

# The Cosmic-ray Spectrum across the Knees

Carmelo Evoli

Gran Sasso Science Institute, L'Aquila (Italy)

INFN/Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (LNGS), Assergi (Italy)


UHECR2024

November 17, 2024




- The specific location and underlying mechanisms of the **transition from galactic to extragalactic cosmic rays** persists as a crucial open question in our field [[Hörandel, APh 2003; Kachelrieß & Semikoz, PPNP, 2019; Mollerach, arXiv:2012.10359](#)].
- Understanding this transition has the potential to reveal the **limits of known acceleration processes** both within the Milky Way and in the external (more powerful) sources.
- The energy region lying between the **two knees** is especially critical, as it may hold key information about the **termination of the galactic cosmic ray spectrum**.
- Unprecedented measurements of CR flux and composition in the multi-TeV region (CALET, DAMPE, ISS-CREAM) set the stage for the **direct** measurement of the knee in the next-generation experiments.

# The Cosmic Ray Spectrum across the Knees




- The all-particle energy spectrum of primary cosmic rays (multiplied by  $E^3$ ) is shown, including only statistical uncertainties.
- In this energy range, data primarily originates from **indirect** detection experiments.
- The **all-particle spectrum** exhibits consistent features when considering **statistical, systematic, and energy scale uncertainties**.
- The spectrum follows a power-law distribution, approximately  $\sim E^{-2.7}$ , up to the **first knee** at a few PeV. Beyond this point, the slope steepens to  $\sim E^{-3.1}$ , with a subsequent downward bend near  $10^{17}$  eV to  $\sim E^{-3.5}$ , known as the **second knee**.

# The Energy of the Cosmic Ray Knees



- The first knee is distinctly observed in the **all-particle spectrum** at approximately 4 PeV.
- The two knees are separated by a factor of about 26, suggesting that the first knee could be primarily associated with protons, while the second knee might correspond to Iron nuclei.
- Historically, the origin of the first knee has been attributed to either:
  1. A shift from diffusive to ballistic propagation as cosmic rays escape the Galaxy.
  2. A cutoff-like feature in the injection spectrum of Galactic sources.


# The Composition of Cosmic Ray Knees



- Measuring composition in this energy region is crucial for testing various hypotheses regarding the transition.
- Significant discrepancies remain among results from different experiments, likely due to unknown systematic uncertainties, particularly in hadronic interaction models (HIM) [Kampert & Unger, APP 35, 2012].
- The first knee is associated with lighter elements, almost coincident with Helium.
- The second knee corresponds to intermediate elements, lighter than Iron.

# Cosmic Ray Anisotropy

M. Kachelrieß, D.V. Semikoz, PPNP 109, 2019




- Up to about the second knee compatible with **Galactic origin** [M. Ahlers & P. Mertsch, PPNP, 94, 2017]
- The detection of a dipolar anisotropy at energies above 8 EeV marks the evidence that the majority of sources of UHECRs are not in the Milky Way [A. Aab et al., Science 357, 1266 (2017)]
- The direction of the dipole points  $\sim 120^\circ$  away from the Galactic center (significantly larger than what expected in the JF model if sources at the GC)





## GCRs below the knee: the proton and Helium high-energy spectrum

Adriani+, Science, 332, 2011; Yoon+, ApJ, 839, 2017; An+, Science Adv., 5, 2019; Aguilar+, Phys.Rep., 894, 2021; Adriani+, PRL, 129, 2022; Choi+, ApJ, 940, 2022



- Cosmic-ray proton flux measurements from **direct** experiments show **at least 2 breaks** below 1 PeV.
- Similar patterns also observed in the **Helium** spectrum [Alemanno+, PRL, 126, 2021]
- Helium spectrum persisting **harder than H** up to 100 TeV
- The standard halo model predicts a power-law behaviour for the equilibrium spectrum  $E \gg 10$  GeV [CE & Dupletsas, arXiv:2309.00298]



## A GCR spectrum hardening at $R \gtrsim 300$ GV: phenomenology



- The break was first hinted by the experiments ATIC-2 [Panov et al. 2009], CREAM [Ahn et al. 2010], while PAMELA [Adriani et al. 2011] provided first measurements below and above the break.
- Spectral break indicates that **at least** one process among **acceleration, escape, or transport** cannot be described by a single power law
- The same break observed in the B/C ratio suggests an explanation involving the diffusion coefficient → **changes in transport**


# A GCR spectrum hardening at $R \gtrsim 300$ GV: theory

Blasit+, PRL 2012; Tomassetti, A&A 2012; Evoli+, PRL 2018



- Currently, two physical interpretations are proposed:
  - It marks the transition between the **self-generation of turbulence by CRs themselves** and the large-scale turbulence (**similar idea applied to UHECRs → Cermenati's talk**).
  - The transition results from **differing turbulence conditions** in the disk and halo
- It remains unclear if these interpretations fully reproduce the **sharpness** of the observed feature

# A GCR spectrum softening at $R \gtrsim 10$ TV: phenomenology



Measurements from AMS-02, CALET, CREAM, DAMPE, ISS-CREAM



- Above  $R \gtrsim 1$  TeV, GCR spectrum can be fitted by a pure rigidity-dependent model

$$\tau_{\text{escape}} \lesssim \tau_{\text{loss}}$$

- Composition and energy dependence can be fitted by

$$\Phi \propto \Phi_{0,i} \frac{E^{-\alpha_i}}{[1 + (R/R_b)^s]^{\Delta\alpha/s}}$$




# A GCR spectrum softening at $R \gtrsim 10$ TV: phenomenology



- Measurements are compatible within  $\sim 5\%$  energy-scale shift
- Break position at  $R_b \simeq 10$  TeV and  $\Delta\alpha \simeq 0.2$
- Intermediate-mass nuclei well fitted with the same slope, persistent tension with H and He

# The SNR Escape Spectrum and the 10 TeV Softening


Cristofari+, Astroparticle Physics, 123, 2020; Diesing, arXiv:2305.07697



- Different populations of SNRs exhibit **different  $E_{\max}$** , leading to distinctive features associated with Type Ia supernovae or dips in the spectra of core-collapse supernovae
- Nonetheless, these features should display **significant variance** → How does this result in only few observable features? [Lipari & Vernetto, APH 2020]
- Could the 10 TeV softening be attributed to the transition between two distinct populations?
- This hypothesis requires a finely-tuned explanation where **efficiency × rate × energy** are very closely aligned.

# Cosmic ray transport and the 10 TeV Softening



Kuhlen+, 2023, arXiv:2211.05881



[Kuhlen+, 2023, arXiv:2211.05881]

- New test particle simulations in synthetic turbulence show a change of slope in the  $D_{\perp}$  at about  $\lambda \sim L_c$
- For ISM typical fields the mean free path  $\lambda$  becomes close to  $L_c \sim 10$  pc at 10 TeV
- Still a lot of theoretical investigation on-going



## GCRs: the last mile



- And yet another break!
- Marginal evidence in ISS-CREAM protons and DAMPE p+He at  $\gtrsim 10^5$  GeV
- Detection by **indirect** measurements with GRAPES → well consistent with the **decrease of  $\ln A$**  observed by LHAASO

# Lessons from GCRs: Consequences of the Stochastic Nature of Galactic Sources



CE+, PRD, 104, 2021



- The CR flux at Earth is inherently **stochastic**, characterized by a heavy-tail PDF [Lee, ApJ, 1979; Bernard+, A&A, 2012]
- Individual realizations show **deviations** from a pure power-law at varying levels
- Averaging these realizations leads to the textbook result  $\propto E^{-2.7}$  in the **mean field limit**


# Lessons from GCRs: On the CR Spectrum Variance

Evoli+, in preparation





- Smaller halo size increases the variance → for  $H = 2$  kpc, I found  $\lesssim 15\%$  at 1 PeV
- Comparable effect by allowing source parameters to vary individually
- 1-CDF: the fraction of Galaxy to have a residual larger than a given value over the energy range 10 GeV - 100 TeV
- The probability is smaller than  $\lesssim 0.1\%$

## The GCR composition at the Knee





## Modelling the first knee





- The first knee corresponds to the Helium maximum energy (confirming earlier measurements by EAS-TOP and KASCADE)
- Maximum energy for Galactic CRs  $E_p \sim 2 \text{ PeV} \rightarrow E_{\text{Fe}} \sim 50 \text{ PeV}$
- The little ankle comes from the reduction in composition from Helium to metals
- The observed hardening of the spectrum up to the second knee turns out to result from a second galactic component

# The end of the Galactic spectrum



- The first knee is well fitted by a change of slope in individual species of about  $\Delta\alpha \lesssim 1$
- Explanations in terms of escape predict a transition between diffusion dominated escape timescale  $\tau \propto E^{-1/3}$  to small pitch-angle scattering  $\tau \propto E^{-2}$  always predicts a more pronounced break  $\Delta\gamma \sim 1.7$  [Dundovic+, PRD, 102, 2020]
- Even larger for the expected GCR source cutoff  $\Delta\gamma \gtrsim 2$


# The end of the Galactic spectrum



- An additional Galactic population from the first to the second knee? Ad-hoc.
- Hall diffusion? Does it extend over 1 decade? [Candia & Roulet, JCAP, 2006]
- Source maximum energy variance? [Kachelriess+, Phys. Lett. B 634, 2006; Ehlers+, PRD 107, 2023]

$$\frac{dN}{dE}(E) \propto E_{\text{SN}} \left( \frac{E}{\text{GeV}} \right)^{-\gamma} \exp \left( -\frac{E}{E_{\max}} \right)$$

## Galactic solution at the second knee



- Reacceleration by Galactic Wind termination shocks [Thoudam+ 2016, A&A, 595, A33; Bustard+ 2017, ApJ, 835, 72; Merten+ 2018, ApJ, 859, 63; Mukhopadhyay+ 2023, ApJ, 953, 49]
- Additional Galactic component: Wolf-Rayet star supernova explosions [Chevrotiere+ 2013, 2014; Biermann & Cassinelli 1993, Stanev+ 1993]
- Additional Galactic component: Star Clusters [Cesarsky & Montmerle 1983; Webb+ 1985; Gupta+ 2018; Bykov+ 2020, Morlino+ 2021, Vieu+ 2022]

## Conclusions

- The cosmic ray energy spectrum highlights distinctive features, including the first and second knees, and an intermediate **little ankle**.
- Recent direct measurements are pivotal for identifying the nature of these features, as they nail **cosmic-ray composition at PeV energies**.
- The first knee is linked to the maximum energy of Helium at  $E \sim 4$  PeV, while the low-energy ankle at  $E \sim 2 \times 10^{16}$  eV corresponds to a significant suppression of the Helium component and an increasing relative contribution from intermediate-mass elements.
- The second knee, located at  $E \simeq 10^{17}$  eV, is not associated with the steepening of the Galactic Iron component. Instead, it appears to be better explained by the presence of a secondary Galactic component.
- As for interpretations, the first knee aligns more closely with a Galactic escape mechanism rather than a maximum energy limit in sources, although further investigation is required.

# Thank you!

Carmelo Evoli



GRAN SASSO SCIENCE INSTITUTE



Via Michele Iacobucci, 2, L'Aquila (Italy)



mailto: carmelo.evoli@gssi.it



carmeloevoli



e.carmelo



0000-0002-6023-5253



slides available at:

[https://zenodo.org/communities/carmeloevoli\\_talks](https://zenodo.org/communities/carmeloevoli_talks)