



OTTO VON GUERICKE
UNIVERSITÄT
MAGDEBURG

FACULTY OF
MATHEMATICS

Eigenvalue Problems

Celine Reddig
19. August 2025

Outline

1. Motivating the QR Algorithm
2. The QR Algorithm
3. Improvements: Hessenberg form
4. Improvements: Shifts
5. Summary
6. Bibliography

Introduction

Problem definition

Given a square matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Find scalars $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v},$$

i.e. $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = 0$. We call λ an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{v} an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} .

Introduction

Problem definition

Given a square matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Find scalars $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v},$$

i.e. $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v} = 0$. We call λ an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{v} an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} .

Eigenvalue problems arise in many applications:

Recall Properties I

- $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is the set of all eigenvalues and **called spectrum of \mathbf{A}** .
- $E_\lambda = \{\mathbf{v} : (\mathbf{A} - \lambda I)\mathbf{v} = 0\}$ is called the **eigenspace of λ** .
 - $\dim(E_\lambda)$ is called **geometric multiplicity**.
- Since $x \neq 0$, $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda I)x = 0$, has a non-trivial solution if an eigenvalue is a root of the **characteristic polynomial**

$$\chi(\lambda) := \det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda I) = \lambda^n + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_0.$$

- multiplicity of λ is called **algebraic multiplicity**.

Recall Properties II

- $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S} =: \mathbf{C}$, where \mathbf{S} is non-singular, is called **similarity transformation**
 - $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \sigma(\mathbf{C})$, and if (λ, x) is an eigenpair of \mathbf{A} , $(\lambda, \mathbf{S}^{-1}x)$ is an eigenpair of \mathbf{C} .

Transform \mathbf{A} into matrices which eigenvalues can be easily read:

- **Diagonalization:** $D_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S}$, where $D_{\mathbf{A}} = \text{diag}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}))$ and \mathbf{S} is non-singular.
 - \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable if \mathbf{A} has n distinct eigenvalues and for every λ_i the $g(\lambda_i) = m(\lambda_i)$.

Schur decomposition

If $\mathbf{A} \in C^{n \times n}$, $\exists \mathbf{U} \in C^{n \times n}$ unitary, such that $\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{T}$ is upper triangular.

Some algorithms

For small dense matrices

- QR algorithm
 - computes all eigenvalues and eigenvectors
 - more modern: implicit QR algorithm
 - employed in algorithms for large and sparse matrices to small “internal” auxiliary eigenvalue problems

For symmetric and sparse matrices

- Power method
 - the largest eigenvalue and eigenvector
- Lanczos(hermitian)/Arnoldi(non-hermitian)
 - based on Krylov-Subspaces
 - only a few eigenvalues
 - very efficient
- ...

Some algorithms

For small dense matrices

- **QR algorithm**
 - computes all eigenvalues and eigenvectors
 - more modern: implicit QR algorithm
 - employed in algorithms for large and sparse matrices to small “internal” auxiliary eigenvalue problems

For symmetric and sparse matrices

- Power method
 - the largest eigenvalue and eigenvector
- Lanczos(hermitian)/Arnoldi(non-hermitian)
 - based on Krylov-Subspaces
 - only a few eigenvalues
 - very efficient
- ...

Assumptions

- $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with mutually different and ordered eigenvalues $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_n|$
- For the ease of explanation: \mathbf{A} is simple, i.e. \mathbf{A} has n linearly independent eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$
- \mathbf{v}_i denotes the eigenvector associated with eigenvalue λ_i .
- \mathbf{A} is dense

Motivating the QR Algorithm

Basic Power Method

Method: Choose a vector \mathbf{v} and compute $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}^3\mathbf{v}, \dots$. This converges usually to an eigenvector \mathbf{v}_1 corresponding to the largest eigenvalue.

Why? Assume: $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2|$. The chosen vector \mathbf{v} can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{v} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n.$$

Subspace Iteration/Simultaneous Iteration

Power method applied to a k -dim subspace S and form $S, \mathbf{A}S, \mathbf{A}^2S, \dots$

Let $T = \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle$ and $U = \langle \mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle$. Assume $|\lambda_k| > |\lambda_{k+1}|$ and let S be a k -dim subspace of \mathbb{C}^n such that $S \cap U = \{0\}$. Then, $\mathbf{A}^m S \rightarrow T$ linearly with ratio $|\frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k}|$. (T and U are invariant subspaces)

In theory: Iterate over a basis for S given by $\mathbf{q}_1^0, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k^0$. Then, $\langle \mathbf{A}^m \mathbf{q}_1^0, \dots, \mathbf{A}^m \mathbf{q}_k^0 \rangle = \mathbf{A}^m S$ for $m = 2, 3, 4$. We get bases for $\mathbf{A}S, \mathbf{A}^2S, \dots$

In practice:

1. Rescaling is required to avoid overflow/underflow
2. Each sequence $\mathbf{q}_i^0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{q}_i^0, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{q}_i^0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ converges independently to $\langle \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle$

Basis is ill-conditioned \rightarrow orthonormalize

Simultaneous Iteration

For $m = 1, \dots$ do

1. $\mathbf{Q}_m = [\mathbf{q}_1^m, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k^m]$ is orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{A}^m S$. Compute $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_m$.
2. Orthonormalize $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_m$ to get $\mathbf{Q}_{m+1} = [\mathbf{q}_1^{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k^{m+1}]$, a basis for $\mathbf{A}^{m+1} S$

Remark. For a complete set, i.e. $k = n$, we converge to a unitary basis $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n$.

But where are the eigenvalues?

For each i , $\mathbf{A}^m S_i \rightarrow T_i$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ the first i columns of \mathbf{Q}_m are a unitary basis for the \mathbf{A} -invariant subspace T_i , i.e. $\forall \mathbf{x} \in T_i, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \in T_i$. The subspaces are preserved under orthonormalization.

For each i , $\mathbf{A}^m S_i \rightarrow T_i$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ the first i columns of \mathbf{Q}_m are a unitary basis for the \mathbf{A} -invariant subspace T_i , i.e. $\forall \mathbf{x} \in T_i, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \in T_i$. The subspaces are preserved under orthonormalization.

Let $\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2]$ be a **unitary matrix** where its first k columns form a basis for the \mathbf{A} -invariant subspace T_k and define $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}$. Then,

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}_2^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_1 & \mathbf{Q}_2^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{11} & \mathbf{B}_{12} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B}_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_2^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ since T is \mathbf{A} -invariant, see [1]. Moreover, $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \sigma(\mathbf{B}_{11}) \cup \sigma(\mathbf{B}_{22})$.

The previous result requires invariant subspaces, however we only converge to an invariant subspace:

Let $[\mathbf{q}_1^m, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n^m] = \mathbf{Q}_m$. Then, one can show that

$$\mathbf{A}_m = \mathbf{Q}_m^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_m \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{11} & \mathbf{B}_{12} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Since \mathbf{Q}_n contains bases also for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ spanning T_i , $\mathbf{A}_m \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$

Remarks on the Simultaneous Iteration

Algorithm:

Let $\mathbf{Q}_0 = \mathbf{I}$.

for $m = 1, \dots$ do

$$\mathbf{D}_{m+1} := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_m.$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{m+1} = \mathbf{Q}_{m+1}\mathbf{R}_{m+1}.$$

$$\mathbf{A}_m = \mathbf{Q}_m^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_m.$$

- Convergence rate to T_k is $|\frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k}|$. Very slow. Speed up with shifts, allows for fast convergence in theory but is numerically unstable.
- To employ shifts we need the QR iteration.
- see [2] for more details.

The QR Algorithm

QR Iteration

- instead of working on \mathbf{A} the QR iteration performs equivalent operations on \mathbf{A}_m

Simultaneous Iteration:

Let $\underline{\mathbf{Q}}_0 = \mathbf{I}$.

for $m = 1, \dots$ do

$$\mathbf{D}_{m+1} := \mathbf{A} \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_m.$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{m+1} = \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_{m+1} \mathbf{R}_{m+1}.$$

$$\mathbf{A}_m := \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_m^* \mathbf{A} \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_m.$$

QR Iteration:

Let $\mathbf{A}_0 = \mathbf{A}$.

for $m = 1, \dots$ do

$$\mathbf{A}_{m-1} = \mathbf{Q}_m \underline{\mathbf{R}}_m.$$

$$\mathbf{A}_m := \underline{\mathbf{R}}_m \mathbf{Q}_m.$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{Q}}_m := \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2 \cdots \mathbf{Q}_m$$

Simultaneous Iteration \Leftrightarrow QR Iteration

Both schemes generate the QR decomposition $\mathbf{A}^m = \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_m \underline{\mathbf{R}}_m$ and the projection $\mathbf{A}_m = \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_m^* \mathbf{A} \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_m$

Algorithm 4.1 Basic QR algorithm

- 1: Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. This algorithm computes an upper triangular matrix T and a unitary matrix U such that $A = UTU^*$ is the Schur decomposition of A .
 - 2: Set $A_0 := A$ and $U_0 = I$.
 - 3: **for** $k = 1, 2, \dots$ **do**
 - 4: $A_{k-1} =: Q_k R_k$; /* QR factorization */
 - 5: $A_k := R_k Q_k$;
 - 6: $U_k := U_{k-1} Q_k$; /* Update transformation matrix */
 - 7: **end for**
 - 8: Set $T := A_\infty$ and $U := U_\infty$.
-

Figure 1: from [3]

Remarks on the basic QR Iteration

- The basic QR iteration has the same slow convergence rate but is numerically stable.
- It is expensive since each iteration step requires the QR decomposition of a full $n \times n$ matrix, that is already $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.

Improvements

- A preliminary reduction to a Hessenberg matrix decrease the cost of each QR step.
- The use of shifts reduces the total number of steps to attain convergence.

Improvements: Hessenberg form

Def. A matrix \mathbf{H} is a Hessenberg matrix if its elements below the lower off-diagonal are zero, $h_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j + 1$.

Theorem. The Hessenberg form is preserved by the QR algorithm, i.e given $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}$, $\overline{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{Q}$ is again a Hessenberg matrix.

- using Givens rotations, the QR decomposition gets very cheap $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

Givens rotation

Givens rotation is a rotation in the plane spanned by two coordinates axes,

$$G(i, j, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & c & \dots & s & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & -s & \dots & c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $c = \cos(\theta)$ and $s = \sin(\theta)$. Pre-multiplication corresponds to a counter-clockwise rotation by θ in (i, j) plane, i.e. only the rows i and j are effected.

Givens rotation

If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{y} = G(i, j, \theta)^* \mathbf{x}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_i \\ y_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ x_j \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } y_k = x_k \text{ for } k \neq i, j.$$

By setting $c = \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{|x_i|^2 + |x_j|^2}}$ and $s = -\frac{x_j}{\sqrt{|x_i|^2 + |x_j|^2}}$, we can force y_j **to zero**, i.e Givens rotations allow zeroing a specific entry.

Givens rotations

A small example:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} &\xrightarrow{G(1,2,\theta_1)^*} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\xrightarrow{G(2,3,\theta_2)^*} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{G(3,4,\theta_3)^*} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \times \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

With $\mathbf{G}_k = G(k, k+1, \theta_k)$, we obtain the QR decomposition

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{G}_3^* \mathbf{G}_2^* \mathbf{G}_1^*}_{\mathbf{Q}^*} \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{R}$$

Givens rotations

To sketch that the second step of the QR iteration, i.e. computing \mathbf{RQ} is a Hessenberg matrix, we check if $\mathbf{RG}_1\mathbf{G}_2\mathbf{G}_3$ is Hessenberg:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\cdot G(1,2,\theta_1)} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\cdot G(2,3,\theta_2)} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\cdot G(3,4,\theta_3)} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithm 4.2 A Hessenberg QR step

```
1: Let  $H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be an upper Hessenberg matrix. This algorithm overwrites  $H$  with  
    $\bar{H} = RQ$  where  $H = QR$  is a QR factorization of  $H$ .  
2: for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  do  
3:   /* Generate  $G_k$  and then apply it:  $H = G(k, k+1, \vartheta_k)^* H$  */  
4:    $[c_k, s_k] := \text{givens}(H_{k,k}, H_{k+1,k});$   
5:    $H_{k:k+1,k:n} = \begin{bmatrix} c_k & -s_k \\ s_k & c_k \end{bmatrix} H_{k:k+1,k:n};$   
6: end for  
7: for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  do  
8:   /* Apply the rotations  $G_k$  from the right */  
9:    $H_{1:k+1,k:k+1} = H_{1:k+1,k:k+1} \begin{bmatrix} c_k & s_k \\ -s_k & c_k \end{bmatrix};$   
10: end for
```

Figure 2: from [3]

Householder reflector

Householder reflectors can zero a number of elements of a vector at once.

Def. A matrix of the form $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I} - 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^*$, $\|\mathbf{u}\| = 1$ is called a Householder reflector.

- \mathbf{P} is Hermitian and $\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{I}$, so \mathbf{P} is unitary.
- only store \mathbf{u} for $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}(2\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{x})$
- one can determine \mathbf{u} such that $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} = \alpha\mathbf{e}_1$, where $\alpha = \rho\|\mathbf{x}\|$, $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\rho| = 1$, since \mathbf{P} is unitary:

$$\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{x} - \rho \|\mathbf{x}\| \mathbf{e}_1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \rho \|\mathbf{x}\| \mathbf{e}_1\|} = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \rho \|\mathbf{x}\| \mathbf{e}_1\|} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \rho \|\mathbf{x}\| \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

One choice for ρ is $-e^{i\varphi}$, where $x_1 = |x_1|e^{i\varphi}$ or in the real case, $\rho = -\text{sign}(x_1)$.

Reduction to Hessenberg form

- Reduction is obtained by **similarity transformations** (preserve eigenvalues) using Householder reflectors

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{P_1} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{P_1} \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_1$$

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^\top \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_2 - 2\mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{u}_1^* \end{pmatrix}$$

- In general $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{P}_{n-2} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_{n-2}$. The k th Householder reflector is generated by

$$(\mathbf{I} - 2\mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{u}_k^*) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{k+1,k} \\ \alpha_{k+2,k} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n,k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } |\alpha| = \|x\|$$

- complexity is $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$
- if eigenvectors are desired store $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{P}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_{n-2}$

Improvements: Shifts

Shifts

Improve convergence of the QR iteration with shifts. We assume to work on a matrix \mathbf{H} in Hessenberg form that is similar to \mathbf{A} .

Lemma. Let \mathbf{H} be an unreduced hessenberg matrix, i.e $h_{i+1,i} \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{QR}$ the QR decomposition of \mathbf{H} . Then,

$$|r_{kk}| > 0, \quad \text{for all } k < n.$$

So if \mathbf{H} is singular, $r_{nn} = 0$

Shifts

Consider an eigenvalue λ of an unreduced Hessenberg matrix. What happens if we perform:

1. $\mathbf{H} - \lambda \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{QR}$
2. $\bar{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{RQ} + \lambda \mathbf{I}$?

- $\mathbf{H} \sim \bar{\mathbf{H}}$:
- using the previous Lemma:
- A perfect shift drops out the eigenvalue. We could **deflate** and proceed with a smaller matrix.

QR algorithm with shifts

- no perfect shifts available \rightarrow estimate a shift heuristically

Rayleigh quotient shift: in the k -th step, set the shift σ_k equal to the last diagonal element:

$$\sigma_k := h_{nn}^{(k-1)}$$

Algorithm 4.4 The Hessenberg QR algorithm with Rayleigh quotient shift

```
1: Let  $H_0 = H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be an upper Hessenberg matrix. This algorithm computes its  
   Schur normal form  $H = UTU^*$ .  
2:  $k := 0$ ;  
3: for  $m=n, n-1, \dots, 2$  do  
4:   repeat  
5:      $k := k + 1$ ;  
6:      $\sigma_k := h_{m,m}^{(k-1)}$ ;  
7:      $H_{k-1} - \sigma_k I =: Q_k R_k$ ;  
8:      $H_k := R_k Q_k + \sigma_k I$ ;  
9:      $U_k := U_{k-1} Q_k$ ;  
10:  until  $|h_{m,m-1}^{(k)}|$  is sufficiently small  
11: end for  
12:  $T := H_k$ ;
```

Figure 3: from [3]

What happens if h_{nn} is a good approximation to an eigenvalue?

$$\begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & h_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Assume ε is small and perform shifted QR-Hessenberg step, i.e. compute $\mathbf{QR} = \mathbf{H} - h_{nn}\mathbf{I}$
- After $n - 2$ Givens rotations, \mathbf{R} is almost upper triangular:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

To zero ε , we have a non-trivial Givens rotation:

$$c_{n-1} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{|\alpha|^2 + |\varepsilon|^2}} \quad s_{n-1} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{|\alpha|^2 + |\varepsilon|^2}}.$$

Applying Givens rotation from the right to compute $\overline{H} = RQ + h_{nn}I$:

- quadratic convergence until α is also tiny

Other shift variants

- Wilkinson Shift (for real symmetric matrices):

$$\sigma_k := \text{eigenvalue of } \begin{pmatrix} h_{n-1,n-1}^{(k-1)} & h_{n-1,n}^{(k-1)} \\ h_{n,n-1}^{(k-1)} & h_{nn}^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} \text{ that is closer to } h_{nn}$$

- it can be shown that $h_{n,n-1}$ converges cubically to zero
- double shift algorithm (Francis algorithm)
 - resolves the case if α is not tiny
 - real matrices with complex eigenvalues (requires complex shifts) \rightarrow estimate a pair of complex conjugated eigenvalues

Summary

Summary

- The QR algorithm can be very powerful tool
 - reduce the matrix to Hessenberg form via Householder reduction
 - Givens rotation for the QR Iteration reduce the complexity of the QR decomposition
 - shifts allow for quadratic converge (or better)
 - deflate: division into smaller subproblems
 - complexity is $10n^3$ or $25n^3$ if Schurvectors are desired

Outlook

- implicit QR iteration for handling multiple shifts efficiently
- special versions for e.g. symmetric matrices with a reduction to a tridiagonal matrix

Bibliography

Bibliography

- [1] D. S. Watkins, *Fundamentals of Matrix Computations*, 1st ed. Wiley, 2002. doi: 10.1002/0471249718.
- [2] D. S. Watkins, “Understanding the QR Algorithm,” *SIAM Review*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 427–440, Oct. 1982, doi: 10.1137/1024100.
- [3] D. P. Arbenz, “Lecture Notes on Solving Large Scale Eigenvalue Problems,” 2016.
- [4] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, Fourth edition. in Johns Hopkins Studies in the Mathematical Sciences. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2013.
- [5] Y. Saad, *Numerical Methods for Large Eigenvalue Problems*, 0th ed. Society for Industrial, Applied Mathematics, 2011. doi: 10.1137/1.9781611970739.