- If  $f, g \geq 0$ , then  $\|f * g\|_1 = \int f * g = \int f \int g$ .
- The operation commutative and associative, so  $L^1$  is an algebra, but it no multiplicative identity, so no inverses.
- For  $f, g \in L^1$ ,  $(f \hat{*} g) = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}$ .

In other words, convolution is a nice bilinear operation.

*Proof.* Let  $F(x, y) = f(x - y)g(y)$ ,  $F : \mathbb{R}^{d+d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is Lebesgue measurable. We claim that  $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . It follows from

$$\int |F(x, y)| dx dy = \int |f(x - y)| |g(y)| dx dy = \int |g(y)| dy \int |f(x)| dx = \|g\|_1 \|f\|_1 < \infty.$$

Now,  $F \in L^1$ , so by Fubini's theorem, for almost every  $x, y \mapsto f(x - y)g(y) \in L^1$  and  $x \mapsto \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$  is Lebesgue measurable.

$$\|f * g\|_1 = \int |f * g(x)| dx = \int \left| \int f(x - y)g(y) dy \right| dx \leq \int \int |f(x - y)| |g(y)| dy dx = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1.$$

Note that  $\int (f * g)(x) dx = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$ , for non-negative functions.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)^\wedge(\xi) &= \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \left( \int f(x - y)g(y) dy \right) dx \\ &= \int \left( \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x - y) dx \right) dy, x = u + y \\ &= \int \left( e^{-i(u+y) \cdot \xi} f(u) du \right) g(y) dy \\ &= \int e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(u) g(y) dy \\ &= \hat{f}(\xi) \cdot \hat{g}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

□

### Example 2.1 (A Warning)

In  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $f(x) = |x|^{-2/3} 1_{|x| \leq 1}$ , which has an asymptote at 0.  $f \in L^1$ , and

$$(f * f)(0) = \int_{-1}^1 |u|^{-4/3} dy = +\infty.$$

**Proposition 2.2**

Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Let  $f \in L^1, g \in L^p$ . Then,

- $y \mapsto f(x - y)g(y) \in L^1$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $x \mapsto \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- $f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$ .

*Proof.* For  $p = \infty$ ,  $\int f(x - y)g(y)dy \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

If  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $L^p \subset L^1 + L^\infty$ , as follows:

$$f(x) = f(x)1_{|f(x)| \leq 1} + f(x)1_{|f(x)| > 1}.$$

We can prove the rest with Minkowski's inequality, or a simpler way. Let  $q = p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$  (hence  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ ). We use the norm definition,

$$\|f * g\|_p = \sup_{\|h\|_q \leq 1} \int |g * f| \cdot |h|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |g * f| \cdot |h| &\leq \int (|g| * |f|) \cdot |h| = \int \int |g(x - y)| |f(y)| dy h(x) dx \\ &= \int |f(y)| \int |g(x - y)| h(x) dx dy \leq \int |f(y)| \|g\|_p * 1 dy = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

□