

GET FULL ACCESS TO RFID JOURNAL
WITH A PREMIUM MEMBERSHIP
REGISTER TODAY

That 'Internet of Things' Thing

In the real world, things matter more than ideas.

By **Kevin Ashton**

Tags: [IT/Infrastructure](#), [Operations](#)

Jun 22, 2009—I could be wrong, but I'm fairly sure the phrase "Internet of Things" started life as the title of a presentation I made at [Procter & Gamble](#) (P&G) in 1999. Linking the new idea of [RFID](#) in P&G's supply chain to the then-red-hot topic of the Internet was more than just a good way to get executive attention. It summed up an important insight—one that 10 years later, after the Internet of Things has become the title of everything from an article in [Scientific American](#) to the name of a European Union conference, is still often misunderstood.

The fact that I was probably the first person to say "Internet of Things" doesn't give me any right to control how others use the phrase. But what I meant, and still mean, is this: Today computers—and, therefore, the Internet—are almost wholly dependent on human beings for information. Nearly all of the roughly 50 petabytes (a petabyte is 1,024 terabytes) of data available on the Internet were first captured and created by human beings—by typing, pressing a record button, taking a digital picture or scanning a [bar code](#). Conventional diagrams of the Internet include servers and routers and so on, but they leave out the most numerous and important routers of all: people. The problem is, people have limited time, attention and accuracy—all of which means they are not very good at capturing data about things in the real world.



And that's a big deal. We're physical, and so is our environment. Our economy, society and survival aren't based on ideas or information—they're based on things. You can't eat bits, burn them to stay warm or put them in your gas tank. Ideas and information are important, but things matter much more. Yet today's information technology is so dependent on data originated by people that our computers know more about ideas than things.

If we had computers that knew everything there was to know about things—using data they gathered without any help from us—we would be able to track and count everything, and greatly reduce waste, loss and cost. We would know when things needed replacing, repairing or recalling, and whether they were

fresh or past their best.

We need to empower computers with their own means of gathering information, so they can see, hear and smell the world for themselves, in all its random glory. [RFID](#) and [sensor](#) technology enable computers to observe, identify and understand the world—without the limitations of human-entered data.

Ten years on, we've made a lot of progress, but we in the [RFID](#) community need to understand what's so important about what our technology does, and keep advocating for it. It's not just a "[bar code](#) on steroids" or a way to speed up toll roads, and we must never allow our vision to shrink to that scale. The Internet of Things has the potential to change the world, just as the Internet did. Maybe even more so.

Kevin Ashton was cofounder and executive director of the [Auto-ID Center](#).

ADVERTISEMENT

RELATED CONTENT

NEWS

- » [Polyfilld's RFID Solution Helps Roads Talk to Inspectors](#)
- » [German Parking Garage Installs Moisture- and Corrosion-Sensing RFID Tags](#)
- » [RFID Journal to Host First Conference in Brazil](#)

CASE STUDIES

- » [India's Largest Media Company Builds RFID Into New Headquarters](#)
- » [Cisco's Business-Driven RFID Strategy](#)
- » [Data-Center Firm Deploys RFID to Manage IT Assets](#)

OPINIONS

- » [What I Would Do as President](#)
- » [Winners and Losers in the RFID Market](#)
- » [A New Resource for End Users](#)

FEATURES

- » [The Business-IT Connection](#)
- » [RFID Energy-Management Systems for Data Centers](#)
- » [Five European Leaders Speak Out on RFID](#)

