

A one phase IPM for non-convex optimization

Oliver Hinder, Yinyu Ye

June 16, 2017

Abstract

Solver

1 Main algorithm

$$D_\lambda(x, y) = \frac{\|\nabla L(x, y)\|_\infty}{\sqrt{\|y\|_\infty + 1}}$$

$$E_\mu(x, y, s) = \max \{D(x, y), \|Sy - \mu\|_\infty\}$$

Algorithm 1 One phase primal-dual IPM

```

function IPM( $x, y$ )
   $\lambda \leftarrow \dots$ 
   $f_\lambda(x) := f(x) + \lambda\|x\|^2$ 
  for  $k = 1, \dots, \infty$  do
    Form primal schur complement and factorize.
    if matrix is PD then
      STABLE-CORRECTION
    else
      STABLE-TRUST-REGION-STEP
    end if
    for  $i = 1, \dots, p(\#corrections)$  do
      if  $D_\lambda(x, y) \leq \min\{\mu, \theta\}$  &  $\frac{Sy}{\mu} \in [\beta_2, 1/\beta_2]$  then
        AGGRESSIVE-CORRECTION
         $\lambda \leftarrow \dots$ 
      else
        STABLE-CORRECTION
      end if
    end for
  end for
end function

```

1.1 Trust region

Algorithm 2 Stable-trust-region-step

```

function STABLE-TRUST-REGION-STEP( $f, a, x, y, r$ )
  for  $j = 1, \dots, \infty$  do
     $(d_x, d_y, M^+) \leftarrow \text{APPROX-PRIMAL-DUAL-TRUST-REGION}(f, a, x, y, r)$ 
     $x^+, y^+, \alpha^+ \leftarrow \text{stable-line-search}(\dots)$ 
    if  $\alpha^+ > \alpha_{\min}$  then
      if  $j = 1$  &  $\alpha = 1$  then
         $r^+ \leftarrow 10r$ 
      else if  $\alpha^+ < \alpha_{\text{small}}$  then
         $r^+ \leftarrow r/2$ 
      else
         $r^+ \leftarrow \|d_x\|_2$ 
      end if
      break
    end if
     $r^+ \leftarrow r^+/8$ 
  end for
  return  $(x^+, y^+, M^+, r^+)$ 
end function

```

Algorithm 3 Stable-trust-region-step

```

function STABLE-TRUST-REGION-IPOPT-STYLE( $f, a, x, y, r$ )
  for  $j = 1, \dots, \infty$  do
    Factorize  $M$  with ...  $\delta$  ...
    if inertia is good then
      ...
    end if
     $x^+, y^+, \alpha^+ \leftarrow \text{stable-line-search}(\dots)$ 
  end for
  return  $(x^+, y^+, M^+, r^+)$ 
end function

```

1.2 Line searches

```

function MOVE( $f, a, x, y, d_x, d_y, \eta, \alpha_P$ )
   $x^+ \leftarrow x + \alpha_P d_x$ 
   $\mu^+ \leftarrow (1 - \eta \alpha_P) \mu$ 
   $\theta^+ \leftarrow (1 - \eta \alpha_P) \theta$ 
   $s^+ \leftarrow a(x^+) + \theta(s^1 - a(x^1))$ 
   $\alpha_D \leftarrow \arg \max_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha \text{ s.t. } \frac{S^+(y + d_y \alpha_D)}{\mu} \in [e\beta_1, e/\beta_1]$ 
   $y^+ \leftarrow y + \alpha_D d_y$ 
end function

```

Algorithm 4 Aggressive line search

```

function AGGRESSIVE-LINE-SEARCH( $f, a, x, y, d_x, d_y$ )
   $\eta \leftarrow 1$ 
   $\alpha_P \leftarrow \text{FRACTIONTOBOUNDARY}(s, d_s)$ 
  for  $i = 1, \dots, \infty$  do
     $x^+, y^+, \text{status} \leftarrow \text{MOVE}(f, a, x, y, d_x, d_y, \eta, \alpha_P)$ 
    if  $\text{status} = \text{feasible}$  & Function value does not increase too much then
      return  $x^+, y^+, s^+$ 
    else
       $\alpha_P \leftarrow \alpha_P/2$ 
    end if
  end for
end function

```

Algorithm 5 Stable line search

```

function STABLE-LINE-SEARCH( $f, a, x, y, s, d_x, d_y, d_s, \eta$ )
   $\eta \leftarrow 0$ 
   $\alpha_P \leftarrow \text{FRACTIONTOBOUNDARY}(y, s, d_y, d_s)$ 
  for  $i = 1, \dots, \infty$  do
     $x^+, y^+, \text{status} \leftarrow \text{MOVE}(f, a, x, y, d_x, d_y, \eta, \alpha_P)$ 
    if  $\text{status} = \text{feasible}$  then
      if sufficient progress on merit function then
        return  $x^+, y^+$ 
      else
        end if
      else
         $\alpha_P \leftarrow \alpha_P/2$ 
      end if
      ...
    end for
end function

```

2 Scrap paper

3 Log barrier sub-problems

This paper is concerned with the following problem:

$$\min f(x) - \mu \log(s) + \frac{1}{2}d_x^T D_x d_x + \frac{1}{2}d_s^T D_s d_s \quad (1a)$$

$$a(x) - s = r\mu \quad (1b)$$

$$s \geq 0 \quad (1c)$$

The KKT conditions for (1) are:

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x, y) = \nabla f(x) + D_x d_x - \nabla a(x)^T y = 0 \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu(s, y) = Ys - \mu e = 0 \quad (2b)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu(x, s) = a(x) - s - \mu r = 0 \quad (2c)$$

$$s, y \geq 0 \quad (2d)$$

Where the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(x, y) := f(x) - y^T a(x)$.

We combine the log barrier merit function and the complementary conditions as follows:

$$\phi(x, y) = \psi(x) + \zeta(x, y) \quad (3)$$

With:

$$\zeta(x, y) = \frac{\|\mathcal{C}(x, y)\|_\infty^3}{\mu^2}$$

We now introduces models to locally approximate these merit functions $\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x, y)$, ψ , \mathcal{C} and ϕ respectively. To describe our approximations of a function f around the point (x, y) we use the function $\tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^f(u, v)$ to denote the predicted increase in the function f at the new point $(x + u, y + v)$. Observe that we use different approximations depending on the choice of function f .

We use a typical linear approximate of $\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x, y)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^{\nabla_x \mathcal{L}}(d_x, d_y) = \nabla_{x, x} \mathcal{L}(x, y) d_x + \nabla a(x) d_y \quad (4)$$

The following function $\tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^\psi(u)$ is an approximation of the function $\psi(x)$ at the point (x, y) and predicts how much the function ψ changes as we change the current from x to $x + u$.

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^\psi(u) = \frac{1}{2} u^T M(x, y) u + \nabla \psi(x)^T u \quad (5)$$

With:

$$M(x, y) = \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(x, y) + \sum_i \frac{y_i}{a(x)} \nabla a(x)^T \nabla a(x) \quad (6)$$

Note that if we set $y_i = \frac{\mu}{s_i}$ then $M(x, y) = \nabla^2 \psi(x)$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^\psi$ becomes the second order taylor approximation of ψ at the point x . Thus we can think of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^\psi(u)$ as a primal-dual approximation of the function ψ .

We can also build a model of the $\zeta(x, y)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^\zeta(d_x, d_y) = \frac{\|Sy + Yd_s + Sd_y - \mu e\|_\infty^3 - \|\mathcal{C}(x, y)\|_\infty^3}{\mu^2} \quad (7)$$

With S a diagonal matrix containing entries of $a(x)$ and $d_s = \nabla a(x) d_x$. This model $\tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^\zeta$ corresponds to the typical primal-dual linear model of \mathcal{C} i.e. $\mathcal{C}(x + d_x, y + d_y) \approx Sy + Yd_s + Sd_y - \mu e$.

With S and Y contain the diagonal elements of $a(x)$ and y respectively.

This allows us to approximate the change in the function ϕ at the point (x, y) as follows:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^\phi(d_x, d_y) = \tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^\psi(d_x) + \tilde{\Delta}_{(x, y)}^\zeta(d_x, d_y) \quad (8)$$

We say an iterate (x, y) satisfies approximate complementary if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{Q}_\mu$ where \mathcal{Q}_μ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}_\mu = \left\{ (x, y) \in R^n \times R^m : a(x) > 0, y > 0, \|\mathcal{C}(x, y)\|_\infty \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \right\} \quad (9)$$

We say the point (x, y) is a μ -scaled KKT point if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{T}_\mu$ where:

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{Q}_\mu : \|\nabla \mathcal{L}(x, y)\| \leq \mu(\|y\|_1 + 1) \} \quad (10)$$

In which case the algorithm terminates.

4 Algorithm

Let S , Y denote the diagonal matrices with entries of s and y respectively. We can linearize (2) at the iterate (x, y, s) as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + D_x & -\nabla a(\hat{x})^T & 0 \\ \nabla a(\hat{x}) & 0 & -I \\ 0 & \hat{S} & \hat{Y} + D_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_x \\ d_y \\ d_s \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \mathcal{L}(x, y) \\ \mathcal{P}_\mu(x, s) \\ \mathcal{C}_\mu(s, y) \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

Which is equivalent to solving:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + \nabla a(x)^T D_s \nabla a(x) + D_x & \nabla a(\hat{x})^T \\ \nabla a(\hat{x}) & -(\hat{Y} + D_s)^{-1} \hat{S} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_x \\ -d_y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \mathcal{L}(x, y) \\ \mathcal{P}_\mu(x, s) + (\hat{Y} + D_s)^{-1} \mathcal{C}_\mu(s, y) \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

One can also solve this system by solving the Schur complement:

$$(\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + \nabla a(\hat{x})^T (\hat{Y} + D_s) \hat{S}^{-1} \nabla a(\hat{x}) + D_x) d_x = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(x, \mu S^{-1} e) - \nabla a(\hat{x})^T \hat{Y} \hat{S}^{-1} \mathcal{P}_\mu(x, s)$$

Observe that (??) may be singular or correspond to a direction that makes the log barrier objective worse. To rectify this problem we compute the direction as follows:

$$d_x = \arg \min_{\|u\|_2 \leq r} \tilde{\Delta}_{(x,y)}^\psi(u) \quad (13a)$$

$$d_s = \nabla a(x) d_x \quad (13b)$$

$$d_y = -S^{-1} (Y d_s + \mathcal{C}(x, y)) \quad (13c)$$

*****CAREFUL WITH SIGNS i.e. should be $d_s = -\nabla a(x) d_x$, $d_y = -S^{-1} (Y d_s + \mathcal{C}(x, y))$ *****
It is well-known from trust region literature that there exists some $\delta \in [0, \infty)$ such that:

$$(M(x, y) + \delta I) d_x = -\nabla \psi(x) \quad (14)$$

Furthermore, by re-arranging this equation we can deduce that (d_x, d_y, d_s) satisfies a perturbed version of (??):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(x, y) + \delta I & -\nabla a(x)^T & 0 \\ -\nabla a(x) & 0 & I \\ 0 & S & Y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_x \\ d_y \\ d_s \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \mathcal{L}(x, y) \\ 0 \\ \mathcal{C}(x, y) \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

Algorithm 6 Primal-dual trust region step

function PRIMAL-DUAL-TRUST-REGION(x, y, r) **** \in ****

$$d_x \in \arg \min_{\|u\| \leq r} \tilde{\Delta}_{(x,y)}^\psi(u) \quad (16a)$$

$$d_s = \nabla a(x) d_x \quad (16b)$$

$$S = \text{Diag}(a(x)) \quad (16c)$$

$$d_y = -S^{-1} (Y d_s + \mathcal{C}(x, y)) \quad (16d)$$

$$(x^+, y^+) \leftarrow (x + d_x, y + d_y)$$

$$\mathbf{return}(x^+, y^+, d_x, d_y)$$

end function

Our complete algorithm is summarized as follows:

Algorithm 7 Primal-dual non-convex interior point algorithm

```

function NON-CONVEX-IPM( $x^1, y^1$ )
  for  $k = 1, \dots, \infty$  do
     $r \leftarrow R(y^k)$ 
    repeat
       $(x^+, y^+, d_x, d_y) \leftarrow \text{PRIMAL-DUAL-TRUST-REGION}(x^k, y^k, r)$ 
      if  $(x^+, y^+) \in \mathcal{Q}_\mu$  then
        if  $(x^+, y^+) \in \mathcal{T}_\mu$  then
          return  $(x^+, y^+)$ 
        end if
      end if
       $r \leftarrow r/2$ 
    until  $\phi(x^+) > \phi(x^k) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Delta}_{(x^k, y^k)}^\phi(d_x, d_y)$ 
     $x^k \leftarrow x^+$ 
     $y^k \leftarrow y^+$ 
  end for
end function

```

5 Delta computation

Algorithm 8 Delta

```

 $\lambda_{lb} = 0, \lambda_{ub} = \delta_{\max} = \|H\|_F^2, \delta_{k-1}$   $\triangleright$  lower and upper bounds on minimum eigenvalue
Try  $\delta = 0$ , if succeeds, trial solve with this delta. If step size is small skip to trust region step.
 $\delta = \delta_{k-1}$ 
if  $\delta = 0$  then
   $\delta = \delta_{\min}$ 
end if
for  $i = 1, \dots, \infty$  do
  Break if inertia correct and update  $\lambda_{lb}$  and  $\lambda_{ub}$ .
   $\delta = \delta 100$ 
end for
Trust region
 $R = \|d_x^{k-1}\|_2$ 
for  $i = 1, \dots, \infty$  do
  Compute trust region with  $R$ 
  If trust region is too accurate increase radius size
  If step unsuccessful decrease radius size
  Prevent oscillation
end for

```
