

## Homework Set 5

**Problem 1:** We would like to apply the conservative policy iteration algorithm. We have started with an initial policy  $\pi_0$  that selects actions over the action space  $\mathcal{A} = \{-1, 0, 1\}$  uniformly at random for each state in the state space  $\mathcal{S} = \{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . The class of state-action value function  $Q$  we are considering is

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_\theta : Q_\theta(s, a) = \theta_1 s^2 + \theta_2 a^2 + \theta_3 sa + \theta_4, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^4\}.$$

1. Write the objective function of the empirical risk minimization with square loss if we want to fit a  $Q$  function to the following data set obtained by the roll-in and roll-out process from policy  $\pi_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} s^1 &= 1, a^1 = 0, y^1 = 1 \\ s^2 &= -2, a^2 = 1, y^2 = 0 \\ s^3 &= 1, a^3 = -1, y^3 = 3 \end{aligned}$$

The only variables in the objective function should be  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ , and  $\theta_4$ . There is no need to solve the minimization problem.

2. After collecting a larger data set from the current policy  $\pi_0$  and solving the empirical risk minimization problem over  $\theta$ , we have arrived at

$$\hat{Q}^{\pi_0}(s, a) = 2s^2 + a^2 - sa + 0.5.$$

Compute the updated policy  $\pi_1$  for state  $s = 1$  and state  $s = 2$  if the parameter  $\alpha$  is set to 0.25.

**Problem 2:** We aim to find an optimal policy using Q-learning with function approximation, i.e., restricting the state-action value function  $Q$  to

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_\theta : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

In the course of the algorithm applied over an MDP with state space  $\mathcal{S} = \{b, c, d, e\}$ , action space  $\mathcal{A} = \{x, y\}$ , and discount factor  $\gamma = 0.9$ , we have obtained

$$\begin{aligned} Q(b, x) &= -1.5, \quad Q(b, y) = -2.5, \\ Q(c, x) &= -0.5, \quad Q(c, y) = -1.0, \\ Q(d, x) &= 0.0, \quad Q(d, y) = -0.25, \\ Q(e, x) &= -0.5, \quad Q(e, y) = 0.75. \end{aligned}$$

1. Form an  $\epsilon$ -greedy policy according to this  $Q$  function, setting  $\epsilon = 0.1$ .
2. Using this  $\epsilon$ -greedy policy, suppose we have collected the following sample sub-trajectory

$$(s_t = c, a_t = x, r_t = 1, s_{t+1} = e, a_{t+1} = x, r_{t+1} = -2, s_{t+2} = b, a_{t+2} = y, r_{t+2} = -0.5, s_{t+3} = b).$$

Create a data set of three data points that can be used to update the parameter  $\theta$  of the  $Q$  function using supervised learning.

3. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  represent a class of linear functions

$$Q_\theta(s, a) = \theta^\top \phi(s, a) = \sum_{l=1}^2 \theta_l \phi_l(s, a)$$

based on feature  $\phi = [\phi_1(s, a) \quad \phi_2(s, a)]^\top$  defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(s, a) &= -2\mathbb{1}[s = b] - \mathbb{1}[s = c] - 0.5\mathbb{1}[s = d], \\ \phi_2(s, a) &= \mathbb{1}[a = x] - \mathbb{1}[a = y],\end{aligned}$$

and with parameter  $\theta = [\theta_1 \quad \theta_2]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Write the objective function of the empirical risk minimization with square loss when fitting a new  $Q$  function to the data set in Part 2. The only variables in the objective function should be  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ .

4. Solve the optimization problem in Part 3. Report the values the solution yields for parameter  $\theta$  and the objective function.

**Problem 3:** Recall that in the policy gradient algorithms like REINFORCE, actor-critic, and advantage actor-critic where we search over a parameterized class of policies

$$\Pi = \{\pi_\theta : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\},$$

the gradient of the objective function (value function) relies on computing  $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a_t|s_t)$  for sampled state-action pair  $(s_t, a_t)$ . Notice that  $\pi_\theta(a|s)$  is a function over state-action pairs, i.e.,  $\pi_\theta : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (0, 1)$  that is parameterized by  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

1. Compute  $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a_t|s_t)$  for the case of using the class of softmax policies

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \forall a \in \mathcal{A} : \quad \pi_\theta(a|s) = \frac{\exp(\theta_{s,a})}{\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\theta_{s,a'})},$$

where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}||\mathcal{A}|}$ .

2. Compute  $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a_t|s_t)$  for the case of using the class of softmax linear policies

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \forall a \in \mathcal{A} : \quad \pi_\theta(a|s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))},$$

where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

3. Compute  $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a_t|s_t)$  for the case of using the class of softmax neural policies

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \forall a \in \mathcal{A} : \quad \pi_\theta(a|s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))},$$

where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f_\theta(s, a) : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $f_\theta(s, a)$  is a differentiable function and keep your solution in terms of its partial derivatives.

4. Consider searching for an optimal policy over a parameterized class of softmax policies

$$\Pi = \{\pi_\theta : \pi_\theta(a|s) = \frac{\exp(\theta_{s,a})}{\sum_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} \exp(\theta_{s,a'})} \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}||\mathcal{A}|}\},$$

where  $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, \dots, 100\}$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \{b, c, d, e\}$ , by applying the advantage actor-critic algorithm in the infinite-horizon discounted setting. At iteration  $t$  of the algorithm, we arrive at a state-action pair  $(s_t, a_t) = (3, b)$  for which the advantage function  $A^{\pi_{\theta^t}}(s_t = 3, a_t = b) < 0$ . If we update the parameters by applying stochastic gradient ascent with the gradient estimate formed by this sample, what will happen (decrease, stay the same, or increase) to each the following parameters? [Explain your reasoning.]

- (a) parameter  $\theta_{1,d}$
- (b) parameter  $\theta_{2,b}$
- (c) parameter  $\theta_{3,b}$
- (d) parameter  $\theta_{3,c}$

**Problem 4:** In maximum entropy reinforcement learning (and inverse reinforcement learning), the reward is augmented with the entropy of the policy, i.e., the goal is to find a stochastic policy that maximizes

$$J(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\substack{S_0 \sim \mu_0 \\ A_t \sim \pi(\cdot|S_t) \\ S_{t+1} \sim P(\cdot|S_t, A_t)}} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (R(S_t, A_t) + \lambda \mathcal{H}(\pi(\cdot|S_t))) \right],$$

where  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Soft policy iteration algorithm is a variant of policy iteration algorithm that finds such an optimal stochastic policy through iterative policy evaluation and policy improvement. The policy evaluation step computes the state-action value function  $Q^{\pi_t}(s, a)$  of the current policy  $\pi_t$  for all  $s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}$  and the policy improvement step computes the next policy  $\pi_{t+1}$  using

$$\pi_{t+1}(\cdot|s) = \arg \max_{\pi \in \Pi} \mathbb{E}_{A \sim \pi(\cdot|s)} [Q^{\pi_t}(s, A)] + \lambda \mathcal{H}(\pi(\cdot|s)) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Suppose the action space  $\mathcal{A}$  is discrete and finite. The policy improvement step can be written as a constrained optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\pi(\cdot|s) \geq \mathbf{0}} \mathbb{E}_{A \sim \pi(\cdot|s)} [Q^{\pi_t}(s, A)] + \lambda \mathcal{H}(\pi(\cdot|s)) \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and solved individually for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . This constrained optimization problem is equivalent to

$$\max_{\pi(\cdot|s) \geq \mathbf{0}} \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}(\pi, \beta),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(\pi, \beta)$  is called the Lagrangian function and is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi, \beta) = \mathbb{E}_{A \sim \pi(\cdot|s)} [Q^{\pi_t}(s, A)] + \lambda \mathcal{H}(\pi(\cdot|s)) - \beta \left( \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) - 1 \right).$$

1. Find the derivative of the Lagrangian function with respect to  $\pi(a|s)$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .
2. Find the derivative of the Lagrangian function with respect to  $\beta$ .
3. Find the stationary point of the Lagrangian function, i.e.,  $\pi(a|s)$  and  $\beta$  where the derivatives (computed in Part 1 and Part 2) are zero. The answer should be based on the known values.
4. **[Bonus]** The resulting policy in Part 3 will be the optimal solution  $\pi_{t+1}(\cdot|s)$  to the original constrained optimization problem of the policy improvement step. Compute and write in simplified form its value function  $V^{\pi_{t+1}}(s)$ . Notice that in this setting, the state value function relates to the state-action value function through

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \mathcal{A}}[Q^{\pi}(s, a)] + \lambda \mathcal{H}(\pi(\cdot|s)) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}.$$

**Problem 5:** Consider the setting of reinforcement learning from human feedback. We would like to learn a reward function from preferences provided by a human over pairs of sub-trajectories. We follow the Bradley-Terry probabilistic model of human preference using discounted return, with discount factor  $\gamma = 0.95$ , as quality of a sub-trajectory. In the course of learning, we have arrived at a reward function  $\hat{R}(s, a)$  with partial values listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}(\text{empty}, \text{stay}) &= -1, & \hat{R}(\text{empty}, \text{explore}) &= 1, \\ \hat{R}(\text{monster}, \text{stay}) &= -2, & \hat{R}(\text{monster}, \text{evade}) &= 3, & \hat{R}(\text{monster}, \text{befriend}) &= -5, \\ \hat{R}(\text{food}, \text{stay}) &= -2, & \hat{R}(\text{food}, \text{explore}) &= -2, & \hat{R}(\text{food}, \text{collect}) &= 5, \\ \hat{R}(\text{resource}, \text{stay}) &= -2, & \hat{R}(\text{resource}, \text{explore}) &= -2, & \hat{R}(\text{resource}, \text{collect}) &= 4. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the following sub-trajectories:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= (s_0 = \text{empty}, a_0 = \text{stay}, s_1 = \text{monster}, a_1 = \text{evade}, s_2 = \text{resource}, a_2 = \text{collect}) \\ \tau_2 &= (s_0 = \text{empty}, a_0 = \text{explore}, s_1 = \text{food}, a_1 = \text{collect}, s_2 = \text{monster}, a_2 = \text{befriend}) \\ \tau_3 &= (s_0 = \text{empty}, a_0 = \text{explore}, s_1 = \text{empty}, a_1 = \text{explore}, s_2 = \text{resource}, a_2 = \text{collect}) \end{aligned}$$

1. Compute the discounted return for each sub-trajectory  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$ .
2. If we query the human with trajectory pair  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , with what probability will the human prefer  $\tau_1$  over  $\tau_2$  according to  $\hat{R}$ ?
3. If we query the human with trajectory pair  $(\tau_1, \tau_3)$ , with what probability will the human prefer  $\tau_1$  over  $\tau_3$  according to  $\hat{R}$ ?
4. Suppose when queried about  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the human picks  $\tau_1$  and when queried about  $(\tau_1, \tau_3)$ , the human picks  $\tau_3$ . Compute the (maximum likelihood estimation) loss function over these two data points according to  $\hat{R}$ .
5. If the human picks  $\tau_2$  over  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_3$  over  $\tau_1$ , how do you expect the loss function to change (decrease, stay the same, or increase) compared to Part 4? [Explain your reasoning without additional computation.]