

Problem Sheet 7

Solutions

①

Question 1

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra

Denote $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}]$ and so on

Recall that \mathfrak{g} is solvable if for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \{0\}$.

Similarly, denote $\mathfrak{g}_1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, $\mathfrak{g}_2 = [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}]$, $\mathfrak{g}_3 = [\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}]$ and so on.

\mathfrak{g} is nilpotent if for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_k = \{0\}$.

(a) \mathfrak{g} is defined by $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$, $[e_2, e_3] = e_1$, $[e_3, e_1] = e_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} &= \mathfrak{g}_1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \text{Span}([e_1, e_2], [e_2, e_3], [e_3, e_1]) = \\ &= \text{Span}(e_3, e_1, e_2) = \mathfrak{g} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{g}_k = \mathfrak{g}^{(k)} = \mathfrak{g}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$
 \mathfrak{g} is neither solvable, nor nilpotent

(b) \mathfrak{g} is defined by $[e_1, e_2] = 2e_2$, $[e_1, e_3] = -2e_3$, $[e_2, e_3] = e_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} &= \mathfrak{g}_1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \text{Span}([e_1, e_2], [e_2, e_3], [e_3, e_1]) = \\ &= \text{Span}(2e_2, e_1, 2e_3) = \mathfrak{g}, \end{aligned}$$

implying that \mathfrak{g} is neither solvable nor nilpotent (as in (a)).

(c) \mathfrak{g} is defined by $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{g}_1 = \text{Span}([e_1, e_2], [e_2, e_3], [e_3, e_1]) = \\ = \text{Span}(e_3)$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_2 = [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}] = \text{Span}([e_3, \xi], \xi \in \mathfrak{g}) = \\ = \text{Span}(0) = \{0\}$$

Hence \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent and therefore solvable
(Recall that every nilpotent Lie algebra is solvable but not vice versa)

(d) \mathfrak{g} is defined by $[e_1, e_3] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = -e_3$

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{g}_1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \text{Span}([e_1, e_2], [e_2, e_3], [e_3, e_1]) = \\ = \text{Span}(0, -e_3, -e_3) = \text{Span}(e_3)$$

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}] = \text{Span}([e_3, e_3]) = \{0\} \Rightarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} \text{ is solvable}$$

However

$$\mathfrak{g}_2 = [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}] = \text{Span}([e_3, e_1], [e_3, e_2], [e_3, e_3]) = \\ = \text{Span}(-e_3, e_3, 0) = \text{Span}(e_3) \underset{\mathfrak{g}_1}{=}$$

That is, $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{g}_1$ and therefore

$\mathfrak{g}_k = \mathfrak{g}_1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, implying that \mathfrak{g} is not nilpotent.

Question 2

(3)

$$e(n) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & \bar{x} \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), A \in \mathfrak{so}(n), \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

(a) Take two matrices from $e(n)$ and compute the matrix commutator (Lie bracket)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A_1 A_2 & A_1 x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} A_2 A_1 & A_2 x_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [A_1, A_2] & A_1 x_2 - A_2 x_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in e(n) \end{aligned}$$

since $[A_1, A_2] \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$
(indeed $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is a Lie algebra
closed under matrix commutator)

$$\dim e(n) = \dim \mathfrak{so}(n) + \dim \mathbb{R}^n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

$$(b) \quad \mathfrak{h}_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset e(n), \quad \mathfrak{h}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{x} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset e(n)$$

\mathfrak{h}_1 is a subalgebra as it is a vector subspace closed under matrix commutator (indeed, $\left[\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} [A_1, A_2] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}_1$)

\mathfrak{h}_2 is a subalgebra of $e(n)$ as it is a vector subspace closed under matrix commutator, moreover, this subalgebra is commutative, i.e. we have $\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{x}_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{x}_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

(4)

(c) To verify if \mathfrak{h}_1 is an ideal of $e(n)$ we compute

$$[X, Y] \text{ for } X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}_1 \text{ and } Y = \begin{pmatrix} B & \bar{y} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in e(n)$$

$$\text{We have } [X, Y] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} B & \bar{y} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} [A, B] & A\bar{y} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin \mathfrak{h}_1$$

since $A\bar{y} \neq 0$

Thus, \mathfrak{h}_1 is not an ideal.

Similarly, to verify if \mathfrak{h}_2 is an ideal of $e(n)$ we compute $[X, Y]$ for $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{x} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}_2$ and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} B & \bar{y} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in e(n)$

$$\text{We have } [X, Y] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{x} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} B & \bar{y} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B\bar{x} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}_1$$

Thus, \mathfrak{h}_2 is an ideal.

(d) Consider the cases $n=1, n=2, n=3, 4, \dots$

For $n=1$ $e(1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ is commutative \Rightarrow nilpotent and solvable

$$\text{For } n=2 \quad e(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & x_1 \\ -a & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, a, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Take the following basis of $e(2)$

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{We have } [E_1, E_2] = -E_3, [E_1, E_3] = E_2, [E_2, E_3] = 0$$

$$\text{Hence (see Q1)} \quad [e(2), e(2)] = \text{Span}(E_2, E_3)$$

$$e(2)^{(1)} = e(2)_1 = \text{Span}(E_2, E_3)$$

(5)

Next,

$$e(2)^{(2)} = [e(2)^{(1)}, e(2)^{(1)}] = [\text{Span}(E_2, E_3), \text{Span}(E_2, E_3)] \\ = \text{Span}([E_2, E_3]) = \{0\} \Rightarrow e(2) \text{ is solvable}$$

However

$$e(2)_2 = [e(2)_2, e(2)] = [\text{Span}(E_2, E_3), e(2)] = \\ = \text{Span}([E_2, \xi], [E_3, \xi]) = \text{Span}(E_2, E_3) = e(2)_1 \\ \xi \in e(2)$$

implying that $e(2)_k = e(2)_1 = \text{Span}(E_2, E_3)$
for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow e(2)$ is not nilpotent.

Finally $e(n)$ starting from $n=3$ is neither solvable nor nilpotent.

Indeed, straightforward computation gives:

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} A & \bar{x} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} B & \bar{y} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} [A, B] & A\bar{y} - B\bar{x} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $[A, B]$ can be an arbitrary skew-symm. matrix from $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and $A\bar{y} - B\bar{x}$ can be arbitrary vector from \mathbb{R}^n . In other words,

$$[e(n), e(n)] = e(n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \parallel \\ & e(n)^{(1)} \\ & \parallel \\ & e(n)_1 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$e(n)^{(k)} = e(n)_k = e(n) \quad \neq \{0\} \\ \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Question 3.

6

Solution is similar to Q2 (b,c)

All of these subspaces are subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} .

$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$, $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ are ideal

$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$, $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}$, $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ are not ideals.