

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <http://www.researchgate.net/publication/280243425>

A General Mean Value Theorem

ARTICLE · JULY 2015

Source: arXiv

READS

44

1 AUTHOR:



Zsolt Páles

University of Debrecen

244 PUBLICATIONS 1,468 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

A GENERAL MEAN VALUE THEOREM

ZSOLT PÁLES

ABSTRACT. In this note a general a Cauchy-type mean value theorem for the ratio of functional determinants is offered. It generalizes Cauchy's and Taylor's mean value theorems as well as other classical mean value theorems.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of the present note is to offer a unified approach to most of the mean value theorems known in elementary analysis.

Let x_1, \dots, x_k be arbitrary points in the real interval $[a, b]$. Then, one can uniquely determine a permutation π of the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_1 < \dots < \xi_n$ in $[a, b]$ and k_1, \dots, k_n in \mathbb{N} with $k_1 + \dots + k_n = k$ such that

$$(1) \quad (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(k)}) = (\underbrace{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_1}_{k_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\xi_n, \dots, \xi_n}_{k_n \text{ times}}).$$

If $w_1, \dots, w_{m+k} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a system of $(k-1)$ times differentiable functions ($m > 0$), and $u_1, \dots, u_{m+k} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are vectors, then we define

$$\mathcal{W} \begin{pmatrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k} \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k} \end{pmatrix} (x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ := \begin{vmatrix} u_{1,1} & \dots & u_{1,m} & w_1(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1(\xi_n) & \dots & w_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{m+k,1} & \dots & u_{m+k,m} & w_{m+k}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_{m+k}^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_{m+k}(\xi_n) & \dots & w_{m+k}^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \end{vmatrix},$$

where the right hand side of this equation is an $(m+k) \times (m+k)$ determinant, $w^{(i)}$ stands for the i th derivative of the function w , $u_{i,j}$ denotes the j th coordinate of the vector u_i , and ξ_i , k_i is determined by (1).

We also allow m to take the value 0, with the following notational conventions: $\mathbb{R}^0 := \{0\}$ and

$$\mathcal{W} \begin{pmatrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_k \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_k \end{pmatrix} (x_1, \dots, x_k) := \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k)(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ := \begin{vmatrix} w_1(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1(\xi_n) & \dots & w_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ w_k(\xi_1) & \dots & w_k^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_k(\xi_n) & \dots & w_k^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \end{vmatrix},$$

Date: July 21, 2015.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 26A51, 26B25.

Key words and phrases. Mean value theorem, Wronski determinant, Quasi differential operators.

This research has been supported by the Hungarian National Research Science Foundation (OTKA) Grant K111651.

Observe that if here $x_1 = \dots = x_k = \xi$, then the above definition reduces to

$$\mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k)(\xi, \dots, \xi) = \begin{vmatrix} w_1(\xi) & \dots & w_1^{(k-1)}(\xi) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_k(\xi) & \dots & w_k^{(k-1)}(\xi) \end{vmatrix},$$

which is known as the Wronski determinant of the system w_1, \dots, w_k .

The class of functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are $k - 1$ times continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$ and k times differentiable on the open interval $]a, b[$ will be denoted by $D^k([a, b])$.

Now we are able to formulate the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let $1 \leq k$, $0 \leq m$ be integers and $u_1, \dots, u_{m+k} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that (if $0 < m$ then) u_1, \dots, u_m are linearly independent, i.e.,*

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{V}_0 := \begin{vmatrix} u_{1,1} & \dots & u_{1,m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{m,1} & \dots & u_{m,m} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

In addition, let $w_1, \dots, w_{m+k} \in D^k([a, b])$ be a system of functions satisfying

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{V}_n(\xi) := \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{matrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+n} \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+n} \end{matrix} \right) (\underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{n \text{ times}}) \neq 0$$

for all $\xi \in [a, b]$ and $n = 1, \dots, k$. Then, for all nonidentical points $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in [a, b]$, vectors $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and functions $f, g \in D^k([a, b])$, there exists an intermediate point $\xi \in]\min x_i, \max x_i[$ such that

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{matrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k}, & f \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k}, & p \end{matrix} \right) (\xi, \dots, \xi) \cdot \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{matrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k}, & g \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k}, & q \end{matrix} \right) (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ = \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{matrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k}, & g \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k}, & q \end{matrix} \right) (\xi, \dots, \xi) \cdot \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{matrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k}, & f \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k}, & p \end{matrix} \right) (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}).$$

The proof of this theorem is given in the next section. Now we list some of its consequences.

Corollary 1. (Cauchy's Mean Value Theorem.) *Let $f, g \in D^1[a, b]$ Then there exists $\xi \in]a, b[$ such that*

$$f'(\xi)(g(a) - g(b)) = g'(\xi)(f(a) - f(b)).$$

Proof. Let $k = 1$, $m = 0$, $w_1 \equiv 1$ and $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = b$ in Theorem 1. Then the statement follows immediately from (4). \square

Corollary 2. (Taylor's Mean Value Theorem.) *Let $f \in D^k([a, b])$. Then, for all $x \in]a, b]$, there exists $\xi \in]a, b[$ such that*

$$f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a) + \dots + \frac{f^{(k-1)}(a)}{(k-1)!}(x - a)^{k-1} + \frac{f^{(k)}(\xi)}{k!}(x - a)^k.$$

Proof. Let $m = 0$,

$$w_i(x) = \frac{(x - a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \frac{(x - a)^k}{k!}.$$

Then, for all $\xi \in [a, b]$,

$$\mathcal{W}(w_1)(\xi) = \cdots = \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k)(\xi, \dots, \xi) = 1,$$

therefore, (2) and (3) are satisfied. Thus, taking $x_1 = \cdots = x_k = a$ and $x_{k+1} = x$ in Theorem 1, we obtain that there exists $\xi \in]a, x[$ satisfying

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, f)(\xi, \dots, \xi, \xi) \cdot \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, g)(a, \dots, a, x) \\ = \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, g)(\xi, \dots, \xi, \xi) \cdot \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, f)(a, \dots, a, x). \end{aligned}$$

A simple computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, f)(a, \dots, a, x) &= f(x) - f(a) - f'(a)(x - a) - \cdots - \frac{f^{(k-1)}(a)}{(k-1)!}(x - a)^{k-1}, \\ \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, g)(a, \dots, a, x) &= \frac{(x - a)^k}{k!}, \end{aligned}$$

furthermore

$$\mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, f)(\xi, \dots, \xi, \xi) = f^{(k)}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, g)(\xi, \dots, \xi, \xi) = 1.$$

Thus, Taylor's theorem follows from (5) at once. \square

Let $w_1(x) = 1, \dots, w_k(x) = x^{k-1}, w_{k+1} = x^k$ for $x \in [a, b]$ and let $a \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_{k+1} \leq b$ with $a < x_{k+1}$ and $x_1 < b$. Then the ratio

$$[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]f := \frac{\mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, f)(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})}{\mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, w_{k+1})(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})}$$

is called the k th order divided difference of $f \in D^k([a, b])$ over the points x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} (c.f. [Sch81, p. 45]). Divided differences are usually defined in an inductive way in the literature, see e.g. [AH79, Sect. 3.17] and [HA38, Sect. 2.3]. The proof of the equivalence of the above definition to the usual one can be found in [Sch81, Theorem 2.51, p.47].

Concerning divided differences, the following result is well known (c.f. [AH79, p. 274] and [Sch81, (2.93)]).

Corollary 3. *Let $f \in D^k([a, b])$ and $a \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_{k+1} \leq b$ with $x_1 < x_{k+1}$. Then there exists $\xi \in]x_1, x_{k+1}[$ such that*

$$(6) \quad [x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]f = \frac{f^{(k)}(\xi)}{k!}.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 1 when $m = 0$ with the function $g(x) = w_{k+1}(x) = x^k$. Then we find that there exists $\xi \in]x_1, x_{k+1}[$ such that

$$[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]f = \underbrace{[\xi, \dots, \xi]}_{k+1 \text{ times}} f = \frac{f^{(k)}(\xi)}{k!}.$$

Thus (6) is proved. \square

The following result, called Cauchy Mean Value Theorem, is due to Rätz and Russel [RR87].

Corollary 4. *Let $f, g \in D^k([a, b])$ such that $g^{(k)}(\xi) \neq 0$ for $\xi \in]a, b[$ and let $a \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{k+1} \leq b$ with $x_1 < x_{k+1}$. Then there exists $\xi \in]x_1, x_{k+1}[$ such that*

$$(7) \quad \frac{[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]f}{[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]g} = \frac{f^{(k)}(\xi)}{g^{(k)}(\xi)}.$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 3 for the function g first, we can observe that

$$[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]g \neq 0.$$

Hence the left hand side of (7) exists. Clearly,

$$\frac{[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]f}{[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]g} = \frac{\mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, f)(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})}{\mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, g)(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1, there exists $\xi \in]x_1, x_{k+1}[$ such that

$$\frac{[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]f}{[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]g} = \frac{\mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, f)(\xi, \dots, \xi, \xi)}{\mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_k, g)(\xi, \dots, \xi, \xi)} = \frac{f^{(k)}(\xi)}{g^{(k)}(\xi)},$$

whence (7) follows. \square

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In the proof of Theorem 1, we shall need the following notion: A function $f \in D^k([a, b])$ *vanishes $k + 1$ times in $[a, b]$* if there exist points $x_1 < \dots < x_n$ in $[a, b]$ with $x_1 < b$, $a < x_n$ and natural numbers k_1, \dots, k_n with $k_1 + \dots + k_n = k + 1$ such that

$$(8) \quad f^{(j)}(x_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, k_i - 1, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For instance, the function $f(x) = x(x - 1)$ vanishes twice in $[0, 1]$. However the function $f(x) = x^2$ does not vanish twice in $[0, 1]$, but it does in $[-1, 1]$, (that is, all the zeroes of f should not be concentrated at the endpoints of the interval).

We recall the following lemmas from [Pal94] and, for readers convenience, we also provide their proofs.

Lemma 1. *If $f, g \in D^k([a, b])$ and f vanishes $k + 1$ times in $[a, b]$, then fg also vanishes $k + 1$ times in $[a, b]$.*

Proof. By the assumption, there are $x_1 < \dots < x_n$ in $[a, b]$ with $x_1 < b$, $a < x_n$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 + \dots + k_n = k + 1$ such that (8) holds. Then, using Leibniz's Product Rule, one can check that

$$(fg)^{(j)}(x_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, k_i - 1, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus fg also vanishes $k + 1$ times in $[a, b]$. \square

Lemma 2. *If $f \in D^k([a, b])$ vanishes $k + 1$ times in $[a, b]$, then f' vanishes k times in $[a, b]$.*

Proof. We have (8) for some $x_1 < \dots < x_n$ with $x_1 < b$, $a < x_n$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 + \dots + k_n = k + 1$. If $n = 1$, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, by Rolle's Mean Value Theorem, there exist $x_i < \xi_i < x_{i+1}$ such that

$$f'(\xi_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

These equalities combined with (8) yield that f' vanishes k times on $[a, b]$. \square

The following lemma generalizes [Pal94, Lemma 3]. The result obtained therein corresponds to the case $m = 0$ below.

Lemma 3. *Let $1 \leq k$, $0 \leq m$ be integers and $u_1, \dots, u_{m+k} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that (2) holds (if $m > 0$). Let $w_1, \dots, w_m \in D^k([a, b])$ be a system of functions satisfying (3) for all $\xi \in [a, b]$. For $f \in D^k([a, b])$, define the following operators*

$$\mathbb{W}_n(f)(\xi) := \mathcal{W} \begin{pmatrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+n}, & f \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+n}, & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{n+1 \text{ times}}), \quad n = 0, \dots, k.$$

where $\xi \in [a, b]$ if $n < k$, and $\xi \in]a, b[$ if $n = k$. Then the following recursive formula

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{W}_n(f)(\xi) = \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\frac{\mathbb{W}_{n-1}(f)(\xi)}{\mathcal{V}_n(\xi)} \right) \cdot \frac{[\mathcal{V}_n(\xi)]^2}{\mathcal{V}_{n-1}(\xi)}$$

holds for all $\xi \in [a, b]$ if $1 \leq n < k$, and for all $\xi \in]a, b[$ if $n = k$. (Here \mathcal{V}_0 and \mathcal{V}_n ($1 \leq n \leq k$) are defined in (2) and in (3), respectively. In the case $m = 0$ we set $\mathcal{V}_0 = 0$.)

Proof. The argument described below works for $m \neq 0$. The $m = 0$ case is completely analogous, therefore omitted.

The vectors u_1, \dots, u_m are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^m , hence they form a basis of \mathbb{R}^m . Thus, we can find real numbers $\gamma_{1,n}, \dots, \gamma_{m,n}$ such that, for $n = 1, \dots, k$,

$$(10) \quad -u_{m+n} = \gamma_{1,n}u_1 + \dots + \gamma_{m,n}u_m.$$

Then define the functions $v_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(11) \quad v_n := w_{m+n} + \gamma_{1,n}w_1 + \dots + \gamma_{m,n}w_m.$$

Now we show that the functions v_1, \dots, v_n form a linearly independent system of solutions of the equation

$$(12) \quad \mathbb{W}_n(f)(\xi) = 0, \quad \xi \in]a, b[$$

which is an n th order homogeneous linear differential equation for the unknown function f .

To see this, we first compute $\mathbb{W}_n(v_j)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $0 \leq n \leq k$. Multiplying the first m rows of the determinant $\mathbb{W}_n(v_j)$ by $\gamma_{1,j}, \dots, \gamma_{m,j}$, respectively, subtracting their sum from the last row, then using (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}_n(v_j)(\xi) &= \mathcal{W} \begin{pmatrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+n}, & w_{m+j} + \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{i,j}w_i \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+n}, & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\xi, \dots, \xi) \\ &= \mathcal{W} \begin{pmatrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+n}, & w_{m+j} \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+n}, & -\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{i,j}u_i \end{pmatrix} (\xi, \dots, \xi) \\ &= \mathcal{W} \begin{pmatrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+n}, & w_{m+j} \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+n}, & u_{m+j} \end{pmatrix} (\xi, \dots, \xi) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

If $j \leq n$, then this formula results $\mathbb{W}_n(v_j) = 0$. On the other hand, with $j = n + 1$, we have $\mathbb{W}_n(v_{n+1}) = \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$.

The function v_1 cannot be identically zero because $\mathbb{W}_0(v_1) = \mathcal{V}_1 \neq 0$. Hence $\{v_1\}$ is a linearly independent set of solutions of $\mathbb{W}_1(f) = 0$. Assume now that v_1, \dots, v_n form a linearly independent system of solutions of $\mathbb{W}_n(f) = 0$. The function v_{n+1} is not a solution of this

equation, hence, it cannot be a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_n . Thus, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} is also a linearly independent system.

Temporarily, denote the operator defined by the right hand side of (9) by $\mathbb{W}_n^*(f)$. It is clear that $\mathbb{W}_n^*(f)$ is also an n th-order linear differential operator of f . We show that v_1, \dots, v_n also solves the equation $\mathbb{W}_n^*(f) = 0$. This is obvious if $f = v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ (since these functions are solutions of the equation $\mathbb{W}_{n-1}(f) = 0$). On the other hand

$$\mathbb{W}_n^*(v_n)(\xi) = \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\frac{\mathbb{W}_{n-1}(v_n)(\xi)}{\mathcal{V}_n(\xi)} \right) \cdot \frac{[\mathcal{V}_n(\xi)]^2}{\mathcal{V}_{n-1}(\xi)} = \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_n(\xi)}{\mathcal{V}_n(\xi)} \right) \cdot \frac{[\mathcal{V}_n(\xi)]^2}{\mathcal{V}_{n-1}(\xi)} = 0.$$

Observe that the coefficients of $f^{(n)}$ in $\mathbb{W}_n(f)$ and $\mathbb{W}_n^*(f)$ are equal to \mathcal{V}_n which does not vanish anywhere in $[a, b]$. Therefore, having the same solution space, these two operators have to coincide for all $1 \leq n \leq k$. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $1 \leq k$, $0 \leq m$ be integers and $u_1, \dots, u_{m+k} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that (2) holds (if $m > 0$). Let $w_1, \dots, w_m \in D^k([a, b])$ be a system of functions satisfying (3) for all $\xi \in [a, b]$. Assume that the function $f \in D^k([a, b])$ vanishes $k + 1$ times in $[a, b]$. Then, for each $0 \leq n \leq k$, the function $\mathbb{W}_n(f)$ defined in Lemma 3 vanishes $k + 1 - n$ times in $[a, b]$.*

Proof. We prove by induction. If $n = 0$, then $\mathbb{W}_0(f) = \mathcal{V}_0 f$, hence, in this case, there is nothing to prove. Let $n \geq 1$ and assume that $\mathbb{W}_{n-1}(f)$ vanishes $k + 1 - (n - 1)$ times. Then, applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, one sees that the function

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\frac{\mathbb{W}_{n-1}(f)(\xi)}{\mathcal{V}_n(\xi)} \right) \cdot \frac{[\mathcal{V}_n(\xi)]^2}{\mathcal{V}_{n-1}(\xi)} \quad (\xi \in [a, b])$$

vanishes $k + 1 - (n - 1) - 1 = k + 1 - n$ times. Therefore, due to the recursive formula established in Lemma 3, $\mathbb{W}_n(f)$ vanishes $k + 1 - n$ times. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main result of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{k+1}$ be in $[a, b]$ with $\min x_i < \max x_i$. Then there exist a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_1 < \dots < \xi_n$ in $[a, b]$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 + \dots + k_n = k + 1$ such that

$$(13) \quad (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(k+1)}) = (\underbrace{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_1}_{k_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\xi_n, \dots, \xi_n}_{k_n \text{ times}})$$

holds. Define the function $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(x) := \begin{vmatrix} u_{1,1} & \dots & u_{1,m} & w_1(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & w_1(x) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{m+k,1} & \dots & u_{m+k,m} & w_{m+k}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_{m+k}^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_{m+k}^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & w_{m+k}(x) \\ p_1 & \dots & p_m & f(\xi_1) & \dots & f^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & f^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & f(x) \\ q_1 & \dots & q_m & g(\xi_1) & \dots & g^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & g^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & g(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

It is obvious at once that

$$F^{(j)}(\xi_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, k_i - 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

therefore F vanishes $k + 1$ times in $[a, b]$. Thus, by Lemma 4, there exists $\xi \in]a, b[$ such that

$$(14) \quad \mathbb{W}_k(F)(\xi) = \mathcal{W} \left(\begin{array}{cccc} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k}, & F \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k}, & 0 \end{array} \right) (\underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{k+1 \text{ times}}) = 0.$$

Now determine the constants $\gamma_{i,n}$ such that they satisfy (10) and define v_1, \dots, v_k by (11). Similarly, choose $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ and β_1, \dots, β_m such that

$$(15) \quad -p = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_m u_m \quad \text{and} \quad -q = \beta_1 u_1 + \dots + \beta_m u_m.$$

and define

$$(16) \quad \phi = f + \alpha_1 w_1 + \dots + \alpha_m w_m \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = g + \beta_1 w_1 + \dots + \beta_m w_m.$$

Using these choices of the constants, add linear combination of the first m rows of F to the rest of the rows to obtain

$$F(x) := \begin{vmatrix} u_{1,1} & \dots & u_{1,m} & w_1(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & w_1(x) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{m,1} & \dots & u_{m,m} & w_m(\xi_1) & \dots & w_m^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_m^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & w_m(x) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & v_1(\xi_1) & \dots & v_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & v_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & v_1(x) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & v_k(\xi_1) & \dots & v_k^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & v_k^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & v_k(x) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \phi(\xi_1) & \dots & \phi^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & \phi^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & \phi(x) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \psi(\xi_1) & \dots & \psi^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & \psi^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & \psi(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \mathcal{V}_0 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} v_1(\xi_1) & \dots & v_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & v_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & v_1(x) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_k(\xi_1) & \dots & v_k^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & v_k^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & v_k(x) \\ \phi(\xi_1) & \dots & \phi^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & \phi^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & \phi(x) \\ \psi(\xi_1) & \dots & \psi^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & \psi^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) & \psi(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Expanding by the last column, we get

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k C_i \cdot v_i(x) - A\phi(x) + B\psi(x),$$

where A, B, C_i are the values of the corresponding subdeterminants. Substituting the above form of F into (14), and using that $\mathbb{W}_k(v_i) = 0$, we get that

$$(17) \quad A \cdot \mathbb{W}_k(\phi)(\xi) = B \cdot \mathbb{W}_k(\psi)(\xi)$$

In the rest of the proof we show that (17) reduces to (4).

Indeed, adding a certain linear combination to the last row of \mathbb{W}_k , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{W}_k(\phi)(\xi) &= \mathcal{W}\left(\begin{matrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k}, & f + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i w_i \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k}, & 0 \end{matrix}\right)(\xi, \dots, \xi) \\ &= \mathcal{W}\left(\begin{matrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k}, & f \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k}, & -\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i u_i \end{matrix}\right)(\xi, \dots, \xi) \\ &= \mathcal{W}\left(\begin{matrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k}, & f \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k}, & p \end{matrix}\right)(\xi, \dots, \xi).\end{aligned}$$

For the constant A , due to its origin, we have

$$A = \mathcal{V}_0 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} v_1(\xi_1) & \dots & v_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & v_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_k(\xi_1) & \dots & v_k^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & v_k^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ \psi(\xi_1) & \dots & \psi^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & \psi^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Now, using an argument similar to that applied in the computation of F , one can get that

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \begin{vmatrix} u_{1,1} & \dots & u_{1,m} & w_1(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{m,1} & \dots & u_{m,m} & w_m(\xi_1) & \dots & w_m^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_m^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & v_1(\xi_1) & \dots & v_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & v_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & v_k(\xi_1) & \dots & v_k^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & v_k^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \psi(\xi_1) & \dots & \psi^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & \psi^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} u_{1,1} & \dots & u_{1,m} & w_1(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_1^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_{m+k,1} & \dots & u_{m+k,m} & w_{m+k}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_{m+k}^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & w_{m+k}^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \\ q_1 & \dots & q_m & g(\xi_1) & \dots & g^{(k_1-1)}(\xi_1) & \dots & g^{(k_n-1)}(\xi_n) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \mathcal{W}\left(\begin{matrix} w_1, & \dots, & w_{m+k}, & g \\ u_1, & \dots, & u_{m+k}, & q \end{matrix}\right)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have checked that the left hand side of (17) coincides with that of (4). The equality of the right hand sides follows similarly, and therefore, the proof is complete. \square

We now derive a useful consequence of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $[a, b]$ be a proper subinterval of I . Let $1 \leq k$, $1 \leq m$ be integers and $y_1, \dots, y_m \in I \setminus [a, b]$. Assume that $w_1, \dots, w_{m+k} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are sufficiently many times differentiable functions such that*

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_{m+n})(y_1, \dots, y_m, \underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{n \text{ times}}) \neq 0$$

for all $\xi \in [a, b]$ and $n = 0, \dots, k$. Then, for all nonidentical points $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in [a, b]$, and functions sufficiently many times differentiable $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists an intermediate point

$\xi \in]\min x_i, \max x_i[$ such that

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_{m+k}, f)(y_1, \dots, y_m, \underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{k+1 \text{ times}}) \cdot \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_{m+k}, g)(y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ = \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_{m+k}, g)(y_1, \dots, y_m, \underbrace{\xi, \dots, \xi}_{k+1 \text{ times}}) \cdot \mathcal{W}(w_1, \dots, w_{m+k}, f)(y_1, \dots, y_m, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}).$$

Proof. Let π be a permutation of the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$, $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l \in I$, and $m_1, \dots, m_l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m_1 + \dots + m_l = m$ such that

$$(y_{\pi(1)}, \dots, y_{\pi(m)}) = (\underbrace{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_1}_{m_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\eta_l, \dots, \eta_l}_{m_l \text{ times}}).$$

Set, for $i = 1, \dots, m + k$,

$$u_i := (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{im}) := (w_i(\eta_1), \dots, w_i^{(m_1-1)}(\eta_1), \dots, w_i(\eta_l), \dots, w_i^{(m_l-1)}(\eta_l)),$$

and

$$p := (p_1, \dots, p_m) := (f(\eta_1), \dots, f^{(m_1-1)}(\eta_1), \dots, f(\eta_l), \dots, f^{(m_l-1)}(\eta_l)), \\ q := (q_1, \dots, q_m) := (g(\eta_1), \dots, g^{(m_1-1)}(\eta_1), \dots, g(\eta_l), \dots, g^{(m_l-1)}(\eta_l)).$$

Observe, that with this notations, the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and therefore there exists ξ such that (4) holds. It is immediate to see that (4) is equivalent to (19). \square

REFERENCES

- [AH79] G. Aumann and O. Haupt, *Einführung in die reelle Analysis*, Band II, W. de Gruyter, Berlin – New York, 1979.
- [HA38] O. Haupt and G. Aumann, *Differential- und Integralrechnung*, Band II, W. de Gruyter, Berlin – New York, 1938.
- [Pal94] Zs. Páles, *A unified form of the classical mean value theorems*, in: *Inequalities and Applications* (ed. by R. P. Agarwal), World Scientific Publ., Singapore-New Jersey-London-Hong Kong, 1994, 493-500.
- [RR87] J. Rätz and D. Russell, *An extremal problem related to probability*, *Aequationes Math.*, **34** (1987), 316-324.
- [Sch81] L. Schumaker, *Spline Functions*, Wiley, New York – Toronto, 1981.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, H-4010 DEBRECEN, PF. 12, HUNGARY
E-mail address: pales@science.unideb.hu