

# Dynamic Array

Arnab Ganguly, Assistant Professor  
Department of Computer Science, University of Wisconsin – Whitewater  
Data Structures (CS 223)

## 1 Dynamic Array

Given an array  $A$ , we want to support the following operations:

- $access(i)$  : retrieve  $A[i]$ ,
- $update(i, val)$  : set  $A[i] = val$ ,
- $insertAtEnd(val)$  : add  $val$  at the end of the array,
- $deleteLast()$  : remove the last element of  $A$

### 1.1 The Algorithm

Implementing,  $access$  and  $update$  operations are straightforward. The other two operations are the trickier ones. The technique for them can be summarized as follows:

- We maintain three variables –
  - an array  $A$  to store the values,
  - $length$ , which is the current length of  $A$ , and
  - $numElements$ , which is the current number of values in the dynamic array.
- To implement  $insertAtEnd$ , the idea is to re-size  $A$  to double its length, whenever  $length$  equals  $numElements$ .
- To implement  $delete$ , the idea is to re-size  $A$  to half its length, whenever  $length$  equals  $4 * numElements$ .

See the detailed description of the methods in Algorithm 1.

---

**Algorithm 1** Description of Dynamic Array

---

```
1: int A[ ];
2: int length; // the length of A, which is initialized to a power of 2 such as 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, ...,
3: int numElements; // initialized to 0;
4:
5: function ACCESS(int i)
6:   if ( $i < \text{numElements}$ ) then
7:     return A[i]
8:   else
9:     print "Cannot return an element outside array range"
10:
11: function UPDATE(int i, int val)
12:   if ( $i < \text{numElements}$ ) then
13:     A[i] = val
14:   else
15:     print "Cannot update outside array range"
16:
17: function INSERTATEND(int val)
18:   if ( $\text{numElements} == \text{length}$ ) then
19:     update length to  $2 * \text{length}$ 
20:     create an array B of size length
21:     use a loop to copy first numElements numbers from A to B
22:     set A to B
23:   A[numElements + +] = val
24:
25: function DELETERLAST()
26:   if ( $\text{numElements} == 0$ ) then
27:     print "Cannot delete from an empty array"
28:   else if ( $\text{numElements} == 1$ ) then
29:     set numElements to 0
30:     set length to 1
31:     resize array A to 1
32:   else
33:     decrement numElements
34:     if ( $4 * \text{numElements} == \text{length}$ ) then
35:       update length to  $2 * \text{numElements}$ 
36:       create an array B of size length
37:       use a loop to copy first numElements numbers from A to B
38:       set A to B
```

---

## 2 What is Amortized Analysis?

In the worst-case analysis of a data structure, we seek to answer questions of the following sort: *what is worst-case time (in the Big-O sense) to support an operation on the data structure?* For example, binary-search on an  $n$ -length array  $A[ ]$  takes  $O(\log n)$  time. Accessing  $A[i]$  takes  $O(1)$  time. Reporting all elements in  $A[ ]$  takes  $O(n)$  time.

In the best-case analysis, we want answer: *what is best-case time (in the Big-O sense) to support*

an operation on the data structure? For example, binary-search on an  $n$ -length array  $A[\ ]$  takes  $O(1)$  time. Accessing  $A[i]$  takes  $O(1)$  time. Reporting all elements in  $A[\ ]$  takes  $O(n)$  time.

However, worst-case or best-case complexity is often not a good indication of the performance, because they are either too pessimistic or too optimistic. In most cases, we want to find: **how a data structure behaves on average for a bulk of operations?**<sup>1</sup>

Typically, what we do is: take a large enough sequence of operations, and then average the time for the sequence of operations. So, in some sense amortized analysis means: *instead of looking at the complexity of each operation in a data structure, look at the total complexity of a suitably large number of operations in succession.*

**Side remark:** Here, we will describe one of the techniques for amortized analysis, known as the *Aggregate Method*. The idea is to sum up the cost for a suitably large number of operations and take the average. Two other techniques are *Accounting Method* and *Potential Method*.

## 2.1 Analysis of Dynamic Array

Let  $N = numElements$  be the number of elements currently in the array  $A$ . Let  $L = length$  be the total length allocated for  $A$ . Note that the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{L}{2} \leq N \leq L$$

- Operations *access* and *update* are easy to analyze. We only carry out a constant number of steps in each operation. Hence, each operation takes  $O(1)$  time in the worst case. Obviously, best-case and amortized-case are both  $O(1)$  per operation.
- Let us assume that we are only carrying out *insertAtEnd* operation on the data structure. Each insert takes  $O(1)$  time in the best-case (when no resizing is required). In the worst-case, however, it takes  $O(N)$  time, because we have to copy all the elements of  $A$  into  $B$ , reallocate space for  $A$ , and then copy everything back.

Crucially, note that we will have to copy  $A$  to  $B$ , and back, only when we have consumed  $A$  completely, i.e.,  $N = L$ .

Suppose, at one instant in time, we carry out this array-to-array copying process, thereby spending at most  $c_1 * N$  time for some constant integer  $c_1 > 1$ . For the next  $N$  insert operations, we will spend at most  $c_2 * N$  time for some constant integer  $c_2 > 1$ , because we have  $N$  free slots where we can insert before re-sizing again. Therefore, over the  $N$  insertions, our total cost is  $(c_1 N + c_2 N)$ , because every other operation costs  $O(1)$  time. The amortized cost per operation is

$$\frac{c_1 * N + c_2 * N}{N} = c_1 + c_2 = O(1)$$

- Using similar arguments as above, we can show that *deleteLast* also needs  $O(1)$  amortized time per operation. More careful and complicated techniques (such as by using potential method) can be used to show that both operations are  $O(1)$  amortized time, even when they are interspersed.

---

<sup>1</sup>This is different from Average Case Analysis, which uses probabilistic techniques.