# ISLR Chapter 13 Exercises, Second Edition

## 2023-07-01

# Contents

Conceptual							 													1
Question 1.							 													1
Question 2.							 													1
Question 3.							 													2
Question 4.							 													2
Question 5.	 						 													2
Question 6.	 						 													3
Question 7.							 													4
Question 8.							 													4
library(ggplot2) library(ISLR2) library(survival) library(boot)																				

## Conceptual

## Question 1

- a Expectation is linear, and under the null hypothesis for a single hypothesis test the probability of a type 1 Error is  $\alpha$ . This is the same as the expected number of type 1 errors for a single test, so to get the expected number of errors for m tests we multiple by m. The answers is thus  $\alpha m$
- **b** The family wise error rate is defined as  $P(at \ least \ one \ type \ 1 \ error) = 1 P(0 \ type \ 1 \ errors) = 1 (1 \alpha)^m$
- c let A denote the event that the first test does not result in a type 1 error, and B denote the event that the second test does not result in a type 1 error.

Then, 
$$1 - P(0 \text{ type } 1 \text{ errors}) = 1 - P(A) \cdot P(B|A) = 1 - (1 - \alpha) \cdot P(B|A)$$

This is the same as the expression from part b, except for one term of  $(1 - \alpha)$  changes to P(B|A). We do not know exactly what the value of this probability is, but we do know that it is greater than P(B), since the p values for test 1 and test 2 are positively correlated. Therefore, we are subtracting a larger number from 1, and the family wise error rate will be smaller than in the independent case.

**d** We use the same logic as above, except now P(B|A) < P(B). Therfore we are subtracting a smaller number from 1, and the family wise error rate will be larger than in the independent case.

## Question 2

- **a** Bernoulli with parameter  $\alpha$ .
- **b** Binomial with parameters  $p = \alpha, n = m$

**c** The standard deviation of this distribution is  $\sqrt{m\alpha(1-\alpha)}$ 

## Question 3

```
FWER = P(falsely \, reject \, at \, least \, one \, null \, hypothesis) = P(\cup_{j=1}^{m} A_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j, \, \text{since} \, P(A_j \cup A_i \leq P(A_j) + P(A_i)))
```

#### Question 4

```
p_values <- c(0.0011, 0.031, 0.017, 0.32, 0.11, 0.90, 0.07, 0.006, 0.004, 0.0009)
print(which(p_values <= 0.05))</pre>
## [1] 1 2 3 8 9 10
bonferroni_p <- p.adjust(p_values, method = "bonferroni")</pre>
print(which(bonferroni_p <= 0.05))</pre>
## [1] 1 9 10
holm_p <- p.adjust(p_values, method = "holm")</pre>
print(which(holm_p <= 0.05))</pre>
## [1] 1 8 9 10
qs <- p.adjust(p_values, method = "BH")
for (q in c(.05, 0.2)) {
 print(which(qs <= q))</pre>
## [1] 1 3 8 9 10
## [1] 1 2 3 5 7 8 9 10
rejected <- qs[qs <= 0.2]
print(0.2 * length(rejected))
## [1] 1.6
```

## Question 5

```
p_values <- c(0.01, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5)

bonferroni_p <- p.adjust(p_values, method = "bonferroni")

holm_p <- p.adjust(p_values, method = "holm")

print(sum(bonferroni_p <= 0.1))</pre>
```

```
## [1] 1
print(sum(holm_p <= 0.1))</pre>
## [1] 1
p_{values} \leftarrow c(0.01, 0.025, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5)
bonferroni_p <- p.adjust(p_values, method = "bonferroni")</pre>
holm_p <- p.adjust(p_values, method = "holm")</pre>
print(sum(bonferroni_p <= 0.1))</pre>
## [1] 1
print(sum(holm_p <= 0.1))</pre>
## [1] 2
Question 6
Panel 1
a TP: 7 FP (Type 1 error): 0 TN: 2 FN (Type 2 error): 1
   Same as a
\mathbf{c} = 0
\mathbf{d} = 0
   We would have more false negatives (Type 11 errors ) and less true positives.
Panel 2
   TP: 7 FP (Type 1 error): 0 TN: 2 FN (Type 2 error): 1
   TP: 8 FP (Type 1 error): 0 TN: 2 FN (Type 2 error): 0
b
\mathbf{c} = 0
\mathbf{d} = 0
e We would have more false negatives (Type 11 errors) and less true positives. The false discovery rate
would remain 0.
Panel 3
a TP: 3 FP (Type 1 error): 0 TN: 2 FN (Type 2 error): 5
   TP: 8 FP (Type 1 error): 0 TN: 2 FN (Type 2 error): 0
\mathbf{c} = 0
```

#### $\mathbf{d} = 0$

 ${f e}$  We would have more false negatives (Type 11 errors ) and less true positives. The false disovery rate would remain 0.

### Question 7

```
df_carseats <- ISLR2::Carseats %>%
  dplyr::select(., dplyr::where(is.numeric))
numeric_vars <- setdiff(colnames(df_carseats), "Sales")</pre>
p_values <- rep(0, length(numeric_vars)) %>%
  setNames(., numeric_vars)
for (var in numeric_vars) {
  form <- as.formula(paste("Sales ~", var))</pre>
  p_values[[var]] <- coef(summary(lm(form, data = df_carseats)))[var, "Pr(>|t|)"]
print(names(p_values)[p_values <= 0.05])</pre>
                      "Advertising" "Price"
## [1] "Income"
                                                    "Age"
print(names(p_values)[p.adjust(p_values, "bonferroni") <= 0.05])</pre>
## [1] "Income"
                      "Advertising" "Price"
                                                    "Age"
print(names(p_values)[p.adjust(p_values, "holm") <= 0.05])</pre>
                      "Advertising" "Price"
## [1] "Income"
                                                    "Age"
q values <-
print(names(p_values)[p.adjust(p_values, method = "BH") <= 0.2])</pre>
## [1] "Income"
                      "Advertising" "Price"
                                                    "Age"
We get the same results for everything.
```

# Question 8

```
set.seed(1)
n <- 20
m <- 100
X <- matrix(rnorm(n * m), n, m)

df <- data.frame(p_values = apply(X, 2, function(col) t.test(col)$p.value))

ggplot2::ggplot(data = df) +
    ggplot2::geom_histogram(ggplot2::aes(x = p_values), breaks = seq(0, 1, length = 5))</pre>
```

```
30 -
  20 -
count
  10-
   0 -
                             0.25
                                                                        0.75
        0.00
                                                   0.50
                                                                                              1.00
                                                p_values
print(sum(df$p_values <= 0.05))</pre>
## [1] 4
df$p_values_bonferonni <- p.adjust(df$p_values, method = "bonferroni")</pre>
print(sum(df$p_values_bonferonni <= 0.05))</pre>
## [1] 0
df$p_values_holm <- p.adjust(df$p_values, method = "holm")</pre>
print(sum(df$p_values_holm <= 0.05))</pre>
## [1] 0
df$q_values <- p.adjust(df$p_values, method = "BH")</pre>
print(sum(df$q_values <= 0.05))</pre>
## [1] 0
means <- apply(X, 2, mean)</pre>
ranked <- rank(means)</pre>
best_idx <- which(ranked >= 90)
X_best <- X[, best_idx]</pre>
```

```
p_values <- apply(X_best, 2, function(col) t.test(col)$p.value)
fwer_adjusted <- p.adjust(p_values, method = "holm")

print(sum(fwer_adjusted <= 0.05))

## [1] 1

fdr_adjusted <- p.adjust(p_values, method = "BH")

print(sum(fdr_adjusted <= 0.05))</pre>
```

# ## [1] 1

We get one type 1 error if we cherry pick; we essentially are running more tests than the methods assume, because we have picked the top 10 fund managers based on looking at the entire dataset.