

Logics and Statistics for Language Modeling

Carlos Areces

areces@loria.fr
http://www.loria.fr/~areces/lr
INRIA Nancy Grand Est
Nancy, France

2009/2010

Today's Program

- Clausal Form
- The Davis Putnam Method
- Small Demo Zchaff

© Areces: Logics and Statistics for Language Modeling

INRIA Nancy Grand Est

Moving into Clausal Form

- **Clausal Form:** Write φ in conjunctive normal form (CNF)

$$\varphi = \bigwedge_{l \in L} \bigvee_{m \in M} \psi_{(l,m)}, \psi \text{ a literal (i.e., } p \text{ or } \neg p).$$

This just means: **No conjunctions inside disjunctions**
Negations only on propositional symbols

- Using the following equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(\varphi \vee \psi) &\sim (\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi) \\ \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) &\sim (\neg\varphi \vee \neg\psi) \\ \neg\neg\varphi &\sim \varphi \\ (\varphi \vee (\psi \wedge \theta)) &\sim ((\varphi \vee \psi) \wedge (\varphi \vee \theta)) \\ ((\psi \wedge \theta) \vee \varphi) &\sim ((\varphi \vee \psi) \wedge (\varphi \vee \theta)) \end{aligned}$$

The clause set associated to

$$(h_{11} \vee \dots \vee h_{1n_1}) \wedge (h_{21} \vee \dots \vee h_{2n_2}) \wedge \dots \wedge (h_{k1} \vee \dots \vee h_{kn_k}) \text{ is } \{\{h_{11}, \dots, h_{1n_1}\}, \{h_{21}, \dots, h_{2n_2}\}, \dots, \{h_{k1}, \dots, h_{kn_k}\}\}$$

© Areces: Logics and Statistics for Language Modeling

INRIA Nancy Grand Est

Example 1

The Diplomatic Problem:

$$(P \vee \neg Q) \wedge (Q \vee R) \wedge (\neg R \vee \neg P) \\ \{\{P, \neg Q\}, \{Q, R\}, \{\neg R, \neg P\}\}$$

© Areces: Logics and Statistics for Language Modeling

INRIA Nancy Grand Est

Example 2

1. $\neg((p \vee q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow (p \vee q)))$
2. $\neg(\neg(p \vee q) \vee (\neg\neg q \vee (p \vee q)))$
3. $\neg(\neg(p \vee q) \vee (q \vee (p \vee q)))$
4. $(\neg\neg(p \vee q) \wedge \neg(q \vee (p \vee q)))$
5. $((p \vee q) \wedge \neg(q \vee (p \vee q)))$
6. $((p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge \neg(p \vee q)))$
7. $((p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge (\neg p \wedge \neg q)))$
8. $\{\{p, q\}, \{\neg q\}, \{\neg p\}\}$

© Areces: Logics and Statistics for Language Modeling

INRIA Nancy Grand Est

Example 3

1. $(p \leftrightarrow q) \vee r$
2. $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)) \vee r$
3. $((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee p)) \vee r$
4. $((\neg p \vee q) \vee r) \wedge ((\neg q \vee p) \vee r)$
5. $\{\{\neg p, q, r\}, \{\neg q, p, r\}\}$

© Areces: Logics and Statistics for Language Modeling

INRIA Nancy Grand Est

The Davis-Putnam Algorithm

- The Davis-Putnam method is perhaps one of the most widely used algorithms for solving the SAT problem of PL
- Despite its age, it is still one of the most popular and successful complete methods

Let Σ be the clause set associated to a formula φ

```
procedure DP( $\Sigma$ )
if  $\Sigma = \{\}$  then return SAT           // (SAT)
if  $\{\} \in \Sigma$  then return UNSAT    // (UNSAT)
if  $\Sigma$  has unit clause  $\{l\}$ 
  then DP( $\Sigma \setminus \{l\}$ )          // (Unit Pr.)
Choose literal  $l$  and
  if DP( $\Sigma \setminus \{l\}$ ) return SAT
  then return SAT
  else return DP( $\Sigma \setminus \{l\} \cup \{\neg l\}$ ) // (Split)
```

© Areces: Logics and Statistics for Language Modeling

INRIA Nancy Grand Est

Examples

$$\neg(\neg(p \vee q) \vee (\neg\neg q \vee (p \vee q))) \text{ --CNF--} \rightarrow \{\{p, q\}, \{\neg q\}, \{\neg p\}\} \\ \{\{P, \neg Q\}, \{Q, R\}, \{\neg R, \neg P\}\}$$

© Areces: Logics and Statistics for Language Modeling

INRIA Nancy Grand Est

DP: Performance

- ▶ The worst case complexity of the algorithm we show is $O(1.696^n)$, and a small modification moves it to $O(1.618^n)$.
- ▶ This is an improvement!... Notice that, for example,
 $2^{100} = 1.267.650.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000$
 $1.696^{100} = 87.616.270.000.000.000.000.000.000$
 $1.618^{100} = 790.408.700.000.000.000.000.000$
- ▶ DP can reliably solve problems with up to 500 variables
- ▶ Sadly real world applications easily go into the **thousands of variables** (remember coloring: $\#nodes \times \#colors$).
- ▶ But this is **worst time complexity**. You might get lucky...

Zchaff

- ▶ A highly optimized system implementing a 'flavor' of DP (known as the chaff algorithm).
- ▶ Site: <http://www.princeton.edu/~chaff/zchaff.html>
- ▶ Also known as the 'Princeton Prover'.
- ▶ Success stories of zChaff solving problems with more than one million variables and 10 million clauses. (Of course, it can't solve every such problem!).
- ▶ Integrated into the AI Planner BlackBox, the Model Checker NuSMV, the Theorem Prover GrAnDe, etc.