CS 189: Introduction to Machine Learning - Discussion 5

- 1. Fun with Newton's method for root-finding
  - (a) Write down the iterative update equation of Newton's method for finding a root x: f(x) = 0 for a real-valued function f.

**Solution:**  $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$ 

(b) Prove that if f(x) is a quadratic function  $(f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c)$ , then it only takes one iteration of Newton's Method to find the minimum/maximum.

**Solution:** The Newton's method update for finding a minimum/maximum is

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f'(x_n)}{f''(x_n)} = x_n - \frac{2ax_n + b}{2a} = \frac{-b}{2a}$$

And this is the point for minimum/maximum.

2. Linearly Separable Data with Logistic Regression

Show (or explain) that for a linearly separable data set, the maximum likelihood solution for the logistic regression model is obtained by finding a vector  $\beta$  whose decision boundary  $\beta^T x = 0$  separates the classes, and taking the magnitude of  $\beta$  to be infinity. **Note**: Remember that as mentioned in lecture, doing maximum-likelihood on logistic regression is same as minimizing cross-entropy loss (see lecture-6, slides-21,22). In lecture, we explored the cross-entropy loss-minimization perspective to logistic regression. This question will make you explore the likelihood perspective.

## Solution:

Because the data is linearly separable, it is possible to find a hyperplane with unit normal vector  $\beta$  such that each halfspace induced by this hyperplane contain all samples of one class.

Consider all points on the half space defined by  $\beta^T x \geq 0$ . Without loss of generality, let's say that all these points come from class 1, while the points such that  $\beta^T x < 0$  come from class 0. For some point  $x_{c_1}$  in class 1,

$$P(y = 1|x_{c_1}) = \mu_1 = \frac{1}{1 + exp(-\beta^T x_{c_1})} > 0.5$$

because  $\beta^T x_{c_1} \geq 0$ . Likewise, for a point  $x_{c_0}$  in class 0,

$$P(y = 0|x_{c_0}) = 1 - P(y = 1|x_{c_0}) = 1 - \mu_1 > 0.5$$

since  $\beta^T x_{c_0} < 0$ . Now, when we inspect the likelihood of the data, given by

$$L(\beta|D) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i^{y_i} (1 - \mu_i)^{1 - y_i} = \prod_{i \in C_1} \mu_i \prod_{j \in C_0} (1 - \mu_j)$$

we see that if we take some arbitrary k > 1 and scale the unit vector  $\beta$  by k, our likelihood will increase, since all of the individual probabilities in the likelihood will increase. In fact, we can set  $k = \infty$ , which will maximize our likelihood. This will render the sigmoid function to be infinitely steep at  $\beta^T x_i = 0$  (making it a step function).  $P(y = y_i | x_i) = 1$  for all  $x_i$ , and the likelihood will be 1. Obviously this is severely overfitting the data, and regularization for this problem would help us avoid that issue.

## 3. Linear Regression with Laplace prior

We saw in discussion 4 that there is a probabilistic interpretation of linear regression:  $P(y|\mathbf{x}, \sigma^2) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w^T}\mathbf{x}, \sigma^2)$ . We extend this by assuming some prior distribution on parameters  $\mathbf{w}$ . Let us assume the prior is a Laplace distribution, so we have:

$$w_j \sim Laplace(0, t)$$
, i.e.  $P(w_j) = \frac{1}{2t}e^{-|w_j|/t}$  and  $P(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^D P(w_j) = (\frac{1}{2t})^D \cdot e^{-\frac{\sum |w_j|}{t}}$ 

Show it is equivalent to minimizing the following risk function, and find the value of the constant  $\lambda$ :

$$R(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y^{(i)} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2 + \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||_1, \text{ where } ||\mathbf{w}||_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{D} |w_j|$$

**Solution:** Note that  $\mathbf{X_i} = \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, Y_i = y^{(i)}$ . We have to solve the MAP for parameter  $\mathbf{w}$  and the posterior of  $\mathbf{w}$  is,

$$P(w|\mathbf{X_i}, Y_i) \propto (\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(Y_i|\mathbf{w^TX_i}, \sigma^2)) \cdot P(\mathbf{w}) = (\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(Y_i|\mathbf{w^TX_i}, \sigma^2)) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^D P(w_j)$$

Taking log and we want to maximize

$$l(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} log \mathcal{N}(Y_{i} | \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{i}, \sigma^{2}) + \sum_{j=1}^{D} log P(w_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} log(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} exp(-\frac{(Y_{i} - \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{i})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}})) + \sum_{j=1}^{D} log(\frac{1}{2t} exp(\frac{-|w_{j}|}{t}))$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(Y_{i} - \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{i})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} + \frac{-\sum_{j=1}^{D} |w_{j}|}{t} + nlog(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}) + Dlog(\frac{1}{2t})$$

So it is equivalent to minimizing the following function:

$$R(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X_i})^2 + \frac{2\sigma^2}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{D} |w_j| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X_i})^2 + \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||_1$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{2\sigma^2}{t}$ .

This form of linear regression is called *ridge* regression.

4. Review: Linear SVM in Higher Dimensional space (video)

Consider a data set,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{nxd}$ .

Let  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be one data point, i.e. one row of X. We can create a quadratic feature vector  $X_i$  from  $X_i$  by mapping the features:

$$\begin{array}{l} x_1, x_2, ..., x_d \text{ to} \\ x_1^2, x_2^2, ... x_d^2, \sqrt{2} x_1 x_2, ..., \sqrt{2} x_1 x_d, \sqrt{2} x_2 x_1, ..., \sqrt{2} x_2 x_d, ... \sqrt{2} x_{d_1} x_d. \end{array}$$

For simplicity, lets consider the simple case where our data is initially two dimensional: A quadratic mapping takes  $x_1, x_2$  to  $x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2$ .

We can view these terms as a new feature vector, and fit a linear decision boundary in this higher, 3D space. The boundary will be linear in the features.

This can also be viewed as fitting a polynomial boundary in a (d+1) dimensional space.

The following video demonstrates this concept: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3liCbRZPrZA