Linking Climate Data with Agricultural Commodity Prices: Is It Viable?

Your Name

March 9, 2025

1 Introduction

There is growing interest in linking climatic variables with the evolution of agricultural commodity prices. The central question is whether climatic data (e.g., droughts, heavy rainfall, hailstorms) can improve the prediction of prices or the volatility of crops such as cotton or other commodities by employing quantitative approaches and machine learning. In this document, we summarize evidence from the last 20 years regarding this link, including applied methods, limitations, and recommendations for building a robust predictive model.

2 Machine Learning Models Applied to the Problem

Several studies have explored the use of machine learning models to forecast agricultural prices by incorporating climatic information. For example, Wang et al. (2023) developed a hybrid deep learning model that combined environmental and climatic data with price series to predict weekly grain prices (oats, corn, soy, and wheat), achieving significant improvements in forecasting accuracy . Similarly, Vitale and Robinson (2025) employed neural networks and LSTM models to predict cotton futures prices; although their model was primarily based on historical price series, they highlighted that incorporating external variables (e.g., meteorological data) could potentially enhance predictive power . In fact, they referenced a study using satellite imagery of fields and cloud cover to forecast wheat yields and prices, demonstrating that integrating meteorological data (precipitation, temperature, cloudiness) can improve trading performance in commodity markets .

In practice, various methods have been applied, ranging from deep neural networks (e.g., CNN+LSTM) to decision trees and random forests, which are well suited to capture nonlinear relationships between climate and prices. One study employed a hybrid model that combined deep learning components with climatic data and price series, yielding better monthly price predictions than models relying solely on historical market data .

3 Econometric Evidence on the Impact of Climate on Agricultural Prices

The relationship between climate and agricultural prices has also been analyzed using traditional econometric methods. Empirical evidence shows significant correlations between extreme climatic events and price fluctuations. For instance, a study in India found that drought conditions significantly increased food prices even before harvest, as market participants anticipated future supply shortages . The analysis revealed that during the planting and growing season, a drought could elevate local market prices well before the actual impact on yield materializes, accounting for over 80% of the eventual price increase . This empirical evidence supports the notion that markets quickly incorporate climatic information, with adverse weather expectations leading to monthly price volatility.

On a global scale, various studies have shown that climatic phenomena such as El Niño/La Niña (ENSO) alter agricultural supply and, consequently, international prices. Classic studies indicate that ENSO oscillations explain a significant portion of the variability in agricultural production and prices worldwide . One analysis found that ENSO projections have predictive power over future commodity returns (especially for sugar, palm oil, rubber, soy, etc.), demonstrating that global climate influences commodity markets . In many cases, prices do not fully adjust immediately to climatic information, allowing forecasts to anticipate price movements and suggesting a degree of inefficiency in these markets .

Furthermore, research comparing regions has revealed nuances: local climatic shocks tend to affect local market prices (especially for crops not traded globally), while for global commodities only aggregated shocks (affecting multiple key production regions) trigger significant price variations . For instance, in the U.S. cotton market, local climatic anomalies had little effect on the internationally traded commodity price, but aggregated variations across key growing states did have a significant impact . Thus, for global commodities, it is essential to incorporate climatic data from all major producing regions.

In addition to price levels, volatility has also been studied. Volatility analyses using GARCH models have incorporated extreme event indicators. The hypothesis is that more erratic weather (with more frequent droughts or heavy rains) leads to higher price volatility due to production fluctuations . However, a study covering 1971–2019 on grain price volatility found that, despite occasional extreme events, there was no sustained increase in volatility solely attributable to climate—possibly due to agricultural adaptation, improved storage, international trade, and risk management . This suggests that although extreme weather can trigger volatility spikes (e.g., during the droughts of 2008 or 2012), market systems mitigate long-term effects through diversification and technological improvements.

4 Possible Limitations and Challenges

Despite its potential, linking agricultural commodity prices (such as CFDs) with climatic data faces several challenges:

4.1 Data Granularity and Spatial Aggregation

Climatic data are highly local (a hailstorm affects a specific area; a drought may be regional), whereas commodity prices are typically set in national or global markets. Linking both requires deciding on the relevant climatic scale. With monthly global data, one might need to aggregate a climatic index (e.g., total rainfall or a drought index) weighted by the producing regions for each crop. If daily data are available, aligning local daily events (e.g., a storm) with global price responses may require nowcasting techniques or the use of extreme event indicators (such as counting the number of severe weather days per month).

4.2 Data Availability and Quality

Variables such as hailstorms or severe storms are more challenging to obtain globally over long periods compared to precipitation or temperature. It may be necessary to use proxy databases (e.g., disaster reports or insured losses due to hail) or indicators like abnormal precipitation deviations. In contrast, composite climatic indices (e.g., drought indices, heavy rainfall indices, ENSO indices) are well documented and have proven useful.

4.3 Multifactorial Nature of Agricultural Prices

Climate is only one of many determinants. Prices are also influenced by demand factors (e.g., increased demand for biofuels), trade policies (tariffs, export bans), energy costs, exchange rates, and financial speculation. A model based solely on climate might omit these other important factors, thereby reducing its explanatory power. Several studies indicate that climatic shocks alone do not always replicate historical price peaks unless accompanied by concurrent supply and demand shocks .

4.4 Capturing Volatility with Exogenous Variables

If the goal is to predict volatility rather than just average price levels, the models must capture conditional variances. Incorporating climate as an exogenous factor might require using models like GARCH-X or GARCH-MIDAS where climatic variables serve as long-term covariates. The sporadic nature of climatic signals—with long periods of calm interrupted by extreme episodes—complicates model training and may lead to overfitting.

4.5 Behavioral Changes and Adaptation

Agricultural systems have adapted to changing climatic conditions (e.g., adoption of resilient crop varieties, improved irrigation techniques, crop insurance). This implies that the climate-price relationship is not static; a model trained on 2000–2020 data must account for the fact that the impact of a heatwave in 2020 might differ from that in 2000. Historical models may lose predictive power if they do not incorporate such structural changes.

4.6 Temporal Synchronization

The effects of climatic events may be delayed. For example, excessive rainfall during planting may affect prices several months later at harvest time. A robust model must consider the appropriate time lags between climatic events and price responses, whether by using contemporaneous data, moving averages, or lagged variables.

5 Suggestions for a Robust Predictive Model

Based on the literature, the following strategies are recommended for constructing a predictive model that links climatic factors with commodity prices effectively:

- **Define Scope and Resolution:** Start with 20 years of monthly data to capture seasonal trends and harvesting cycles. If daily data are available, aggregate them into monthly indicators (e.g., number of days with precipitation above a threshold). Consider segmenting the analysis by key producing regions; if global data are scarce, a regional focus may yield stronger signals.
- **Selection of Pertinent Climatic Variables:** Incorporate measures such as drought indices (e.g., the Standardized Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index), extreme rainfall (e.g., maximum mm per month or count of heavy rain days), temperature extremes (e.g., heatwaves or frosts), and, when available, severe event counts (e.g., occurrence of significant hailstorms). Global indices like ENSO (Niño 3.4) may also provide valuable information.
- **Hybrid Modeling Approach:** Consider combining a baseline econometric model (such as ARIMA or partial equilibrium models) that captures trends and autoregressive effects with a machine learning model that captures nonlinear climatic influences. Alternatively, exogenous time series models (e.g., ARIMAX) or GARCH-X models may be used, where climatic variables serve as external predictors.
- **Rigorous Validation and Overfitting Prevention:** Employ time-series cross-validation (for example, training on 2000–2015 and testing on 2016–2020) to ensure generalization. Regularization techniques or dimensionality reduction (such as principal component analysis on correlated climatic variables) can help avoid overfitting.
- **Interpretability and Impact Analysis:** Complement the predictive model with sensitivity analyses. Techniques such as SHAP values applied to a Random Forest can help identify which climatic variables most strongly contribute to predictions. Scenario analyses (e.g., simulating an extreme drought) can further validate the model's responsiveness.
- **Continuous Updating:** Given the ongoing nature of climate change, it is important to update the model continuously with new data and recalibrate it to account for adaptive changes in agricultural practices.

6 Conclusion

The academic literature supports the viability of linking climatic data with agricultural commodity prices, especially when utilizing the last 20 years of data. Studies have demonstrated significant correlations and improved forecasting accuracy when integrating climate information through both machine learning and traditional econometric models. However, challenges remain, including data granularity, isolating climatic influences from other economic factors, and ensuring that the model captures persistent relationships rather than spurious correlations.

With appropriate strategies—such as integrating multiple data sources, employing a hybrid modeling approach, and accounting for regional differences—it is possible to construct a robust predictive model. Such a model could effectively forecast price movements in commodities like cotton, corn, wheat, and others, thereby aiding in risk management and enabling more proactive responses to increasing climatic volatility.

References

- Letta, et al. (2022). *Weather shocks, traders' expectations, and food prices.* **American Journal of Agricultural Economics**. Available at: `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ajae.12258`.

- Wang, et al. (2023). *Climate and environmental data contribute to the prediction of grain commodity prices using deep learning.* **Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and Environment**. Available at: `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/sae2.12041`.

- Vitale & Robinson (2025). *In-Season Price Forecasting in Cotton Futures Markets Using LSTM.* **Journal of Risk and Financial Management**. Available at: `https://www.mdpi.com/1911-8074/18/2/93`.

- Steen, et al. (2023). *Climate Change and Grain Price Volatility: Empirical Evidence for Corn and Wheat 1971–2019.* **Commodities**. Available at: `https://www.mdpi.com/2813-2432/2/1/1`.

- IHS Markit (2014). *Modeling the Effects of Weather on Crop Price Volatility.* Available at: `https://www.sdcexec.com/sourcing-procurement/article/12008164/modeling-the-effects-of-weather-on-crop-pric`

- ENSO and Agricultural Prices: Various studies (e.g., from the University of Sydney and NYU Stern) indicate that El Niño/La Niña phases affect production and prices, and that even ENSO forecasts have predictive power for commodity returns. For more details, see: `https://www.sydney.edu.au/news-opinion/news/2020/01/15/how-el-nino-impacts-agriculture.html` and `https://www.stern.nyu.edu/experience-stern/news-events/news/enso-commodity`.

- World Economic Forum (2024). *Extreme weather is driving food prices higher. These 5 crops are facing the biggest impacts.* Available at: `https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2024/01/extreme-weather-food-prices-crop`