

PORTFOLIO THEORY NOTES

CONTENTS

1.	Risk Measures	1
1.1.	Value at Risk	1
1.2.	Estimating the Value at Risk	1
1.3.	Average Value at Risk	1
1.4.	Estimating the Average Value at Risk	3

Note 0.1. *In these notes we will mostly consider random variables X that model returns. As such we may assume that $X \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ and $F_X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is bijective and continuous. We will call such random variables "nice".*

1. RISK MEASURES

1.1. Value at Risk.

Definition 1.1. *Let X be a nice random variable and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. We define the **value at risk of X at confidence level $1 - \epsilon$** , denoted by $VaR_\epsilon(X)$, to be*

$$VaR_\epsilon(X) = F_X^{-1}(\epsilon)$$

Note 1.2. *If X represents the return of a portfolio, then $VaR_\epsilon(X)$ is just a bound such that with probability ϵ , the loss of the portfolio is not less than the bound.*

1.2. Estimating the Value at Risk.

1.3. Average Value at Risk.

Definition 1.3. *Let X be a nice random variable and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. We define the **average value at risk of X with tail probability ϵ** , denoted by $AVaR_\epsilon(X)$, to be*

$$AVaR_\epsilon(X) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{(0, \epsilon]} VaR_p(X) dm(p)$$

Note 1.4. *If X represents the return on a portfolio, then $AVaR_\epsilon(X)$ is just the average of the $VaR_p(X)$ over all $p < \epsilon$.*

Exercise 1.5. *Let X be a nice random variable and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then $AVaR_\epsilon(X) = \mathbb{E}[-X | -X \geq VaR_\epsilon(X)]$.*

Proof. Recall that for measurable spaces $(X, \mathcal{A}), (Y, \mathcal{B})$, measurable $f : X \rightarrow Y$, measure $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, we may form the push-forward measure of μ by f , $f_*\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ with the following property: for each $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $g \in L^1(f_*\mu)$ iff $g \circ f \in L^1(\mu)$ and for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\int_{f^{-1}(B)} g \circ f d\mu = \int_B g df_*\mu$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[-X | -X \geq -F_X^{-1}(\epsilon)] &= -\mathbb{E}[X | X \leq F_X^{-1}(\epsilon)] \\
&= -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{\{X \leq F_X^{-1}(\epsilon)\}}] \\
&= -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\{X \leq F_X^{-1}(\epsilon)\}} X dP \\
&= -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{(-\infty, F_X^{-1}(\epsilon)]} x dF_X(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Let μ be the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure obtained from F_X (i.e. $d\mu = dF_X$). Consider $F_X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ as in the theorem recalled above. Then for each $(a, b] \subset [0, 1]$ with $a' = F_X^{-1}(a)$ (could be $-\infty$) and $b' = F_X^{-1}(b)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{X*}\mu((a, b]) &= \mu(F_X^{-1}((a, b])) \\
&= \mu((a', b']) \\
&= F_X(b') - F_X(a') \\
&= b - a
\end{aligned}$$

So $F_{X*}\mu = m$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{(-\infty, F_X^{-1}(\epsilon)]} x dF_X(x) &= \int_{(-\infty, F_X^{-1}(\epsilon)]} (F_X^{-1} \circ F_X)(x) dF_X(x) \\
&= \int_{(0, \epsilon]} F_X^{-1}(x) dm(x)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note 1.6. If X represents the return of a portfolio. We may define the **loss of X** , denoted by L_X , to be $L_X = -X$. Then $AVaR_\epsilon(X) = \mathbb{E}[L_X | L_X > VaR_\epsilon(X)]$.

Theorem 1.7. Let X be a nice random variable and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$AVaR_\epsilon(X) = \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\theta + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E}[(-X - \theta)^+] \right)$$

Proof. For $\omega \in \Omega, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, put $g_\omega(\theta) = (-X(\omega) - \theta)^+$ and for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, \epsilon \in (0, 1)$, put $f_\epsilon(\theta) = \theta + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E}[g(\theta)]$. Then for each $\omega \in \Omega$, g_ω is convex. This implies that for each $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, f_ϵ is convex and therefore continuous with right and left.

Let $L = -X$ be the loss of X . One can show that

$$\frac{\partial f_\epsilon}{\partial \theta}(\theta) = \frac{F_L(\theta) - 1 + \epsilon}{\epsilon}$$

. The details can be found in [?], but will be omitted here. Thus

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial f_\epsilon}{\partial \theta}(\theta) = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\partial f_\epsilon}{\partial \theta}(\theta) = \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} < 0$$

This implies that there exists $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\theta^*) = \inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} (f_\theta)$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial f_\epsilon}{\partial \theta}(\theta^*) = 0$$

which implies that

$$F_L(\theta^*) = 1 - \epsilon$$

This implies that $\theta^* = \text{Var}_{1-\epsilon}$. Finally, evaluating f_ϵ at θ^* shows us that

$$\begin{aligned} f_\epsilon(\theta^*) &= \theta^* + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E}[(L - \theta^*)^+] \\ &= \theta^* + \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(L > \theta^*)} \mathbb{E}[(L - \theta^*) \mathbf{1}_{\{L > \theta^*\}}] \\ &= \theta^* + \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(L > \theta^*)} \mathbb{E}[L \mathbf{1}_{\{L > \theta^*\}}] - \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(L > \theta^*)} \mathbb{E}[\theta^* \mathbf{1}_{\{L > \theta^*\}}] \\ &= \theta^* + \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(L > \theta^*)} \mathbb{E}[L \mathbf{1}_{\{L > \theta^*\}}] - \theta^* \\ &= \mathbb{E}[L | L > \theta^*] \\ ? &= \mathbb{E}[L | L > \text{Var}_{1-\epsilon}(X)] \text{ that } 1 - \epsilon \text{ is bad} \end{aligned}$$

□

1.4. Estimating the Average Value at Risk.

Definition 1.8. Let X be a random nice random variable and $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} X$. We define the **sample average value at risk of X with tail probability ϵ** , denoted by $\widehat{AVaR}_\epsilon(X)$, to be

$$\widehat{AVaR}_\epsilon(X) = \inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\theta + \frac{1}{n\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n \max(-X_i - \theta, 0) \right)$$

Lemma 1.9. Let X be a random nice random variable and $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} X$. Then $\widehat{AVaR}_\epsilon(X)$ is an unbiased estimator for $AVaR_\epsilon(X)$.

Proof. For each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$f_\theta = \theta + \frac{1}{n\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n \max(-X_i - \theta, 0)$$

Note that for each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $f_\theta \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$.

Since f_θ is continuous in θ , we have that

$$\inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} f_\theta = \inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{Q}} f_\theta$$

which is measurable. For $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, \infty)$, if $\theta_1 < \theta_2$, then $\max(-X_i - \theta_1, 0) \geq \max(-X_i - \theta_2, 0)$. So

$$f_0 \leq \frac{1}{n\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n \max(-X_i, 0)$$

□