

Property Based Testing

- Properties
- Random Tests
- Type generators
- Shrinking
- Specifications as properties

The Pesticide Paradox

Every method you use to prevent or find bugs leaves a residue of subtler bugs against which those methods are ineffectual. -- Boris Beizer

- **Metaphor:** insects build resistance and pesticides no longer work
- When the test cycle is executed, failures are found and eventually corrected
- After more code is added, the same tests pick some extra failures that get corrected
 - But some new faults do not produce test failures
 - With time, if the test sets are the same, a population of resistant faults increase
- New tests need to be added
 - Some way to automate it?

Properties

- **Property: a true proposition given your programming context**
 - **Eg:** `product.weight() > 0`
 - **Eg:** `getGrade() >= 0 && getGrade() <= 20`
 - **Eg:** `list.length() == list.invert().length()`
 - **Eg:** `anOperation(itsInverse(x)) == x`
- **Properties represent domain knowledge, i.e., the business domain**
- The program should respect these properties, otherwise it is not correctly modelling the business domain
- Instead of checking actual values, we check properties

Property Based Testing

Assume we are testing an integer average function:

```
public static int average(int[] v) {  
    if (v == null) throw new IllegalArgumentException();  
    int r = 0;  
    if (v.length > 0) {  
        for (int i = 0; i < v.length; i++)  
            r = r + v[i];  
        r = r / v.length;  
    }  
    return r;  
}
```

The typical Junit test would be:

```
@Test  
public void testSimple() {  
    int[] a = new int[] {1, 2, 3};  
    int expected = 2;  
    int actual = Average.average(a);  
  
    assertEquals(expected, actual);  
}
```

Property Based Testing

- But we know more about this function.
- For instance, we know that shuffling elements should not change the average result:

```
@Property
public void testShuffle(int[] xs) {
    assertEquals(Average.average(xs), Average.average(shuffle(xs)),
        "average fails after shuffling");
}
```

- This method needs an array of ints to be injected
- What array?
- Who's responsible for the value injection?

Property Based Testing

- We will use JUnit QuickCheck, a Java library inspired on Haskell's QuickCheck
- This library will manage our properties and inject the needed values
- These values will be random, and the library will test each property multiple times!
- Repeating the same test many times with different values increases the probability of finding defects
- What is being tested by the following property?

```
@Property(trials = 50) // default is 100
public void testNegative(int[] xs) {
    int[] negatives = Arrays.stream(xs).map(i -> -i).toArray();

    assertEquals(-Average.average(xs), Average.average(negatives), "...");
}
```

Property Based Testing

- JUnit QuickCheck will generate random values given the type
- This means that very large values might be produced
- To test the property *"concatenating the array with itself does not change the average"*, we must be careful with overflows:

```
@Property
public void testDoublingVectorSize(
    @InRange(minInt = -1000, maxInt = 1000) int[] xs) {

    int[] xsTwice = IntStream
        .concat(Arrays.stream(xs), Arrays.stream(xs))
        .toArray();

    assertEquals(Average.average(xs), Average.average(xsTwice),
        "avg(xs++xs) != avg(xs)");
}
```

Generators

- JUnit QuickCheck allows the creation of new generators

```
public class SmallIntegerListGenerator extends Generator<List<Integer>> {

    public static final int MAX_SIZE = 100;
    public static final int MAX_INT = 1000;

    public SmallIntegerListGenerator(Class<List<Integer>> type) {
        super(type);
    }

    @Override
    public List<Integer> generate(SourceOfRandomness src, GenerationStatus
status) {
        int size = 1+src.nextInt(MAX_SIZE);
        LinkedList<Integer> list = new LinkedList<>();
        while(size-- > 0)
            list.add(src.nextInt(2*MAX_INT+1)-MAX_INT); // between [-1000,1000]
        return list;
    }
}
```


Generators

- Use the new generator via annotation `@From`

```
@Property
public void testDoublingVectorSize(
    @From(SmallIntegerListGenerator.class) List<Integer> list) {

    int[] xs = list.stream().mapToInt(i->i).toArray();

    int[] xsTwice = IntStream
        .concat(Arrays.stream(xs), Arrays.stream(xs))
        .toArray();

    assertEquals(Average.average(xs), Average.average(xsTwice),
        "avg(xs++xs) != avg(xs)");
}
```

- Exercise: create a generator for 2D points
- Exercise: create a string generator for strings with 40 chars having letters, digits and also `.-\;:_@[]^/|}{`

Generators

- `GenerationStatus` includes a map which can be used to pass information to another generator
- This eg produces a random list of decreasing values

```
public List<Integer> generate(SourceOfRandomness random, GenerationStatus status) {
    List<Integer> result = new ArrayList<>();

    int previous = status.valueOf(PREVIOUS_KEY).orElse(MAX_VALUE);
    int current = random.nextInt(previous);

    if (current > 0) {
        result.add(current);
        // use setValue(Key, Object) to pass values between generators
        status.setValue(PREVIOUS_KEY, current);
        // get one of the available generators using gen()
        Generator<List<Integer>> listGen = gen().make(DecreasingListGenerator.class);
        // status includes the actual max value defined above
        result.addAll(listGen.generate(random, status));
        status.setValue(PREVIOUS_KEY, null);
    }

    return result;
}
```

Ctor

- Ctor can be used to generate values from classes that have a single accessible constructor

```
public class Point2D {  
    private int x, y;  
  
    public Point2D(int x, int y) { this.x = x; this.y = y; }  
  
    public int getX()      { return x; }  
    public void setX(int x) { this.x = x; }  
    public int getY()      { return y; }  
    public void setY(int y) { this.y = y; }  
    public String toString() { return "("+x+", "+y+")"; }  
}
```

```
@Property  
public void testPointsGetSetXWithCtor(@From(Ctor.class) Point2D pt, int x) {  
    pt.setX(x);  
    assertTrue(pt.getX()==x);  
}
```

Fields

- Fields can be used similarly for classes with a zero-arg constructor

```
public class Point2D {  
    private int x, y;  
  
    public int getX()      { return x;    }  
    public void setX(int x) { this.x = x; }  
    public int getY()      { return y;    }  
    public void setY(int y) { this.y = y; }  
    public String toString() { return "("+x+", "+y+")"; }  
}
```

```
@Property  
public void testPointsGetSetYWithFields(@From(Fields.class) Point2D pt, int y) {  
    pt.setY(y);  
    assertTrue(pt.getY()==y);  
}
```

ValuesOf

- `@ValuesOf` can be used to generate a Cartesian product of inputs (for booleans and enums)

```
enum Ternary { YES, NO, MAYBE }

@RunWith(JUnitQuickcheck.class)
public class CartesianProductTest {

    @Property(trials=6)
    public void testCartesianProduct(@ValuesOf boolean b, @ValuesOf Ternary t) {
        System.out.println(b+": "+t);
    }
}
```

```
false:YES
true:NO
false:MAYBE
true:YES
false:NO
true:MAYBE
```

A word about Randomness

- Randomness is an umbrella concept for many different phenomena
- There's no semantic in randomness without a proper discussion of the distribution of test data (aka, operational profile)
- By default the distribution is uniform over the type values
 - However this only makes sense for finite sets
 - Eg, for random strings or lists we must set a max size before generating values
- Random testing is more effective when the distribution of test data follows the distribution of actual data
 - Alas, in many cases the distribution of actual data is not known

Ok, some other words about Randomness

- Statistical independence between tests is very important
 - It allows for statistical predictions of significance in the observed results
 - Only random fluctuations (variance) can be averaged out, not systematics ones (bias)
 - This applies in testing: by dropping systematization of testing values, we minimize the change of bias to contaminate the test results
 - Variance can be dealt with just more tests
- But this might be pointless when the distribution of actual data is not known and it's quite different from the distribution of test data

Features of Property Based Tests

- Provides better parameter coverage
- Testing is incremental, building our trust; randomness provides new parameter values on each testing round
- Helps finding new edge cases we didn't think of (like weird strings, big negative numbers, ...)
- A way to insert domain knowledge as a list of testable properties, i.e., a way to translate specification into the testing environment
- One property can replace many value examples
- D. Hamlet's, [*Random Testing*](#) (1994), quote:

*By taking 20% more points in a random test,
any advantage a partition test might have had is wiped out*

Types of Properties

- Properties of operations (eg, commutativity, associativity, neutral element)
- Inverse operations (eg, addition/subtraction, setters/getters, serialization/deserialization) – however two sequential errors can shadow a failure
- Invariants (collection size, same contents, balanced data structures)
- Idempotence $op(x) == op(op(x))$ like sort, filter, unique, ...
- For more general properties it is needed an Oracle, some process able to validate results
 - Usually it's not available, but...
 - We might have access to another algorithm that produces similar results (an older version, a less general version...)
 - Checking the results might be easy to code (eg, factoring a number can be easily checked by simple multiplication)

Shrinking

- QuickCheck can do shrinking
- Shrinking means finding minimal counter-examples
- The idea is to start creating small random values and start increasing their complexity/size
- When a parameter value fails a test, the program tries to decrease it, depending on the type, to find another fail
 - Say the test fails at 998, then the program generates lots of numbers up to 998. If a test also fails at 685, it repeats the process until the shrinkage cannot find more counter-examples
- In QuickCheck to disable it use `@Property(shrink = false)`

Shrinking

- Generators implement shrinking by overriding method `doShrink`

```
@Override
public List<Point2D> doShrink(SourceOfRandomness random,
                             Point2D larger) {

    if (ORIGIN.equals(larger)) // cannot shrink more
        return Collections.emptyList();

    List<Point2D> shrinks = new ArrayList<>();
    if (larger.getX() != 0) shrinks.add(new Point2D(0, larger.getY()/2));
    if (larger.getY() != 0) shrinks.add(new Point2D(larger.getX()/2, 0));
    if (larger.getX() != 0) shrinks.add(new Point2D(larger.getX()/4,
        larger.getY()));
    if (larger.getY() != 0) shrinks.add(new Point2D(larger.getX(),
        larger.getY()/4));
    return shrinks;
}
```

Specification as a Test Suite

- A specification can be seen as a set of properties
- The testing suite can build tests focusing on what the program must comply
- It becomes a testable specification
 - eg, ADTs:

```
L, L1: List[Element];    E, F: Element;  
  
getFirst (addFirst (L, E)) = E;  
  
removeFirst (addFirst (L, E)) = L;  
  
isEmpty (L) iff size (L) = 0;  
  
size (make ()) = 0;  
size (addFirst (L, E)) = 1 + size (L);
```

Specification as a Test Suite

- Each specification line will result in 1+ properties:

```
@Property
public void testGetFirst(LinkedList<Integer> list, int e) {
    list.addFirst(e);

    assertEquals(list.getFirst(), e, "spec: getFirst(addFirst(L, E)) = E");
}
```

```
@Property
public void testRemoveFirst(LinkedList<Integer> list, int e) {
    LinkedList<Integer> newList = (LinkedList<Integer>) list.clone();
    newList.addFirst(e);
    newList.removeFirst();

    assertEquals(list, newList, "spec: removeFirst(addFirst(L, E)) = L");
}
```

- **Exercise: check** `sut.spec.List.spc` **and add more specification-based properties on file** `TestListSpec`

Exercise: Prime Factorization

- **Exercise: check class** `sut.PrimeFactors`
- **Create a test file to check the following properties:**
 - The factors product should result in the original number
 - All factors must be prime numbers
 - For every factorization of an even number, the factors must include at least one number 2

Exercise: Sale Generator

- Check maven project
- In package `sut.sale` there's a set of classes implementing a basic Sale with Items
- Create a `SaleGenerator` to produce random sales. Output eg:

```
[id: 0 date: 29/11/2016 items: (tangerine,140]
[id: 1 date: 14/05/2005 items: (pear,453) (orange,644) (banana,308)]
[id: 2 date: 16/07/2009 items: (pear,924) (apple,113)]
[id: 3 date: 03/10/2006 items: (apple,329) (watermelon,885) (apple,306)]
```

- Add the possibility to restrict the number of sale items using `@InRange` annotations

```
@Property(trials=15)
public void testSalesShow(@InRange(minInt = 1, maxInt = 50)
                           @From(SaleGenerator.class) Sale sale) {
    System.out.println(sale);
}
```

Exercise: Sale Generator

- With the sale generator we can test properties.
- The following property asserts that sale items order is irrelevant to the sale total cost:

```
@Property
public void testSalesItemOrder(@From(SaleGenerator.class) Sale sale) {
    int total = sale.getTotal();
    Collections.shuffle(sale.getItems());
    assertEquals(total, sale.getTotal(),
        "sale item order must be irrelevant to total cost");
}
```