

---

# **Topology Filters - Notes**

***Release 0.1***

**Carlos Caralps**

**Jul 16, 2021**



## CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Pretty Symbols in Lean</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Glossary of tactics</b>	<b>5</b>



## INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 What is Lean?

Lean is an open source proof-checker and a proof-assistant. One can *explain* mathematical proofs to it and it can check their correctness. It also simplifies the proof writing process by providing *goals* and *tactics*.

Lean is built on top of a formal system called type theory. In type theory, the basic notions are “terms” and “types” — compare to “elements” and “sets” in set theory. Every term has a type, and types are just a special kind of term. Terms can be interpreted as mathematical objects, functions, propositions, or proofs. The only two things Lean can do is *create* terms and *check* their types. By iterating these two operations, we can teach Lean to verify complex mathematical proofs.

```
def x := 2 + 2                                -- a natural number
def f (x : ℕ) := x + 3                        -- a function
def easy_theorem_statement := 2 + 2 = 4       -- a proposition
def fermats_last_theorem_statement           -- another proposition
  :=
  ∀ n : ℕ,
  n > 2
  →
  ¬ (∃ x y z : ℕ, (x^n + y^n = z^n) ∧ (x ≠ 0) ∧ (y ≠ 0) ∧ (z ≠ 0))

theorem
easy_proof : easy_theorem_statement           -- proof of easy_theorem
:=
begin
  exact rfl,
end

theorem
my_hard_proof : fermats_last_theorem_statement -- cheating!
:=
begin
  sorry,
end

#check x
#check f
#check easy_theorem_statement
#check fermats_last_theorem_statement
#check easy_proof
#check my_hard_proof
```

## 1.2 How to use these notes

Every once in a while, you will see a code snippet like this:

```
#eval "Hello, World!"
```

Clicking on the `try it!` button in the upper right corner will open a copy in a window so that you can edit it, and Lean provides feedback in the `Lean Infoview` window. We use this feature to provide exercises inline in the notes. We recommend attempting each exercise as you go along.

These notes are based a 5-day Lean crash course at Mathcamp 2020. We have adapted them to BIYSC 2021.

These notes provide a sneak-peek into the world of theorem proving in Lean and are by no means comprehensive. It is recommended that you simultaneously attempt the [Natural Number Game](#). It is a fun (and highly addictive!) game that proves some basic properties of natural numbers in Lean.

## 1.3 Acknowledgments.

These notes are based on work of [Apurva Nakade](#) and [Jalex Stark](#). Large chunks of these notes are taken directly from [https://apurvanakade.github.io/courses/lean\\_at\\_MC2020/](https://apurvanakade.github.io/courses/lean_at_MC2020/) and [\\_\\_\\_](#).

## 1.4 Useful Links.

1. [Formalizing 100 theorems](#)
2. [Formalizing 100 theorems in Lean](#)
3. **Articles, videos, blog posts, etc.**
  1. [The Xena Project](#)
  2. [The Mechanization of Mathematics](#)
  3. [The Future of Mathematics](#)
4. [Lean Zulip chat group](#)

## PRETTY SYMBOLS IN LEAN

To produce a pretty symbol in Lean, type the *editor shortcut* followed by space or tab.

Unicode	Editor Shortcut	Definition
$\rightarrow$	<code>\to</code>	function or implies
$\leftrightarrow$	<code>\iff</code>	if and only if
$\leftarrow$	<code>\l</code>	used by the <code>rw</code> tactic
$\neg$	<code>\not</code>	negation operator
$\wedge$	<code>\and</code>	and operator
$\vee$	<code>\or</code>	or operator
$\exists$	<code>\exists</code>	there exists quantifier
$\forall$	<code>\forall</code>	for all quantifier
$\mathbb{N}$	<code>\nat</code>	type of natural numbers
$\mathbb{Z}$	<code>\int</code>	type of integers
$\circ$	<code>\circ</code>	composition of functions
$\neq$	<code>\ne</code>	not equal to
$\in$	<code>\in</code>	belongs to
$\notin$	<code>\notin</code>	does not belong to
$\angle$	<code>\angle</code>	angle
$\triangle$	<code>\triangle</code>	triangle
$\cong$	<code>\cong</code>	congruence of segments
$\simeq$	<code>\simeq</code>	congruence of angles





## GLOSSARY OF TACTICS

### 3.1 Implications in Lean

<code>exact</code>	<p>If <math>P</math> is the target of the current goal and <math>hp</math> is a term of type <math>P</math>, then <code>exact hp</code>, will close the goal.</p> <p>Mathematically, this saying “this is <i>exactly</i> what we were required to prove”.</p>
<code>intro</code>	<p>If the target of the current goal is a function <math>P \rightarrow Q</math>, then <code>intro hp</code>, will produce a hypothesis <math>hp : P</math> and change the target to <math>Q</math>.</p> <p>Mathematically, this is saying that in order to define a function from <math>P</math> to <math>Q</math>, we first need to choose an arbitrary element of <math>P</math>.</p>

<code>have</code>	<p><code>have</code> is used to create intermediate variables.</p> <p>If <math>f</math> is a term of type <math>P \rightarrow Q</math> and <math>hp</math> is a term of type <math>P</math>, then <code>have hq := f hp</code>, creates the hypothesis <math>hq : Q</math>.</p>
<code>apply</code>	<p><code>apply</code> is used for backward reasoning.</p> <p>If the target of the current goal is <math>Q</math> and <math>f</math> is a term of type <math>P \rightarrow Q</math>, then <code>apply f</code>, changes target to <math>P</math>.</p> <p>Mathematically, this is equivalent to saying “because <math>P</math> implies <math>Q</math>, to prove <math>Q</math> it suffices to prove <math>P</math>”.</p>

## 3.2 Proof by contradiction

ex-falso	Changes the target of the current goal to false. The name derives from “ <i>ex falso, quodlibet</i> ” which translates to “from contradiction, anything”. You should use this tactic when there are contradictory hypotheses present.
by_cases	If $P : \text{Prop}$ , then <code>by_cases P</code> , creates two goals, the first with a hypothesis $hp : P$ and second with a hypothesis $hp : \neg P$ . Mathematically, this is saying either $P$ is true or $P$ is false. <code>by_cases</code> is the most direct application of the law of excluded middle.
by_contradiction	If the target of the current goal is $Q$ , then <code>by_contradiction</code> , changes the target to false and adds $hnq : \neg Q$ as a hypothesis. Mathematically, this is proof by contradiction.
push_neg	<code>push_neg</code> , simplifies negations in the target. For example, if the target of the current goal is $\neg \neg P$ , then <code>push_neg</code> , simplifies it to $P$ . You can also push negations across a hypothesis $hp : P$ using <code>push_neg at hp</code> .
contrapose!	If the target of the current goal is $P \rightarrow Q$ , then <code>contrapose!</code> , changes the target to $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$ . If the target of the current goal is $Q$ and one of the hypotheses is $hp : P$ , then <code>contrapose! hp</code> , changes the target to $\neg P$ and changes the hypothesis to $hp : \neg Q$ . Mathematically, this is replacing the target by its contrapositive.

## 3.3 And / Or

cases	<code>cases</code> is a general tactic that breaks a complicated term into simpler ones. If $hpq$ is a term of type $P \wedge Q$ , then <code>cases hpq with hp hq</code> , breaks it into $hp : P$ and $hq : Q$ . If $hpq$ is a term of type $P \times Q$ , then <code>cases hpq with hp hq</code> , breaks it into $hp : P$ and $hq : Q$ . If $fg$ is a term of type $P \leftrightarrow Q$ , then <code>cases fg with f g</code> , breaks it into $f : P \rightarrow Q$ and $g : Q \rightarrow P$ . If $hpq$ is a term of type $P \vee Q$ , then <code>cases hpq with hp hq</code> , creates two goals and adds the hypotheses $hp : P$ and $hq : Q$ to one each.
split	<code>split</code> is a general tactic that breaks a complicated goal into simpler ones. If the target of the current goal is $P \wedge Q$ , then <code>split</code> , breaks up the goal into two goals with targets $P$ and $Q$ . If the target of the current goal is $P \times Q$ , then <code>split</code> , breaks up the goal into two goals with targets $P$ and $Q$ . If the target of the current goal is $P \leftrightarrow Q$ , then <code>split</code> , breaks up the goal into two goals with targets $P \rightarrow Q$ and $Q \rightarrow P$ .
left	If the target of the current goal is $P \vee Q$ , then <code>left</code> , changes the target to $P$ .
right	If the target of the current goal is $P \vee Q$ , then <code>right</code> , changes the target to $Q$ .

## 3.4 Quantifiers

have	If $hp$ is a term of type $\forall x : X, P\ x$ and $y$ is a term of type $Y$ then <code>have hpy := hp (y)</code> creates a hypothesis $hpy : P\ y$ .
intro	If the target of the current goal is $\forall x : X, P\ x$ , then <code>intro x</code> , creates a hypothesis $x : X$ and changes the target to $P\ x$ .

cases	If $hp$ is a term of type $\exists x : X, P\ x$ , then <code>cases hp with x key</code> , breaks it into $x : X$ and $key : P\ x$ .
use	If the target of the current goal is $\exists x : X, P\ x$ and $y$ is a term of type $X$ , then <code>use y</code> , changes the target to $P\ y$ and tries to close the goal.

## 3.5 Proving “trivial” statements

norm_num	<code>norm_num</code> is Lean’s calculator. If the target has a proof that involves <i>only</i> numbers and arithmetic operations, then <code>norm_num</code> will close this goal. If $hp : P$ is an assumption then <code>norm_num at hp</code> , tries to use <code>simplify hp</code> using basic arithmetic operations.
ring	<code>ring</code> , is Lean’s symbolic manipulator. If the target has a proof that involves <i>only</i> algebraic operations, then <code>ring</code> , will close the goal. If $hp : P$ is an assumption then <code>ring at hp</code> , tries to use <code>simplify hp</code> using basic algebraic operations.
linarith	<code>linarith</code> , is Lean’s inequality solver.
simp	<code>simp</code> , is a very complex tactic that tries to use theorems from the <code>mathlib</code> library to close the goal. You should only ever use <code>simp</code> , to <i>close a goal</i> because its behavior changes as more theorems get added to the library.

## 3.6 Equality

refl	If the current goal is of the form $X = X$ or $P \leftrightarrow P$ , then <code>refl</code> will finish the proof. As long as both sides are defined to be equal, this will work. For example, it will work with the goal $3 = 2 + 1$ because <i>by definition</i> the number 3 is defined to be 2 plus one. Mathematically, this says “check that both sides are equal <i>by definition</i> ”.
rw	If $f$ is a term of type $P = Q$ (or $P \leftrightarrow Q$ ), then <code>rw f</code> , searches for $P$ in the target and replaces it with $Q$ . <code>rw &lt;f</code> , searches for $Q$ in the target and replaces it with $P$ . If additionally, $hr : R$ is a hypothesis, then <code>rw f at hr</code> , searches for $P$ in the expression $R$ and replaces it with $Q$ . <code>rw &lt;f at hr</code> , searches for $Q$ in the expression $R$ and replaces it with $P$ . Mathematically, this is saying because $P = Q$ , we can replace $P$ with $Q$ (or the other way around).