Corresponding Manuscript: Conditional Operation of Hole Spin Qubits above 1 K — [Notebook: S3_Two_Tone_vs_Jeff_v160925_v1.nb]

Uploaded/curated in current form by (blame): M.J. Carballido | ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7385-8284

Date: Oct. 4th, 2025

Project mirror: https://github.com/carmig00/Publications-OpenAccess-Code-Conditional2Q **License:** CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 International – https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

How to run: Evaluate top-to-bottom (Evaluation → Evaluate Notebook).

Outputs: HDF5 (.h5) written to ./exports.

Figure mapping: This notebook reproduces the simulation of how different values for J_eff (ranging from 0-80 MHz) manifest in the two tone spectroscopy experiment. We conclude that J_eff is best represented by the difference in minima of the two-tone traces.

Comment/Note:

- -The fast qubit (high f_Rabi), at lower Larmor frequency, is the one located physically on the right and is represented by pink/purple color tones, here qubit 1.
- The slow qubit (low f_Rabi), at higher Larmor frequency, is the one located physically on the left and is represented by orange/yellow color tones, here qubit 2.

```
(* Parameters *)
(* f_Rabi, MHz *)
(* We assume this to be the bare Rabi frequency of the two ideally isolated
 spin qubits. Sometimes also referred to as bare Rabi frequency, for J=0 *)
fR1t = 24;
fR2t = 13;
(* NOT USED HERE (because burst time is swept in Chevron):
 Experimentally chosen pulse durations to induce a Pi/2 flip for qubit 1 and qubit 2,
see supplementary material for details on the calibration *)
(* units in \mus *)
Tp1 = 20 \times 10^{-3}; (* corresponding to 20 ns *)
Tp2 = 30 \times 10^{-3}; (* corresponding to 30 ns *)
(* Larmor frequencies, 2*Pi*MHz *)
(*NOTE: these values for Larmor frequencies were chosen as a
  coarse guess by orienting ourselves on the center position of the EDSR
  peaks which are not the most accurate representation of the precise
  Larmor frequency (due to convolution of two Chevrons). In addition,
the values were rounded down to the next 10 MHz. Only after,
in the simulation of the 2-tone experiment we could more precisely narrow-
```

```
down and adjust the larmor frequencies as fit parameters. For seeing the effect of
  J_eff on an ideal 2-tone experiment, this choice was sufficiently accurate.*)
\omegaz1 = 2 Pi 2090;
\omegaz2 = 2 Pi 2280;
(*To simulate noise, we averaged over different Larmor
 frequencies sampled from a random, normal distributed set.*)
(*sigma of normal distributuion 2*Pi*MHz *)
\sigma \omega z 1 = 25 \times 2 Pi;
\sigma \omega z 2 = 25 \times 2 Pi;
(* Resolution of the array of random Larmor frequencies MHz*)
res\omega z1 = 150;
res\omega z2 = 150;
(* Arrays of random Larmor freqs. MHz*)
\omegaz1arr = Abs [RandomVariate [NormalDistribution [\omegaz1, \sigma\omegaz1], {res\omegaz1}]];
\omegaz2arr = Abs[RandomVariate[NormalDistribution[\omegaz2, \sigma\omegaz2], {res\omegaz2}]];
(* Values of effective exchange interaction J_eff *)
(* largest value of J (we start from J eff = 0) *)
Jmax = 80;
(* resolution of J *)
resJ = 40;
(*array of J_eff to be used in simulation*)
Jarr = Table[jval, {jval, 0, Jmax, Jmax / (resJ - 1) }];
(*Resolution of frequency scanned (x-axis) MHz*)
res\omega = 160;
(*initial freq MHz*)
\omegain = 2 \pi 1800;
(*final freq MHz*)
\omegafin = 2 \pi 2600;
(*array of freqs. scanned over, MHz*)
\omegaarr = Table[t, {t, \omegain, \omegafin, (\omegafin - \omegain) / (res\omega - 1)}];
(* Main functions *)
\thetaso = 0;
\varphi1 = 0;
\varphi2 = 0;
\psi0a = {1, 0, 0, 0};
```

```
\psi0b = {0, 0, 0, 1};
resTg = 100;
(*initial time*)
Tg0 = 0;
(*final time, 66.6ns*)
Tgf = 3 * 1 / 30;
Tgarr = Table [t, {t, Tg0, Tgf, \frac{\text{Tgf} - \text{Tg0}}{\text{resTg} - 1}}];
\{nx, ny, nz\} = \{1, 0, 0\};
Jpar[J_] = 2 Pi J (nz² + (1 - nz²) Cos[@so]);
(*λx00=2 Pi 30; (*Russ/Burkard resonance condition for driving*)*)
HQ[\omega z lin_, \omega z 2in_] = KroneckerProduct[PauliMatrix[3]] = \frac{\omega z lin_}{2}, PauliMatrix[0]] +
    KroneckerProduct [PauliMatrix[0], PauliMatrix[3] \frac{\omega z 2 in}{2}];
Rso = {
    \left\{ nx^2 + \left(1 - nx^2\right) \cos \left[\theta so\right] \right\}
      nx ny - nx ny Cos[\theta so] - nz Sin[\theta so], nx nz - nx nz Cos[\theta so] + ny Sin[\theta so]
    \{nx ny - nx ny Cos[\theta so] + nz Sin[\theta so], ny^2 + (1 - ny^2) Cos[\theta so],
      ny nz – ny nz Cos[\theta so] – nx Sin[\theta so], \{nx nz - nx nz Cos[\theta so] – ny Sin<math>[\theta so],
      2 \sin \left[\frac{\theta so}{2}\right] \left( nx \cos \left[\frac{\theta so}{2}\right] + ny nz \sin \left[\frac{\theta so}{2}\right] \right), nz^{2} + \left(1 - nz^{2}\right) \cos \left[\theta so\right] \right)
  };
HJ[J_] =
   __ Sum[KroneckerProduct[PauliMatrix[i], (Rso.Array[PauliMatrix, 3])[i]]], {i, 1, 3}];
(*turn on time of pulses*)
dt0 = 0.001;
(*wait time between pulses*)
Tw = 0.001;
(*driving amplitudes, here normalised to 2 Pi and equal to each other. One could choose to
 e.g.drive one qubit harder than the other one.(this could lead to over-fitting)*)
\lambdax10 = 2 Pi; (*Drive amplitude Q1*)
\lambda x20 = 2 Pi; (*Drive amplitude Q2*)
(*first pulse for a time Tflip1*)
```

```
\lambda x1[t_{-}] = \lambda x10 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} Erf[(Tflip1 - t) / dt0] \right);
(*second pulse after a time Tflip1+Tw*)
\lambda x2[t_{-}] = \lambda x20 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} Erf[(t - Tflip1 - Tw) / dt0] \right);
(* Drive Hamiltonian *)
H\lambda[t_{-}] = \lambda x1[t] \cos[\omega x1t + \varphi 1]
      (fR1 KroneckerProduct[{nx, ny, nz}.Array[PauliMatrix, 3], PauliMatrix[0]] + fR2
           KroneckerProduct[PauliMatrix[0], {nx, ny, nz}.Array[PauliMatrix, 3]]) + \(\lambda x^2[t]\) Cos[
       \omega x2 + \varphi 2] (fR1 KroneckerProduct[{nx, ny, nz}.Array[PauliMatrix, 3], PauliMatrix[0]] +
         fR2 KroneckerProduct[PauliMatrix[0], {nx, ny, nz}.Array[PauliMatrix, 3]]);
(*Total Hamiltonian*)
Htot[t_{J}, J_{M}, \omega z_{I}] = HQ[\omega z_{I}, \omega z_{I}] + HJ[J] + H\lambda[t];
   Function \{\psi 0, \psi \text{ro, Tflip1in, Tflip2in, } \omega \text{z1in, } \omega \text{z2in, } \omega \text{x1in, } \omega \text{x2in, fR1in, fR2in, Jin}\}, sub =
      \{Tflip1 \rightarrow Tflip1in, Tflip2 \rightarrow Tflip2in, \omega x1 \rightarrow \omega x1in, \omega x2 \rightarrow \omega x2in, fR1 \rightarrow fR1in, fR2 \rightarrow fR2in\};
     Tfin = Tflip1 + Tw + Tflip2 /. sub;
     \psifin[t] = {a[t], b[t], c[t], d[t]} /. NDSolve[
           {Htot[t, Jin, \omegaz1in, \omegaz2in].{a[t], b[t], c[t], d[t]} ==
               iD[{a[t], b[t], c[t], d[t]}, t] /. sub,
            a[0] = \psi 0[1], b[0] = \psi 0[2], c[0] = \psi 0[3], d[0] = \psi 0[4],
           {a[t], b[t], c[t], d[t]}, {t, 0, Tfin},
           Method → "PDEDiscretization" → { "MethodOfLines",
               "SpatialDiscretization" → "FiniteElement", MaxCellMeasure → 0.0005 / fR1}] [1];
     (*readout signal*)
     \sum_{i=1}^{\text{Dimensions}[\psi ro][1]} \text{Abs}[(\psi ro[i].\psi fin[Tfin])]^{2}];
```

```
(* The four frequencies f1 to f4 are chosen to represent
         the experimentally "ideal" case, where: \omega z1(2) \pm \frac{Jpar[Jval]}{} *)
        (* We read out in the 0,1,0,0 and *)
        (* 0,0,1,0 states (anti-parallel) which are not blocked in PSB *)
        (*NOTE: Below, we apply the Pi pulse Tp1 for f1 and f2 and Tp2 for f3 and f4. *)
        resF1vsJ =
            ParallelTable[(\rhoSf[\psi0a, {{0, 1, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 1, 0}}, Tp1, Tp1, \omegaz1arr[[j]], \omegaz2arr[[j]],
                   \omega z1 - Jpar[Jarr[k]] / 2, \omega arr[i], fR1t, fR2t, Jpar[Jarr[k]]] +
                 \rho Sf[\psi 0b, \{\{0, 1, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 1, 0\}\}, Tp1, Tp1, \omega z1arr[j], \omega z2arr[j],
                   \omegaz1 - Jpar[Jarr[k]] / 2, \omegaarr[i], fR1t, fR2t, Jpar[Jarr[k]]]) / 2,
             \{j, 1, res\omega z1\}, \{i, 1, res\omega\}, \{k, 1, resJ\}\}; // AbsoluteTiming
        resF2vsJ =
            ParallelTable[(\rho Sf[\psi 0a, \{\{0, 1, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 1, 0\}\}, Tp1, Tp1, \omega z1arr[j]], \omega z2arr[j]],
                  wz1 + Jpar[Jarr[k]] / 2, warr[i], fR1t, fR2t, Jpar[Jarr[k]]] +
                 \rhoSf[\psi0b, {{0, 1, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 1, 0}}, Tp1, Tp1, \omegaz1arr[[j]], \omegaz2arr[[j]],
                   \omegaz1 + Jpar[Jarr[[k]]] / 2, \omegaarr[[i]], fR1t, fR2t, Jpar[Jarr[[k]]]) / 2,
             \{j, 1, res\omega z1\}, \{i, 1, res\omega\}, \{k, 1, resJ\}\}; // AbsoluteTiming
        resF3vsJ =
            ParallelTable[(\rho Sf[\psi 0a, \{\{0, 1, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 1, 0\}\}, Tp2, Tp2, \omega z1arr[j]], \omega z2arr[j]],
                   \omegaz2 - Jpar[Jarr[[k]]] / 2, \omegaarr[[i]], fR1t, fR2t, Jpar[Jarr[[k]]]] +
                 \rho Sf[\psi 0b, \{\{0, 1, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 1, 0\}\}, Tp2, Tp2, \omega z1arr[j], \omega z2arr[j],
                  \omegaz2 - Jpar[Jarr[k]] / 2, \omegaarr[i], fR1t, fR2t, Jpar[Jarr[k]]]) / 2,
             \{j, 1, res\omega z1\}, \{i, 1, res\omega\}, \{k, 1, resJ\}\}; // AbsoluteTiming
        resF4vsJ =
            ParallelTable[(\rho Sf[\psi 0a, \{\{0, 1, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 1, 0\}\}, Tp2, Tp2, \omega z1arr[[j]], \omega z2arr[[j]],
                  wz2 + Jpar[Jarr[k]] / 2, warr[i], fR1t, fR2t, Jpar[Jarr[k]]] +
                 \rho Sf[\psi 0b, \{\{0, 1, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 1, 0\}\}, Tp2, Tp2, \omega z1arr[j], \omega z2arr[j],
                   \omegaz2 + Jpar[Jarr[k]] / 2, \omegaarr[i], fR1t, fR2t, Jpar[Jarr[k]]]) / 2,
             \{j, 1, res\omega z1\}, \{i, 1, res\omega\}, \{k, 1, resJ\}\}; // AbsoluteTiming
        Export["C:\\Users\\export\\two_tone_vs_J_average_Larmor_sigma" <> TextString[σωz1] <>
            "MHz_J" <> TextString[Jval] <> "MHz_vDDMMYY_vX.h5", {resF1vsJ, resF2vsJ, resF3vsJ,
            resF4vsJ, \(\pi\)z1arr / (2 Pi), \(\pi\)z2arr / (2 Pi), \(\pi\)arr / (2 Pi), Jarr }, {"Datasets",
            {"resF1vsJ", "resF2vsJ", "resF3vsJ", "resF4vsJ", "fR1arr", "fR2arr", "farr", "Jarr"}}];
Out[0]=
        {5491.59, Null}
Out[@]=
        {5476.5, Null}
Out[0]=
        {8083.16, Null}
Out[0]=
        {7948.77, Null}
```

(*Two-tone spectroscopy vs J, averaged over different Larmor ferquencies*)