Usability review **CIBM** Score **Comments** N/A = not applicable Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to Optional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the or can't be the overall user experience. issues found; examples of good practice and the likely impact for users. assessed **Features & functionality** Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives. Good Features and functionality support users desired workflows. 2 N/A Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available). Moderate Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users). **Poor**

Good

Homepage / starting page

and appear clickable.

5

The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available.

Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled

Excellent

7	The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.	Excellent	
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.	Excellent	
Nav	vigation		
9	Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).	Excellent	
10	The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.	Good	
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc).	Very poor	Búsqueda muy precaria y simple.
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.	Good	
13	Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.	Excellent	
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.	Excellent	

15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).	Excellent	
16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.	Good	
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).	Good	
Sea	rch		
18	A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).	Poor	
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi- parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).	Very poor	
20	The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.	Good	
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.	Good	
Cor	ntrol & feedback		
22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).	Moderate	

23	Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).	Good	
24	Users can easily give feedback (e.g. via email or an online feedback / contact us form).	Good	
For	ms		
25	Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	Excellent	
26	A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone number).	Excellent	
27	Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated.	Good	
28	Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated.	Good	
29	Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary.	Moderate	
Err	ors		
30	Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.).	Good	

31	Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary.	Good		
32	Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented.	Excellent		
			_	
33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors.	Good		
Cor	ntent & text			
34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals.	Moderate		
			_	
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context.	Good		
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience.	Excellent		
37	Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout).	Excellent		
			-	
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast.	Good		

Help

39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand languagge and only uses recognised terms). Where appropriate contextual help is provided.	Good			
40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.	Excellent			
41	Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can can resume work where they left off after accessing help).	Good			
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address).	Good			
Per	Performance				
43	Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).	Excellent			
44	Errors and reliabilty issues don't inhibit the user experience.	Excellent			
45	Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported.	Excellent			
С	verall usability score (out of 100) *	80	-	Good	

^{*} Very poor (less than 29) - Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete a significant number of important tasks.

^{*} Poor (between 29 and 49) - Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete some important tasks.

^{*} Moderate (between 49 and 69) - Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the user experience could be significantly improved.

^{*} Good (between 69 and 89) - Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vast majority of important tasks.

* Excellent (more than 89) - This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all important tasks on the site or system.