

## Model answers to Week 05 review worksheet — exercises for §5

### E5.1 (tensor product of modules; the dual module — carried over from the week 04 worksheet)

Our goal is to show that the class of modules over a Hopf algebra  $H$  is closed under tensor products and duals.

- (a) Given an algebra  $A$  and  $A$ -modules  $V$  and  $W$ , define an  $A \otimes A$ -module structure on  $V \otimes W$ .
- (b) Let  $H$  be a bialgebra. Use the coproduct  $\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  and (a) to make  $V \otimes W$  an  $H$ -module whenever  $V$  and  $W$  are.
- (c) If  $V$  is an  $A$ -module, show that  $\triangleleft: V^* \otimes A \rightarrow V^*$  where, for  $\phi \in V^*$ ,  $\phi \triangleleft a$  is the linear functional on  $V$  defined by  $\langle \phi \triangleleft a, v \rangle = \langle \phi, a \triangleright v \rangle$ , is a *right action* of  $A$  on  $V^*$ . (Write down the definition of a right action.)
- (d) If  $\triangleleft$  is a right action of a Hopf algebra  $H$ , show that  $\triangleright$  defined by the rule “ $h \triangleright = \triangleleft Sh$ ” where  $S: H \rightarrow H$  is the antipode, is a (left) action. Conclude from (c) that if  $V$  is an  $H$ -module then so is  $V^*$ .

**Answer to E5.1.** (a) The algebra  $A \otimes A$  acts on the space  $V \otimes W$  via

$$a, b \in A, v \in V, w \in W \quad \mapsto \quad (a \otimes b) \triangleright (v \otimes w) = (a \triangleright v) \otimes (b \triangleright w).$$

(As usual, we write an action of a pure tensor on a pure tensor, implying that it is extended bilinearly.) It is easy to check that this is an action, using the definition of multiplication and unit on  $A \otimes A$ .

(b) To act by  $h \in H$  on  $V \otimes W$ , we first map  $h$  to  $H \otimes H$  using  $\Delta$ , then act by  $\Delta h$  on  $V \otimes W$ . This results in the following  $H$ -action on  $V \otimes W$ :

$$h \triangleright (v \otimes w) = (h_{(1)} \triangleright v) \otimes (h_{(2)} \triangleright w).$$

One checks that this is an action using the fact that  $\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  is a homomorphism of algebras.

(c) A right action of an algebra  $A$  on a space  $V$  is a linear map  $\triangleleft: V \otimes A \rightarrow V$ ,  $v \otimes a \mapsto v \triangleleft a$ , which obeys

$$v \triangleleft (ab) = (v \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b, \quad v \triangleleft 1_A = v, \quad \forall v \in V, a, b \in A.$$

The definition of  $\triangleleft: V \otimes A \rightarrow V$  can be rewritten as follows. If  $a \in A$ , write  $a \triangleright$  for the linear map  $v \mapsto a \triangleright v$  from  $V$  to  $V$ . The axioms of left action say  $(ab) \triangleright = (a \triangleright) \circ (b \triangleright)$  and  $1_A \triangleright = \text{id}_V$ .

Likewise, write  $\triangleleft a$  for the linear map  $\phi \mapsto \phi \triangleleft a$  from  $V^*$  to  $V^*$ . Then the definition of  $\triangleleft$  means

$$\triangleleft a = (a \triangleright)^*,$$

that is,  $\triangleleft a$  is the adjoint (contragredient) of the map  $a \triangleright$ , see Definition 1.16.

We now use E1.4 which says that  $(ML)^* = L^*M^*$  for linear maps  $L$  and  $M$ . Therefore,

$$\triangleleft(ab) = ((ab) \triangleright)^* = ((a \triangleright) \circ (b \triangleright))^* = (b \triangleright)^* \circ (a \triangleright)^*.$$

But this is exactly the statement  $\phi \triangleleft (ab) = (\phi \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b$  that we needed to verify: the effect of  $ab$  acting on  $\phi$  is the same as of  $a$  acting on  $\phi$  first, followed by  $b$ .

Finally,  $\triangleleft 1_A = \text{id}_{V^*}$  follows from  $(\text{id}_V)^* = \text{id}_{V^*}$ .

(d) That  $h \triangleright$  defined as  $\triangleleft Sh$  is a left action follows easily from the fact that  $S: H \rightarrow H$  is an antihomomorphism.

### E5.2 (primitive elements in $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ ) The free algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ is a Hopf algebra where all $x \in X$ are primitive.

- (a) Let  $x \in X$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Show that  $x^n$  is not primitive in  $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ . ( $x^n$  is the monomial  $xx \dots x$  of length  $n$ .)
- (b) Suppose  $|X| > 1$ . Show that  $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$  has primitive elements of every positive degree. Here we refer to a linear combination of monomials of length  $d$  as a (homogeneous) element of degree  $d$ .

**Answer to E5.2.** (a) It is useful to recall

**The Binomial Theorem.** Suppose that  $a, b$  are elements of an associative algebra  $A$ . If  $ab = ba$  then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k.$$

Here  $\binom{n}{k}$  is the non-negative integer defined by  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$  and equal to the number of  $k$ -element subsets of an  $n$ -element set. (If  $A$  is an algebra over a field  $\mathbb{k}$ , an integer  $N$  is interpreted as  $1_{\mathbb{k}} + 1_{\mathbb{k}} + \cdots + 1_{\mathbb{k}}$  with  $N$  terms.)

The Binomial Theorem is proved by a standard induction argument. We note that it is really an “if and only if” statement: if the equation holds for all  $n$  then  $ab$  must be equal to  $ba$ . In fact, already the equation for  $n = 2$  implies  $ab = ba$  as  $(a + b)^2$  is always equal to  $a^2 + ab + ba + b^2$  rather than  $a^2 + 2ab + b^2$ .

If  $x$  is a primitive element of some bialgebra  $H$  then  $\Delta x = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ . Putting  $a = x \otimes 1$ ,  $b = 1 \otimes x$ , we note that  $ab = ba$  in  $H \otimes H$ : both equal  $x \otimes x$ . Hence we are in the situation of the Binomial Theorem, and

$$\Delta(x^n) = (\Delta x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (x \otimes 1)^{n-k} (1 \otimes x)^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (x^{n-k} \otimes 1)(1 \otimes x^k) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} \otimes x^k.$$

If  $H = \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$  and  $x \in X$ , the coefficient  $\binom{n}{1}$  of  $x^{n-1} \otimes x$  is not zero. Since the monomials  $x^r \otimes x^s$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle^{\otimes 2}$  as they form part of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle^{\otimes 2}$ , we conclude that  $\Delta(x^n) \neq x^n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^n$  and so  $x^n$  is not primitive.

**Remark.** If  $\mathbb{C}$  is replaced by a field  $\mathbb{k}$  of positive characteristic, the above argument fails as binomial coefficients may become zero in  $\mathbb{k}$ . For example, any prime  $p$  divides  $\binom{p}{k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$ , so if  $p = \text{char } \mathbb{k}$  then  $\Delta(x^p) = x^p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^p$  as all intermediate coefficients vanish, so  $x^p$  is primitive.

(b) Suppose  $x, y$  are two distinct elements of  $X$ . Define  $p_1 = y$ . Then  $p_1$  is primitive of degree 1 in  $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $p_{n+1} = xp_n - p_n x$ . Then  $p_{n+1} \in P(\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle)$  by part (a). So if we show that  $p_{n+1} \neq 0$ , then we found a primitive of degree  $n+1$ .

To show that  $p_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ , we show that the coefficient of the monomial  $x^{n-1}y$  in  $p_n$  is 1. Recall that noncommutative monomials in  $x, y$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ , by definition of  $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ .

We do this by induction in  $n$ . The base case is  $n = 1$ ,  $p_1 = y = x^0 y$  for which the claim is true.

For the inductive step  $n \rightarrow n+1$ , assume that  $x^{n-1}y$  occurs in  $p_n$  with coefficient 1. Note that a monomial in  $p_{n+1}$  can only arise from either pre-multiplication or post-multiplication by  $x$  of a monomial in  $p_n$ . The only way the monomial  $x^n y$ , which does not end in  $x$ , arises in  $p_{n+1} = xp_n - p_n x$  is as  $x \cdot x^{n-1}y$ . It follows that  $x^n y$  occurs in  $p_{n+1}$  with coefficient 1, as claimed.

**E5.3 (The universal mapping property of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ )** Review the definition of **Lie bracket**,  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ , **Lie algebra**,  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the **universal enveloping algebra**  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $X$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $f: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$  be a **Lie map** from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to some associative algebra  $A$ , so  $f$  is linear and

$$f([x, y]) = f(x)f(y) - f(y)f(x) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

That is,  $f$  takes the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the commutator bracket on  $A$ .

Let  $F: \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow A$  be the unique algebra homomorphism such that  $F|_X = f$ , given by the universal mapping property of the free algebra, Proposition 2.12. Prove:  $F$  factors through  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , i.e., is the composite map

$$F: \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} A$$

for some (unique) algebra homomorphism  $\bar{F}$ .

**Answer to E5.3.** Briefly, by properties of the quotient space and quotient algebra, the claim is equivalent to saying that  $I(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \ker F$ . To check this, we recall that the kernel of any algebra homomorphism is a two-sided ideal. So if  $xy - yx - [x, y] \in \ker F$  for all  $x, y \in X$  (the chosen basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ), then the ideal  $I(\mathfrak{g})$ , which is generated by the elements  $xy - yx - [x, y]$  of  $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ , lies in  $\ker F$ .

We are thus left to verify that  $F(xy - yx - [x, y]) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $F$  is an algebra homomorphism, we have  $F(xy - yx - [x, y]) = F(x)F(y) - F(y)F(x) - F([x, y])$ . Recall  $F|_X = f$ , and  $F$  and  $f$  are both linear, so  $F$  agrees with  $f$  on  $\text{span } X = \mathfrak{g}$ . Hence

$$F(x)F(y) - F(y)F(x) - F([x, y]) = f(x)f(y) - f(y)f(x) - f([x, y]) = 0$$

by the assumption that  $f$  is a Lie map, finishing the proof.

**E5.4 (A Milnor-Moore theorem)** Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . View the subspace  $P(H)$  of  $H$  as a Lie algebra with the commutator bracket  $[x, y]_{\text{comm}} = xy - yx$ , then the embedding  $P(H) \hookrightarrow H$  is a Lie map which by the Universal Mapping Property, E5.3, extends to an algebra homomorphism

$$U(P(H)) \rightarrow H.$$

Prove that this homomorphism is **injective**. (Hint: use the Heyneman-Radford theorem for the polynomial coalgebra.) Conclude that if  $P(H) \neq 0$  then  $H$  must be infinite-dimensional.

**Answer to E5.4.** Denote  $\mathfrak{g} = P(H)$  and choose some ordered basis  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In the lecture, we proved the PBW theorem which says that the through map

$$\mathbb{C}[X] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle \twoheadrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$$

is an isomorphism of coalgebras. We now observe that the map

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\phi} H,$$

which we want to show to be injective, is a Hopf algebra morphism, and in particular a coalgebra morphism. Indeed, “coalgebra morphism” means that the equations

$$\Delta \circ \phi = (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta, \quad \epsilon \circ \phi = \epsilon$$

are satisfied. In our case, both sides of each equations are algebra homomorphisms. Since they agree on primitive generators of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ), they agree everywhere on  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

The isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[X] \cong U(\mathfrak{g})$  of coalgebras identifies the space  $\mathbb{C}X$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In the lecture we proved the Heyneman-Radford theorem for the polynomial coalgebra, which says that a coalgebra morphism is injective on  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  if it is injective on  $\mathbb{C}X$ . The morphism  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H$  is injective on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by definition, hence is injective on  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  by Heyneman-Radford.

It remains to note that  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is infinite-dimensional when  $X$  is not empty: indeed, if  $x \in X$ , the standard monomials  $1, x, x^2, \dots$  are linearly independent. Hence  $\dim U(P(H)) = \infty$  if  $P(H) \neq \{0\}$ . (This result only holds in characteristic zero.)

**E5.5 (expand in standard monomials, calculate the antipode in  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ )** Recall the presentation

$$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \langle X, H, Y \mid HX - XH = 2X, HY - YH = -2Y, XY - YX = H \rangle.$$

The Hopf algebra structure of  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is fully determined by saying that the generators  $X, H, Y$  are primitive.

We order the generators so that  $X \prec H \prec Y$ , so that the standard monomials are  $X^m H^n Y^p$  with  $m, n, p \geq 0$ .

(a) Express  $YHX$  as a linear combination of standard monomials.

(b) Think of a way to justify the claim that an arbitrary monomial in  $X, H, Y$  can be expressed, in  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , as a linear combination of standard monomials.

(c) What is the antipode of  $XY$ ?

**Answer to E5.5.** (a) To rewrite  $YHX$  as a linear combination of standard monomials, first use  $YH = HY + 2Y$  so that  $YHX = HYX + 2YX = (H + 2)YX$ .

Substitute  $YX = XY - H$  to obtain  $(H + 2)(XY - H) = HXY + 2XY - H^2 - 2H$ . The only non-standard monomial here is  $HXY$ , which needs to be written as  $(XH + 2X)Y = XHY + 2XY$ . The final answer is therefore  $XHY + 4XY - H^2 - 2H$ .

(b) We refer to the ordered set of generators of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  as an alphabet (thus, for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  the alphabet will be  $X, H, Y$ ) and to an element of the alphabet as a symbol.

First, we prove a **Lemma**: if  $x$  is a symbol and  $M$  is a monomial of degree  $d$  in the given alphabet, then  $xM - Mx$  is a linear combination of monomials of degree  $d$  in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

To prove this, observe that if  $M = a_1 a_2 \dots a_d$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  are symbols, then in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} xM - Mx &= (xa_1 - a_1x)a_2 \dots a_d + a_1(xa_2 - a_2x)a_3 \dots a_d + \dots + a_1 \dots a_{d-1}(xa_d - a_dx) \\ &= [x, a_1]a_2 \dots a_d + a_1[x, a_2]a_3 \dots a_d + \dots + a_1 \dots a_{d-1}[x, a_d] \end{aligned}$$

(intermediate terms in the first line collapse). As  $[x, a_i]$  is a linear combination of symbols, the row 2 is a linear combination of monomials of degree  $d$  (despite  $xM$  and  $Mx$  being monomials of degree  $d+1$ ). Lemma is proved.

We can now use **induction** in  $d$  to prove that any monomial of degree  $d$  is expressible in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  as a linear combination of standard monomials of degree  $\leq d$ .

This is true in the base cases  $d = 0$  and  $d = 1$ , as any monomial of degree  $\leq 1$  is standard.

Suppose the claim holds for  $d$ , and consider a monomial of degree  $d+1$ . It can be written as  $xM$  where  $x$  is a symbol and  $M$  is a monomial of degree  $d$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $M$  is equal, in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , to a linear combination of standard monomials; replacing  $M$  by such a linear combination, we see that without the loss of generality we may assume that  $M$  is standard.

Then there is a place in  $M$  where the symbol  $x$  can be inserted to obtain a standard monomial. That is,  $M = NP$  where  $N, P$  are standard monomials such that  $NxP$  is standard. (Simply take  $N$  to be the submonomial of  $M$  formed by all symbols  $< x$ . We do not exclude the case where either  $N$  or  $P$  is of length zero.) We have

$$xM = xNP = (xN - Nx)P + NxP.$$

By Lemma,  $(xN - Nx)P$  is a linear combination of monomials of degree  $d$ , and so is expressible as a linear combination of standard monomials by the induction hypothesis. Moreover,  $NxP$  is a standard monomial by construction. We have expressed  $xM$  as a linear combination of standard monomials.

By induction, the claim is true for all  $d$ .

(c) Since the antipode is antimultiplicative, Proposition 4.11, we have  $S(XY) = S(Y)S(X)$ . Since  $S(x) = -x$  for all primitive  $x$ , E4.3, and  $X, Y$  are primitive by definition of  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , we have  $S(XY) = (-Y)(-X) = YX$ .

It is better to express the answer as a linear combination of standard monomials. Since  $XY - YX = H$ , we have  $YX = XY - H$ .

## Part B. Extra exercises

**E5.6 (tensor product exercise)** The following fact is used in the proof of the PBW theorem: if  $X, Y$  are vector spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an injective linear map, then  $f \otimes f: X \otimes X \rightarrow Y \otimes Y$  is injective. Prove it.

**Answer to E5.6.** Denote  $Y' = f(X)$ , so that  $Y'$  is a subspace of  $Y$ . Then  $f: X \rightarrow Y'$  is a bijective linear map, so there exists a linear map  $g: Y' \rightarrow X$  such that  $gf = \text{id}_X$  and  $fg = \text{id}_{Y'}$ . Consider the linear map  $g \otimes g: Y' \otimes Y' \rightarrow X \otimes X$ . We have

$$(g \otimes g)(f \otimes f) = gf \otimes gf = \text{id}_X \otimes \text{id}_X = \text{id}_{X \otimes X}.$$

In the same way,  $(f \otimes f)(g \otimes g) = \text{id}_{Y' \otimes Y'}$ . This shows that  $f \otimes f: X \otimes X \rightarrow Y' \otimes Y'$  is invertible. Since  $Y' \otimes Y'$  is a subspace of  $Y \otimes Y$ ,  $f \otimes f: X \otimes X \rightarrow Y \otimes Y$  is injective, as claimed.