

# **Math 258 Lecture Notes, Fall 2020**

## **Harmonic Analysis**

Professor: Michael Christ

Vishal Raman

# Contents

<b>1 August 27th, 2020</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	3
1.2 Fourier Analysis . . . . .	3
1.3 On Tori of Arbitrary Dimension . . . . .	3
1.4 Euclidean Spaces . . . . .	4
<b>2 September 1st, 2020</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Proof of Plancherel's Theorem . . . . .	7
2.2 Introduction to Convolution . . . . .	8
2.3 General Convolution . . . . .	9
<b>3 September 3rd, 2020</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1 Convolution and Continuity . . . . .	11
3.2 Convolution and Differentiation . . . . .	11
3.3 Approximation . . . . .	12
<b>4 September 8th, 2020</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1 Fourier Transform Identities . . . . .	15
4.2 The Gaussian . . . . .	16
4.3 Schwartz Spaces . . . . .	17
<b>5 September 10th, 2020</b>	<b>19</b>
5.1 Schwartz Space, continued . . . . .	19
5.2 Tempered Distributions . . . . .	19
<b>6 September 15th, 2020</b>	<b>23</b>
6.1 Poisson Summation Formula . . . . .	23
6.2 Size of Fourier Coefficients . . . . .	23
<b>7 September 17th, 2020</b>	<b>27</b>
7.1 Size of Fourier Coefficients, continued . . . . .	27
7.2 Comparing Size of Functions to Size of Fourier Coefficients . . . . .	28

## §1 August 27th, 2020

### §1.1 Introduction

We begin by considering the problem of conduction of heat in a circle. We use the map  $x \mapsto e^{ix}$ ,  $x \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Where  $u$  is the temperature,  $t$  is the time, we believed that  $u_t = \gamma u_{xx}$ , where subscripts denote partial derivatives. We also have an initial condition,  $f(x) = u(x, 0)$ .

There are some simple solutions  $e^{inx}e^{-\gamma n^2 t}|_{t=0} = e^{inx}$ . The product of solutions, the sum of solutions, and scalar multiple of solutions are all solutions, so he wrote the solution as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}, u(x, t) = \sum_n a_n e^{-\gamma n^2 t} e^{inx}.$$

### §1.2 Fourier Analysis

We take a circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ , which can also be thought of as  $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ , with the map  $x \mapsto e^{ix}$ . Suppose we have  $G$  a finite abelian group, and  $\widehat{G} = \{\text{hom } \varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$ , the dual group.  $\widehat{G}$  is also a group, formally known as the set of characters.

#### Example 1.1

If we take  $G = \mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ , with the map  $x \mapsto e^{2\pi i x n/N}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ .

Similarly, taking  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_2} \times \dots$ , we take  $x \mapsto \prod e^{2\pi i x n/N_i}$ .

Take  $e_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi(x)}$ , where  $\xi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Working in  $L^2(G)$ , we note the following:

**Fact 1.2.** If  $\xi \neq \varphi$ , then  $\langle e_\xi, e_\varphi \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.*

$$\sum_{x \in G} \xi(x) \overline{\varphi(x)} = \sum_u \xi(u+y) \overline{\varphi(u+y)} - \left( \sum_u \xi(u) \overline{\varphi(u)} \right) \xi(y) \overline{\varphi(y)}.$$

Hence, either  $\langle \xi, \varphi \rangle = 0$  or  $\xi(y) \overline{\varphi(y)} = 1$  for all  $y \in G$ , which implies  $\xi = \varphi$ .  $\square$

It follows that  $\{e_f : f \in \widehat{G}\}$  is an orthonormal set in  $L^2(G)$ . Then, the dimension is  $|\widehat{G}| = |G| = \dim(L^2(G))$ . Hence, the set forms an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(G)$ .

Then, for all  $f \in L^2(G)$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2(G)}^2 = \sum_{\varphi \in \widehat{G}} |\langle f, e_\varphi \rangle|^2,$$

$$f = \sum_{e_\xi \in \widehat{G}} \langle f, e_\xi \rangle e_\xi.$$

### §1.3 On Tori of Arbitrary Dimension

We define  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ , from  $[0, 2\pi]$ . We then work on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ .

For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , we define

$$\widehat{f}(n) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int f(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

We have an inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\mu(x)$  defined over a Lebesgue measure or Euclidean measure on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ .

**Theorem 1** (Parseval's Theorem)

For all  $f \in L^2(\Pi^d)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2,$$

and we have

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(n) e^{inx},$$

in the sense that

$$\|f - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(n) e^{inx}\|_L^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Note: you can usually figure out the constant with the simplest example,  $f = 1$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\mathbb{T}^d, e_n(x) = e^{in \cdot x}$ . The  $\{(2\pi)^{-d/2} e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is orthonormal (left as an exercise). Then, for all  $f$ ,  $\sum_n \langle f, (2\pi)^{-d/2} e_n \rangle \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2$ , with equality if the set is a basis (Bessel's inequality).

It suffices to show that  $\text{span}\{e_n\}$  is dense in  $L^2$ . Take  $P = \text{span}\{e_n\}$ , and note that  $P$  is an algebra of continuous functions on  $\Pi^d$ , closed under conjugation, contains 1, and separates points. Hence, the Stone-Weierstrass theorem implies that  $P$  is dense in  $C^o(\Pi^d)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{C^o}$ . Then  $C^o \subset L^2$  is dense (general theory about Compact Hausdorff spaces, Radon Measures).

The statement  $\|f - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(n) e^{inx}\|_L^2 \rightarrow 0$  follows from the general theory of orthonormal systems.  $\square$

### §1.4 Euclidean Spaces

We work in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , ( $d \geq 1$ ). Take  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $x \mapsto x\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , but if we take  $x \mapsto e^{ix\xi}$ , we have a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \Gamma$ . We try to define the following:

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx = \langle f, e_\xi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where  $e_{xi}(x) = e^{ix\xi}$ .

Some problems:

1.  $e_\xi \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$
2.  $f(x) e^{-ix\xi}$  need not be in  $L^1$  if  $f \in L^2$ .

We fix this by imposing extra conditions.

**Definition 1.3.** For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx.$$

Note that  $f \in L^1$  implies that  $\widehat{f}$  is bounded, continuous. We see this as follows:  $\widehat{f}(\xi + u) - \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-ix\xi} (e^{-ixu} - 1) dx$ . If we let  $u \rightarrow 0$ , the right goes to 0 pointwise, and  $(2|f|) \in L^1$  dominates the integral, it goes to 0.

**Proposition 1.4**

If  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

**Theorem 2 (Plancherel's Theorem)**

$\pi : L^1 \cap L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  extends uniquely to  $\widehat{\pi} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , linear, bounded,  $\|\widehat{\pi}f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^d \|f\|_{L^2}^2$ , and for all  $f \in L^2$ , we have an inverse Fourier Transform,  $\check{f}(y) = \int f(\xi) e^{iy\xi} d\xi$  for  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ , and  $\check{\cdot}$  also extends.

Finally,

$$\|f - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $\check{f}(y) = \widehat{f}(-y)$ .

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^{-d} \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}^2$  for all  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ . We prove this for a dense subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $L^2$ . We will show later that there exists a subspace  $V \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  so that  $V$  is dense in  $L^2$ ,  $V \subset L^1$ ,  $\forall f \in V$ , there exists  $C_f < \infty$ , so for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq C_f (f(\xi))^{-d}$  and  $f$  is continuous with compact support.

We are given  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  supported where  $|x| \leq R = R_f < \infty$ . For large  $t \geq 0$ , define  $f_t(x) = f(tx)$  (this shrinks the support of  $f$ ), supported where  $|x| \leq R/t < \pi$ . We can then think of  $f_t : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Now, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_t(n) &= (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f_t(x) e^{-inx} dx \\ &= t^{-d} (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-in/ty} dy \\ &= t^{-d} (2\pi)^{-d} \widehat{f}(t^{-1}n), \end{aligned}$$

where the first hat is on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  and the second is on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , so the Fourier coefficients in the euclidean case are scalar multiples of the Fourier coefficients in the Tori case.

Thus,

$$\|f_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 = t^{-d} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = c_d \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{f}_t(n)|^2 = c'_d t^{-2d} \sum_n |\widehat{f}(t^{-1}n)|^2$$

Hence,

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = c'_d t^{-d} \sum_n |\widehat{f}(t^{-1}n)|^2.$$

This has a nice tiling Riemann sum interpretation: if we take  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and tile it with cubes of sidelength  $1/t$  where one corner is at  $t^{-1}n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , then

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = c'_d t^{-d} \sum_n \left| \widehat{f}(t^{-1}n) \right|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_t|^2 dx,$$

where  $g(x) = \widehat{f}(t^{-1}n)$ .

We claim

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_t|^2 \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}|^2,$$

which follows from the dominated convergence theorem: where we take a sequence over  $t$  going to infinity, with dominator  $C_f^2(1 + |\xi|)^{-2d}$  in  $L^1$  and  $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq C_f^2(1 + |\xi|)^{-2d}$ . Furthermore, we have  $g_t(\xi) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(\xi)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\widehat{f}$  is continuous so  $g_t$  is pointwise convergent, and we have

$$|g_t(\xi)| = |\widehat{f}(t^{-1}n)| \leq C_f(1 + |t^{-1}n|)^{-d} \leq C'(1 + |\xi|)^{-d}.$$

□

## §2 September 1st, 2020

### §2.1 Proof of Plancherel's Theorem

Last time

- $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx.$$

- $V = \{f \in L_1 \cap L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^d \text{ is a bounded linear function, } \langle x \rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2} \geq 1, = |x| \text{ for } x \text{ large.}\}$
- Claim:  $V$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then  $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|f\|_{L^2}$  for all  $f \in V$  so there exists a unique bounded linear operator  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  takes a function to its fourier transform.
- We discussed some properties of  $\mathcal{F}$ .
  - $\|\mathcal{F}f\|_2 = (2\pi)^{d/2} \|f\|_2$
  - $\mathcal{F}$  is onto.
  - For all  $f \in L^2$ ,

$$\left\| f - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

in the limit where  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

First note that  $\mathcal{F}$  has closed range (this was an exercise). It suffices to show: If  $g \in L^2, g \perp \mathcal{F}(f)$  for all  $f \in V$ , then  $g = 0$ .

*Proof.* First, note that

$$0 = \langle g, \mathcal{F}(f) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^*(g), f \rangle,$$

and for all  $g \in V$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}^*g(x) = \int g(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}^*(g)(x) = (\mathcal{F}g)(-x)$  for all  $g \in V$ , which is dense in  $L^2$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F}g = 0$ , and the Fourier transform preserves norms, so  $g = 0$ .  $\square$

We also claimed the following: Let  $f \in L^2$ :

$$\|f(x) - (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g_r = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$ . We have to show  $\langle f, g_r \rangle \rightarrow \|f\|_2^2$ . Then

$$\|f - g_r\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2 + \|g_r\|_2^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle f, g_r \rangle \rightarrow \|f\|_2^2 + \|f\|_2^2 - 2\|f\|_2^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g_r \rangle &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int f(x) \overline{\int_{|\xi| \leq R} (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi} dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \left( \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \right) \overline{(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) d\xi} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} |\mathcal{F}f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \rightarrow (2\pi)^{-d} \|\mathcal{F}f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

However, it's not clear that we can use Fubini's theorem. We would need  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ . But this is not an issue as  $L^1 \cap L^2 \subset L^2$  is dense, so if we let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $f = G + h$ ,  $\|h\|_2 \leq \epsilon$  and  $G \in L^1 \cap L^2$ . Showing the convergence from here is an exercise.  $\square$

We still need  $V = (f \in L^1 \cap L^2 : \langle \xi \rangle^d (\widehat{f}(\xi)) \text{ is bounded})$  is dense in  $L^2$ . We'll discuss this in the future.

## §2.2 Introduction to Convolution

Our meta definition is  $f * g(x) = \int f(x-y)g(y)dy$ , but it will depend on the conditions of the function for the integral to be defined.

Convolution is generally associated to a group, where

$$\int_G f(xy^{-1}g(y)d\mu(y)),$$

with the Haar measure(done in 202b).

If we substitute  $y = x - u$ , then

$$f * g(x) = \int f(u)g(x-u)du = g * f(x).$$

It is also associative:  $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$  for all  $f, g, h$ (involves Fubini's theorem).

We can formally write

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(u)g(v)d\lambda_x(u, v),$$

where  $\lambda_x$  is supported on  $\Lambda = \{(u, v) : u + v = x\}$ (an affine subspace). If we have a subset  $E \subset \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda_x(E) = |\pi_1(E)| = |\pi_2(E)|$ , where  $\pi_i$  are Lebesgue measure s of projections on the  $i$ -th factor. Note the following: suppose that  $f, g$  are continuous with compact support. Then  $\text{supp}(f * g) \subset \text{supp}(f) + \text{supp}(g)$ , where  $A + B = \{a + b : (a, b) \in A \times B\}$ .

Let  $T : C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_b^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be bounded, linear and  $T \circ \tau_y = \tau_y \circ T$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $\tau_y f(x) = f(x + y)$ , a translation). Then, there exists a Complex Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  so that for all  $f \in C_0^0$ ,  $T(f) = f * \mu$ , where

$$f * \mu(x) = \int f(x-y)d\mu(y).$$

In the case of  $\mathbb{T}^1$ ,  $f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)e^{inx}$  for all  $f \in L^2$ . Suppose we wanted to consider the partial sums,

$$\sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{f}(n)e^{inx} = S_N(f)(x).$$

In what sense does  $S_N f \rightarrow f$ , and for which functions  $f$  do we have convergence?

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(f)(x) &= \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inx}(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y)e^{-iny}dy = (2\pi)^{-1} \int f(y) \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{in(x-y)}dy \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(y)D_N(x-y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

The Dirichlet Kernels,  $D_N(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{inx} = \frac{\sin((N+1/2)x)}{\sin(x/2)}$  if  $x \neq 0$  or  $D_N(x) = 2N+1$  if  $x = 0$ .



## §2.3 General Convolution

### Theorem 3

Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, the following are true:

- $y \mapsto f(x - y)g(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $x \mapsto \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$ .
- If  $f, g \geq 0$ , then  $\|f * g\|_1 = \int f * g = \int f \int g$ .
- The operation commutative and associative, so  $L^1$  is an algebra, but it no multiplicative identity, so no inverses.
- For  $f, g \in L^1$ ,  $\widehat{(f \star g)} = \widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g}$ .

In other words, convolution is a nice bilinear operation.

*Proof.* Let  $F(x, y) = f(x - y)g(y)$ ,  $F : \mathbb{R}^{d+d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is Lebesgue measurable. We claim that  $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . It follows from

$$\int |F(x, y)| dx dy = \int |f(x - y)| |g(y)| dx dy = \int |g(y)| dy \int |f(x)| dx = \|g\|_1 \|f\|_1 < \infty.$$

Now,  $F \in L^1$ , so by Fubini's theorem, for almost every  $x, y \mapsto f(x - y)g(y) \in L^1$  and  $x \mapsto \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$  is Lebesgue measurable.

$$\|f * g\|_1 = \int |f * g(x)| dx = \int \left| \int f(x - y)g(y) dy \right| dx \leq \int \int |f(x - y)| |g(y)| dy dx = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1.$$

Note that  $\int (f * g)(x) dx = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$ , for non-negative functions.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)^\wedge(\xi) &= \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \left( \int f(x - y)g(y) dy \right) dx \\ &= \int \left( \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x - y) dx \right) dy, x = u + y \\ &= \int \left( e^{-i(u+y) \cdot \xi} f(u) du \right) g(y) dy \\ &= \int e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(u) g(y) dy \\ &= \widehat{f}(\xi) \cdot \widehat{g}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

□

### Example 2.1 (A Warning)

In  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $f(x) = |x|^{-2/3} 1_{|x| \leq 1}$ , which has an asymptote at 0.  $f \in L^1$ , and

$$(f * f)(0) = \int_{-1}^1 |u|^{-4/3} dy = +\infty.$$

**Proposition 2.2**

Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Let  $f \in L^1, g \in L^p$ . Then,

- $y \mapsto f(x - y)g(y) \in L^1$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $x \mapsto \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- $f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$ .

*Proof.* For  $p = \infty$ ,  $\int f(x - y)g(y)dy \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

If  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $L^p \subset L^1 + L^\infty$ , as follows:

$$f(x) = f(x)1_{|f(x)| \leq 1} + f(x)1_{|f(x)| > 1}.$$

We can prove the rest with Minkowski's inequality, or a simpler way. Let  $q = p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$  (hence  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ ). We use the norm definition,

$$\|f * g\|_p = \sup_{\|h\|_q \leq 1} \int |g * f| \cdot |h|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |g * f| \cdot |h| &\leq \int (|g| * |f|) \cdot |h| = \int \int |g(x - y)| |f(y)| dy h(x) dx \\ &= \int |f(y)| \int |g(x - y)| h(x) dx dy \leq \int |f(y)| \|g\|_p * 1 dy = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

□

## §3 September 3rd, 2020

### §3.1 Convolution and Continuity

Recall convolution:

$$f * g(x) = \int f(x-y)g(y)dy, f * \mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-y)d\mu(y),$$

where  $f$  is continuous, bounded,  $\mu$  is a complex Radon measure ( $|\mu|$  is finite)

#### Proposition 3.1

Let  $T : C_0^0 \rightarrow C_b^0$ . Suppose  $T$  is translation invariant:  $T \circ \tau_y = \tau_y \circ T$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . [There exists  $A < \infty : \|Tf\|_{C_0} \leq A\|f\|_{C_0}$  for all  $f$ . Recall  $\|f\|_{C_0} = \sup_x |f(x)|$ , and  $C_0^0, C_b^0$  are Banach spaces.] There exists a complex radon measure  $\mu$  such that  $Tf = f * \mu$  for all  $f$ .

*Proof.* Given  $T : C_0^0 \rightarrow C_b^0$ , consider the map  $\ell : C_0^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $f \mapsto (Tf)(0)$ . It is clear that  $\ell$  is linear. Furthermore,  $\ell$  is bounded, since

$$|Tf(0)| \leq \|Tf\|_{C_0} \leq A\|f\|_{C_0},$$

so  $\ell \in (C_0^0)^*$ . Recall the Riesz Representation Theorem, there exists  $\nu$ , a complex Radon measure, such that for all  $f \in C_0^0$

$$\ell(f) = \int f d\nu.$$

Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We have

$$Tf(-y) = Tf(0-y) = (\tau_y Tf)(0) = T(\tau_y f)(0) = \int \tau_y f(x) d\nu(x) = \int f(x-y) d\nu(x).$$

Similarly, for all  $x$ ,  $(Tf)(-x) = \int f(y-x) d\nu(y)$ . [See lecture notes for correct algebra, sad].  $\square$

### §3.2 Convolution and Differentiation

Informally,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int f(x-y)g(y)dy = \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x-y)g(y)dy.$$

#### Proposition 3.2

Assume  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $g \in L^1$  and  $f, \nabla f$  is bounded. Then

$$f * g \in C^1, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(f * g) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) * g.$$

*Proof.* We assume  $d = 1$  for clarity.

$$\frac{(f * g)(x+t) - (f * g)(x)}{t} = \int \frac{f(x+t-y) - f(x-y)}{t} g(y) dy.$$

Let  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Use DCT, with dominator

$$|g(y)| \cdot \sup_{t,u} \frac{|f(u+t) - f(u)|}{|t|}.$$

The supremum is finite by the mean value theorem.  $\square$

### Example 3.3

Take  $g \in L^\infty$ ,  $f \in C_1$ , and there exists  $a < \infty$  such that for all  $x$ ,

$$|f(x)| + |\nabla f(x)| \leq A\langle x \rangle^{-\gamma}.$$

Hence,  $f, \nabla f \in L^1$ . Then  $f * g \in C^1$ ,  $\nabla(f * g) = (\nabla f) * g$ .

We can iterate this: Under appropriate conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^\alpha(f * g)}{\partial x^\alpha} &= \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} * g, \\ \frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta}(f * g)}{\partial x^{\alpha\beta}} &= \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} * \frac{\partial^\beta g}{\partial x^\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

### Proposition 3.4

If  $f \in L^1$  and  $g \in L^\infty$ , then  $f * g \in C_b^0$ .

*Proof.* Recall: If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $y \mapsto \tau_y f \in L^1$  is continuous: As  $y \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\|\tau_y f - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Then,

$$(f * g)(x) - (f * g)(x') = \int (f(x-y) - f(x'-y))g(y)dy = \int [f(x-y) - (\tau_u f)(x-y)]g(y)dy,$$

where  $u = x' - x$ . As  $u \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\|f - \tau_u f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , and  $g \in L^\infty$ , so the integral approaches 0, as desired.  $\square$

## §3.3 Approximation

**Definition 3.5** (Approximate Identity Sequence). An approximate identity sequence for  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\varphi_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with the following conditions:

- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_n = 1$ .
- For all  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\int_{|x| \geq \delta} |\varphi_n| dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### Theorem 4

Let  $(\varphi_n)$  be an approximate identity sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

1. Let  $f \in C_b^0$  be uniformly continuous. Then  $f * \varphi_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly.
2. Let  $f \in C_b^0$ . Then  $f * \varphi_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on every compact set.
3. If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then for all  $f \in L^p$ ,  $\|f * \varphi_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$ .

[All the above limits are taken for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .]

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} f * \varphi_n(x) - f(x) &= \int f(x-y)\varphi_n(y)dy - f(x) \\ &= \int (f(x-y) - f(x))\varphi_n(y)dy \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$|f * \varphi_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \int |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy.$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ . Then,

$$\int |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy = \int_{|y| \leq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy + \int_{|y| \geq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| \leq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy &\leq \|\varphi_n\|_1 \cdot \sup_{x, |y| \leq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| \\ &= \|\varphi_n\|_1 \cdot \omega_f(\delta) \\ &\leq A \cdot \omega_f(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} |f(x-y) - f(x)|\varphi_n(y)dy &\leq \int_{|y| \geq \delta} 2\|f\|_{C^0} \cdot |\varphi_n(y)|dy \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_{C^0} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} |\varphi_n|dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|f * \varphi_n(x) - f(x)| \leq A\omega_f(\delta) + 2\|f\|_{C^0} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} |\varphi_n|dy.$$

Taking the lim sup, the second term goes to 0, so for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \|f * \varphi_n - f\|_{C^0} \leq A\omega_f(\delta).$$

Since  $f$  is uniformly continuous,  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega_f(\delta) = 0$ , which proves the claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6**

$C^\infty \cap L^p$  is dense in  $L^p$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

*Proof.* We want to construct  $(\varphi_n)$  with  $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty$ .

We claim there exists a function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\int \varphi = 1$  and  $\varphi \geq 0$ . In  $d = 1$ , take  $h(x) = 1x > 0e^{-\|x\|}$ . Then, define  $\varphi(x) = h(x)h(1-x) \in C_0^\infty$ . Then, we normalize  $\varphi$ .

Now, take  $\varphi_n(x) = n^d \varphi(nx)$ . □

**Example 3.7**

Let  $\varphi \geq 0$ ,  $\int \varphi = 1$ . Define  $\varphi_n(x) = n^d \varphi(nx)$ . Then  $\int \varphi_n = 1$ .

Furthermore,

$$\int_{|x| \geq \delta} n^d \varphi(nx) dx = \int_{|y| \geq n\delta} \varphi(y) dy \rightarrow 0.$$

**Example 3.8**

Let  $\varphi(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} e^{-|x|^2/2}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $t > 0$  and  $\varphi_t(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} t^{-d/2} e^{-|x|^2/(2t)}$ . Now  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  and

$$\int_{|x| \geq \delta} \varphi_t(x) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

This is an approximate identity family.

**Example 3.9** (Interpretation of  $f * g$ )

$$f * g = \int \tau_y f(x) \cdot g(y) dy.$$

If  $g \geq 0$  and  $\int g = 1$ , then we have an **average** of translates of  $f$ .

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g = \varphi_n$  so the weight concentrates asymptotically at the origin.

## §4 September 8th, 2020

### §4.1 Fourier Transform Identities

We have many functorial identities.

1. For  $f \in L^1$ ,

$$(\tau_y f)^\wedge(\xi) = e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

2. For  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$(f * g)^\wedge = \widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g}.$$

3. For  $f \in L^1$ ,

$$(e^{ix \cdot \eta} f)^\wedge(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta).$$

4. We use the notation

$$\xi^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^d \xi_j^{\alpha_j}.$$

For  $f \in C^0, C^{|\alpha|}, C_0^0$ ,

$$(\partial^\alpha f)^\wedge(\xi) = (i\xi)^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

This comes from the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} f(x) \right) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx,$$

so we integrate by parts, use Fubini in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and induct on  $|\alpha|$ .

5. For  $f \in C_0^\infty$ ,

$$(X^\beta f(x))^\wedge(\xi) = (i\partial_\xi)^\beta \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

where

$$x^\beta = \prod_{j=1}^d x_j^{\beta_j}, (i\partial_\xi)^\beta = i^{|\beta|} \partial^\beta.$$

6. For  $f \in C_0^\infty$ ,

$$(\partial_x^\alpha f)^\wedge(\xi) = (i\xi)^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

7. If  $L \in GL(d)$ ,  $L : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , linear invertible, then for all  $f \in L^1$ ,

$$(f \circ L)^\wedge(\xi) = |\det(L)|^{-1} \widehat{f} \circ ((L^*)^{-1})(\xi).$$

The proof follows from the substitution  $x = L^{-1}(y)$  and  $(L^{-1})^* = (L^*)^{-1}$ .

#### Corollary 4.1

$$V = \{f \in (L^1 \cap L^2)(\mathbb{R}^d) : \exists A = A_f < \infty, |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq A_f \langle \xi \rangle^{-d}\}$$

is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* We showed last time that  $C_0^\infty$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We need to show that  $f \in C_0^\infty$  implies that  $\widehat{f}(\xi) = O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-N})$  for all  $N \leq \infty$ .

WLOG, assume  $\xi \neq 0$ ,  $\xi_d \neq 0$ ,  $|\xi_d| \geq \frac{|\xi|}{d}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx &= (-i\xi_d)^{-1} \int f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} (e^{-ix \cdot \xi}) dx \\ &= (-i\xi_d)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_d}(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \leq \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We can pick up as many factors of  $\xi_d$  as we'd like to get arbitrary bounds.  $\square$

## §4.2 The Gaussian

**Fact 4.2.** ( $d \geq 1$ ) Take  $e^{-z|x|^2/2} = f(x) = f_z(x)$ . Assume  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0 \rightarrow f_z \in L^1$ .

$$(e^{-z|x|^2/2})^\wedge(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} z^{-d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/(2z)}.$$

We consider  $z^{-d/2}$  in the principal branch. When  $z = 1$ ,  $(e^{-|x|^2/2})^\wedge(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/2}$ . Note the fact

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

In order to calculate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2/2} e^{-ix\xi} dx,$$

we have

$$x^2/2 + ix\xi = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + 2ix\xi) = 1/2(x + i\xi)^2 + \xi^2/2,$$

so

$$e^{-\xi^2/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-(x+i\xi)^2/2} dx = e^{-\xi^2/2} \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

If  $F(x) = \prod_{j=1}^d f_j(x_j)$ , then  $\widehat{F}(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^d \widehat{f}_j(\xi_j)$ .

For  $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $e^{-z|x|^2/2} = e^{-|L(x)|^2/2}$ , where

$$L(x) = z^{1/2}x.$$

Then, we use  $(f \circ L)^\wedge(\xi) = |\det(L)|^{-1} \widehat{f}((L^*)^{-1}(\xi))$ . For  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 0$ ,

$$\int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = \int e^{-z|x|^2/2} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

We claim that this is a homomorphic function of  $z$  in  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$ .

**Fact 4.3.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\widehat{f} \in L^1$ , then

$$f = (2\pi)^{-d} (\widehat{f})^\vee, \check{g}(x) = \int g(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

### Corollary 4.4

If  $f \in L^1$ ,  $\widehat{f} = 0$ , then  $f = 0$  almost everywhere.



*Proof.* Given  $f, \widehat{f} \in L^1$ . Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$  with  $\int \varphi = 1$ . Let  $\varphi_n(x) = n^d \varphi(nx)$ . Define  $f_n = f * \varphi_n$ . We know that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover,  $f_n \in L^2$ , since  $f_n \in L^1 * L^2$ . For each  $n$ , we have

$$\|(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \widehat{f}_n(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi - f_n(x)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note that

$$\widehat{f}_n(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}_n(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(n^{-1}\xi).$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\widehat{\varphi}(n^{-1}\xi) \rightarrow \widehat{\varphi}(0) = \int \varphi = 1$ . Hence,

$$\widehat{f}_n(\xi) \rightarrow \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Furthermore

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq R} \widehat{f}_n(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}_n(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi,$$

since  $\widehat{f}_n \in L^1$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence, we have that

$$(2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(n^{-1}\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi = f_n(x),$$

in the  $L^2$  norm. Now, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $f_n = f * \varphi_n \rightarrow f$  in the  $L^1$  norm.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi}(n^{-1}\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi = (\widehat{f})^\vee(x),$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. Thus,

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} (\widehat{f})^\vee(x).$$

But we actually proved a stronger result:  $g \in L^1 \implies \check{g} \in C^0$ , so if  $g = \widehat{f}$ ,  $(\widehat{f})^\vee \in C^0$  if  $f \in L^1$ , so if  $f, \widehat{f}$  are in  $L^1$ , then  $f$  agrees almost everywhere with  $(2\pi)^{-d} (\widehat{f})^\vee \in C^0$ .  $\square$

#### Example 4.5

Take  $f(x) = 1_{[0,1]}(x)$ . Hence  $\widehat{f} \notin L^1$ . Essentially, we have that  $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \approx \frac{1}{|\xi|}$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ .

### §4.3 Schwartz Spaces

**Definition 4.6** (Schwartz Space).

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f \in C^\infty, \forall N, \alpha, x \mapsto \langle x \rangle^N \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} \text{ is bounded.}\}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a topological vector space.

The topology on  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined by a countable family of seminorms.

$$\|f\|_{M,N} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^N \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq M} \left| \frac{\partial^\beta f}{\partial x^\beta}(x) \right|.$$

We have that  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $f \in C^\infty$  and for all  $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|f\|_{M,N} < \infty$ .

A neighborhood base for the topology at  $g$  would be

$$V(g, M, N, \epsilon) = \{f \in \mathcal{S} : \|f - g\|_{M,N} < \epsilon\}.$$

Note that if  $\rho_n$  is a metric,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left( \frac{\rho_n}{1 + \rho_n} \right)$$

is also a metric, but it wouldn't preserve the vector space condition. Next time, we will prove the following theorem:

#### Theorem 5

$\wedge : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a linear, bijective homeomorphism.

## §5 September 10th, 2020

### §5.1 Schwartz Space, continued

Last time, we introduced the Schwartz space,

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f \in C^\infty : \forall M, N \|f\|_{M,N} < \infty\},$$

$$\|f\|_{M,N} = \sup_x \{ \langle x \rangle^M \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^N \left| \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} \right| \}.$$

An equivalent formulation is  $x^\beta \partial^\alpha f$  is bounded for all  $\alpha, \beta$ .

#### Theorem 6

The fourier transform,  $\wedge : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a linear, bijective homeomorphism.

*Proof.* Note that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $\widehat{f} \in C^\infty$ . This is clear since

$$\partial_\xi^\alpha \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = \int f(x) \partial_{xi}^\alpha (e^{-ix \cdot \xi}) dx.$$

Hence  $f \cdot \langle x \rangle^N$  is in  $L^1$  for all  $N$ .

Note the following identities:

$$(\partial_x^\alpha f)^\wedge = (i\xi)^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi), (x^\beta f)^\wedge = (i\partial_{xi}^\beta) \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

which can be verified from repeated integration by parts.

We claim that  $\xi^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \widehat{f}$  is bounded for all  $\alpha, \beta$ . Moreover, there exists  $M, N$  such that

$$\sup_{xi} |\xi^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \|f\|_{M,N}.$$

Note that

$$|\xi^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)| = |(\partial_x^\beta x^\alpha f)^\wedge(\xi)|,$$

so

$$\sup_{xi} |\xi^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \|(\partial_x^\beta x^\alpha f)^\wedge(\xi)\|_{L^1} \leq C_d \sup_x |\langle x \rangle^{d+1} \partial_x^\beta (x^\alpha f)|.$$

By the Leibniz rule, we can commute  $\partial_x^\beta$ , which gives the result.

Hence, we have proved that  $\widehat{\mathcal{S}} \subset \mathcal{S}$ , and  $\wedge : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is continuous. and the same holds for  $f \mapsto \check{f}$ , so  $f \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow f \in L^1$  and  $\widehat{f} \in L^1$ , so  $\wedge$  is 1-1 on  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\vee$  is onto, so we get that  $\wedge$  is onto.  $\square$

### §5.2 Tempered Distributions

We will consider the dual of the Schwartz space,

$$\mathcal{S}' = \{\varphi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ linear and continuous}\}.$$

Recall, continuity by definition is given by the existence of  $M, N, C < \infty$  so that for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $|\varphi(f)| \leq C \|f\|_{M,N}$ .

**Example 5.1 (Dirac Mass)**

We can take  $\varphi(f) = f(0)$ , the dirac mass. We can also take  $\varphi(f) = \partial^\alpha f(y_0)$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a complex Radon measure,  $h \in L^1_{loc}$ ,  $\int_{|x| \leq R} |h| dx \leq C_h \langle R \rangle^{A_h}$ . We can define

$$\varphi(f) = \int \partial^\alpha f(x) \cdot h(x) d\mu(x) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

**Theorem 7**

Every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$  is a finite linear combination of  $f \mapsto \int \partial^\alpha f \cdot h d\mu$ , with  $h, \mu, \alpha$  as before.

The proof is left as an exercise. The key ingredient is the Riesz Representation theorem and the Hahn-Banach theorem.

$\mathcal{S}'$  is given a weak topology: a neighborhood base of  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$  is given by choosing  $J$ , a finite index set,  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f_j \in \mathcal{S} (j \in J)$ . Then

$$V = \{\psi \in \mathcal{S}' : |\psi(f_j) - \varphi(f_j)| < \epsilon \forall j \in J\}.$$

**Definition 5.2.** For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$ ,  $\widehat{\varphi}$  is a map  $f \in \mathcal{S} \mapsto \varphi(\widehat{f})$ . Then  $\widehat{\varphi} : \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  is linear. Similarly, we can define  $\check{\varphi} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , linear.

We can verify that  $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}'$ . Note that

$$|\widehat{\varphi}(f)| = |\varphi(\widehat{f})| \leq C_\varphi \|\widehat{f}\|_{M,N} \leq C' \|f\|_{M',N'}.$$

**Theorem 8**

$\wedge : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$  is a bijective homeomorphism.

*Proof.* We first show that  $\varphi \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}$  is continuous at  $\psi$ . Given  $V$ , a neighborhood of  $\psi$ :  $J$  finite,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $f_j : j \in J$ , we need to control  $|\widehat{\varphi}(f_j) - \psi(f_j)| < \epsilon$  for every  $j \in J$ . The neighborhood  $W = \{\varphi : |\varphi(\widehat{f}_j) - \psi(\widehat{f}_j)| < \epsilon \forall j \in J\}$  gives the desired bound.

Now we claim for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$ ,  $(\widehat{\varphi})^\vee = (2\pi)^d \varphi$ . This comes from

$$(\widehat{\varphi})^\vee(f) = \widehat{\varphi}(\check{f}) = \varphi((\check{f})^\wedge) = \varphi((2\pi)^d f).$$

Hence  $\wedge$  is 1-1 and onto, so we conclude that it is a bijective homeomorphism.  $\square$

We can define a partial derivative of a distribution,  $\partial^\alpha \varphi$ , with  $\partial^\alpha : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$  continuous, linear. This is a bit shocking: Take  $\varphi = h \in L^1_{loc}$  with  $\int_{|x| \leq R} |h| dx \leq C_h R^{A_h}$ . This defines a distribution  $f \mapsto \int f h = \varphi(f)$ . That means, we have a way of essentially differentiating anything.

Note that we have a natural map  $i : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$  injective, where  $i(g)(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f g$ . Then, we take  $g \mapsto i(g)$ . Note that  $i$  is a continuous map.

Given some linear operator  $T : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , we want to associate an extension  $\tilde{T} : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Define  $T' : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ , where  $T'(\varphi)(f) = \varphi(T(f))$ . It's easy to check that  $T' \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S}')$ , but there are some bad examples.

**Example 5.3**

If we take  $T(f) = \frac{df}{dx}$ ,  $\int f \cdot g' = -\int f' \cdot g$ , then

$$T(i(g)) = -i(T(g)).$$

Suppose we have some  $T \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S})$  and a transpose  $A \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S})$  in the sense that

$$\int T(f)g = \int fA(g) \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}.$$

For example,  $T = \frac{d}{dx}$ ,  $A = -\frac{d}{dx}$ . With  $T, A \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S})$ , we can define  $\tilde{T}(\varphi)(f) = \varphi(A'(f))$ , which defines our extension.

**Proposition 5.4**

$i(\mathcal{S})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

**Definition 5.5** (Convolution for Distributions). If  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$ , then

$$\varphi * f(x) = \varphi(f_x), f_x(y) = f(x - y).$$

One can show that  $\varphi * f \in C^\infty$  if  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Proposition 5.6**

Let  $(\varphi_n) \in \mathcal{S}'$ . If  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ , then  $\varphi_n f \rightarrow \varphi(f) \forall f \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Proposition 5.7**

Let  $(\varphi_n) \in \mathcal{S}'$ . If  $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Then there exists  $M, N < \infty$  such that for all  $n$  and for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$|\varphi_n(f)| \leq C_n \|f\|_{M,N},$$

and  $C_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The proof uses the Baire Category Theorem. Recall  $\mathcal{S}$  is a complete metrizable space, where we define a norm from

$$\sum_{M,N} 2^{-M-N} \frac{\|f\|_{M,N}}{1 + \|f\|_{M,N}}.$$

For  $d \geq 1$ , define  $g(x) = e^{-i\lambda|x|^2/2}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $g \in L^\infty$ ,  $|g| \equiv 1$ .

We define  $\hat{g}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} (i\lambda)^{-d/2} e^{-i|\xi|^2/(2\lambda)}$ , for  $\lambda \neq 0$ . If we take  $g \mapsto i(g) \in \mathcal{S}'$ , note that  $(i(g))^\wedge = i$ , so we are in fact doing a normal fourier transform.

Define  $g_z(x) = e^{-z\lambda|x|^2/2}$ , for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{Re}(z) \geq 0$ . We claim that as  $z \rightarrow i\lambda$ ,  $g_z \rightarrow g$  in the topology of  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Furthermore,

$$\int f g_z \rightarrow \int f g$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  by the dominated convergence theorem, with dominator  $|f|$ , since  $|g_z| \leq 1$ ,  $|g| \equiv 1$ .

We know that  $\widehat{g}_z \rightarrow \widehat{g}$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  as  $z \rightarrow i\lambda$ . Note that

$$\widehat{g}_z(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2} z^{-d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/(2z)}.$$

If  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$ , then  $g_z \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Then as  $z \rightarrow i\lambda$ ,

$$(2\pi)^{d/2} z^{-d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/(2z)} \rightarrow (2\pi)^{d/2} (i\lambda)^{-d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/(2i\lambda)}.$$

So  $\widehat{g}_z \rightarrow \widehat{g}$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ , so we have the result.

## §6 September 15th, 2020

### §6.1 Poisson Summation Formula

Define  $\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$ . We have that  $\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$  for all  $f \in L^2 \cap L^1$ .

#### Theorem 9

For all  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(k).$$

This has a nice interpretation: suppose we define  $\delta_n(g) = g(n)$ . We have  $\delta_n \in \mathcal{S}'$ , and

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_n\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \delta_k.$$

*Proof.* Given  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , set  $g : \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , defined by  $g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(x + n)$ . Note that  $g$  is periodic:  $g(x + e_j) = g(x)$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq d$ .

$$g(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( \int g(y) e^{-2\pi i k \cdot y} dy \right) e^{ik \cdot x}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n f(n) &= g(0) = \sum_k \int e^{-2\pi i k \cdot y} \sum_n f(y + n) dy \\ &= \sum_k \int_{[0,1]^d} \sum_n e^{-2\pi i k \cdot (y+n)} f(y + n) = \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(u) e^{-2\pi i k \cdot u} du = \sum_k \hat{f}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $f$  is a Schwartz function, all these series converge and we can easily swap sums and integrals.  $\square$

#### Example 6.1

There are lots of functions that are their own Fourier transforms. Take  $x^n e^{-x^2/2}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Apply Gram-Schmidt in the order of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We get an orthonormal basis  $P_n(x) e^{-x^2/2}$ , where  $P_n = c_n x^n + O(|x|^{n-2})$ .

If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,

$$(P_n e^{-x^2/2})^\wedge = (2\pi)^{1/2} P_n e^{-x^2/2}.$$

### §6.2 Size of Fourier Coefficients

Remark: If  $f \in C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$  or  $C^k(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , then

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-k}).$$

This comes from  $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}\right)^\wedge = i\xi_j \hat{f}(\xi)$ .

We can have a stronger bound,

$$\langle \xi \rangle^k \widehat{f} \in L^2, \ell^2.$$

The proof is the same since  $\xi^\alpha \widehat{f} \in L^2/\ell^2$  whenever  $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$ .

Recall the class

$$\text{Lip} = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} < \infty \right\}.$$

### Proposition 6.2

Assume  $f \in \text{Lip}$  and has compact support. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\xi) &= O(\langle \xi \rangle^{-1}), \\ \langle \xi \rangle \widehat{f} &\in L^2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have  $f \in C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \text{Lip}$ . Assuming  $\xi \neq 0$ ,

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int f(x + \frac{\pi}{\xi}) e^{-i(x + \frac{\pi}{\xi}) \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Since  $e^{-i(\pi/\xi) \cdot \xi} = -1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int [f(x) - f(x + \pi/\xi)] e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Because  $f$  is Lipschitz,  $f(x) - f(x + \pi/\xi) \in O(|\xi|^{-1})$ , so it's clear the whole integral is bounded.

**Definition 6.3** (Holder Class). Define  $\Lambda_\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ), as  $f : \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} < \infty$ .

Note that  $\alpha > \beta \Rightarrow \Lambda_\alpha \subset \Lambda_\beta$ . Furthermore  $\text{Lip} \subset \Lambda_\alpha$ .

We can state a similar proposition as above for Holder classes.

### Example 6.4

Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} e^{i2^n x}.$$

The function  $f \in \Lambda_\alpha$ , but not  $\Lambda_\beta$  for any  $\beta > \alpha$ , since  $\widehat{f}(2^n) = (2^n)^{-\alpha}$ .

Let  $f \in \text{Lip} \cap C_0^0$ . Claim  $f' \in L^\infty$  in the  $\mathcal{S}'$  sense. In other words, there exists  $g \in L^\infty$  such that  $\int f \varphi' = - \int g \varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ .

The claim immediately implies that  $\xi \widehat{f}(\xi) \in L^2$ , since  $\widehat{g} \in L^2 = i \xi \widehat{f}$  and has compact support.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int f(x) \frac{\varphi(x+t) - \varphi(x)}{t} dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{f(x) - f(x-t)}{t} \varphi(x) dx$$



Let  $f_t = \frac{f(x) - f(x-t)t}{t}$ . Note that  $f_t \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and  $L^\infty = (L^1)^*$ , so by Alaoglu's theorem, there exists a sequence  $t_\nu \rightarrow 0$  and  $g \in (L^1)^*$  with  $f_t \rightarrow -g$  in the weak star topology.

Therefore,  $\int f_{t_\nu} \varphi \rightarrow -\int g \varphi$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $\int f \varphi' = -\int g \varphi$ .  $\square$

### Example 6.5

Take

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} e^{i2^n x}$$

with  $\alpha = 1$ .  $f$  is not Lipschitz, since

$$\sum_{\xi=2^n} |\xi| |\widehat{f}(\xi)| = \sum_n 1 = \infty.$$

Remark: For  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $f$  is nowhere differentiable.

### Example 6.6

Take  $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^1)$  with compact support, the class with bounded variation. Then  $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \pi V(f) |\xi|^{-1}$ .

### Lemma 6.7 (Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma)

If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  or  $(\mathbb{T}^d)$  (then  $\widehat{f} \in C^0$  bounded), then  $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x) - f(x + \frac{\pi \xi}{|\xi|^2})) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

Then

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(x) - f(x + \frac{\pi \xi}{|\xi|^2})\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0.$$

$\square$

How fast do they go to zero? Is there a quantitative bound? (Nope) How do we characterize  $\widehat{L}^1$ ? Is  $C_{\rightarrow 0}^0 = (L^1)^\wedge$ ? (Nope).

### Proposition 6.8

The map  $\wedge : L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_{\rightarrow 0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is not onto. Equivalently,  $\vee : C_{\rightarrow 0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \not\rightarrow L^1$ .

*Proof.*  $\wedge : L^1 \rightarrow C_{\rightarrow 0}^0$  is linear, bounded, and an injective mapping between Banach spaces. We can apply the Open Mapping Theorem: if the map was onto, there would exist  $A < \infty$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^1} \leq A \|\widehat{f}\|_{C^0}$ .

We claim that  $\frac{\|\widehat{f}\|_{C^0}}{\|f\|_{L^1}}$  can be arbitrarily small. Define  $f_t(x) = e^{-(1+it)|x|^2/2}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  going to  $\infty$ .

We know that

$$\widehat{f}_t(\xi) = (2\pi)^{d/2}(1+it)^{-d/2}e^{-(1-it)|\xi|^2/(2(1+t^2))}.$$

Hence,

$$|\widehat{f}_t| = (2\pi)^{d/2}(1+t^2)^{-d/4}e^{-|\xi|^2/(2(1+t^2))} \leq (2\pi)^{d/2}(1+t^2)^{-d/4} \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand  $\|f_t\|_{L^1}$  is independent of  $t$ . □

### Theorem 10

Let  $w : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $w(\xi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ . There exists  $f \in L^1$  with

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \geq w(\xi) \forall \xi.$$

*Proof.* We have a key lemma: Let  $w : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  continuous, even, piecewise,  $C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ , convex on  $(0, \infty)$  with compact support. Then,  $\widehat{w} \in L^1$  and  $\widehat{w} \geq 0$ , hence,  $\|\widehat{w}\|_{L^1} = \int \widehat{w} = (2\pi)^d w(0)$ . □

## §7 September 17th, 2020

### §7.1 Size of Fourier Coefficients, continued

#### Theorem 11

Let  $w : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $w(\xi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ . There exists  $f \in L^1$  with

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \geq w(\xi) \forall \xi.$$

*Proof.* We have a key lemma:

#### Lemma 7.1

Let  $w : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  continuous, even, piecewise  $C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ , convex on  $(0, \infty)$  with compact support and nondecreasing. Then,  $\widehat{w} \in L^1$  and  $\widehat{w} \geq 0$ , hence,

$$\|\widehat{w}\|_{L^1} = \int \widehat{w} = (2\pi)^d w(0).$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\widehat{w}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) \cos(x\xi) dx.$$

Furthermore, note that  $|x| \cdot |w'(x)|$  is a bounded function (as  $x \rightarrow 0$ ). It follows from Jensen's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{w}(\xi) &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(x) \cos(x\xi) dx \\ &= 2\xi^{-2} \int_0^\infty w''(x)(1 - \cos(x\xi)) dx \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show the equality  $\int_0^\infty w(x) \cos(x\xi) dx = \xi^{-2} \int_0^\infty w''(x)(1 - \cos(x\xi)) dx$ . We integrate by parts twice:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{w}(\xi) &= 2 \int_0^\infty w'(x) \xi^{-1} \sin(x\xi) dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w''(x) \xi^{-2} (1 - \cos(x\xi)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We might have issues at 0, but we can take a limit for integrating from  $\epsilon$  to  $\infty$  with boundary terms  $w''(\epsilon)(1 - \cos(\epsilon\xi)) \in O(\epsilon^2)$ . Hence,  $\widehat{w} \geq 0$ .

Note that  $\widehat{w} \in L^1$  and for  $|\xi| \geq 1$ ,

$$|\widehat{w}(\xi)| \leq 2\xi^{-1} \int_0^\infty |w''(x)| dx \cdot 2$$

. Assume  $|w'(0)| < \infty$ , where the derivative is the right-hand derivative at 0.

Then

$$\int_0^\infty w''(x) dx = -w'(0)$$

so it follows that  $\widehat{w} \in L^1$ .

Finally,

$$w(0) = (2\pi)^{-1}(\widehat{w})^\vee(0) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int \widehat{w}(\xi) d\xi = (2\pi)^{-1} \|\widehat{w}\|_{L^1},$$

which gives the desired bound.  $\square$

Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  continuous, with  $g(\xi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ .

### Lemma 7.2

There exists  $w : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  so that  $w \geq g$  and  $w$  is even, convex on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $w(\xi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $w$  is piecewise  $C^2$ , where we may have infinity many breaks.

To prove the theorem, it suffices to find a function  $f \in L^1$  such that  $\widehat{f}(\xi) \geq w(\xi)$  for all  $\xi$ .

WLOG,  $g$  is even (replace  $g(\xi) + g(-\xi)$ ), nonincreasing (we can replace  $\tilde{g}(x) = \sup_{y \geq x} g(y)$  for  $x \geq 0$ ). Note that  $\tilde{w}(\xi) = \widehat{w}(-\xi)$  so define  $f = \widehat{w}$ .  $\widehat{f} = (2\pi)w \geq 2\pi g$ .

To treat  $w$ , we approximate it with functions of compact support. Let  $t > 0$  and define  $w_t = \max(w - t, 0)$ . We conclude that  $\widehat{w}_t \in L^1$  and  $\|\widehat{w}_t\|_{L^1} = (2\pi)w_t(0)$ . As  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $w_t \rightarrow w$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  so  $\widehat{w}_t \rightarrow \widehat{w}$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . We have that  $\widehat{w}$  is a complex radon measure.

**Fact 7.3.** If  $\mu$  is a complex Radon measure and if  $\mu|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus 0}$  is absolutely continuous, then  $\mu = c\delta_0 + h$  for  $h \in L^1$ .

We know that  $w(\xi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) = c + \widehat{h}(\xi)$  so  $c = 0$  and  $\widehat{w} \in L^1$  as desired.  $\square$

## §7.2 Comparing Size of Functions to Size of Fourier Coefficients

We have that  $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \|f\|_{L^2}$  and  $\|\widehat{f}\|_{C^0} \leq \|f\|_{L^1}$ .

### Theorem 12 (Hausdorff-Young)

Let  $p \in [1, 2]$ . The  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  implies that  $\widehat{f} \in L^q$  for  $q = p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ , and

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_q \leq C(p, d) \|f\|_p.$$

For  $\mathbb{T}^d$ ,

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{\ell^q} \leq C(p)^d \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Note that for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\wedge : L^p \rightarrow L^r$  is bounded.

*Proof.* We must have that  $r = p'$ . Fix a function  $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{S}$ . Define  $f_t(x) = f(tx)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

$$\widehat{f}_t(\xi) = t^{-d} \widehat{f}(t^{-1}\xi).$$

Note that

$$\|f_t\|_p^p = \int |f(tx)|^p dx = t^{-d} \int |f(y)|^p dy = t^{-d} \|f\|_p^p.$$

Then  $\|\widehat{f}_t\|_r = t^{-d} t^{d/r} \|\widehat{f}\|_r$ , so

$$\frac{\|\widehat{f}_t\|_r}{\|f_t\|_p} = t^\gamma \frac{\|\widehat{f}\|_r}{\|f\|_p}$$

where  $\gamma = -d + d/r + d/p$ . We must have that  $\gamma = 0$  for the ratio to be bounded, which gives  $1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}$ .

For  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , we can only take  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  so  $\gamma \leq 0$ , and we can only conclude that  $r \geq p'$ . But  $r \geq p'$  implies that  $\ell^{p'} \subset \ell^r$ , so  $\wedge : L^p \rightarrow \ell^{p'} \subset \ell^r$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 13 (Riesz-Thoren)**

Let  $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Suppose we have exponents  $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \in [1, \infty]$ . Let  $S(X)$  be the set of simple functions from  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Assume  $T : S(X) \rightarrow (L^1 + L^\infty)(Y)$  is linear and there exists  $A_0, A_1 < \infty$  so that for all  $f \in S(X)$ ,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q_j}} \leq A_j \|f\|_{L_j^{p_j}}.$$