

1 A stochastic programming model for systemic financial resiliency

2 Julio Deride ^{*1} and Carlos Ramírez ^{†2}

3 ¹Sandia National Laboratories, USA

4 ²Federal Reserve Board, USA

5 February 21, 2018

6 I Problem

7 Consider a network $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ consisting on a set of n nodes, $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and a set of m undirected edges
 8 $\{e_{ij}\} \in E$. Each node i represents one of the institutions identity, and each edge e_{ij} represents the *correlation* or
 9 contagion factor between two entities. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space ...

10 Consider a two-stage model, where on the first stage there is a random shock happening on the nodes. Let
 11 ξ_i a Bernoulli random variable such that $\xi_i^0 \in \{0, 1\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ represents the *distress state* of node- i on the
 12 network. On the other hand, the second stage captures the behavior of the *shock's propagation* over the network.
 13 In order to define this, consider the stochastic process P modeling the probability of contagion of a node, given
 14 that one of its neighbor is distressed, i.e., if $\xi_i^1 \in \{0, 1\}$ represents the distress state of node i in the second stage,
 15 then

$$P_{ij} = \mathbb{P}\{\xi_i^1(\cdot) = 1 \mid \xi_j^0 = 1\} \quad e_{ij} \in E, \forall i, j \in V$$

16 The problem is now to minimize the total cost of the system under shocks on the network. For this, the regu-
 17 lator is set to solve the problem of minimizing an overall cost, consisting on implementation cost and contagion
 18 cost, by deciding an optimal capital requirement. Let x^0 be the decision policy, $x^0 \in [0, 1]^n$ such that x_i^0 repre-
 19 sents the policy required at entity i , and $x^1(\cdot)$ be a decision policy regarding the second stage (not sure if needed
 20 or not). The optimization problem is given by

$$(\mathcal{P}) \quad \begin{aligned} \min_{\{x^0, x^1(\cdot)\}} \quad & \varphi^0(x^0) + \mathbb{E}\{\varphi^1(\cdot, x^0, x^1(\cdot))\} \\ \text{such that} \quad & f^0(x^1) \leq 0 \\ & f^1(x^0, x^1(\omega), \omega) \leq 0, \omega - \text{a.s.} \quad , \\ & x^0 \in [0, 1]^n, x^1 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \end{aligned}$$

21 where φ^0 is the total cost of implementing a capital requirement policy, and φ^1 is the total cost of the second
 22 stage (probably related to the contagion cost). Here, the network constraints are included in the constraints

^{*}jaderid@sandia.gov

[†]carlos.ramirez@frb.gov

$\{f^0, f^1\}$ and for a random realization ω and a given policy x^1 , the cost $\varphi(\omega, x^0, x^1(\omega))$ should reflect the cost of the contagion on the system. For example, one can be interested in minimizing the expected cost of the contagion, but it is easy to incorporate a risk-measure for minimizing, for example, a measure like $C - Var$ of the tail of the distribution of distressed nodes.

2 Tue, Feb 20th

We explore a model with the following features

1. Consider a graph $G = (N, E)$, where each node represents a financial institution, and each edge reflects financial transactions between two institutions.
2. Institution i faces a financial shock, represented as ε_i , which impacts its assets over liabilities ratio, defined as $r_i = \frac{A_i}{L_i}$,¹. Additionally, we consider that a financial institution is under *distress* if its ratio is under a (given) threshold $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Thus,

$$i \text{ under distress} \iff r_i(1 - \varepsilon_i) < \lambda$$

3. There is a central decision maker, focus on the stability of the system. We discussed the information that is available to this regulator, and propose a mechanism to oversee the overall stability within the financial network thorough a constraint over the ratio, given by x_i .
4. The financial institutions decide their ratio by maximizing their profits², given by a function π_i , with the minimum level of A/L ratio, i.e.,

$$r_i(x_i) \in \operatorname{argmax}_r \{ \pi(r) \mid r \geq x_i, r \in R_i \}$$

Additionally, assuming that the function π_i is nondecreasing on r (and no further restrictions are imposed), the individual solution to this problem is given by $r_i^* = x_i$, i.e., the financial institution sets its ratio at minimum possible level.

5. There is contagion on the network, described in its stationary state as follows: if institution i gets distressed, there is a probability p that it affects its immediate neighbor, p^2 by a 2-edge neighbors, and so on. Defining the set $\{j \rightarrow i\}$ as the set of all possible simple paths coming to node i , and $d(j, i)$ the distance between j and i (amount of edges between them), the expected shock [Julio: Assuming that there is no amplification of shocks](#) is given by

$$\varepsilon_i = \sum_{j \rightarrow i} p^{d(i,j)} \varepsilon_j$$

and by defining the matrix $A_{ij} = \sum_{j \rightarrow i} p^{d(i,j)}$, the acceptable shocks are the solution of the eigen problem for the matrix A . Moreover, we interpret A as an stochastic (transition) matrix by enlarging it with an extra

¹Capital?

²utility?

49 *not distressed* node as follows,

$$\tilde{A} = \left[\begin{array}{c|cccc|c} & 1 & 2 & \dots & n & ND \\ \hline 1 & 0 & \sum_{2 \rightarrow 1} p^{d(2,1)} & \dots & \sum_{n \rightarrow 1} p^{d(n,1)} & 1 - \sum \mathcal{A}_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ n & \sum_{n \rightarrow 1} p^{d(n,1)} & \vdots & \dots & 0 & 1 - \sum \mathcal{A}_1 \\ \hline ND & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

50 This is a stochastic matrix, and thus, it has an eigenvalue with value 1, with associated eigenvector \tilde{z}^0 . Let's
51 consider the first n components as acceptable shocks ε^0 for the corresponding nodes.

- Finally, consider the optimization problem solved by the central planner: set the ratio level [Julio: sth about the condition previously stated](#), such that it minimizes the total amount of financial institutions under distress. Let $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$ a binary variable such that $y_i = 1$ if institution i is under distress or $y_i = 0$ otherwise, and let $M > 0$ large enough such that

$$\min_{x, y} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + \varphi(x, y) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{such that } r_i(x_i)(1 - \varepsilon_i^0) - \lambda \leq M(1 - y_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (2)$$

52 where φ is a cost function associated to the policy x and the institutions on distress. Note that this formu-
53 lation depends on p and the topology of the network through the selection of the ε^0 .

- The optimization problem 1 can have a robust formulation by considering an ambiguity set for the parameter p , thus

$$\min_{x, y} \sup_{p \in \mathcal{A}(p_0)} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + \varphi(x, y) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{such that } r_i(x_i)(1 - \varepsilon_i^0) - \lambda \leq M(1 - y_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (4)$$

- Finally, we are looking for a representative agent formulation of the benevolent social planner problem, such that the solutions of both problems coincide. For example, one wild guess is [?]

$$\max_x \left\{ \mathbb{E}^p \left\{ \sum_i \pi_i(x_i) \right\} + \frac{\mu}{2} \text{Var}^p \left(\sum_i \pi_i(x_i) \right) + \frac{\vartheta}{2} \text{Var} \left(\sum_i \pi_i(x_i) \right) \right\}$$