

INTRODUCTION TO PROBABILITY

CARSON JAMES

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Purpose	1
2. Probability Framework	1
3. Probability	1
3.1. Distributions	1
3.2. Independence	4
3.3. L^p Spaces for Probability	7
3.4. Borel Cantelli Lemma	11
4. Conditional Expectation	13
4.1. Regular Conditional Probability	13
5. Markov Chains	15

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Purpose.

2. PROBABILITY FRAMEWORK

3. PROBABILITY

3.1. Distributions.

Definition 3.1.1. Let Ω be a set and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$. Then \mathcal{P} is said to be a π -**system** on Ω if for each $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$, $A \cap B \in \mathcal{P}$.

Definition 3.1.2. Let Ω be a set and $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Then \mathcal{L} is said to be a λ -**system** on Ω if

- (1) $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$
- (2) for each $A \in \mathcal{L}$, $A^c \in \mathcal{L}$
- (3) for each $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}$, if $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is disjoint, then $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{L}$

Exercise 3.1.3. Let Ω be a set and \mathcal{L} a λ -system on Ω . Then

- (1) $\Omega, \emptyset \in \mathcal{L}$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Definition 3.1.4. Let Ω be a set and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Put

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega) : \mathcal{L} \text{ is a } \lambda\text{-system on } \Omega \text{ and } \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{L}\}$$

We define the λ -system on Ω generated by \mathcal{C} , $\lambda(\mathcal{C})$, to be

$$\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcap_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{L}$$

Exercise 3.1.5. Let Ω be a set and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. If \mathcal{C} is a λ -system and \mathcal{C} is a π -system, then \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a λ -system and \mathcal{C} is a π -system. Then we need only verify the third axiom in the definition of a σ -algebra. Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}$. Define $B_1 = A_1$ and for $n \geq 2$, define $B_n = A_n \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k \right)^c = A_n \cap \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k^c \right) \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is disjoint and therefore $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{C}$. \square

Theorem 3.1.1. (*Dynkin's Theorem*)

Let Ω be a set.

- (1) Let \mathcal{P} be a π -system on Ω and \mathcal{L} a λ -system on Ω . If $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{L}$, then $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L}$.
- (2) Let \mathcal{P} be a π -system on Ω . Then $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \lambda(\mathcal{P})$

Exercise 3.1.6. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and μ, ν probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Put $\mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \mu(A) = \nu(A)\}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$ is a λ -system on Ω .

Proof.

- (1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$.
- (2) Let $A \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$. Then $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A^c) &= 1 - \mu(A) \\ &= 1 - \nu(A) \\ &= \nu(A^c) \end{aligned}$$

So $A^c \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$.

- (3) Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$. So for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu(A_n) = \nu(A_n)$. Suppose that $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is disjoint. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu(A_n) \\ &= \nu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$. \square

Exercise 3.1.7. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space, μ, ν probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{A}$ a π -system on Ω . Suppose that for each $A \in \mathcal{P}$, $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$. Then for each $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$, $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$.

Proof. Using the previous exercise, we see that $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$. Dynkin's theorem implies that $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$. So for each $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$, $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$. \square

Definition 3.1.8. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then F is said to be a **probability distribution function** if

- (1) F is right continuous
- (2) F is increasing
- (3) $F(-\infty) = 0$ and $F(\infty) = 1$

Definition 3.1.9. Let P be a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$. We define $F_P : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by

$$F_P(x) = P((-\infty, x])$$

We call F_P the **probability distribution function** of P .

Exercise 3.1.10. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability measure. Then F_P is a probability distribution function.

Proof. (1) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [x, \infty)$. Suppose that $x_n \rightarrow x$. Then $(x, x_n] \rightarrow \emptyset$ because $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x, x_n] = \emptyset$. Thus

$$F(x_n) - F(x) = P((x, x_n]) \rightarrow P(\emptyset) = 0$$

This implies that

$$F(x_n) \rightarrow F(x)$$

. So F is right continuous.

- (2) Clearly F_P is increasing.
- (3) Continuity from below tells us that

$$F(-\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} F(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} P((-\infty, n]) = 0$$

and continuity from above tell us that

$$F(\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P((-\infty, n]) = 1$$

□

Exercise 3.1.11. Let μ, ν be probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$. Then $F_\mu = F_\nu$ iff $\mu = \nu$.

Proof. Clearly if $\mu = \nu$, then $F_\mu = F_\nu$. Conversely, suppose that $F_\mu = F_\nu$. Then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((-\infty, x]) &= F_\mu(x) \\ &= F_\nu(x) \\ &= \nu((-\infty, x]) \end{aligned}$$

Put $\mathcal{C} = \{(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then \mathcal{C} is a π -system and for each $A \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$. Hence for each $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$. So $\mu = \nu$. □

Definition 3.1.12. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then X is said to be a **random variable** on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) if X is \mathcal{F} - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ measurable.

Definition 3.1.13. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and X a random variable on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . We define the **probability distribution** of X , $P_X : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, to be the measure

$$P_X = X_*P$$

so that for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$P_X(A) = P(X^{-1}(A))$$

We define the **probability distribution function** of X , $F_X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, to be

$$F_X = F_{P_X}$$

Definition 3.1.14. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and X a random variable on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . If $P_X \ll m$, we define the **probability density** of X , $f_X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by

$$f_X = \frac{dP_X}{dm}$$

Exercise 3.1.15. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n > x\right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n > x)$$

Proof. Let $\omega \in \left\{\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n > x\right\}$. Then $x < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\inf_{k \geq n} X_k(\omega)\right)$. So there exists $n^* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x < \inf_{k \geq n^*} X_k(\omega)$. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq n^*$ implies that $x < X_k(\omega)$. So there exists $n^* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq n^*$ implies that $\mathbf{1}_{\{X_k > x\}}(\omega) = 1$. Hence $\inf_{k \geq n^*} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_k > x\}}(\omega) = 1$. Thus $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_k > x\}}(\omega) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\inf_{k \geq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_k > x\}}(\omega)\right) = 1$. Therefore $\omega \in \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{X_k > x\}$ and we have shown that

$$\left\{\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n > x\right\} \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{X_k > x\}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n > x\right) &\leq P\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{X_k > x\}\right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\{X_k > x\}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.1.16. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $X \in L^+(\Omega) \cup L^1$. Define the **expectation of X**, $E[X]$, to be

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int X dP$$

3.2. Independence.

Definition 3.2.1. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Then \mathcal{C} is said to be **independent** if for each $(A_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{C}$,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k\right) = \prod_{k=1}^n P(A_k)$$

Definition 3.2.2. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$ are said to be **independent** if for each $A_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$, A_1, \dots, A_n are independent.

Note 3.2.1. We will explicitly say that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, \mathcal{C}_i is independent when talking about the independence of the elements of \mathcal{C}_i to avoid ambiguity.

Definition 3.2.3. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and X_1, \dots, X_n random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Then X_1, \dots, X_n are said to be **independent** if for each $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $X_1^{-1}B_1, \dots, X_n^{-1}B_n$ are independent.

Exercise 3.2.4. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and X_1, \dots, X_n random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Then X_1, \dots, X_n are independent iff $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$ are independent.

Proof. Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent. Let $A_1 \in \sigma(X_1), \dots, A_n \in \sigma(X_n)$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists $B_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $A_i = X_i^{-1}(B_i)$. Then A_1, \dots, A_n are independent. Hence $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$ are independent. Conversely, suppose that $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$ are independent. Let $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $X_i^{-1}B_i \in \sigma(X_i)$. Then $X_1^{-1}B_1, \dots, X_n^{-1}B_n$ are independent. Hence X_1, \dots, X_n are independent. \square

Exercise 3.2.5. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, X_1, \dots, X_n random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ a collection of σ -algebras on Ω . Suppose that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, X_i is \mathcal{F}_i -measurable. If $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n$ are independent, then X_1, \dots, X_n are independent.

Proof. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\sigma(X_i) \subset \mathcal{F}_i$. So $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$ are independent. Hence X_1, \dots, X_n are independent. \square

Exercise 3.2.6. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, \mathcal{C}_i is a π -system and $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$ are independent, then $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \dots, \sigma(\mathcal{C}_n)$ are independent.

Proof. Let $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$. Define $\mathcal{L} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : P(A \cap A_2) = P(A)P(A_2)\}$. Then

(1) $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}$

(2) If $A \in \mathcal{L}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(A^c \cap A_2) &= P(A_2) - P(A_2 \cap A) \\ &= P(A_2) - P(A_2)P(A) \\ &= (1 - P(A))P(A_2) \\ &= P(A^c)P(A_2) \end{aligned}$$

So $A^c \in \mathcal{L}$

(3) If $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}$ is disjoint, then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left[\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right] \cap A_2\right) &= P\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \cap A_2\right) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(B_n \cap A_2) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(B_n)P(A_2) \\ &= \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(B_n)\right]P(A_2) \\ &= P\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right)P(A_2) \end{aligned}$$

So $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{L}$.

Thus \mathcal{L} is a λ -system. Since $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}$ is a π -system, Dynkin's theorem tells us that $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset \mathcal{L}$. Since $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$ is arbitrary $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)$ and \mathcal{C}_2 are independent. The same reasoning implies that $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)$ are independent. Let $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$. We may do the same process with

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{F} : P\left(A \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=2}^n A_i\right)\right) = P(A) \prod_{i=2}^n P(A_i) \right\}$$

and conclude that $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$ are independent. Which, using the same reasoning would imply that $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \dots, \sigma(\mathcal{C}_n)$ are independent. \square

Exercise 3.2.7. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, X_1, \dots, X_n random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Then X_1, \dots, X_n are independent iff for each $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x_i)$$

Proof. Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent. Then $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$ are independent. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\{X_i \leq x_i\} \in \sigma(X_i)$. Hence

$$P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x_i). \text{ Conversely, suppose that for each}$$

$$x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}, P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x_i). \text{ Define } \mathcal{C} = \{(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C})$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, define $\mathcal{C}_i = X_i^{-1}\mathcal{C}$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, \mathcal{C}_i is a π -system and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathcal{C}_i) &= \sigma(X_i^{-1}(\mathcal{C})) \\ &= X_i^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{C})) \\ &= X_i^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})) \\ &= \sigma(X_i) \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$ are independent. The previous exercise tells us that $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$ are independent. Then X_1, \dots, X_n are independent. \square

Exercise 3.2.8. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and X_1, \dots, X_n random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Define $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, then

$$P_X = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}$$

.

Proof. Let $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_X(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) &= P(X \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) \\ &= P(X_1 \in A_1, \dots, X_n \in A_n) \\ &= P(X_1 \in A_1) \dots P(X_n \in A_n) \\ &= P_{X_1}(A_1) \dots P_{X_n}(A_n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\mathcal{P} = \{A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n : A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R), \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(R)\}$$

Then \mathcal{P} is a π -system and

$$\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{B}(R) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{B}(R) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

A previous exercise then tells us that $P_X = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}$ □

Exercise 3.2.9. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, X_1, \dots, X_n random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and $f_1, \dots, f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in L^0$. Suppose that $f_1 \circ X_1, \dots, f_n \circ X_n \in L^+(\Omega)$ or $f_1 \circ X_1, \dots, f_n \circ X_n \in L^1(\Omega)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, then

$$E[f_1(X_1) \cdots f_n(X_n)] = \prod_{i=1}^n E[f_i(X_i)]$$

Proof. Define the random vector $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1) \cdots f_n(x_n)$. Suppose that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $f_i \in L^+(\mathbb{R})$. Then $g \in L^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and by change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} E[f_1(X_1) \cdots f_n(X_n)] &= E[g(X)] \\ &= \int_{\Omega} g \circ X dP \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dP_X(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}(x) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i(x) dP_{X_i}(x) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_i \circ X dP \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n E[f_i(X_i)] \end{aligned}$$

If for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, P_{X_i})$, then following the above reasoning with $|g|$ tells us that $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, P_X)$ and we use change of variables and Fubini's theorem to get the same result. □

3.3. L^p Spaces for Probability.

Note 3.3.1. Recall that for a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ we have $L^q \subset L^p$ and for each $X \in L^q$, $\|X\|_p \leq \|X\|_q$. Also recall that for $X, Y \in L^2$, we have that $\|XY\|_1 \leq \|X\|_2 \|Y\|_2$.

Definition 3.3.1. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $X \in L^2$. Define the **variance of X** , $Var(X)$, to be

$$Var(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - E[X])^2]$$

Definition 3.3.2. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $X, Y \in L^2$. Define the

Definition 3.3.3. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $X, Y \in L^2$. Define the **covariance of X and Y** , $Cov(X, Y)$, to be

$$Cov(X, Y) = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$$

Exercise 3.3.4. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $X, Y \in L^2$. Then the covariance is well defined and $Cov(X, Y)^2 \leq Var(X)Var(Y)$

Proof. By Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |Cov(X, Y)| &= \left| \int (X - E[X])(Y - E[Y]) dP \right| \\ &\leq \int |(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])| dP \\ &= \|(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])\|_1 \\ &\leq \|X - E[X]\|_2 \|Y - E[Y]\|_2 \\ &= \left(\int |X - E[X]|^2 dP \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |Y - E[Y]|^2 dP \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= Var(X)^{\frac{1}{2}} Var(Y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

So $Cov(X, Y)^2 \leq Var(X)Var(Y)$. □

Exercise 3.3.5. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a measure space and $X, Y \in L^2$. Then

- (1) $Cov(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$
- (2) If X, Y are independent, then $Cov(X, Y) = 0$
- (3) $Var(X) = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$
- (4) for each $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $Var(aX + b) = a^2 Var(X)$.
- (5) $Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y)$

Proof.

- (1) We have that

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(X, Y) &= E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])] \\ &= E[XY - E[Y]X - E[X]Y + E[X]E[Y]] \\ &= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] - E[X]E[Y] + E[X]E[Y] \\ &= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Suppose that X, Y are independent. Then $E[XY] = E[X]E[Y]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(X, Y) &= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] \\ &= E[X]E[Y] - E[X]E[Y] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- (3) Part (1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} Var(X) &= Cov(X, X) \\ &= E[X^2] - E[X]^2 \end{aligned}$$

(4) Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(aX + b) &= E[(aX + b)^2] - E[aX + b]^2 \\
 &= E[a^2X^2 + 2abX + b^2] - (aE[X] + b)^2 \\
 &= a^2E[X^2] + 2abE[X] + b^2 - (a^2E[X]^2 + 2abE[X] + b^2) \\
 &= a^2(E[X^2] - E[X]^2) \\
 &= a^2\text{Var}(X)
 \end{aligned}$$

(5) We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(X + Y) &= E[(X + Y)^2] - E[X + Y]^2 \\
 &= E[X^2 + 2XY + Y^2] - (E[X] + E[Y])^2 \\
 &= E[X^2] + 2E[XY] + E[Y^2] - (E[X]^2 + 2E[X]E[Y] + E[Y]^2) \\
 &= (E[X^2] - E[X]^2) + (E[Y^2] - E[Y]^2) + 2(E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]) \\
 &= \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + 2\text{Cov}(X, Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.3.6. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $X, Y \in L^2$. The **correlation of X and Y**, $\text{Cor}(X, Y)$, is defined to be

$$\text{Cor}(X, Y) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)\text{Var}(Y)}}$$

Exercise 3.3.7.

Exercise 3.3.8. Jensen's Inequality Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, $X \in L^1$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If ϕ is convex, then

$$\phi(E[X]) \leq E[\phi(X)]$$

Proof. Put $x_0 = E[X]$. Since ϕ is convex, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(x_0) = ax_0 + b$ and for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\phi(x) \geq ax + b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[\phi(X)] &= \int \phi(X) dP \\
 &\geq \int [aX + b] dP \\
 &= a \int X dP + b \\
 &= aE[X] + b \\
 &= ax_0 + b \\
 &= \phi(x_0) \\
 &= \phi(E[X])
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 3.3.9. Markov's Inequality: Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $X \in L^+$. Then for each $a \in (0, \infty)$,

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{E[X]}{a}$$

Proof. Let $a \in (0, \infty)$. Then $a\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq a\}} \leq X\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq a\}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} aP(X \geq a) &= \int a\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq a\}} dP \\ &= \int X\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq a\}} dP \\ &\leq \int X dP \\ &= E[X] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{E[X]}{a}$$

□

Exercise 3.3.10. Chebychev's Inequality: Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $X \in L^2$. Then for each $a \in (0, \infty)$,

$$P(|X - E[X]| \geq a) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{a^2}$$

Proof. Let $a \in (0, \infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X - E[X]| \geq a) &= P((X - E[X])^2 \geq a^2) \\ &\leq \frac{E[(X - E[X])^2]}{a^2} \\ &= \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{a^2} \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 3.3.11. Chernoff's Bound: Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $X \in L^2$. Then for each $a, t \in (0, \infty)$,

$$P(X \geq a) \leq e^{-ta} E[e^{tX}]$$

Proof. Let $a, t \in (0, \infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \geq a) &= P(tX \geq ta) \\ &= P(e^{tX} \geq e^{ta}) \\ &\leq e^{-ta} E[e^{tX}] \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 3.3.12. Weak Law of Large Numbers: Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2$. Suppose that $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are iid. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P} E[X_1]$$

Proof. Put $\mu = E[X_1]$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu \\ &= \mu \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - E[X_1]\right| \geq \epsilon\right) &= P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \\ &= P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right]\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)}{\epsilon^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2/n}{\epsilon^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P} E[X_1]$$

□

3.4. Borel Cantelli Lemma.

Definition 3.4.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$. We will define

$$P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) := P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n)$$

and

$$P(A_n \text{ ev.}) := P(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n)$$

to be the **probability that A_n happens infinitely often** and the **probability that A_n happens eventually** respectively.

Exercise 3.4.2. Borel Cantelli Lemma: Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$.

- (1) If $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) < \infty$, then $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.
- (2) If $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) = \infty$, then $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$

Proof.

- (1) Suppose that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) < \infty$. Recall that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{A_n}(\omega) = \infty \right\}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int \mathbf{1}_{A_n} dP \\ &= \int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{A_n} dP \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{A_n} < \infty$ a.e. and $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.

- (2) Suppose that $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) = \infty$.

□

Exercise 3.4.3. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^0$ and $X \in L^0$.

- (1) If there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) < \infty$, then $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s.
- (2) If $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent and there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = \infty$, then $X_n \not\rightarrow X$ a.s.

Proof. (1)

□

4. CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

4.1. Regular Conditional Probability.

Exercise 4.1.1. (Doob–Dynkin Lemma)

Let Ω be a nonempty set, (Ω', \mathcal{F}') a measurable space $X : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ and $Z : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that $\text{Im } X \in \mathcal{F}'$. Then Z is $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ measurable iff there exists $\phi : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that ϕ is \mathcal{F}' - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ measurable and $Z = \phi \circ X$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists $\phi : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that ϕ is \mathcal{F}' - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ measurable and $Z = \phi \circ X$. Since X is $\sigma(X)$ - \mathcal{F}' measurable, $Z = \phi \circ X$ is $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ measurable. Conversely, suppose that Z is $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ measurable. For now, suppose that $n = 1$ and Z is simple. Then there exists a partition $(A_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \sigma(X)$ of Ω and $(a_i)_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i 1_{A_i}$$

By definition of $\sigma(X)$, there exists a partition $(B_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \mathcal{F}'$ such that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $A_i = X^{-1}(B_i)$. Define

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i 1_{B_i}$$

Since $(B_i)_{i=1}^k$ partitions Ω' ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ X &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i 1_{X^{-1}(B_i)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i 1_{A_i} \\ &= Z \end{aligned}$$

More generally, if Z is $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ measurable, there exists a sequence $(Z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ measurable functions such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ $0 \leq |Z_j| \leq |Z_{j+1}| \leq |Z|$ and $Z_j \xrightarrow{\text{p.w.}} Z$.

Therefore, as shown previously, there exists a sequence $(\phi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{F}' - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable simple functions such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $Z_j = \phi_j \circ X$. Let $b \in \text{Im } X$. Then there exists $a \in \Omega$ such that $X(a) = b$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j(b) &= \phi_j \circ X(a) \\ &= Z_j(a) \\ &\rightarrow Z(a) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may define $\phi : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi_j 1_{\text{Im } X}$$

Then ϕ is measurable since $\text{Im } X \in \mathcal{F}'$ and $Z = \phi \circ X$. For $n \geq 1$, we may write $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ where for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, Z_i is $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ measurable and apply the result from above to obtain $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ where for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, ϕ_i is \mathcal{F}' - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ measurable and $Z_i = \phi_i \circ X$. Then $Z = \phi \circ X$. \square

Definition 4.1.2. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ random vectors on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and $P_{Y|X} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Then $P_{Y|X}$ is said to be a **regular conditional probability distribution of Y given X** if

- (1) for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $P_{Y|X}(\cdot, A)$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -measurable
- (2) for P_X -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $P_{Y|X}(x, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F})
- (3) for each $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\int_B P_{Y|X}(x, A) dP_X(x) = P(Y \in A, X \in B)$$

Exercise 4.1.3. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ random vectors on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Suppose that for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $Q(\cdot, A)$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -measurable, for P_X -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $P_{Y|X}(x, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and $Q(X, A) = P(Y \in A|X)$ a.e. Then Q is a regular conditional probability of Y given X .

Proof.

- (1) By assumption, for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $Q(\cdot, A)$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -measurable.
- (2) By assumption, for P_X -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $P_{Y|X}(x, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F})
- (3) Let $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B Q(x, A) dP_X(x) &= \int_{X^{-1}(B)} Q(X(\omega), A) dP(\omega) \\ &= \int_{X^{-1}(B)} P(Y \in A|X) dP \\ &= \int_{X^{-1}(B)} E[1_{Y^{-1}(A)}|X] dP \\ &= \int_{X^{-1}(B)} 1_{Y^{-1}(A)} dP \\ &= \int 1_{Y^{-1}(A)} 1_{X^{-1}(B)} dP \\ &= \int 1_{Y^{-1}(A) \cap X^{-1}(B)} dP \\ &= P(Y \in A, X \in B) \end{aligned}$$

So Q is a conditional probability of Y given X . □

Exercise 4.1.4. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ random vectors on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Suppose that $\text{Im } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a regular conditional probability distribution of Y given X .

Proof. Note that for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $P(Y \in A|X) : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is $\sigma(X)$ -measurable. So the Doob-Dynkin lemma tells us that there exists $\phi_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that ϕ_A is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -measurable and $\phi_A \circ X = P(Y \in A|X)$. Define $P_{Y|X} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$P_{Y|X}(x, A) = \phi_A(x)$$

Then the previous exercise implies that $P_{Y|X}$ is a regular regular conditional probability distribution of Y given X . □

Exercise 4.1.5. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ random vectors on (Ω, \mathcal{F})

5. MARKOV CHAINS

Definition 5.0.1. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of random vectors on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Then $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is said to be a **homogeneous Markov chain** if for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(X_n \in A | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = P(X_1 \in A | X_0)$ a.e.