

Commutative Algebra Q1

Ibraheem Sajid

(i) ~~1. $\mathbb{Z} \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$~~

FALSE: $\mathbb{I} = 2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \triangleleft \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is prime since

$$(a,b)(x,y) \in \mathbb{I}$$

$$\Rightarrow (ax, by) \in \mathbb{I} \Rightarrow ax \in 2\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow a \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ or } x \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow (a,b) \in \mathbb{I} \text{ or } (x,y) \in \mathbb{I}.$$

But $\mathbb{Z} \notin \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ so this ~~is~~ is not of the claimed form. #

(ii) FALSE: $2\mathbb{Z} \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}$. $\mathbb{Z}/(2\mathbb{Z})(2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$

has an element of order 4

but $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ has no elements of order 4

i.e. (answering simply these differ as abelian groups so can't be isomorphic rings) #

(iii) TRUE: ① $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$ is Noetherian: ~~because~~ \mathbb{Z} is a PID hence Noetherian (Cor 2.47) and $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$ is a multivariate polynomial ring (Cor 2.49)
over a Noetherian ring hence Noetherian //

② R Noetherian, $I \triangleleft R \Rightarrow R/I$ Noetherian:

Ideals of R/I correspond to ideals of R containing I (Cor 1.18), so ascending chains in R/I correspond to ascending chains in R starting with I . The latter terminates since R is Noetherian so the former must also all terminate. $\therefore R/I$ Noetherian. //

① + ② $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x,y]/(x^2-y^3)$ is Noetherian.

(iv) FALSE: If we take the localization of \mathbb{Z} at $\mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$, note $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \left\{ \frac{a}{s} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid p \nmid s \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ is an inclusion of rings.

By Cor 1.40, $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Note, $\frac{1}{p} \in p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ so $p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \neq 0$.

Also, \mathbb{Q} is local with maximal ideal (0) . Thus we have $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ with ~~$\text{Rad}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) = p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$~~ $\neq \text{Rad}(\mathbb{Q}) = (0)$.

(v) TRUE: Let F be freely generated by $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$, where I is finite.

A generic element of $F \otimes M$ is $\sum_{j \in J} r_j y_j \otimes m_j = x$

for some indexing set J and $r_j \in R$, $y_j \in F$, $m_j \in M$ for all $j \in J$. Writing each y_j as a linear combination of x_i , $i \in I$ ~~keeping track of coefficients we can~~ ~~assume~~ assume $x = \sum_{i \in I} r_i x_i \otimes m_i$.

$$\text{Now, } f_{\#}^*(x) = 0 \iff \sum_I r_i x_i \otimes f(m_i) = 0$$

We have \otimes homomorphisms $f \rightarrow R$ given by

$$g_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad (\text{since } F \text{ is free on } \{x_i\})$$

Each g_i extends to $g_i \circ f: F \otimes N \rightarrow R \otimes N \cong N$

$$\text{So } f_{\#}(x) = 0 \Rightarrow g_i \circ f_{\#}(x) = 0 \quad \forall i \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_I r_i \otimes f(m_i) = 0 \quad \forall i \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_I r_i \otimes f(m_i) = 0 \quad \forall i \in I$$

$\Rightarrow \forall i \in I$ either

$$r_i = 0 \text{ or } m_i = 0$$

~~or $m_i \neq 0$ and $r_i = 0$~~

$$\Rightarrow x = 0 . //$$

TRUE:

(vi) Let M be a fractional ideal of a PID R , and F be the field of fractions of R .

$\exists \frac{a}{b} \in F^\times$ st. $\frac{a}{b}M \subseteq R$. Thus $\frac{a}{b}M$ is an ideal of R .

So $\frac{a}{b}M = (x)$, some $x \in R$. Then $\frac{a}{bx} \in F$ (assume $M \neq 0$)
so $x \neq 0$ or $\frac{a}{b} \in F^\times$.

Let M' be the \exists R -submodule of F

generated by $\frac{a}{bx}$. M' is a fractional ideal
since $\frac{bx}{a} \in F^\times$ ($a \neq 0$ since $\frac{a}{b} \in F^\times$)

and $\frac{bx}{a}M' = R \subseteq R$

Now, ~~MM' = {~~ $MM' = \left\{ \frac{ar}{bx} \mid r \in R \right\}$

$$= \left\{ \frac{arm}{bx} \mid r \in R, m \in M \right\}$$

but $m \in M \Rightarrow \frac{a}{b}m \in (x) \Rightarrow \frac{a}{bx} \in R$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{ar}{bx} \in R$

so $MM' \subseteq R$.

Consider a counterexample. Since $\frac{a}{b}M = (x)$

$$\exists m \in M \text{ st. } \frac{a}{b}m = x$$

then $\frac{a}{bx} \cdot x = 1$, so $R \subseteq MM'$
i.e. $MM' = R$

11

(vii) FALSE: let $I = (2, 1+\sqrt{5}i)$

Suppose $I = (x)$. Then $x \mid 2$ and $x \mid 1+\sqrt{5}i$.
Have $x = a + b\sqrt{5}i$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$
and $(a+b\sqrt{5}i)(c+d\sqrt{5}i) = 2$, $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $\therefore (ac - 5bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{5}i = 2$
 $\therefore ac - 5bd = 2$, $ad + bc = 0$

So $(a-b\sqrt{5}i)(c-d\sqrt{5}i) = 2$ as well and then

$$(a^2 + 5b^2)(c^2 + 5d^2) = 4$$

$\therefore b=d=0$ (else we follow up part 4).

Have $a=1, b=2$ ($I=(1)$)

or $a=2, c=1$ ($I=(2)$)

$\text{fige } \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{But } 2 \mid 1+\sqrt{5}i \Rightarrow 2(f+g\sqrt{5}i) = 1+\sqrt{5}i$$

$$\Rightarrow 2f=1, 2g=1 \#$$

$$\therefore (2) \subsetneq (2, 1+\sqrt{5}i)$$

Suppose $A \cdot 2 + B(1+\sqrt{5}i) = 1$, $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$

Then $B=0$ ($\sqrt{5}i$ coefficient)

and $2A=1 \#$

(viii) TRUE: We know \mathbb{Z} is a UFD. Then, by Lem 1.15,

$\mathbb{Z}[xy] = \mathbb{Z}[x][y]$ is also a UFD. So by Prop 3.5,
 $\mathbb{Z}[xy]$ is integrally closed. //

I will write \mathbb{Z}_n for $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ in this question.

Commutative Algebra Q2

Ibrahim Sapid

(i) (a) A generic element of $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_7[x]}{(x^2+2)}$ is $a+bx+(x^2+2)$.
 $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_7$.

$$(a+bx)(c+dx) + (x^2+2) = 1 + (x^2+2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ac + (bc+ad)x + bd x^2 + (x^2+2) = 1 + (x^2+2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ac - 2bd \equiv 1, \quad bc+ad \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

(i) (c) Suppose x^2+2 was reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_7[x]$.

Then $x^2+2 = (x+a)(x+b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_7$, so it would have a root in \mathbb{Z}_7 . But

x	x^2+2
0	2
1	3
2	6
3	4
4	4
5	6
6	3

$\therefore x^2+2$ is irreducible. By lemma 1.15, (x^2+2) is maximal, noting that $\mathbb{Z}_7[x]$ is a PID since \mathbb{Z}_7 is a field.

$\therefore R/I$ is a field by Thm 1.10.

$$(b) \bar{x}^3 = -\bar{2}\bar{x}. \quad -\bar{2}\bar{x}(\bar{c}+\bar{d}\bar{x}) = \bar{1} \quad (\bar{c}, \bar{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_7)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\bar{2}\bar{c} = \bar{0}, \quad \bar{4}\bar{d} = \bar{1}$$

So let $c=0, d=2$ (note ~~$\bar{4} \cdot \bar{2} = \bar{8} = \bar{1}$~~)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note indeed: } (\bar{x}^3)(\bar{2}\bar{x}) &= \bar{2}\bar{x}^4 \\ &= \cancel{\bar{2}}(x^2+2)^2 \\ &\in \pi((2(-2)^2 + 4(x^2+2)(-2) + 2(x^2+2)^2)) \\ &= \pi(2(-2)^2) = \bar{8} = 1. // \end{aligned}$$

(c) Note $\text{Frac}(R) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_7[x]}{F}$ (rational ~~fractions~~ of polynomials in \mathbb{Z}_7)

$$I^{-1} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in F \mid \frac{a}{b} I \subseteq R \right\}$$

Let $\frac{p}{q} \in F$, ie. $p, q \in R$. wlog p is irreducible (since R is UFD).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \frac{p}{q} \cdot (x^2+2) &\subseteq R \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{p}{q} (x^2+2) &\in R \\ \Leftrightarrow p(x^2+2) &= q \cdot s, \quad s \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Since x^2+2 is prime and divides qs , it must divide q or s . Since p is coprime to 2 , it must divide s .

Thus, $x^2+2 \mid q \Rightarrow p=s$ and $x^2+2 \mid s \Rightarrow q=1$ and $s=p(x^2+2)$

$$\text{So } I^{-1} = R \cup \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a \in R, b \mid (x^2+2) \right\}$$

$$= R \langle 1, \frac{1}{x^2+2} \rangle \text{ as an } R\text{-module}$$

$$= R \langle \frac{1}{x^2+2} \rangle \text{ since } x^2+2 \cdot \frac{1}{x^2+2} = 1.$$

$\therefore I^{-1}$ is invertible with inverse I .

$$\left(II^{-1} = R \langle \frac{1}{x^2+2} \cdot (x^2+2) \rangle = R \right).$$

(ii) first, note that prime ideals of $R \times S$ for rings R, S are either of the form $R \times Q$ or $P \times S$ for prime ideals $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$, $Q \in \text{Spec}(S)$.

Indeed suppose $P \in \text{Spec}(S)$. Then $R \times S / (R \times Q) = R \times Q$

so $R \times Q$ is an ideal. If $(ab, cd) \in R \times Q$, then $c \text{ and } d \in Q$ since $cd \in Q$. $\therefore (a, c) \text{ or } (b, d) \in (R \times Q) / I$

$P \times S$ is primary.

Conversely, suppose $I \triangleleft R \times S$ is an ideal

$$\text{Define } P = \{r \in R \mid \exists s \in S, (r, s) \in I\}$$

Note $P \triangleleft R$ since $\exists s, (r, s) \in I \Rightarrow \exists (a, 1)(r, s) \in I$

$$\forall a \in R \Rightarrow ar \in P \quad \forall a \in R.$$

If I is prime then P is prime by since we have
 $a, b \in P \Rightarrow \exists s, t \in S \text{ st. } (as, 1), (bs, 1) \in I \Rightarrow (a, s) \text{ or } (b, t) \in I$

$\Rightarrow a$ or $b \in P$. Now if $(0,0) \in I$,
~~we have (0,0)~~

Since $(0,1)(1,0) = (0,0) \in I$, we have $(0,1)$ or $(1,0) \in I$.

If ~~(0,1) $\in I$~~ , we've shown $I = P \times S$, otherwise
 define a Q similarly for $T = R \times Q$.

Next note prime ideals of \mathbb{Z}_n are in ~~bijection~~
 with prime ideals of \mathbb{Z}_m .

$$\therefore \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{10}) = \{ \cancel{(2)}, (5) \}$$

$$\text{and } \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{21}) = \{ \cancel{(3)}, (7) \}.$$

so $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{21})$ is the ~~set~~ elements as described
 previously
 (e.g. $(2) \times \mathbb{Z}_{21}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times (3)$)

By Thm 4.12, closed sets are precisely the for each
 idempotent.

lets enumerate:

x	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
$x^2 \bmod 10$	0 1 4 9 6 5 6 9 4 1
$x^2 \bmod 21$	0 1 4 9 16 4 15 7 1 18

x	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
$x^2 \bmod 21$	16 16 18 1 7 15 4 16 9 4 1

= 16 unique subsets of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{21})$

They idempotents are the 16 combinations
 $\{0; 1, 5, 6\} \times \{0, 1, 7, 15\}$

In fact, since $|\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{21})| = 4$,

$$|\mathcal{P}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{21}))| = 16$$

and they every set is clopen! So each singleton
is a connected component.

$$\left(\because \mathcal{C} = \{\text{set of Zariski closed sets of } \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{21})\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{21})) \right)$$

so we must have equality

$\#$ = contradiction

Commutative Algebras Q3 Ibraheem Sayid

$(0) \notin R$

- (i) First, (0) is prime, so R is an integral domain.
Now suppose $\exists r \in R^{\times}$.

If $(r^2) = (r)$, then $ar^2 = r$ for some $a \in R$,

$$\Rightarrow ar^2 - r = 0 \Rightarrow (ar - 1)r = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow ar - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow a = 1$$

$$\text{i.e. } r \in R^{\times} \quad \#$$

$\therefore (r^2) \subsetneq (r)$. But then $r \cdot r \in (r^2)$

but $r \notin (r^2)$, so (r^2) is

not prime. $\#$ as it is a proper ideal.

$\therefore r \in R^{\times}$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ is an PID, prime ideal of the form (f) for $f \in \mathbb{Z}$ is irreducible.

But

then $f \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$\therefore f \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $f \in \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{3}$.

Helps. $\therefore 1 + 2\sqrt{3} = (a + b\sqrt{3})$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\therefore 1 + 2\sqrt{3} \mid 1$.

$$3 \quad 12 \quad 27 \quad |1 - 2\sqrt{3}| = 1 \quad \text{and } a + b\sqrt{3} \mid 1$$

$9 - 3\sqrt{3}$ prime

$$25 - 11 = 14$$

$$36 - 11 = 25$$

$$16 - 11 = 4$$

$$49 - 11 = 38$$

$$9 - 3 = 6$$

$$9 - 11 = -2$$

$$64 - 11 = 53$$

$$4 - 11 = -7$$

$$16 - 3 = 13$$

$$(4 + \sqrt{3})(4 - \sqrt{3}) = 13$$

$\therefore 4 + \sqrt{3}$ prime

$$11 \quad 12 \quad (1 + 2\sqrt{3})(1 - 2\sqrt{3}) = 1 - 4 \times 3$$

(ii) Note $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ is integral over \mathbb{Z} . ($(\sqrt{3})^2 - 3 = 0$)
and integrality is preserved under multiplication,
addition and subtraction.

\therefore By ~~Thm~~ Thm 3.11, $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \longleftrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}])$
are bijective so there is just one
prime ideal I with $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = (11)$.

Note $1+2\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ has norm $1^2 - 4 \cdot 3 = -11$,
which is prime so ~~Thm~~ $1+2\sqrt{3}$ is irreducible
and $(1+2\sqrt{3})$ is prime by Lem 1.15.

$$\text{Note } 11 = -(1-2\sqrt{3})(1+2\sqrt{3}) \\ \text{so } 11 \in (1+2\sqrt{3})$$

and $(11) \subseteq (1+2\sqrt{3}) \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

But then $(11) = (1+2\sqrt{3}) \cap \mathbb{Z}$
since $(1+2\sqrt{3}) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is prime.

$\therefore I = (1+2\sqrt{3})$ is the only
possibility.

Use that $\mathbb{Z}[xy]$ is UFD relative cancel. common factors.

(iii) Suppose $I = (f)$. Then $f = rxy + sy^2$
~~cancel~~ $\Rightarrow y \mid f$

\therefore let $f = yg$. Here $xy = hyg$ and $y^2 = kyg$

$$\therefore x = hg \Rightarrow g = 1 \text{ or } g = x.$$

But

Since $y \notin R$, hence $g = x$. But $y^2 \notin (xy)$

so contradiction; R is non PID.

$$I^2 = (xy, y^2)(xy, y^2) = (x^2y^2, xy^3, y^4)$$

Since $y^2x^2 \in I^2$. If I^2 primary, we have

$$(1). y^2 \in I^2 \text{ or } x^2 \in I^2 \text{ or } 0$$

But $y^2 \notin I^2$ since $y^2 \in I^2 \cap (y^2)$

then $\pi(I^2 \cap (y^2)) = (0)$ where $\pi: R \rightarrow R/(x)$

but $\pi(y^2) \neq 0$.

$\therefore I^2$ not primary.

(iii) Suppose $f \in A = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ s.t. $\gcd(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \neq 1$

f integral over $R \Leftrightarrow \exists r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$

$$r_0 + r_1x + r_2x^2 + \dots + r_nx^n = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow r_0x^n + r_1x^{n-1} + \dots + r_nx^0 = 0$$

thus there $\exists f_0 \in A$ s.t. $2 | f_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[f] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(f)$.

at $b \in S$

Commutative Algebra Q4

Ibraheem Syed

(i) The homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow R/I \times R/J$
 $r \mapsto (r+I, r+J)$

 $\text{ker } f = \{r \mid r \in I, r \in J\}$
 $= I \cap J = (0)$

$\therefore f$ is injective.

Suppose $k_1 \subseteq k_2 \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending chain of ideals in R . Since $R \rightarrow R/I$ and $R \rightarrow R/J$ are surjective, $f(k_i) = f(k_i) \cdot R/I \times R/J$ is an ideal (products of ideals ^{is an} ideals).

direct \nearrow two

$\therefore k_1/I \times k_1/J \subseteq k_2/I \times k_2/J \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending chain of ideals in $R/I \times R/J$.

Note $f^{-1}(k_i/I \times k_i/J) = k_i$ since f injective.

Now, the chain $k_1/I \subseteq k_2/I \subseteq \dots$ in R/I terminates since R/I noetherian.

Similarly to ~~the~~ $k_1/J \subseteq k_2/J \subseteq \dots$ in R/J terminates.

i.e. $\exists n > 0$ st. $k_i/I = k_n/I \quad \forall i > n$
 $\exists m > 0$ st. $k_j/J = k_m/J \quad \forall j > m$

let $N = \max(n, m)$

$\therefore k_i/I \times k_i/J = k_N/I \times k_N/J \quad \forall i > N$, so the chain in $R/I \times R/J$ terminates. Thus, it's principal.

$k_1 \subseteq k_2 \subseteq \dots$ in R terminates //.

(ii) By Cor 1.18, maximal ideals of R containing $\ker\varphi$ are in correspondence with maximal ideals of $\text{im}\varphi = S$, via the maps ~~of which off~~ ~~are~~

$$\begin{cases} \text{maximal ideals} \\ \text{of } R \text{ containing } \ker\varphi \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\quad I \mapsto \varphi(I) \quad} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{maximal} \\ \text{ideals of } S \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{maximal ideals} \\ \text{of } S \end{cases} \xleftarrow{\quad \varphi^{-1}(J) \subset I \quad} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{maximal} \\ \text{ideals of } S \end{array} \right\}$$

Then, for all maximal ideals $J \triangleleft S$, there is a maximal ideal $(\varphi^{-1}(J)) \triangleleft R$ st. $\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}(J) = J$.

$$\varphi(\text{Jac}(R)) = \varphi\left(\bigcap_{I \in \text{MaxSpec}(R)} I\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{I \in \text{MaxSpec}(R)} \varphi(I)$$

$$\subseteq \bigcap_{J \in \text{MaxSpec}(S)} \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(J)) = \text{Jac}(S). //$$

Thm 1.10

- (b) Let $R = k[x]$ for some field k and note
 $(x-a)$ is maximal for all $a \in k$ $\boxed{R/(x-a) \cong k \text{ a field}}$
- $\therefore (0) \subseteq \text{Jac}(R) \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in k} (x-a) = (0)$
- ↑
infinite intersection of coprime ideals
is infinite product = 0.

Let $S = k[x]/(x^2)$ and ϕ the quotient map.
From Ex 1.5, S is local with maximal ideal (x) .

$$\therefore \text{Jac}(S) = (x)$$

$$S_0 \quad \cancel{\text{Jac}} \quad \phi(\text{Jac}(R)) = \phi((0)) = (0) \subsetneq (x) = \text{Jac}(S).$$

(Submodule)

- (iii) Let $0 \neq m \in M$. $0 \notin \langle m \rangle \subseteq M$. Since M is simple,
we must have $\langle m \rangle = M$.

Thus the homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow M$ is a surjection

$$r \mapsto rm \quad r \in R$$

$$\text{and } \ker \phi = \{r \mid rx = 0 \quad \forall x \in M\} = \text{Ann}(M) = I$$

\therefore By Isomorphism theorem, $M \cong R/I$ ^(2.10)

~~Now suppose $T \subseteq J$ is an intersection of ideals of R~~

~~By the correspondence theorem~~

We will show that there is a correspondence ^(as R -modules)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Submodules of } R \\ \text{containing } I \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Submodules of } \\ R/I \end{array} \right\}$$

Since R -submodules of R are precisely ideals of R ,
if we show that R -submodules ~~of R/I~~
of R/I are ideals of R/I , then we
are done by the correspondence theorem for rings
(Cor 1.18)

But in fact R and R/I actions on R/I are
equal so R -modules are the same as R/I -modules \textcircled{R}
(when looking at submodules of R/I) $\frac{\text{ideals of } R/I}{\text{ideals of } R/I}$

Thus, an ideal $J \supsetneq I$ corresponds to a submodule
 $N \supsetneq \{0\}$. Since M is simple, $N=M$ and $J=R$.

i.e. I is maximal.

Commutative Algebra Q5

Ibraheem Sajid

$$(i) (a) \left(\sqrt{Am(M/IM)} \subseteq \sqrt{Am(I)+I} \right)$$

Suppose $a \in \sqrt{Am(M/IM)}$, ie. $\exists n > 0$ st. $a^n m \in IM \forall m \in M$.
 By Prop 2.21, the map $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$
 $m \mapsto a^n m$

satisfies some equation $\varphi^N + x_1\varphi^{N-1} + \dots + x_{N-1}\varphi + x_N = 0$
 where all $x_i \in I$.

So we have ~~$a^n m \in Am(M/IM)$~~ $(a^{Nn} + x_1 a^{(N-1)n} + \dots + x_N) m = 0$
 $\forall m \in M$. i.e. $a^{Nn} + x_1 a^{(N-1)n} + \dots + x_N \in Am(I)$
 Also $x_1 a^{(N-1)n} + \dots + x_n \in I$, so $a^{Nn} \in \sqrt{Am(I)+I}$
 $\therefore a \in \sqrt{Am(I)+I} //$

$$\left(\sqrt{Am(M)+I} \subseteq \sqrt{Am(M/IM)} \right)$$

Suppose $a \in \sqrt{Am(M)+I}$. Then $\exists n > 0$ st. $a^n = b+c$
 where $b \in Am(M)$, $c \in I$.

~~Assume~~ $\forall m+IM \in M/IM, a^n m + IM =$

$\therefore a \in \sqrt{Am(M/IM)}$

$b m + c m + IM$

$= cm + IM = 0 + IM$

(since $cm \in IM$).

(b) Since $M+N$ is finitely generated, so is the
 quotient $\frac{M+N}{N}$ (just take the image of the generators).

$(m \in M, n \in N) \xrightarrow{\quad}$ let $\varphi: M+N \rightarrow \frac{M}{M+N}$ (note
 $m+n \mapsto m+M+N$) ($M+N$ is a submodule)

φ is surjective since $\forall m+M+N \in M/M+N$,
 $m \in M \subset M+N$ ~~because~~; $\varphi(m) = m+M+N$

Suppose $m+n \xrightarrow{\varphi} 0$. Then $m+n \in M+N$
~~because~~.

$$\text{So } \ker \varphi = N \cap M \cap N = N.$$

Note φ is well-defined since if $m+n = m'+n'$

$$\text{then } m-m' = n'-n$$

$(m, n \in M
n, n' \in N)$

$$\text{i.e. } m-m' \in M \cap N$$

$$\text{So } \varphi(m+n - (m'+n')) = m-m' + M \cap N = 0$$

$$\therefore \varphi(M \cap N) = \varphi(M \cap N').$$

~~~~~

So  $\frac{M}{M \cap N}$  is finitely generated, say by

$$x_1 + M \cap N, \dots, x_n + M \cap N \quad \cancel{x_1, \dots, x_n}.$$

Also  $M \cap N$  is f.g., say by  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ .

$$\text{Let } m \in M. \text{ Then } m + M \cap N = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i + M \cap N$$

$$\text{so } (m - \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i) + M \cap N = 0$$

$$\therefore m - \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \in M \cap N$$

$$\text{i.e. } m - \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i y_i \quad \text{l. } m = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^m s_i y_i$$

$$\text{i.e. } \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{y_i\}_{i=1}^m \text{ generate } M.$$

By symmetry,  $N$  is also f.g.

(iii) Assuming  $\pi(M)$  means  $M/\text{Rad}(R)M$ .

Define  $\bar{\pi}: M \rightarrow M/\text{Rad}(R)M$ , the quotient map.

Let  $N$  be the submodule of  $M$  generated by  $X$ .

We have  $\bar{\pi}(N) = M/\text{Rad}(R)M$ , so  $\bar{\pi}^{-1} \circ \bar{\pi}(N) = M$

But also  $\bar{\pi}^{-1} \circ \bar{\pi}(N) = N + \text{Rad}(R)M$ , so  $M = N + \text{Rad}(R)M$ .

By Nakayama's Lemma corollary (Cor 2.26),  $M = N$ .

(by assumption)

If  $m + \text{Rad}(R)M = \sum \bar{\pi}(x_i) \cdot r_i$  for  $x_i \in X$ ,

then  $\bar{\pi}(m + \sum r_i x_i) = m + \text{Rad}(R)M$

so  $M/\text{Rad}(R)M \subseteq \bar{\pi}(N) \subseteq M/\text{Rad}(R)M$

(iii) LEMMA  
Suppose

$$Q \xrightarrow{f} M$$

$$\begin{matrix} & \downarrow \alpha \\ N & \xrightarrow{d} L \end{matrix}$$

are maps of finitely generated

$R$ -modules for  $R$  Noetherian  
with  $Q$  projective and  
 $\text{im}(\alpha \circ f) \subseteq \text{im}(d)$

then there is a free  $R$ -module  $P$  and maps  $g, \beta$   
Completing this diagram to

$$\begin{matrix} P & \xrightarrow{g} & Q & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha \\ & & N & \xrightarrow{d} & L \end{matrix}$$

such that the  
square commutes and  
the sequence is exact at  $Q$ .  
ie.  $\text{im}(g) = \ker(f)$

Indeed, note  $f$  is surjective onto its image, and  
 $\alpha \circ f: Q \rightarrow \text{im}(d)$  is well-defined.

By the projective property,  $\beta: Q \rightarrow N$  exists

making the square commute

Now,  $\ker(f)$  is a submodule of  $Q$ , which is a finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring, so is Noetherian (Thm 2.44). Thus,  $\ker(f)$  is finitely generated (Thm 2.46), say by  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ .

Define  $P = R^m$  and  $g: P \rightarrow Q$   
 $g: i \mapsto x_i$ , where ~~is the~~  
 $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  is a free set of generators for  $P$ .  
(so  $g$  is well-defined).

By construction,  $\text{im}(g) = \ker(f)$ , so we are done. // LEMMA

Now we can proceed by induction. ~~on n~~. The base case has

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_0 & \rightarrow & M \\ \downarrow & & \text{with } Q_0 \text{ projective by} \\ N_0 & \rightarrow & M \quad \text{assumption and because} \\ \cancel{\text{if } f \text{ is surjective,}} & & \cancel{\text{if } f \text{ is surjective,}} \\ N_0 \rightarrow M \text{ is surjective} & \rightarrow & \text{the composition } Q_0 \rightarrow M \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & M \\ \text{has image lying in the image} \\ \text{of } N_0 \rightarrow M, & & \end{array}$$

so we obtain  $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \downarrow \\ & & N_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q_1 & \rightarrow & Q_0 \end{array}$$

by following ~~the~~ lemma.

and ~~isn't it~~ is n<sup>o</sup> (to be precise, we'd need to define ~~Q<sub>i-1</sub>~~)  
 $\rightarrow Q_{i-1} = N_{i-1} = M$ )

Now, the inductive step supposes we have

$$Q_i \rightarrow Q_{i-1} \rightarrow Q_{i-2} \rightarrow \dots$$

↓                    ↓

$$N_{i-1} \rightarrow N_{i-2} \rightarrow \dots$$

as given by ~~the lemma~~ our lemma.

Note since  $Q_i$  is free, it is projective (lem 2.24)

Fill in  $N_i \rightarrow N_{i-1}$  from the assumption in question.

$$\text{im}(N_i \rightarrow N_{i-1}) = \ker(N_{i-1} \rightarrow N_{i-2}) \text{ by exactness.}$$

$$\text{and } \text{im}(Q_{i-1} \rightarrow Q_{i-2}) = \ker(Q_{i-1} \rightarrow Q_{i-2}), \text{ so}$$

$Q_i \rightarrow Q_{i-1} \rightarrow Q_{i-2}$  is the zero map

and hence so is  $Q_i \rightarrow Q_{i-1}$

$$N_{i-1} \rightarrow N_{i-2}$$

by commutativity. Thus  $Q_i \rightarrow Q_{i-1}$

$$\downarrow \\ N_{i-1}$$

$$\text{has image in } \ker(N_{i-1} \rightarrow N_{i-2}) = \text{im}(N_i \rightarrow N_{i-1}),$$

Now we meet the conditions of our lemma and we can apply it again.

The induction ends with

$$Q_{i+1} \rightarrow Q_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ N_{i+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 //$$