

## SOLVING A NETWORK DESIGN PROBLEM USING BENDERS DECOMPOSITION

Benders Decomposition may be applied to linear and mixed integer linear problems with this structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min}_{x,y} \quad & c^\top x + f^\top y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Dx + Fy = d \\ & x \geq 0, \\ \text{(P)} \quad & y \in Y \end{aligned}$$

where variables  $x$  may take continuous values and variables  $y$  (the linking variables) may be continuous or discrete ( $Y$  may be a polyhedron  $P \subset R^p$  or  $Y = P \cap Z^p$ ).

Assume that variables  $y$  are fixed to a given value  $\bar{y}$ . Then problem (P) reduces to a linear programming problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min}_x \quad & z_P = c^\top x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Dx = d - F\bar{y} \\ \text{(PRIMAL)} \quad & x \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Max}_u \quad & z_D = (d - F\bar{y})^\top u \\ \text{(DUAL)} \quad & D^\top u \leq c \end{aligned}$$

If a collection of vertexes  $\hat{v}^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$  and extreme rays  $\vec{w}^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$  of the polyhedron defining the feasible region of the dual problem (DUAL) has been generated, then the initial problem (P) can be approximated by the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min}_{z,y} \quad & z \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & f^\top y + (d - Fy)^\top \hat{v}^i - z \leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu \\ & (d - Fy)^\top \vec{w}^j \leq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu \\ \text{(MASTER PROBLEM)} \quad & y \in Y \end{aligned}$$

After being solved, the *master problem* provides an approximation to the optimal value of the objective function of the original problem (P),  $\bar{z}$ , and a value  $\bar{y}$  for the link variables. This value  $\bar{y}$  for the linking variables can be used to solve the dual problem (DUAL) referred to as the *subproblem*, which will generate new vertexes and rays  $\hat{v}$ ,  $\vec{w}$ , that will result in new constraints for the master problem and so on.

At iteration  $\ell$ :

1. Solve the master problem  $\rightarrow \bar{z}, \bar{y}$ .
2. Solve the subproblem:
  - (a) The subproblem DUAL has no solution because  $D^\top u \leq c$  is an empty polyhedron; In this case **STOP** because problem (P) has no solution or it is unbounded.
  - (b) Subproblem DUAL has a solution;
    - i.  $z_D = \infty$ . Then, if the simplex method has been used, an extreme ray  $\vec{w}^*$  has been obtained so that  $(d - F\bar{y})^\top \vec{w}^* > 0$ .  
Thus, constraint  $(d - F\bar{y})^\top \vec{w}^* \leq 0$  needs to be added to the *master problem*, which will be solved again in a new iteration.
    - ii.  $z_D < \infty$ . A vertex  $\hat{v}^*$  will be generated after solving problem DUAL using the simplex method.
      - A. ) If  $f^\top \bar{y} + (d - F\bar{y})^\top \hat{v}^* - \bar{z} \leq 0$ . then **STOP**.  
(In this case:  $\bar{z} \geq f^\top \bar{y} + (d - F\bar{y})^\top \hat{v}^* \geq f^\top \bar{y} + (d - F\bar{y})^\top \hat{v}^\ell$ ,  $1 \leq \ell \leq \mu$ . Also,  $(d - F\bar{y})^\top \vec{w}^p \leq 0$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \nu$ . )  
Optimal solution of problem: objective function =  $\bar{z}$ , linking variables =  $\bar{y}$ , dual variables =  $\hat{v}^*$ .

As a practical stopping criterion adopt:  $f^\top \bar{y} + (d - F\bar{y})^\top \hat{v}^* - \bar{z} \leq \epsilon$  or, better, using a relative gap:  $f^\top \bar{y} + (d - F\bar{y})^\top \hat{v}^* - \bar{z} \leq \epsilon \bar{z}$

B. )  $f^\top \bar{y} + (d - F\bar{y})^\top \hat{v}^* - \bar{z} > 0$ .

Then, constraint  $f^\top y + (d - Fy)^\top \hat{v}^* - z \leq 0$  needs to be added to the *master problem*, which will be solved again in a new iteration.

The previous scheme extends readily to the case in which variables  $y$  are integer. Then, the *master problem* becomes a mixed linear-integer programming problem with only one continuous variable.

### Network Design. Description of the Assignment

Consider the problem consisting in deciding which links in a transportation or in a data communications network are necessary by balancing investment costs and reductions in exploitation costs. Assume that initially the network presents a configuration given by  $G' = (N, A')$  and that there exists the possibility of increasing the number of network links taking the candidate links from a pre-specified set  $\hat{A}$ , so that the final network may become  $G = (N, A)$  with  $A = A' \cup \hat{A}$ . The question is then which links in  $\hat{A}$  are suitable to be added so that the total cost (investment+exploitation) is the minimum possible. The cost will be made up by two components:

a) on the one hand, adding each new link  $a \in \hat{A}$  presents a fix cost  $f_a$  (purchase+instalation).

b) when in service, a link  $a \in \hat{A}$  will have a cost per each unit of flow crossing that link during a given amortization period. Assume in addition, that these cost per unit depend on the starting origin (origin) of the trip.

In this way, if  $K$  denotes the set of origins in the network, the cost throughout the amortization period can be formulated as:

$$\text{total cost} = \sum_{\ell \in K} \sum_{a \in A} c_a^\ell x_a^\ell + \sum_{a \in \hat{A}} f_a y_a$$

being  $y_a = 1$  if link  $a \in \hat{A}$  is added to the network and 0 otherwise.

The problem will be now formulated using matrix notation.

Let  $B$  the node-link incidence matrix of the network,  $x^\ell$  the vector of flows originating at  $\ell \in K$ . Assume that  $g_\ell$  is the total flow outgoing from origin  $\ell \in K$  and that if  $D(\ell)$  is the set of destinations corresponding to origin  $\ell$ , then  $g_{\ell,j}$  is the flow arriving at  $j \in D(\ell)$ , in such a way that:

$$g_\ell = \sum_{j \in D(\ell)} g_{\ell,j}$$

Let vector  $t^\ell$  have so many components as nodes in the network. Component  $t_i^\ell$  corresponding to node  $i \in N$  is:

$$t_i^\ell = -g_{\ell,i} \text{ if } i \neq \ell \text{ i } t_i^\ell = g_\ell \text{ if node } i \text{ is precisely the origin } \ell.$$

Then  $x^\ell$  obey to the following relationships:

$$Bx^\ell = t^\ell, \quad x^\ell \geq 0$$

(See a complete example in the ending page).

The problem of network design can be formulated :

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Min}_{x,y} \quad & \sum_{\ell \in K} c^\ell \top x^\ell + f^\top y \\ (A) \quad & Bx^\ell = t^\ell, \quad \ell \in K \\ (B) \quad & x_a^\ell \leq \rho y_a, \quad \ell \in K, \quad a \in \hat{A} \\ (C) \quad & x^\ell \geq 0 \\ & y \in \{0, 1\}^{|\hat{A}|} \end{aligned}$$

and in scalar notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min}_{x,y} \quad & \sum_{\ell \in K} \sum_{a \in A} c_a^\ell x_a^\ell + \sum_{a \in \hat{A}} f_a y_a \\ & \sum_{r \in I(i)} x_{r,i}^\ell - \sum_{s \in E(i)} x_{i,s}^\ell = t_i^\ell, \quad i \in N, \quad \ell \in K \\ & x_a^\ell \leq \rho y_a, \quad a \in \hat{A}, \quad \ell \in K \\ & x_a^\ell \geq 0, \quad a \in A, \quad \ell \in K \\ & y_a \in \{0, 1\}, \quad a \in \hat{A} \end{aligned}$$

Constant  $\rho$  must be chosen big enough so that if  $y_a = 1$  then the maximum value that can be achieved by  $x_a^\ell$  be smaller to  $\rho$ . Adopt  $\rho > \sum_{\ell \in K} g_\ell$ .

In order to write the *master problem mestre*, constraints (A), (B) can be stated in matrix notation as (A'), (B'):

$$(A'), (B') \quad \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c} \mathcal{B} & 0 & \dots & 0 & -F \\ 0 & \mathcal{B} & \dots & 0 & -F \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & -F \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mathcal{B} & -F \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} x^{\ell_1} \\ x^{\ell_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{x^{\ell_{|K|}}}{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{T}^{\ell_1} \\ \mathcal{T}^{\ell_2} \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{T}^{\ell_{|K|}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^\ell \geq 0, \ell \in K$$

where:

$$\mathcal{B} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} B & 0 \\ \hline I_{\hat{A}} & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad x^\ell = \begin{pmatrix} x^\ell \\ \sigma^\ell \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{T}^\ell = \begin{pmatrix} t^\ell \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{\hat{A}} \end{pmatrix}$$

In previous expressions  $I_{\hat{A}}$  denotes the identity matrix of size  $|\hat{A}|$ .

Constraints (A') will be rewritten by blocks as well the corresponding dual variables:

$$\mathcal{B}x^\ell - Fy = \mathcal{T}^\ell \mid \theta^\ell$$

equivalently:

$$(2) \quad \left( \begin{array}{c|c} B & 0 \\ \hline I_{\hat{A}} & 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} x^\ell \\ \sigma^\ell \end{pmatrix} - \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{\hat{A}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^\ell \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{pmatrix} u^\ell \\ \tau^\ell \end{pmatrix} (= \theta^\ell), \quad \ell \in K$$

In scalar notation:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Bx^\ell & = & t^\ell \mid u^\ell \\ x_a^\ell + \sigma_a^\ell - \rho y_a & = & 0 \mid \tau_a^\ell \end{array}$$

Thus, it must be taken into account that those variables intervening in formulating the Benders cuts are  $\theta^\ell = (u^\ell, \tau^\ell)$ . These dual variables are the ones associated to constraints (A'), (B'). Instead, it is convenient to solve the subproblem in the following primal form, which decomposes in  $|K|$  subproblems.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Min}_{x,y} & c^{\ell \top} x^\ell \\ & Bx^\ell = t^\ell, \quad |w^\ell \\ \text{(SUBP } \ell) & x_a^\ell \leq \rho \bar{y}_a, \quad |\tau_a^{\ell-}, \quad a \in \hat{A} \quad \rightarrow (\hat{x}^\ell, \hat{u}^\ell, \hat{\tau}^{\ell+}, \hat{\tau}^{\ell-}) \\ & x^\ell \geq 0, \quad |\tau^{\ell+} \end{array}$$

It is necessary to take parameter  $\rho > \sum_{\ell \in K} g_\ell$ . The relationship between multipliers  $w^\ell, \tau_a^{\ell-}, \tau^{\ell+}$  for problem (SUBP  $\ell$ ) and  $\theta^\ell = (u^\ell, \tau^\ell)$  is the following one:

$$(3) \quad w_i^\ell = u_i^\ell, \quad i \in N$$

$$(4) \quad \tau_a^\ell = -\tau_a^{\ell-} (\leq 0), \quad a \in \hat{A}$$

### Calculation of multipliers $\tau_a^{\ell-}$

Considering the dual problem of (SUBP  $\ell$ ), its solution will verify, for link  $a = (i, j) \in A$ :

$$c_a^\ell = \hat{u}_i^\ell - \hat{u}_j^\ell + \hat{\tau}_a^{\ell+} - \hat{\tau}_a^{\ell-}$$

In order to determine multipliers  $\tau$  the following must be taken into account. Given a *master problem* solution for given decision variables  $\bar{y}_a$ ,  $a \in \hat{A}$ , resolving SUBP  $\ell$  would be carried out by eliminating links  $a \in \hat{A}$  for which  $\bar{y}_a = 0$ . Then, it is clear that:

- If  $a = (i, j) \notin \hat{A}$ ,  $\hat{\tau}_a^{\ell-} = 0$ .
- If  $a = (i, j) \in \hat{A}$  and, additionally  $\bar{y}_a > 0$ , then  $\tau_a^{\ell-} = 0$ , whereas  $\tau_a^{\ell+}$  may be null or positive. Always,  $\hat{\tau}_a^{\ell+} = c_a^\ell - (\hat{u}_i^\ell - \hat{u}_j^\ell)$  will hold. In any case, it will be shown that  $\tau_a^{\ell+}$  do not intervene at all.
- Si  $a = (i, j) \in \hat{A}$  and, additionally  $\bar{y}_a = 0$ :
  - If  $c_a^\ell - (\hat{u}_i^\ell - \hat{u}_j^\ell) < 0$  then:  $\hat{\tau}_a^{\ell+} = 0$ ,  $\hat{\tau}_a^{\ell-} = -c_a^\ell + (\hat{u}_i^\ell - \hat{u}_j^\ell) (> 0)$ . (in this case if link  $a \in \hat{A}$  is added its flow would be  $> 0$  for commodity  $\ell$ )
  - Si  $c_a^\ell - (\hat{u}_i^\ell - \hat{u}_j^\ell) \geq 0$  then:  $\hat{\tau}_a^{\ell-} = 0$ ,  $\hat{\tau}_a^{\ell+} = c_a^\ell - (\hat{u}_i^\ell - \hat{u}_j^\ell) (> 0)$ . (in this case, if link  $a \in \hat{A}$  is added its flow would be 0 for commodity  $\ell$ )

Then, in case of  $\bar{y}_a = 0$  the expression for  $\hat{\tau}_a^{\ell-}$  would be:

$$\hat{\tau}_a^{\ell-} = \max\{0, (\hat{u}_i^\ell - \hat{u}_j^\ell) - c_a^\ell\}$$

### Master Problem's structure:

taking into account the compact formulation in (1'), (2') and in case that the subproblem will never issue extreme directions, *master problem's* structure at iteration  $M$  will be:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min}_{y,z} \quad & z \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & z \geq f^\top y + \sum_{\ell \in K} (\mathcal{T}^\ell + Fy)^\top \hat{\theta}^{\ell,s}, \quad s = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M \\ & y \in Y = \{0, 1\}^{|\hat{A}|} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the only thing that remains is to state the expression of the term  $(\mathcal{T}^\ell + Fy)^\top \hat{\theta}^\ell$ :

(super-index  $s$  omitted)

$$(\mathcal{T}^\ell + Fy)^\top \hat{\theta}^\ell = \left( \begin{pmatrix} t^\ell \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \rho \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right)^\top \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}^\ell \\ \hat{\tau}^\ell \end{pmatrix} = t^{\ell\top} \hat{u}^\ell - \rho \sum_{\substack{a \in \hat{A} \\ \bar{y}_a = 0}} \hat{\tau}_a^{\ell-} y_a$$

Finally, it must be remarked that when *subproblem* is solved in its primal form (PRIMAL), duality can be used so in order to evaluate  $c^{\ell\top} \hat{x}^\ell = t^{\ell\top} \hat{u}^\ell$