<http://mail-archives.apache.org/mod_mbox/lucene-dev/200110.mbox/%3C20011008065247.436.qmail@web12703.mail.yahoo.com%3E>

From otis\_gospodnetic@yahoo.com Mon Oct 8 06:52:35 2001

Return-Path: <otis\_gospodnetic@yahoo.com>

Mailing-List: contact lucene-dev-help@jakarta.apache.org; run by ezmlm

Delivered-To: mailing list lucene-dev@jakarta.apache.org

Received: (qmail 98950 invoked from network); 8 Oct 2001 06:52:35 -0000

Received: from web12703.mail.yahoo.com (216.136.173.240)

by daedalus.apache.org with SMTP; 8 Oct 2001 06:52:35 -0000

Message-ID: <20011008065247.436.qmail@web12703.mail.yahoo.com>

Received: from [24.168.49.67] by web12703.mail.yahoo.com via HTTP; Sun, 07 Oct 2001 23:52:47 PDT

Date: Sun, 7 Oct 2001 23:52:47 -0700 (PDT)

From: Otis Gospodnetic <otis\_gospodnetic@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: CachingDirectory contribution

To: lucene-dev@jakarta.apache.org

In-Reply-To: <20011008043128.95853.qmail@web20903.mail.yahoo.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

X-Spam-Rating: daedalus.apache.org 1.6.2 0/1000/N

> This leads me to yet another of my buring questions..

> has anyone pushed Lucene to its limits yet? If so,

> what are they? What happens when Lucene hit its limit?

> Does it throw an exception? coredump?

I haven't done that, but I've been to one job interview a few months

ago in which I mentioned Lucene, after hearing that they were using

Verity.

This company had supposedly tried using Lucene, but according to them

at some point they had hit the wall with it and the performance just

dropped.

I do not know how large their indices were, but I suspect they were

quite large. This company indexes news articles, press releases, et

cetera, and keeps them around for a while (a few months or so).

Otis

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Do You Yahoo!?

NEW from Yahoo! GeoCities - quick and easy web site hosting, just $8.95/month.

http://geocities.yahoo.com/ps/info1