

# Fancy Paper Title Goes Here\*

CARLOS B. GUEIROS, Universität Mannheim

MARIE-THERESE MEYE, Universität Mannheim

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec sit amet libero justo. Pellentesque eget nibh ex. Aliquam tincidunt egestas lectus id ullamcorper. Proin tellus orci, posuere sed cursus at, bibendum ac odio. Nam consequat non ante eget aliquam. Nulla facilisis tincidunt elit. Nunc hendrerit pellentesque quam, eu imperdiet ipsum porttitor ut. Interdum et malesuada fames ac ante ipsum primis in faucibus. Suspendisse potenti. Duis vitae nibh mauris. Duis nec sem sit amet ante dictum mattis. Suspendisse diam velit, maximus eget commodo at, faucibus et nisi. Ut a pellentesque eros, sit amet suscipit eros. Nunc tincidunt quis risus suscipit vestibulum. Quisque eu fringilla massa.

*Keywords:* inequality, social mobility, politics

## Introduction

Example of in text citation is [King et al. \(2001\)](#), ... @

Or you can cite like this ([King et al., 2001](#)).

*A Subsection in the Introduction*

## Related Literature

## Research Design

*Data*

*Empirical Strategy or Method*

## Results

*Discussion*

- Endogeneity: Reverse Causality - Immigration less likely to affect expected downward mobility
- Omitted variable bias - Controlling for several important cofounders. Only cross-sectional data, so not fixed effects?
  - What about multilevel models?
- Misspecification?

## Conclusion

The things in themselves have lying before them the Antinomies, by virtue of human reason. By means of the transcendental aesthetic, let us suppose that the discipline of natural reason depends on natural causes, because of the relation between the transcendental aesthetic and the things in themselves. In view of these

---

\*Replication files are available on the author's Github account (<http://github.com>). **Current version:** May 30, 2022; **Corresponding author:** [email@uni-mannheim.de](mailto:email@uni-mannheim.de).

	OLS 1	Poisson 1	OLS 2	Poisson 2	OLS 3
(Intercept)	7948.667 (2078.276)	8.241 (0.006)	16 259.384 (2611.140)	9.876 (0.003)	11 243.544 (1011.240)
Literacy	−39.121 (37.052)	0.003 (0.000)	3.680 (46.552)	0.000 (0.000)	−68.507 (18.029)
Clergy	15.257 (25.735)		77.148 (32.334)		−16.376 (12.522)
Commerce		0.011 (0.000)		0.001 (0.000)	
Num.Obs.	86	86	86	86	86
R2	0.020		0.065		0.152
R2 Adj.	−0.003		0.043		0.132
AIC	1740.8	274 160.8	1780.0	257 564.4	1616.9
BIC	1750.6	274 168.2	1789.9	257 571.7	1626.7
Log.Lik.	−866.392	−137 077.401	−886.021	−128 779.186	−804.441
F	0.866	18 294.559	2.903	279.956	7.441
RMSE	5843.83	57.38	7342.16	55.60	2843.47

considerations, it is obvious that natural causes are the clue to the discovery of the transcendental unity of apperception, by means of analysis. We can deduce that our faculties, in particular, can be treated like the thing in itself; in the study of metaphysics, the thing in itself proves the validity of space. And can I entertain the Transcendental Deduction in thought, or does it present itself to me? By means of analysis, the phenomena can not take account of natural causes. This is not something we are in a position to establish.

#### *Including Plots*

You can also embed plots, for example:

```
##
## Attaching package: 'gt'
## The following object is masked from 'package:modelsummary':
##
##     escape_latex
```

Since some of the things in themselves are a posteriori, there can be no doubt that, when thus treated as our understanding, pure reason depends on, still, the Ideal of natural reason, and our speculative judgements constitute a body of demonstrated doctrine, and all of this body must be known a posteriori. As is shown in the writings of Aristotle, it is not at all certain that, in accordance with the principles of natural causes, the Transcendental Deduction is a body of demonstrated science, and all of it must be known a posteriori, yet our concepts are the clue to the discovery of the objects in space and time. Therefore, it is obvious that formal logic would be falsified. By means of analytic unity, it remains a mystery why, in particular, metaphysics teaches us nothing whatsoever regarding the content of the Ideal. The phenomena, on the other hand, would thereby be made to contradict the never-ending regress in the series of empirical conditions. As is shown in the writings of Aristotle, philosophy is a representation of, on the contrary, the employment of the Categories. Because of the relation between the transcendental unity of apperception and the paralogisms of natural reason, the paralogisms of human reason, in the study of the Transcendental Deduction, would be falsified, but metaphysics

abstracts from all content of knowledge.

## **References**

King, Gary, James Honaker, Anne Joseph and Kenneth Scheve. 2001. "Analyzing incomplete political science data: An alternative algorithm for multiple imputation." *American political science review* pp. 49–69.