## INTRODUCTION TO ANALYSIS

### CARSON JAMES

# Contents

Preface	1
1. Real and Complex Numbers	2
1.1. Real Numbers	2
2. Metric Spaces	2
2.1. Introduction	2
3. Topology	3
3.1. Semi-continuity	3
4. Banach Spaces	5
4.1. Introduction	5
4.2. Linear Functionals	16
4.3. The Baire Category and Closed Graph Theorems	23
4.4. Banach Algebras	28
4.5. Differentiability	29
4.6. $l^p$ Spaces	31
5. Hilbert Spaces	32
6. Convexity	33
6.1. Introduction	33
6.2. Differentiability	37
6.3. Conjugacy	41
6.4. Functional Optimization	42
7. Appendix	42
7.1. Asymptotic Notation	42

## Preface

content...

#### 1. Real and Complex Numbers

Note 1.0.1. As a starting point, we will take as fact the existence of the **natural numbers** 

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \cdots\}$$

the integers

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{\cdots, -2, -2, 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$$

and the rational numbers

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} : a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

### 1.1. Real Numbers.

**Definition 1.1.1.** Let X be a set and  $\leq$  a relation on X. Then  $\leq$  is said to be a total **order** if for each  $a, b, c \in X$ ,

- $(1) \ a < a$
- (2)  $a \le b$  and  $b \le c$  implies that  $a \le c$
- (3)  $a \le b$  and  $b \le a$  implies that a = b
- (4)  $a \le b$  or  $b \le a$

**Exercise 1.1.2.** We define the relation  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$  defined by

$$\frac{a}{b} \le \frac{c}{d}$$
 iff  $ad \le bc$ 

Then  $\leq$  is a total order of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}, \frac{e}{f} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then

- (1)  $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{a}{b}$  since  $ab \leq ab$ . (2) if  $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{c}{d}$  and  $\frac{c}{d} \leq \frac{e}{f}$ , then  $ad \leq bc$  and  $cf \leq de$ . Multiplying the first inequality by fand the second inequality by b, we obtain  $adf \leq bcf \leq bde$ . Dividing both sides by d yields  $af \leq be$ . Hence  $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{e}{f}$ .
- (3) if  $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{c}{d}$  and  $\frac{c}{d} \leq \frac{a}{b}$ , then  $ad \leq bc$  and  $bc \leq ab$ . This implies that ad = bc. Hence  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$ .

### 2. Metric Spaces

## 2.1. Introduction.

#### 3. Topology

**Definition 3.0.1.** Let X be a topological space and  $S, N \subset X$ . Then N is said to be a **neighborhood** of S if there exists  $U \subset X$  such that U is open and  $S \subset U \subset N$ . For  $S \in X$ , we denote the set of neighborhoods of S by  $\mathcal{N}_S$ 

**Exercise 3.0.2.** Let X be a topological space and  $A \subset X$ . Then A is open iff for each  $a \in A$ , there exists  $U_a \in \mathcal{N}_a$  such that  $U_a$  is an open of a and  $U_a \subset A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that A is open. Let  $a \in A$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{N}_a$ , A is an open and  $A \subset A$ . Conversely, suppose that or each  $a \in A$ , there exists  $U_a \in \mathcal{N}_a$  such that  $U_a$  is open and  $U_a \subset A$ . Then  $A = \bigcup_{a \in A} U_a$  is open.  $\square$ 

**Definition 3.0.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B})$  be topological spaces and  $f: X \to Y$ . Then

- (1) f is said to be **continuous** if for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (2) f is said to be open if for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f(A) \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- (3) f is said to be **closed** if for each  $A \subset X$ , if  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $f(A)^c \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Exercise 3.0.4.** Let X,Y be topological spaces and  $\phi:X\to Y$  a homeomorphism. Then for each  $A\subset X$ ,

- (1)  $\overline{\phi(A)} = \phi(\overline{A})$
- (2)  $\phi(A)^{\circ} = \phi(A^{\circ})$

Proof.

- (1) Let  $A \subset X$ . Since  $\overline{A} \subset \overline{A}$ , we have that  $\phi(A) \subset \phi(\overline{A})$ . Since  $\overline{A}$  is closed,  $\phi(\overline{A})$  is closed and thus  $\overline{\phi(A)} \subset \phi(\overline{A})$ . Conversely, let  $x \in \phi(\overline{A})$ . Then  $\phi^{-1}(x) \in \overline{A}$ . Then there exists a net  $\langle y_{\alpha} \rangle \subset A$  such that  $\underline{y_{\alpha}} \to \phi^{-1}(x)$ . Then  $\langle \phi(y_{\alpha}) \rangle \subset \phi(A)$  and  $\phi(y_{\alpha}) \to x$ . Thus  $x \in \overline{\phi(A)}$  and  $\phi(\overline{A}) \subset \overline{\phi(A)}$ .
- (2) Similar

### 3.1. Semi-continuity.

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let X be a topological space,  $f: X \to (\infty, \infty]$  and  $x_0 \in X$ . Then f is said to be **lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) at**  $x_0$  if

$$\liminf_{x \to x_0} f(x) \ge f(x_0)$$

and f is said to be **lower semicontinuous** (l.s.c.) if for each  $x_0 \in X$ , f is lower semicontinuous at  $x_0$ .

**Exercise 3.1.2.** Let X be a topological space and  $f: X \to (\infty, \infty]$ . Then f is l.s.c. iff for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty])$  is open.

*Proof.* Suppose that f is l.s.c. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(\alpha, \infty]$ . Put  $\epsilon = f(x_0) - \alpha$ . By definition,

$$\sup_{V \in N_{x_0}} \inf_{x \in V \setminus \{x_0\}} f(x) \ge f(x_0)$$

Choose  $V_{\epsilon} \in N_{x_0}$  such that

$$\inf_{x \in V_{\epsilon}} f(x) > f(x_0) - \epsilon$$

Then  $V_{\epsilon}^{o} \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}$  is open and

$$V_{\epsilon}^{o} \subset V_{\epsilon}$$
$$\subset f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty])$$

So  $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty])$  is open.

Conversely, suppose that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty])$  is open. Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Put  $\alpha = f(x_0)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $V_n = f^{-1}((f(x_0) - 1/n, \infty])$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $V_n \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}$  and

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \inf f(x) = \sup_{V \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}} \inf_{x \in V \setminus \{x_0\}} f(x)$$

$$\geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{x \in V_n \setminus \{x_0\}} f(x)$$

$$\geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(x_0) - 1/n$$

$$= f(x_0)$$

So f is l.s.c.

#### 4. Banach Spaces

### 4.1. Introduction.

Note 4.1.1. In the following, we will consider vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ . There are analogous results for real vector spaces as well, just replace every  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 4.1.1.** Let X be a normed vector space. Then X is said to be a **Banach space** if X is complete.

**Definition 4.1.2.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \subset X$ . The series  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i$  is said to **converge** if the sequence  $s_n := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  converges. The series  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i$  is said to converge absolutely if  $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} ||x_i|| < \infty$ .

**Theorem 4.1.1.** Let X be a normed vector space. Then X is complete iff for each  $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset$  $X, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  converges absolutely implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  converges.

*Proof.* Suppose that X is complete. Let  $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ . Suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}x_i$  converges absolutely. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $m, n \geq N$  and m < n, then  $\sum_{m=1}^{n} ||x_i|| < \epsilon$ . Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that m < n. Then

$$||s_n - s_m|| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=m+1}^n ||x_i||$$

$$\leq \epsilon$$

Thus  $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is cauchy. Since X is complete,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}x_i$  converges. Conversely, Suppose that for each  $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}x_i$  converges absolutely implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}x_i$  converges. Let  $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$  be cauchy. Proceed inductively to create a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ , if  $m,n\geq n_i$ , then  $||x_m-x_n||<$  $2^{-i}$ . Define  $(y_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$  by

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_{n_1} & i = 1\\ x_{n_i} - x_{n_{i-1}} & i \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i = x_{n_k}$  and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_i\| = \|x_{n_1}\| + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_{n_i} - x_{n_{i-1}}\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n_1}\| + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-i}$$

$$= \|x_{n_1}\| + 1$$

Hence  $(x_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}=(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges. Since  $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is cauchy and has a convergent subsequence, it converges. So X is complete.

**Definition 4.1.3.** Let X, Y be a normed vector spaces. A linear map  $T: X \to Y$  is said to be **bounded** if there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $||Tx|| \leq C||x||$ .

**Exercise 4.1.4.** Let X, Y be a normed vector spaces and  $T: X \to Y$  a linear map. Then T is bounded iff there exists r, s > 0 such that  $T(B(0, r)) \subset B(0, s)$ 

Proof. Suppose that T is bounded. Then there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $||Tx|| \leq C||x||$ . Thus  $T(B(0,1)) \subset B(0,C+1)$ . Conversely. Suppose that there exists r,s>0 such that  $T(B(0,r)) \subset B(0,s)$ . Define  $C=\frac{2s}{r}$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Put  $\alpha=\frac{r}{2||x||}$  Then  $\alpha x \in B(0,r)$ . So  $T(\alpha x) = \alpha T(x) \in B(0,s)$ . Hence

$$||T(\alpha x)|| = ||\alpha T(x)||$$

$$= |\alpha||T(x)||$$

$$= \frac{r}{2||x||}||T(x)||$$

$$< s.$$

Thus

$$||Tx|| < \frac{2s}{r}||x|| = C||x||$$

So T is bounded.

**Theorem 4.1.2.** Let X, Y be normed vector spaces and  $T: X \to Y$  a linear map. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) T is continuous
- (2) T is continuous at x = 0
- (3) T is bounded

Proof.  $(1) \implies (2)$ : Trivial

 $(2) \implies (3)$ :

Suppose that T is continuous at x=0. Then there exists  $\delta>0$  such that for each  $x\in X$ , if  $\|x\|<\delta$ , then  $\|Tx\|<1$ . Choose  $C=\frac{2}{\delta}$ . If x=0, then  $\|Tx\|\leq C\|x\|$ . Suppose that  $\|x\|\neq 0$ . Define  $y=\frac{\delta}{2\|x\|}x$ . Then  $\|y\|<\delta$ . So

$$||Ty|| = \frac{\delta}{2||x||}||Tx|| < 1$$

Thus

$$||Tx|| < \frac{2}{\delta}||x||$$
$$= C||x||$$

Hence T is bounded.

 $(3) \implies (1)$ 

Suppose that T is bounded. Then there exists  $C \ge 0$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $||Tx|| \le C||x||$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{C+1}$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  Suppose that  $||x-y|| < \delta$ . Then

$$||Tx - Ty|| = ||T(x - y)||$$

$$\leq C||x - y||$$

$$< (C + 1)\delta$$

$$= \epsilon$$

So T is continuous.

**Definition 4.1.5.** Let X, Y be normed vector spaces. Define  $L(X,Y) = \{T : X \to Y : X \to Y : Y \in X\}$ T is bounded. Define  $\|\cdot\|: L(X,Y) \to [0,\infty)$  by

$$||T|| = \inf\{C \ge 0 : \text{for each } x \in X, \ ||Tx|| \le C||x||\}$$

We call  $\|\cdot\|$  the **operator norm on** L(X,Y)

**Exercise 4.1.6.** Let X, Y be normed vector spaces. If  $X \neq \{0\}$ , then the operator norm on L(X,Y) is given by:

- (1)  $||T|| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||Tx||$ (2)  $||T|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} ||x||^{-1} ||Tx||$ (3)  $||T|| = \inf\{C \geq 0 : \text{for each } x \in X, ||Tx|| \leq C||x||\}$

*Proof.* Since  $X \neq \{0\}$ , the supremums in (1) and (2) are well defined. Let  $T \in L(X,Y)$ . By linearity of T, the sets over which the supremums are taken in (1) and (2) are the same. So (1) and (2) are equal.

Now, put  $M = \sup \|Tx\|$ ,  $m = \inf\{C \geq 0 : \text{ for each } x \in X, \|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|\}$  and let  $x \in X$ . If ||x|| = 0, then  $||Tx|| \le M||x||$ . Suppose that  $||x|| \ne 0$ . Then

$$||Tx|| = \left( ||T(x/||x||)|| \right) ||x||$$

$$\leq M||x||$$

Hence  $M \in \{C \geq 0 : \text{ for each } x \in X, \|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|\}$ . Therefore  $m \leq M$ 

Let  $C \in \{C \geq 0 : \text{ for each } x \in X, \|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|\}$ . Suppose that  $\|x\| = 1$ . Then  $||Tx|| \le C||x|| = C$ . So  $M \le C$ . Therefore  $M \le m$ . So M = m and the supremum in (1) is the same as the infimum in (3). 

Note 4.1.2. From here on, unless stated otherwise, we assume  $X \neq 0$ .

**Exercise 4.1.7.** Let X, Y be normed vector spaces and  $T \in L(X, Y)$ . Then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $||Tx|| \le ||T|| ||x||$ 

*Proof.* This is just part of the previous exercise. Let  $x \in X$ . If x = 0, then  $||Tx|| \le ||T|| ||x||$ . Suppose that  $x \neq 0$ . Then  $||Tx|| = T(x/||x||)||x|| \leq ||T||||x||$ 

**Exercise 4.1.8.** Let X, Y be normed vector spaces. Then the operator norm is a norm on L(X,Y).

*Proof.* Let  $S, T \in L(X, Y)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . For each  $x \in X$ , we have that

$$||(S+T)x|| = ||Sx + Tx||$$

$$\leq ||Sx|| + ||Tx||$$

$$\leq ||S|||x|| + ||T|||x||$$

$$= (||S|| + ||T||)||x||$$

So  $||S + T|| \le ||S|| + ||T||$ .

Using the definition of ||T||, we see that

$$\|\alpha T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\alpha T)x\|$$

$$= \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\alpha| \|Tx\|$$

$$= |\alpha| \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\|$$

$$= |\alpha| \|T\|$$

So  $\|\alpha S\| = |\alpha| \|S\|$ .

Suppose that ||T|| = 0. Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $||Tx|| \le ||T|| ||x|| = 0$ . So Tx = 0. Since  $x \in X$  is arbitrary, we have that T = 0.

**Exercise 4.1.9.** Let X be a normed vector space. Then addition and scalar multiplication are continuous on  $X \times X$  and  $\|\cdot\|: X \to [0, \infty)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X \times X$ . Suppose that  $\|(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)\| = \max\{\|x_1 - x_2\|, \|y_1 - y_2\|\} < \delta$ . Then

$$||(x_1 + y_1) - (x_2 + y_2)|| = ||(x_1 - x_2) + (y_1 - y_2)||$$

$$\leq ||x_1 - x_2|| + ||y_1 - y_2||$$

$$< 2\delta$$

$$= \epsilon$$

Hence addition is uniformly continuous.

Let  $(\lambda_1, x_1) \in \mathbb{C} \times X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2(|\lambda_1| + ||x_1|| + 1)}, \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}\}$ . Let  $(\lambda_2, x_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times X$ .

Suppose that 
$$\|(\lambda_1, x_1) - (\lambda_2, x_2)\| = \max\{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|, \|x_1 - x_2\|\} < \delta$$
. Then  $\|\lambda_1 x_1 - \lambda_2 x_2\| = \|\lambda_1 x_1 - \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_1 x_2 - \lambda_2 x_2\|$   $= \|\lambda_1 (x_1 - x_2) + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) x_2\|$   $\leq |\lambda_1| \|x_1 - x_2\| + |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \|x_2\|$   $\leq |\lambda_1| \|x_1 - x_2\| + |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| (\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|x_1\|)$   $< |\lambda_1| \delta + \delta(\delta + \|x_1\|)$   $= (|\lambda_1| + \|x_1\|) \delta + \delta^2$   $< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ 

Since  $(\lambda_1, x_1) \in \mathbb{C} \times X$  is arbitrary, scalar multiplication is continuous.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \epsilon$ . Let  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose that  $||x - y|| < \delta$ . Then

$$|||x|| - ||y||| \le ||x - y||$$

$$< \delta$$

$$= \epsilon$$

So  $\|\cdot\|: X \to [0, \infty)$  is uniformly continuous.

**Exercise 4.1.10.** Let X, Y be normed vector spaces. If Y is complete, then so is L(X, Y).

*Proof.* Suppose that Y is complete. Let  $(T_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset L(X,Y)$ . Suppose that  $(T_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy. Since for each  $m,n\in\mathbb{N},\ \big|\|T_m\|-\|T_n\|\big|\leq \|T_m-T_n\|$ , we have that  $(\|T_n\|)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset[0,\infty)$  is Cauchy. Hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|T_n\|$  exists.

Let  $x \in X$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$||T_m x - T_n x|| = ||(T_m - T_n)x||$$
  
 $< ||T_m - T_n||||x||$ 

So  $(T_n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y$  is Cauchy and hence converges. Define  $T: X \to Y$  by  $Tx = \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n x$ .

Since addition and scalar multiplication are continuous, T is linear. Let  $x \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n \in N$ , if  $n \geq N$ , then  $||Tx - T_nx|| < \epsilon$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n \geq N$  we have that

$$||Tx|| \le ||Tx - T_n x|| + ||T_n x||$$

$$< \epsilon + ||T_n x||$$

$$\le \epsilon + ||T_n|| ||x||$$

Thus  $||Tx|| \le \epsilon + (\lim_{n \to \infty} ||T_n||)||x||$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $||Tx|| \le (\lim_{n \to \infty} ||T_n||)||x||$ . Thus  $T \in L(X, Y)$  and  $||T|| \le \lim_{n \to \infty} ||T_n||$ .

Note that since addition, scalar multiplication and  $\|\cdot\|$  are continuous, we have that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\|(T_n - T_m)x\|$  converges to  $\|(T_n - T)x\|$  because

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|(T_n - T_m)x\| = \lim_{m \to \infty} \|T_n x - T_m x\|$$

$$= \|T_n x - \lim_{m \to \infty} T_m x\|$$

$$= \|T_n x - Tx\|$$

$$= \|(T_n - T)x\|$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $n, m \geq N$ , then  $||T_n - T_m|| < \epsilon$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $n \geq N$ , then for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$||(T_n - T_m)x|| \le ||(T_n - T_m)||||x|| < \epsilon ||x||$$

Combining this with the previous fact, we see that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n \geq \mathbb{N}$ , then for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$||(T_n - T)x|| < \epsilon ||x||$$

In particular, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n \geq N$ , then

$$||T_n - T|| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||(T_n - T)x|| \le \epsilon$$

This implies that  $T_n$  converges to T in L(X,Y). Since

$$|||T_n|| - ||T||| \le ||T_n - T||$$

it is clear that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||T_n|| = ||T||$ 

**Definition 4.1.11.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $M \subset X$  a closed subspace. Define  $\|\cdot\|: X/M \to [0,\infty)$  by

$$||x + M|| := \inf_{y \in M} ||x + y||$$

We call  $\|\cdot\|$  the subspace norm on X/M

**Exercise 4.1.12.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $M \subsetneq X$  a proper, closed subspace of M. Then

- (1) The previously defined subspace norm on X/M is well defined and is a norm.
- (2) For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that ||x|| = 1 and  $||x + M|| \ge 1 \epsilon$ .
- (3) The projection map  $\pi: X \to X/M$  defined by  $\pi(x) = x + M$  is continuous and  $\|\pi\| = 1$ .
- (4) If X is complete, then X/M is complete.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that x + M = y + M. Then there exists  $m \in M$  such that x = y + m. Since M is a subspace, the map  $T : M \to M$  given by Tx = x + m is a bijection. So

$$\inf_{z \in M} \|y + m + z\| = \inf_{z \in M} \|y + z\|$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + M\| &= \inf_{z \in M} \|x + z\| \\ &= \inf_{z \in M} \|y + m + z\| \\ &= \inf_{z \in M} \|y + z\| \\ &= \|y + M\| \end{aligned}$$

So  $\|\cdot\|: X/M \to [0,\infty)$  is well defined.

We observe that for each  $z, w \in M$ ,

$$||x + y + z|| \le ||x + w|| + ||y + w + z||$$

Taking infimums over M with respect to z in this inequality implies that for each  $w \in M$ ,

$$\inf_{z \in M} \|x + y + z\| \le \inf_{z \in M} \left( \|x + w\| + \|y + w + z\| \right)$$
$$= \|x + w\| + \inf_{z \in M} \|y + w + z\|$$

Again we use the fact that for each  $w \in M$ ,

$$\inf_{z\in M}\|y+w+z\|=\inf_{z\in M}\|y+z\|$$

This implies that for each  $w \in M$ ,

$$\inf_{z\in M}\|x+y+z\|\leq \|x+w\|+\inf_{z\in M}\|y+z\|$$

Therefore, taking infimums over M with respect to w in this inequality yields

$$\begin{split} \|x+y+M\| &= \inf_{z \in M} \|x+y+z\| \\ &\leq \inf_{w \in M} \left( \|x+w\| + \inf_{z \in M} \|y+z\| \right) \\ &= \inf_{w \in M} \|x+w\| + \inf_{z \in M} \|y+z\| \\ &= \|x+M\| + \|y+M\| \end{split}$$

If  $\alpha=0$ , then  $\alpha x=0$ . Choosing  $z=0\in M$  gives  $\|\alpha x+M\|=0=|\alpha|\|x+M\|$ . Suppose that  $\alpha\neq 0$ . Then the map  $T:M\to M$  given by  $Tx=\alpha^{-1}x$  is a bijection and thus  $\inf_{z\in M}\|x+\alpha^{-1}z\|=\inf_{z\in M}\|x+z\|$ . Hence we have that

$$\begin{split} \|\alpha x + M\| &= \inf_{z \in M} \|\alpha x + z\| \\ &= \inf_{z \in M} |\alpha| \|x + \alpha^{-1} z\| \\ &= |\alpha| \inf_{z \in M} \|x + \alpha^{-1} z\| \\ &= |\alpha| \inf_{z \in M} \|x + z\| \\ &= |\alpha| \|x + M\| \end{split}$$

Suppose that ||x|| = 0. Choose a sequence  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x - z_n|| = \inf_{z \in M} ||x + z||$$
$$= 0$$

Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = x$ . Since M is closed,  $x \in M$ . Hence x + M = 0 + M.

(2) Since M is a proper subspace, there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $v \notin M$ . Then  $||v+M|| \neq 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $(1 - \epsilon)^{-1} ||v + M|| > ||v + M||$ . So there exists  $z \in M$  such that

$$0 < ||v + M|| \le ||v + z|| < (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} ||v + M||$$

Choose  $x = ||v + z||^{-1}(v + z)$ . Then ||x|| = 1 and

$$||x + M|| = ||v + z||^{-1} ||v + z + M||$$

$$= ||v + z||^{-1} ||v + M||$$

$$> 1 - \epsilon$$

(3) Let  $x \in X$ . Taking z = 0, we we see that  $||\pi(x)|| = ||x + M|| \le ||x + z|| = ||x||$ . So  $\pi$  is bounded and in particular,

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\pi(x)\| \le 1$$

From (2) we see that

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\pi(x)\| \ge 1$$

Hence  $\|\pi\| = 1$ .

(4) Suppose that X is complete. Let  $(x_i + M)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X/M$ . Suppose that  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ||x_i + M|| < \infty$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $z_i \in M$  such that  $||x_i + z_i|| < ||x_i + M|| + \epsilon 2^{-i}$ . Define the sequence  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  by  $a_i = x_i + z_i$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ||a_i|| = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ||x_i + z_i||$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left( ||x_i + M|| + \epsilon 2^{-i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ||x_i + M|| + \epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_i\| \le \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_i + M\| < \infty$$

Since X is complete,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  converges in X. Define  $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$  and  $s\in X$  by  $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  and  $s = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ . Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ , and  $\pi: X\to X/M$  is continuous, it follows that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \pi(s_n) = \pi(s)$ . Since

$$\pi(s_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + M$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + M$$

We have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i + M$  converges which implies that X/M is complete.

**Exercise 4.1.13.** Let X, Y be normed vector spaces and  $T \in L(X, Y)$ . Then

- (1)  $\ker T$  is closed
- (2) there exists a unique map  $S: X/\ker T \to T(X)$  such that  $T = S \circ \pi$ . Furthermore S is a bounded linear bijection and ||S|| = ||T||.

*Proof.* (1) Since T is continuous and  $\ker T = T^{-1}(\{0\})$ , we have that  $\ker T$  is closed.

(2) Suppose that there exists  $S_1, S_2 \in L(X/\ker T, T(X))$  such that  $T = S_1 \circ \pi$  and  $T = S_2 \circ \pi$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then

$$S_1(x + \ker T) = S_1(\pi(x)) = T(x) = S_2(\pi(x)) = S_2(x + \ker T)$$

So  $S_1 = S_2$ . Therefore such a map is unique.

Define  $S: X/\ker T \to T(X)$  by  $S(x + \ker T) = T(x)$ . Then S is clearly a linear bijection that satisfies  $T = S \circ \pi$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $z \in \ker T$ . Then

$$||S(x + \ker T)|| = ||T(x)||$$
  
=  $||T(x + z)||$   
 $\leq ||T|| ||x + z||$ 

Thus

$$||S(x + \ker T)|| \le ||T|| \inf_{z \in \ker T} ||x + z|| = ||T|| ||x + \ker T||$$

So S is bounded and  $||S|| \leq ||T||$ . This implies that

$$||T|| = ||S \circ \pi|| \le ||S|| ||\pi|| = ||S||$$

Thus ||S|| = ||T||.

**Exercise 4.1.14.** Let X,Y be normed vector spaces. Define  $\phi:L(X,Y)\times X\to Y$  by  $\phi(T,x)=Tx$ . Then  $\phi$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(T_1, x_1) \in L(X, Y) \times X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2(\|x_1\| + \|T_1\| + 1)}, \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}\}$ . Let  $(t_2, x_2) \in L(X, Y) \times X$ . Suppose that

$$||(T_1, x_1) - (T_2, x_2)|| = \max\{||T_1 - T_2||, ||x_1 - x_2||\} < \delta$$

. Then

$$\|\phi(T_{1}, x_{1}) - \phi(T_{2} - x_{2})\| = \|T_{1}x_{-}T_{2}x_{2}\|$$

$$= \|T_{1}x_{1} - T_{2}x_{1} + T_{2}x_{1} - T_{2}x_{2}\|$$

$$\leq \|(T_{1} - T_{2})x_{1}\| + \|T_{2}(x_{1} - x_{2})\|$$

$$\leq \|T_{1} - T_{2}\|\|x_{1}\| + \|T_{2}\|\|x_{1} - x_{2}\|$$

$$\leq \|T_{1} - T_{2}\|\|x_{1}\| + (\|T_{1} - T_{2}\| + \|T_{1}\|)\|x_{1} - x_{2}\|$$

$$< \delta\|x_{1}\| + (\delta + \|T_{1}\|)\delta$$

$$= \delta(\|T_{1}\| + \|x_{1}\|) + \delta^{2}$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

So  $\phi$  is continuous.

**Exercise 4.1.15.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $M \subset X$  a subspace. Then  $\overline{M}$  is a subspace.

Proof. Let  $x, y \in \overline{M}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then there exist sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$  and  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$  such that  $x_n \to x$  and  $y_n \to y$ . Since M is a subspace,  $(x_n + y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$  and  $(\alpha x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ . Since addition and scalar multiplication are continuous, we have that  $x_n + y_n \to x + y$  and  $\alpha x_n \to \alpha x$ . Thus  $x + y \in \overline{M}$  and  $\alpha x \in \overline{M}$  and hence  $\overline{M}$  is a subspace.

**Exercise 4.1.16.** Let X, Y, Z be normed vector spaces,  $T \in L(X, Y)$  and  $S \in L(Y, Z)$ . Define  $ST : X \to Z$  by STx = S(Tx). Then  $ST \in L(X, Z)$  and  $||ST|| \le ||S|| ||T||$ .

*Proof.* Clearly ST is linear. Let  $x \in X$ . Then

$$||STx|| = ||S(Tx)||$$
  
 $\leq ||S|| ||Tx||$   
 $\leq ||S|| ||T|| ||x||$ 

So ||ST|| < ||S|| ||T||.

**Definition 4.1.17.** Let X, Y be a normed vector spaces and  $T \in L(X, Y)$ . Then T is said to be **invertible** or an **isomorphism** if T is a bijection and  $T^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$ .

**Definition 4.1.18.** Let X be a Banach space. Define  $GL(X) := \{T \in L(X,X) : T \text{ is invertible}\}.$ 

**Exercise 4.1.19.** Let X be a Banach space. Then

(1) For each  $T \in L(X,X)$ , if ||I-T|| < 1, then T is invertible and

$$T^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - T)^n$$

- (2) For each  $S,T\in L(X,X)$ , if S is invertible and  $\|S-T\|<\|S^{-1}\|^{-1}$ , then T is invertible.
- (3) GL(X) is open.

Proof.

(1) Let  $T \in L(X, X)$ . Suppose that ||I - T|| < 1. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|(I-T)^n\| \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|I-T\|^n < \infty$$

Since X is a complete, so is L(X,X) and thus  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I-T)^n$  converges in L(X,X).

Define  $(S_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset L(X,X)$  and  $S \in L(X,X)$  by  $S_k = \sum_{n=0}^{k} (I-T)^n$  and

 $S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - T)^n$ . Then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$S_k T = S_k - S_k (I - T)$$

$$= (I - T)^0 - (I - T)^{k+1}$$

$$= I - (I - T)^{k+1}$$

and  $||S_kT - I|| \le ||I - T||^{k+1}$ . Since multiplication on Banach algebras is continuous, we have that

$$ST = (\lim_{k \to \infty} S_k)T = \lim_{k \to \infty} S_kT = I$$

 $ST=(\lim_{k\to\infty}S_k)T=\lim_{k\to\infty}S_kT=I$  Similarly TS=I. Thus T is invertible and  $T^{-1}=S\in L(X,X).$ 

(2) Let  $S, T \in L(X, X)$ . Suppose that S is invertible and  $||S - T|| < ||S^{-1}||^{-1}$ . Then  $||I - S^{-1}T|| = ||S^{-1}(S - T)||$  $\leq ||S^{-1}|| ||S - T||$ 

So  $S^{-1}T$  is invertible. Thus  $T = S(S^{-1}T)$  is invertible.

(3) Let  $T \in GL(X)$ . Choose  $\delta = ||T^{-1}||^{-1}$ . By (2),  $B(T, \delta) \subset GL(X)$ .

#### 4.2. Linear Functionals.

**Definition 4.2.1.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $T: X \to \mathbb{C}$ . Then T is said to be a **linear functional on** X if T is linear and T is said to be a **bounded linear functional on** X if  $T \in L(X,\mathbb{C})$ . We define the **dual space of** X, denoted  $X^*$ , by  $X^* = L(X,\mathbb{C})$ .

**Definition 4.2.2.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then p is said to be a **sublinear functional** if for each  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\lambda \ge 0$ ,

- $(1) p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y)$
- (2)  $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$

Note 4.2.1. Let X be a vector space and  $\|\cdot\|: X \to [0, \infty)$  be a seminorm, then  $\|\cdot\|$  is a sublinear functional.

**Theorem 4.2.1.** *Hahn-Banach Theorem:* Let X be a vector space,  $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$  a sublinear functional,  $M \subset X$  a subspace and  $f: M \to \mathbb{C}$  a linear functional. If for each  $x \in M$ ,  $f(x) \leq p(x)$ , then there exists a linear functional  $F: X \to \mathbb{C}$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x) \leq p(x)$  and  $F|_M = f$ .

**Exercise 4.2.3.** Let X be a vector space,  $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$  a sublinear functional. Then there exists a linear function  $F: X \to \mathbb{C}$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x) \leq p(x)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $M = \{0\}$  and  $f \equiv 0$  and apply the Hahn-Banach theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.2.** Complex Hahn-Banach Theorem: Let X be a vector space,  $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$  a seminorm,  $M \subset X$  a subspace and  $f: M \to \mathbb{C}$  a linear functional. If for each  $x \in M$ ,  $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$ , then there exists a linear functional  $F: X \to \mathbb{C}$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $|F(x)| \leq p(x)$  and  $F|_M = f$ .

**Exercise 4.2.4.** Let X be a normed vector space,  $M \subset X$  a subspace and  $f \in M^*$ . Then there exists  $F \in X^*$  such that ||F|| = ||f|| and  $F|_M = f$ .

Proof. If f = 0, Choose F = 0. Suppose  $f \neq 0$ . Then  $||f|| \neq 0$  and there exists  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $x_0 \neq 0$ . Thus  $||f|| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in M \text{ and } ||x|| = 1\}$ . Define  $p : X \to [0, \infty)$  by p(x) = ||f|| ||x||. Then p is a sublinear functional on X and for each  $x \in M$ ,  $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$ . So there exists a linear functional  $F : X \to \mathbb{C}$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $|F(x)| \leq p(x) = ||f|| ||x||$  and  $F|_M = f$ . Thus  $F \in X^*$  with  $||F|| \leq ||f||$ . Also

$$||F|| = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ ||x|| = 1}} |F(x)| \ge \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ ||x|| = 1}} |F(x)| = \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ ||x|| = 1}} |f(x)| = ||f||$$

So 
$$||F|| = ||f||$$
.

**Exercise 4.2.5.** Let X be a normed vector space,  $M \subsetneq X$  a proper closed subspace and  $x \in X \setminus M$ . Then there exists  $F \in X^*$  such that  $F|_M = 0$ , ||F|| = 1 and  $F(x) = ||x+M|| \neq 0$ . (**Hint:** Consider  $f: M + \mathbb{C}x \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $f(m + \lambda x) = \lambda ||x + M||$ .)

*Proof.* Define  $f: M + \mathbb{C}x \to \mathbb{C}$  as above. Clearly f is linear and f|M = 0. Let  $m \in M$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $|f(m + \lambda x)| = 0 \le ||m + \lambda x||$ . Suppose that  $\lambda \ne 0$ . Then

$$|f(m + \lambda x)| = |\lambda| ||x + M||$$

$$= ||\lambda x + M||$$

$$= \inf_{z \in M} ||z + \lambda x||$$

$$\leq ||m + \lambda x||$$

So  $f \in (M + \mathbb{C}x)^*$  and  $||f|| \le 1$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . A previous exercise tells us that there exist  $m \in M, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $||m + \lambda x|| = 1$  and  $||m + \lambda x + M|| > 1 - \epsilon$ . Then

$$|f(m + \lambda x)| = |\lambda| ||x + M||$$

$$= ||\lambda x + M||$$

$$= ||m + \lambda x + M||$$

$$> 1 - \epsilon$$

So

$$||f|| = \sup_{\substack{z \in M + \mathbb{C}x \\ ||z|| = 1}} |f(z)| \ge 1$$

Hence ||f|| = 1. The same exercise also tells us that  $f(x) = ||x+M|| \neq 0$ . Using the previous exercise, there exists  $F \in X^*$  such that ||F|| = ||f|| = 1 and  $F|_{M+\mathbb{C}x} = f$ .

**Exercise 4.2.6.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $x \in X$ . If  $x \neq 0$ , then there exists  $F \in X^*$  such that ||F|| = 1 and F(x) = ||x||.

*Proof.* Define  $f: \mathbb{C}x \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $f(\lambda x) = \lambda ||x||$ . Then f is linear and f(x) = ||x||. Clearly

$$\sup_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{C}x \\ ||z||=1}} |f(z)| = 1$$

So  $f \in (\mathbb{C}x)^*$  and ||f|| = 1. By a previous exercise, there exists  $F \in X^*$  such that ||F|| = ||f|| = 1 and  $F|_{\mathbb{C}x} = f$ .

**Exercise 4.2.7.** Let X be a normed vector space. Then  $X^*$  separates the points of X.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose that  $x \neq y$ . Then  $x - y \neq 0$ . The previous exercies implies that there exists  $F \in X^*$  such that ||F|| = 1 and

$$F(x) - F(y) = F(x - y) = ||x - y|| \neq 0$$

Thus  $F(x) \neq F(y)$  and  $X^*$  separates the points of X.

**Definition 4.2.8.** Let X, Y be metric spaces and  $T: X \to Y$ . Then T is said to be an **isometry** if for each  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $d(Tx_1, Tx_2) = d(x_1, x_2)$ .

**Exercise 4.2.9.** Let X, Y be metric spaces and  $T: X \to Y$  and isometry. Then T is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Suppose that  $Tx_1 = Tx_2$ . Then  $0 = d(Tx_1, Tx_2) = d(x_1, x_2)$ . So  $x_1 = x_2$ . Hence T is injective.  $\square$ 

Note 4.2.2. Let X, Y be metric spaces and  $T: X \to Y$  an isometry. Then T is clearly continuous. If T is surjective, then  $T^{-1}$  is an isometry and therefore continuous. Hence T is a homeomorphism.

**Exercise 4.2.10.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $x \in X$ . Define  $\hat{x}: X^* \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\hat{x}(f) = f(x)$ . Then  $\hat{x} \in X^{**}$  and  $\|\hat{x}\| = \|x\|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in X^*$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\hat{x}(f + \lambda g) = (f + \lambda g)(x) = f(x) + \lambda g(x) = \hat{x}(f) + \lambda \hat{x}(g)$$

So  $\hat{x}$  is linear. For each  $f \in X^*$ ,

$$|\hat{x}(f)| = |f(x)| \le ||x|| ||f||$$

Hence  $\hat{x} \in X^{**}$  with  $\|\hat{x}\| \leq \|x\|$ . If x = 0, then  $\hat{x} = 0$  and  $\|\hat{x}\| = \|x\|$ . Suppose that  $x \neq 0$ . Then a previous exercise implies that there exists  $F \in X^*$  such that  $\|F\| = 1$  and  $F(x) = \|x\|$ . Then we have that

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in X^* \\ \|f\|=1}} |\hat{x}(f)| = \sup_{\substack{f \in X^* \\ \|f\|=1}} |f(x)| \ge |F(x)| = \|x\|$$

Hence  $||\hat{x}|| = ||x||$ .

**Exercise 4.2.11.** Let X be a normed vector space. Define  $\phi: X \to X^{**}$  by  $\phi(x) = \hat{x}$ . Then  $\phi$  is a linear isometry.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for each  $f \in X^*$ , we have that

$$\phi(x + \lambda y)(f) = \widehat{x + \lambda y}(f)$$

$$= f(x + \lambda y)$$

$$= f(x) + \lambda f(y)$$

$$= \widehat{x}(f) + \lambda \widehat{y}(f)$$

$$= \phi(x)(f) + \lambda \phi(y)(f)$$

So  $\phi(x + \lambda y) = \phi(x) + \lambda \phi(y)$  and  $\phi$  is linear. The previous exercise tells us that

$$\|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| = \|\phi(x - y)\|$$

$$= \|\widehat{x - y}\| = \|x - y\|$$

So  $\phi$  is an isometry.

**Definition 4.2.12.** Let X be a normed vector space and define  $\phi: X \to X^{**}$  as above. We define  $\widehat{X} = \phi(X) \subset X^{**}$ . Since  $\widehat{X}$  and X are isomorphic, we may identify X as a subset of  $X^{**}$ .

**Definition 4.2.13.** Let X be a normed vector space and define  $\phi: X \to X^{**}$  as above. Then X is said to be reflexive if  $\phi$  is surjective. In this case  $\phi$  is then an isomorphism

**Exercise 4.2.14.** Let X be a normed vector space and  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$  a linear functional on X. Then f is bounded iff ker f is closed.

*Proof.* Suppose that f is continuous. Since  $\{0\}$  is closed, we have that  $\ker f = f^{-1}(\{0\})$  is closed. Conversely, suppose that  $\ker f$  is closed. If  $\ker f = X$ , then f = 0 and f is continuous. Suppose that  $\ker f \neq X$ . Then  $\ker f$  is a proper, closed subspace of X. A previous exercise tells us that there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $\|x + \ker f\| > \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $y \in X$ . Suppose that  $\|y\| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then for each  $z \in \ker f$ ,

$$||z - (x + y)|| = ||(z - x) - y||$$

$$\ge ||z - x|| - ||y||$$

$$> \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= 0$$

So  $x+y \notin \ker f$ . Therefore  $f(B(x,\frac{1}{2})) \cap \{0\} = \varnothing$ . If  $f(B(x,\frac{1}{2}))$  is unbounded, then  $f(B(x,\frac{1}{2})) = \mathbb{C}$  by linearity. This is a contradiction since  $0 \notin f(B(x,\frac{1}{2}))$ . So There exists s>0 such that  $f(B(x,\frac{1}{2})) \subset B(0,s)$  and thus f is bounded.

**Exercise 4.2.15.** Let X be a normed vector space.

- (1) Let  $M \subsetneq X$  be a proper closed subspace of X and  $x \in X \setminus M$ . Then  $M + \mathbb{C}x$  is closed.
- (2) Let  $M \subset X$  be a finite dimensional subspace of X. Then M is closed.
- Proof. (1) Let  $y \in X$  and  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M + \mathbb{C}x$ . Suppose that  $y_n \to y$ . If  $y \in M$ , then  $y \in M + \mathbb{C}x$ . Suppose that  $y \notin M$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $m_n \in M$  and  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $y_n = m_n + \lambda_n x$ . A previous exercise tells us that there exists  $F \in X^*$  such that ||F|| = 1,  $F|_M = 0$  and  $F(x) = ||x + M|| \neq 0$ . Since F is continuous,  $F(y_n) \to F(y)$ . Since for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$F(y_n) = F(m_n + \lambda_n x) = F(m_n) + \lambda_n(F_x) = \lambda_n F(x)$$

we have that  $\lambda_n F(x) \to F(y)$ . Since  $F(x) \neq 0$ , this implies that  $\lambda_n \to F(x)^{-1} F(y)$ . It follows that  $\lambda_n x \to F(x)^{-1} F(y) x$ . Since for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m_n = y_n - \lambda_n x$ , we know that  $m_n \to y - F(x)^{-1} F(y) x$ . Since  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$  and M is closed, we have that  $y - F(x)^{-1} F(y) x \in M$  and therefore  $y \in M + \mathbb{C}x$ . Hence  $M + \mathbb{C}x$  is closed.

(2) If M = X, then M is closed. Suppose that  $M \neq X$ . Let  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$  be a basis for M. Define  $N_0 = \{0\}$  and for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , define  $N_i = N_{i-1} + \mathbb{C}x_i$ . Since  $N_0$  is a proper closed subpace of X and  $x_1 \in X \setminus N_0$ , (1) implies that  $N_1$  is closed. Proceed inductively to obtain that  $M = N_n$  is closed.

**Exercise 4.2.16.** Let X be an infinite-dimensional normed vector space.

- (1) There exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$  such that for each  $m,n\in\mathbb{N}, \|x_n\|=1$  and if  $m\neq n$ , then  $\|x_m-x_n\|>\frac{1}{2}$ .
- (2) X is not locally compact.
- Proof. (1) Define  $N_0 = \{0\}$ . Then  $N_0$  is a closed proper subspace of X. Choose  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $||x_1|| = 1$ . Using the results of previous exercises, we proceed inductively. For each  $n \geq 2$  we define  $N_{n-1} = \operatorname{span}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$ . Then  $N_{n-1}$  is a closed proper subspace of X. Thus we may choose  $x_n \in X$  such that  $||x_n|| = 1$  and

 $||x_n + N_{n-1}|| > \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that m < n. Then  $x_m \in N_{n-1}$ . Thus  $||x_n - x_m|| \ge ||x_n + N_{n-1}|| > \frac{1}{2}$ 

(2) Suppose that X is locally compact. Then  $\overline{B(0,1)}$  is compact and therefore sequentially compact. Using  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset \overline{B(0,1)}$  defined in (1), we see that there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $x\in\overline{B(0,1)}$  such that  $x_{n_k}\to x$ . Then  $(x_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy. So there exists  $N\in N$  such that for each  $j,k\in\mathbb{N}$ , if  $j,k\geq N$ , then  $||x_{n_j}-x_{n_k}||<\frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $||x_{n_N}-x_{n_{N+1}}||<\frac{1}{2}$ . This is a contradiction since by construction,  $||x_{n_N}-x_{n_{N+1}}||>\frac{1}{2}$ . Thus X is not locally compact.

**Exercise 4.2.17.** Let X, Y be normed vector spaces and  $T \in L(X, Y)$ .

- (1) Define the **adjoint of** T, denoted  $T^*: Y^* \to X^*$  by  $T^*(f) = f \circ T$ . Then  $T^* \in L(Y^*, X^*)$ .
- (2) Applying the result from (1) twice, we have that  $T^{**} \in L(X^{**}, Y^{**})$ . We have that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $T^{**}(\hat{x}) = \widehat{T(x)}$ .
- (3)  $T^*$  is injective iff T(X) is dense in Y.
- (4) If  $T^*(Y^*)$  is dense in  $X^*$ , then T is injective. The converse is true if X is reflexive.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $f \in Y^*$ . Then  $||T^*(f)|| = ||f \circ T|| \le ||T|| ||f||$ . So  $T^* \in L(Y^*, X^*)$  with  $||T^*|| \le ||T||$ .

(2) Let  $x \in X$ . Let  $f \in Y^*$ . Then

$$T^{**}(\hat{x})(f) = \hat{x} \circ T^{*}(f)$$

$$= \hat{x}(T^{*}(f))$$

$$= \hat{x}(f \circ T)$$

$$= f \circ T(x)$$

$$= f(T(x))$$

$$= \widehat{T(x)}(f)$$

Hence  $T^{**}(\hat{x}) = \widehat{T(x)}$ .

(3) Suppose that T(X) is not dense in Y. Then  $\overline{T(X)} \neq Y$ . So T(X) is a proper closed subspace of Y and there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $y \notin \overline{T(X)}$ . By a previous exercise, there exists  $f \in Y^*$  such that  $f(y) = \|y + \overline{T(X)}\| \neq 0$ ,  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $f|_{\overline{T(X)}} = 0$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $T^*(f)(x) = f \circ T(x) = 0$ . Hence  $T^*(f) = 0 = T^*(0)$ . Since  $f \neq 0$ ,  $T^*$  is not injective. Now suppose that T(X) is dense in Y. Let  $f, g \in Y^*$ . Define  $h \in Y^*$  by h = f - g Suppose that  $T * (f) = T^*(g)$  Then  $T^*(h) = 0$ . So for each  $x \in X$ , h(T(x)) = 0. Let  $y \in Y$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . By continuity, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $y' \in Y$ , if  $\|y - y'\| < \delta$ , then  $\|h(y) - h(y')\| < \epsilon$ . Since T(X) is dense in Y, there exists  $x \in X$ 

such that  $||y - T(x)|| < \delta$ . Thus

$$||h(y)|| \le ||h(y) - h(T(x))|| + ||h(T(x))||$$
  
=  $||h(y) - h(T(x))||$   
<  $\epsilon$ 

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, ||h(y)|| = 0. This implies that h(y) = 0 and therefore f(y) = g(y). Since  $y \in Y$  is arbitrary, f = g and  $T^*$  is injective.

(4) For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $T^*(Y^*)$  is dense in  $X^*$  and T is not injective. Then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $T(x_1) = T(x_2)$ . Define  $x = x_1 - x_2$ . Then  $x \neq 0$  and T(x) = 0. A previous exercise implies that there exists  $F \in X^*$  such that  $F(x) = ||x|| \neq 0$  and ||F|| = 1. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $g \in Y^*$  such that  $||F - T^*(g)|| < \epsilon$ . Then

$$||x|| = |F(x)|$$

$$\leq |F(x) - T^*(g)(x)| + |T^*(g)(x)|$$

$$< \epsilon ||x|| + |g(T(x))|$$

$$= \epsilon ||x||$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we have that ||x|| = 0 which is a contradiction. Hence if  $T^*(Y^*)$  is dense in  $X^*$ , then T is injective.

Now, suppose that X is reflexive and T is injective. Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in X^{**}$ . Suppose that  $T^{**}(\phi_1) = T^{**}(\phi_2)$ . Then  $T^{**}(\phi_1 - \phi_2) = 0$ . Since X is reflexive, there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $\phi_1 = \hat{x_1}$  and  $\phi_2 = \hat{x_2}$ . Define  $x = x_1 - x_2$ . Then  $T^{**}(\hat{x}) = 0$ . So for each  $f \in Y^*$ ,

$$T^{**}(\hat{x})(f) = \hat{x} \circ T^{*}(f)$$

$$= \hat{x}(T^{*}(f))$$

$$= \hat{x}(f \circ T)$$

$$= f \circ T(x)$$

$$= f(T(x))$$

$$= 0$$

Suppose that  $T(x) \neq 0$ . Then a previous exercise implies that there exists  $g \in Y^*$  such that  $g(T(x)) = ||T(x)|| \neq 0$  and ||g|| = 1. This is a contradiction since g(T(x)) = 0. So T(x) = 0. Since T is injective, this implies that x = 0. Hence  $\hat{x} = 0$  and thus  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ . Thus  $T^{**}$  is injective. By (3), we have that  $T^*(Y^*)$  is dense in  $X^*$ .

**Exercise 4.2.18.** Let X be a normed vector space. Then X is reflexive iff  $X^*$  is reflexive.

*Proof.* Suppose that X is reflexive. Let  $\alpha \in X^{***}$ . Define  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $f(x) = \alpha(\hat{x})$ . Clearly f is linear and a previous exercise tells us that for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$|f(x)| \le ||\alpha|| ||\hat{x}||$$
$$= ||\alpha|| ||x||$$

So  $f \in X^*$ . Let  $\phi \in X^{**}$ . Since X is reflexive, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\phi = \hat{x}$ . Then

$$\alpha(\phi) = \alpha(\hat{x})$$

$$= f(x)$$

$$= \hat{x}(f)$$

$$= \hat{f}(\hat{x})$$

$$= \hat{f}(\phi)$$

Hence  $\alpha = \hat{f}$ . Thus the map  $X^* \to X^{***}$  given by  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is surjective and so  $X^*$  is reflexive.

Conversely, suppose that  $X^*$  is reflexive. Since  $\phi: X \to X^{**}$  given by  $\phi(x) = \hat{x}$  is an isometry,  $\widehat{X} \subset X^{**}$  is closed. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $\widehat{X} \neq X^{**}$ . Then there exists  $\alpha \in X^{**}$  such that  $\alpha \notin \widehat{X}$ . Thus there exists  $F \in X^{***}$  such that  $\|F\| = 1$ ,  $F(\alpha) = \|\alpha + \widehat{X}\| \neq 0$  and  $F|_{\widehat{X}} = 0$ . Since  $X^*$  is reflexive, there exists  $f \in X^*$  such that  $F = \widehat{f}$ . A previous exercise tells us that  $\|f\| = \|\widehat{f}\| = \|F\| = 1$ . Since for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) = \widehat{x}(f) = \widehat{f}(\widehat{x}) = F(\widehat{x}) = 0$ , we have that f = 0. Thus  $\|f\| = 0$ , a contradiction. So  $\widehat{X} = X^{**}$  and X is reflexive.

### 4.3. The Baire Category and Closed Graph Theorems.

**Theorem 4.3.1.** Let X, Y be Banach spaces and  $T \in L(X, Y)$ . If T is surjective, then T is open.

**Corollary 4.3.2.** Let X, Y be Banach spaces and  $T \in L(X, Y)$ . If T is a bijection, then  $T^{-1} \in L(X, Y)$ .

**Definition 4.3.1.** Let X, Y be sets and  $f: X \to Y$ . We define the **graph of f**,  $\Gamma(f)$ , by  $\Gamma(f) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : f(x) = y\}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.3.** Let X, Y be Banach spaces and  $T: X \to Y$  a linear map. If  $\Gamma(T)$  is closed, then  $T \in L(X, Y)$ .

Note 4.3.1. We recall that  $\Gamma(T)$  is closed iff for each  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ ,  $x\in X$  and  $y\in Y$ ,  $x_n\to x$  and  $T(x_n)\to y$  implies that T(x)=y.

**Theorem 4.3.4.** Let X, Y be Banach spaces and  $S \subset L(X, Y)$ . If for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\sup_{T \in S} \|Tx\| < \infty$$

then

$$\sup_{T \in S} \|T\| < \infty$$

**Exercise 4.3.2.** Let  $\mu$  be counting measure on  $(N, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ . Define  $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  and  $\nu$  on  $(N, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$  by h(n) = n and  $d\nu = hd\mu$ . Define  $X = L^1(\nu)$  and  $Y = L^1(\mu)$ . Equip both X and Y with the  $L^1$  norm with respect to  $\mu$ .

- (1) We have that X is a proper subspace of Y and therefore X is not complete.
- (2) Define  $T: X \to Y$  by Tf(n) = nf(n). Then T is linear,  $\Gamma(T)$  is closed, and T is unbounded.
- (3) Define  $S: Y \to X$  by  $Sg(n) = \frac{1}{n}g(n)$ . Then  $S \in L(Y,X)$ , S is surjective and S is not open.

Proof.

(1) Note that for each  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$||f||_{\mu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|f(n)|$$

$$= ||f||_{\nu,1}$$

Hence X is a subspace of Y. Define  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $f(n) = \frac{1}{n^2}$ . Then

$$||f||_{\mu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$$

So  $f \in Y$ . However

$$||f||_{\nu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$$

So  $f \notin X$ . Thus X is a proper subspace of Y. Let  $g \in Y$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since the simple functions are dense in  $L^1(\mu)$ , there exists  $\phi \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $\phi$  is simple and  $\|g - \phi\|_{\mu,1} < \epsilon$ . Then there exist  $(c_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $(E_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  such that for each  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $E_i$  is finite,  $i \neq j$  implies that  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  and

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \chi_{E_i}$$

Define  $c = \max\{|c_i| : i = 1, 2, \dots k\}$  and  $m = \max\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right]$ . Then

$$\|\phi\|_{\nu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{m} n|\phi(n)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m} mc$$

$$= cm^{2}$$

$$< \infty$$

Hence  $\phi \in X$  and X is dense in Y. Since X is a dense, proper subspace, it is not closed. Since Y is complete and  $X \subset Y$  is not closed, we have that X is not complete.

(2) Clearly T is linear. Let  $(f_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ ,  $f\in X$  and  $g\in Y$ . Suppose that  $f_j\xrightarrow{L^1(\mu)} f$  and  $Tf_j\xrightarrow{L^1(\mu)} g$ .

Note that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|f_j(n) - f(n)| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_j(n) - f(n)| = ||f_j - f||_{\mu,1}$$

and

$$|nf_j(n) - g(n)| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |nf_j(n) - g(n)| = ||Tf_j - g||_{\mu,1}$$

Thus for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_j(n) \xrightarrow{j} f(n)$  and  $nf_j(n) \xrightarrow{j} g(n)$ . This implies that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , nf(n) = g(n). Thus Tf = g which implies that  $\Gamma(T)$  is closed. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that T is bounded. Then there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that for each  $f \in X$ ,  $||Tf||_{\mu,1} \leq C||f||_{\mu,1}$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that n > C. Define  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $f = \chi_{\{n\}}$ . As established above,  $S^+ \subset L^1(\mu)$ . Then  $||f||_{\mu,1} = 1$  and

$$||Tf||_{\mu,1} = n$$
  
>  $C$   
=  $C||f||_{\mu,1}$ 

which is a contradiction. So T is unbounded.

(3) Clearly S is linear. Let  $g \in Y$ . Then

$$||Sg||_{\mu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |g(n)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g(n)|$$

$$= ||g||_{\mu,1}$$

So S is bounded and  $||S|| \le 1$ . Thus  $S \in L(Y, X)$ . Let  $f \in X$ . Define  $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$  by g(n) = nf(n). By definition,  $g \in Y$  and we have that

$$Sg(n) = \frac{1}{n}g(n)$$
$$= f(n)$$

Hence Sg = f and thus S is surjective. Let  $g \in Y$ . Suppose that Sg = 0. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |g(n)| = ||Sg|| = 0$$

Thus for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , g(n) = 0. Hence  $\ker S = \{0\}$  and S is injective. Note that for each  $A \subset Y$ ,  $S(A) = T^{-1}(A)$ . If S is open, then T is continuous which as shown above is a contradiction. So g is not open.

**Exercise 4.3.3.** Let  $X = C^1([0,1])$  and Y = C([0,1]). Equip both X and Y with the uniform norm.

- (1) Then X is not complete
- (2) Define  $T: X \to Y$  by Tf = f'. Then  $\Gamma(T)$  is closed and T is not bounded.

*Proof.* (1) Recall that for each  $a, b \ge 0$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(a^{\frac{1}{p}} + b^{\frac{1}{p}})^p = \sum_{n=0}^p \binom{p}{n} a^{\frac{n}{p}} b^{\frac{p-n}{p}} \ge a+b$$

Thus  $(a+b)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le a^{\frac{1}{p}} + b^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $f_n : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $f_n(x) = \sqrt{(x-\frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{n^2}}$ . Then  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ . Define  $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $f(x) = |x-\frac{1}{2}|$ . Then  $f \in Y \cap X^c$ . Note that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \leq f_n$ . Our observation above implies that for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$f_n(x) = \left[ (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq |x - \frac{1}{2}| + \frac{1}{n}$$

Thus  $0 \le f_n - f \le \frac{1}{n}$ . This implies that  $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{u}} f$ . Since  $f \notin X$ , X is not complete.

(2) Let  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ ,  $f\in X$  and  $g\in Y$ . Suppose that  $f_n\stackrel{\mathrm{u}}{\to} f$  and  $Tf_n\stackrel{\mathrm{u}}{\to} g$ . Let  $x\in[0,1]$ . Then  $f_n(x)\to f(x)$  and  $f_n(0)\to f(0)$  and  $f_n'\stackrel{\mathrm{u}}{\to} g$ . Applying the DCT to this sequence of integrable functions that converges uniformly to an integrable function on a finite measure space (a previous exercise) we have that

$$f_n(x) - f_n(0) = \int_{[0,x]} f'_n dm$$

$$\to \int_{[0,x]} g dm$$

Since  $f_n(x) - f_n(0) \to f(x) - f(0)$ , we know that

$$f(x) - f(0) = \int_{[0,x]} gdm$$

. Thus Tf = g and  $\Gamma(T)$  is closed.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that T is bounded. Then there exists  $C \ge 0$  such that for each  $f \in X$ ,  $||Tf|| \le C||f||$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that n > C. Define  $f \in X$  by  $f(x) = x^n$ . Then ||f|| = 1 and

$$||Tf|| = ||f'||$$

$$= n$$

$$> C$$

$$= C||f||$$

which is a contradiction. So T is not bounded.

**Exercise 4.3.4.** Let X, Y be Banach spaces and  $T \in L(X, Y)$ . Then  $X/\ker T \cong T(X)$  iff T(X) is closed.

*Proof.* Since X is a banach space and T is continuous, we have that  $\ker T$  is closed and  $X/\ker T$  is a Banach space. Suppose that  $X/\ker T \cong T(X)$ . Then T(X) is complete. Since Y is complete, this implies that T(X) is closed.

Conversely Suppose that T(X) is closed. Then T(X) is complete. Define  $S: X/\ker T \to T(X)$  by  $S(x+\ker T)=T(x)$ . A previous exercise tells us that the map  $S: X/\ker T \to T(X)$  defined by  $S(x+\ker T)=T(x)$  is a bounded linear bijection. Since T(X) is complete and S is surjective,  $S^{-1}$  is bounded and thus S is an isomorphism.

**Exercise 4.3.5.** Let X be a separable Banach space. Define  $B_X = \{x \in X : ||x|| < 1\}$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_X$  a dense subset of the unit ball and  $\mu$  the counting measure on  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ . Define  $T : L^1(\mu) \to X$  by

$$Tf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)x_n$$

Then

- (1) T is well defined and  $T \in L(L^1(\mu), X)$
- (2) T is surjective
- (3) There exists a closed subspace  $K \subset L^1(\mu)$  such that  $L^1(\mu)/K \cong X$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . Since X is complete and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||f(n)x_n|| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)|||x_n||$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)|$$

$$< \infty$$

we have that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)x_n$  converges and thus  $Tf \in X$ . Hence T is well defined.

Clearly T is linear. Let  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . Then

$$||Tf|| = ||\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)x_n||$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||f(n)x_n||$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)||$$

$$= ||f||_1$$

So T is bounded with  $||T|| \leq 1$ .

(2) Let  $x \in X$ . Suppose that ||x|| < 1. Then  $x \in B_X$ . So there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $||x - x_{n_1}|| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $2(x - x_{n_1}) \in B_X$ . Since for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B_X \setminus (x_n)_{n=1}^j$  is dense in  $B_X$ , there exists  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n_2} \notin (x_n)_{n=1}^{n_1}$  and  $||2(x - x_{n_1}) - x_{n_2}|| < \frac{1}{2}$  which implies that  $||x - (x_{n_1} - \frac{1}{2}x_{n_2})|| < \frac{1}{4}$ .

Proceed inductively to obtain a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each  $k\geq 2$ ,  $x_{n_k} \notin (x_n)_{n=1}^{n_{k-1}}$  and  $||x-\sum_{j=1}^k 2^{1-j}x_{n_j}|| < \frac{1}{2^k}$ . Then  $x=\sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{1-k}x_{n_k}$ .

Define  $f: \| \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-k} \chi_{\{n_k\}}$ . Then  $\|f\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-k} < \infty$ , so  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $Tf = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-k} x_{n_k} = x$ . Now, suppose that  $\|x\| \ge 1$ , then  $\frac{1}{2\|x\|} x \in B_X$ . The above argument shows that there exists  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $Tf = \frac{1}{2\|x\|} x$ . Then  $2\|x\|f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $T(2\|x\|f) = 2\|x\|Tf = x$ .

So for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  such that Tf = x and thus T is surjective.

(3) Since X is a Banach space and T is surjective, the previous exercise implies that  $L^1(\mu)/\ker T \cong X$ .

**Exercise 4.3.6.** Let X, Y be Banach spaces and  $T: X \to Y$  a linear map. If for each  $f \in Y^*$ ,  $f \circ T \in X^*$ , then  $T \in L(X, Y)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that for each  $f \in Y^*$ ,  $f \circ T \in X^*$ . Let  $x \in X$ ,

### 4.4. Banach Algebras.

**Definition 4.4.1.** Let X be a Banach space and an associative algebra. Then X is said to be a **Banach algebra** if for each  $S, T \in X$ ,  $||ST|| \le ||S|| ||T||$ . If there exists  $I \in X$  such that  $I \ne 0$  and for each  $T \in X$ , IT = TI = T, then X is said to be **unital** with identity I. An element  $T \in X$  is said to be **invertible** if there exists  $S \in X$  such that TS = ST = I.

**Exercise 4.4.2.** Let X be a unital Banach algebra. Then  $||I|| \le 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $I \neq 0$ ,  $||I|| \neq 0$ . By definition,

$$||I|| = ||II|| \le ||I|||I||$$

Hence  $1 \leq ||I||$ .

Note 4.4.1. If X is a Banach space, then a previous exercise implies that L(X, X) equipped with composition is a unital Banach algebra where I is the identity operator. It is easy to see that ||I|| = 1.

Note 4.4.2. Let X be a Banach algebra. Then the set of invertible elements in X is a group.

**Exercise 4.4.3.** Let X be a Banach algebra. Then mulitplication is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(S_1, T_1) \in X \times X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2(\|S_1\| + \|T_1\| + 1)}, \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}\}$ . Let  $(S_2, T_2) \in X \times X$ . Suppose that

$$||(S_1, T_1) = (S_2, T_2)|| = \max\{||S_2 - S_2||, ||T_1 - T_2||\} < \delta$$

Then

$$||S_{1}T_{1} - S_{2}T_{2}|| = ||S_{1}T_{1} - S_{2}T_{1} + S_{2}T_{1} - S_{2}T_{2}||$$

$$\leq ||S_{1} - S_{2}|| ||T_{1}|| + ||S_{2}|| ||T_{1} - T_{2}||$$

$$\leq ||S_{1} - S_{2}|| ||T_{1}|| + (||S_{1} - S_{2}|| + ||S_{1}||) ||T_{1} - T_{2}||$$

$$\leq \delta ||T_{1}|| + (\delta + ||S_{1}||) \delta$$

$$= \delta (||S_{1}|| + ||T_{1}||) + \delta^{2}$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

### 4.5. Differentiability.

**Definition 4.5.1.** Let X, Y be a Banach spaces,  $A \subset X$  open,  $f : A \to Y$ ,  $x_0 \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . Then f is said to be

(1) **right-hand-differentiable** at  $x_0$  in the direction x if the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

exists. If f is right-hand-differentiable at  $x_0$  in the direction x, we define the **right-hand derivative** of f at  $x_0$  in the direction x, denoted by  $d^+f(x_0;x)$ , to be the above limit.

(2) **left-hand-differentiable** at  $x_0$  in the direction x if the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

exists. If f is right-hand-differentiable at  $x_0$  in the direction x, we define the **left-hand derivative** of f at  $x_0$  in the direction x, denoted by  $d^-f(x_0;x)$ , to be the above limit.

(3) **differentiable** at  $x_0$  in the direction x if the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

exists. If f is differentiable at  $x_0$  in the direction x, we define the **derivative** of f at  $x_0$  in the direction x, denoted by  $df(x_0; x)$ , to be the above limit.

**Definition 4.5.2.** Let X, Y be a Banach spaces,  $A \subset X$  open,  $f : A \to Y$  and  $x_0 \in A$ . Then f is said to be

(1) **right-hand Gateaux differentiable** at  $x_0$  if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $d^+f(x_0; x)$  exits. We define the **right-hand Gateaux derivative** of f at  $x_0$ , denoted  $d^+f(x_0): X \to \mathbb{R}$ , to be

$$d^+f(x_0)(x) = d^+f(x_0;x)$$

(2) **left-hand Gateaux differentiable** at  $x_0$  if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $d^-f(x_0; x)$  exits. We define the **left-hand Gateaux derivative** of f at  $x_0$ , denoted  $d^-f(x_0): X \to \mathbb{R}$ , to be

$$d^-f(x_0)(x) = d^-f(x_0; x)$$

(3) Gateaux differentiable at  $x_0$  if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $df(x_0; x)$  exits. We define the Gateaux derivative of f at  $x_0$ , denoted  $df(x_0): X \to \mathbb{R}$ , to be

$$df(x_0)(x) = df(x_0; x)$$

Definition 4.5.3.

**Definition 4.5.4.** Let X, Y be a banach spaces,  $A \subset X$  open,  $f : A \to Y$  and  $x \in A$ . Then f is said to be **Frechet differentiable** at x if there exists  $\phi \in L(X, Y)$  such that,

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + \phi(h) + o(h)$$
 as  $h \to 0$ 

If f is Frechet differentiable at x, we define the **Frechet derivative** of f at x, denoted by  $Df(x): X \to Y$ , to be  $Df(x) = \phi$ .

**Exercise 4.5.5.** Let X, Y be a banach spaces and  $\phi \in L(X, Y)$ . If  $\phi(h) = o(h)$ , then  $\phi = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h_0 \in X$ . If  $h_0 = 0$ , then  $\phi(h_0) = 0$ . Suppose that  $h_0 \neq 0$ . Define  $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  by

$$h_n = \frac{h_0}{n}$$

Then  $h_n \to 0$ . By continuity of  $\phi$  and our initial assumption we have that

$$||h_0||^{-1}\phi(h_0) = \phi\left(\frac{h_0}{||h_0||}\right)$$
$$= \phi\left(\frac{h_n}{||h_n||}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\phi(h_n)}{||h_n||}$$
$$\to 0$$

which implies that  $||h_0||^{-1}\phi(h_0)=0$ . So  $\phi(h_0)=0$  and hence  $\phi=0$ .

**Exercise 4.5.6.** Let X, Y be a banach spaces,  $A \subset X$  open,  $f : A \to Y$  and  $x \in A$ . If f is Frechet differentiable at x, then its Frechet derivative is unique.

*Proof.* Suppose that there exist  $\phi, \psi \in L(X,Y)$  such that

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + \phi(h) + o(h)$$
 as  $h \to 0$ 

and

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + \psi(h) + o(h)$$
 as  $h \to 0$ 

Then  $\phi(h) - \psi(h) = o(h)$ . Since  $\phi - \psi \in L(X,Y)$ , the previous exercise implies that  $\phi = \psi$ .

4.6.  $l^p$  Spaces.

**Definition 4.6.1.** Let  $p \in [1, \infty] \cup \{0\}$ . We define

$$l^{p}(\mathbb{N}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} & p = 0 \\ \left\{ f \in l^{0}(\mathbb{N}) : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)|^{p} < \infty \right\} & p \in [1, \infty) \\ \left\{ f \in l^{0}(\mathbb{N}) : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)| < \infty \right\} & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

So  $l^0(\mathbb{N})$  consists of the sequences in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$  consists of the bounded sequences in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we define  $\|\cdot\|_p : l^p(\mathbb{N}) \to [0, \infty)$  by

$$||f||_p = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)|^p\right)^{1/p} & p \in [1, \infty) \\ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)| & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

### 5. Hilbert Spaces

**Definition 5.0.1.** Let H be a vector space and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \to \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is said to be an inner product on H if for each  $x, y, z \in H$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ 

- (1)  $\langle x, y + cz \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + c \langle x, z \rangle$
- (2)  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$
- $(3) \langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$
- (4) if  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ , then x = 0.

**Exercise 5.0.2.** Let H be an inner product space,  $(x_j)_{j=1}^n$ ,  $(y_j)_{j=1}^n \subset H$  and  $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^n$ ,  $(\beta_j)_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j y_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_i^* \beta_j \langle x_i, y_j \rangle$$

Proof. Clear.

**Definition 5.0.3.** Let H be an inner product space. Define the **induced norm**, denoted  $\|\cdot\|: H \to \mathbb{C}$ , by

$$||x|| = \langle x, x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

**Exercise 5.0.4.** Let H be an inner product space. Then the induced norm,  $\|\cdot\|: H \to \mathbb{C}$ , is a norm.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in H$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

- $(1) \|x + y\|$
- (2) Note that

$$||cx||^2 = \langle cx, cx \rangle$$
$$= c * c \langle x, x \rangle$$
$$= |c|^2 ||x||^2$$

So ||cx|| = |c|||x||

**Definition 5.0.5.** Let  $x_1, x_2 \in H$  and  $S \subset H$ . Then  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are said to be **orthogonal** if  $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = 0$  and S is said to be **orthogonal** if for each  $x_1, x_2 \in S$ ,  $x_1, x_2$  are orthogonal.

#### 6. Convexity

### 6.1. Introduction.

*Note* 6.1.1. In this section, we assume all vector spaces are real.

**Definition 6.1.1.** Let X be a vector space and  $A \subset X$ . Then A is said to be **convex** if for each  $x, y \in A$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $tx + (1 - t)y \in A$ .

**Definition 6.1.2.** Let X be a vector space and  $f: A \to (\infty, \infty]$ . Then f is said to be **convex** if for each  $x, y \in A$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$$

**Exercise 6.1.3.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be convex and  $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then f is convex iff for each  $x, y \in A$ , if  $x \neq y$  then for each  $z \in [x, y]$ ,

$$f(z) \le f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(z - x)$$

that is, between x and y, the graph of f lies below its secant line.

*Proof.* Suppose that f is convex. Let  $x, y \in A$ . Suppose that  $x \neq y$ . Define  $s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$s(z) = f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(z - x)$$

Let  $z \in [x, y]$ . Then there exists  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that z = tx + (1 - t)y. Then

$$s(z) = f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(z - x)$$

$$= f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(tx + (1 - t)y - x)$$

$$= f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}((1 - t)y - (1 - t)x)$$

$$= f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(1 - t)(y - x)$$

$$= f(x) + (f(y) - f(x))(1 - t)$$

$$= tf(x) + f(y)(1 - t)$$

$$\geq f(tx + (1 - t)y) \qquad \text{(by convexity)}$$

$$= f(z)$$

Conversely, suppose that for each  $x, y \in A$ , if  $x \neq y$  then for each  $z \in [x, y]$ ,

$$f(z) \le f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(z - x)$$

Let  $x, y \in A$ . Suppose that  $x \neq y$ . Define  $s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  as above. Let  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Put  $z = tx + (1 - t)y \in (x, y) \in [x, y]$ . Then as shown previously, s(z) = tf(x) + f(y)(1 - t). By assumption,

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) = f(z)$$

$$\leq s(z)$$

$$= tf(x) + f(y)(1 - t)$$

If 
$$x = y$$
, then  $f(tx + (1 - t)y) = tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y)$ . So f is convex.

**Exercise 6.1.4.** Let X be a vector space,  $f \in X^*$  and  $g: X \to (\infty, \infty]$  constant. Then f and g are convex.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Put c = g(0). Then

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) = tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y)$$

and

$$g(tx + (1-t)y) = c$$

$$= tc + (1-t)c$$

$$= tg(x) + (1-t)g(y)$$

So f and g are convex.

**Definition 6.1.5.** Let  $f: X \to (\infty, \infty]$ . Then f is said to be **affine** if there exists  $\phi \in X^*$ ,  $a \in R$  constant such that  $f = \phi + a$ .

**Exercise 6.1.6.** Let  $f: X \to (\infty, \infty]$ . If f is affine, then f is convex.

*Proof.* Suppose that f is affine. Then there exists  $\phi \in X^*$ ,  $a \in R$  constant such that  $f = \phi + a$ . Then  $\phi$  is convex and  $g: X \to (\infty, \infty]$  defined by g(x) = a is convex. So  $f = \phi + g$  is convex.

**Exercise 6.1.7.** Let X be a vector space,  $A \subset X$  convex,  $f, g : A \to (\infty, \infty]$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ . If f, g are convex, then

- (1) f + g is convex
- (2)  $\lambda f$  is convex

*Proof.* Suppose that f and g are convex. Let  $x, y \in A$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$(f + \lambda g)(tx + (1 - t)y) = f(tx + (1 - t)y) + \lambda g(tx + (1 - t)y)$$

$$\leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y) + t\lambda g(x) + (1 - t)\lambda g(y)$$

$$= t(f(x) + \lambda g(x)) + (1 - t)(f(y) + \lambda g(y))$$

$$= t(f + \lambda g)(x) + (1 - t)(f + \lambda g)(y)$$

**Exercise 6.1.8.** Let X be a vector space,  $A \subset X$  convex,  $f : \mathbb{R} \to (\infty, \infty]$  and  $g : A \to \mathbb{R}$ . If f is convex and increasing and g is convex, then  $f \circ g$  is convex.

*Proof.* Let  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $x,y \in A$ . Then convexity of g implies that

$$g(tx + (1-t)y) \le tg(x) + (1-t)g(y)$$

and we have

$$f \circ g(tx + (1 - t)y) = f(g(tx + (1 - t)y))$$

$$\leq f(tg(x) + (1 - t)g(y)) \qquad (f \text{ increasing})$$

$$\leq tf(g(x)) + (1 - t)f(g(y)) \qquad (f \text{ convex})$$

$$= tf \circ g(x) + (1 - t)f \circ g(y)$$

So  $f \circ g$  is convex.

**Definition 6.1.9.** Let X, Y be vector spaces,  $A \subset X \oplus Y$ . For  $y \in Y$ , define

$$A^y = \{ x \in X : (x, y) \in A \}$$

and  $f^y: A^y \to (\infty, \infty]$  by

$$f^y(x) = f(x, y)$$

**Exercise 6.1.10.** Let X, Y be vector spaces,  $A \subset X \oplus Y$  convex and  $f : A \to (\infty, \infty]$  convex. Then for each  $y \in \pi_2(A)$ ,

- (1)  $A^y$  is convex
- (2)  $f^y$  is convex

where  $\pi_2: X \times Y \to Y$ , the canonical projection of  $X \times Y$  onto Y given by  $\pi_2(x,y) = y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \pi_2(A)$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in A^y$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then by definition,  $(x_1, y)$ ,  $(x_2, y) \in A$ .

- (1) Convexity of A implies that  $(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2, y) \in A$ . Hence  $tx_1 + (1-t)x_2 \in A^y$  and  $A^y$  is convex.
- (2) Convexity of f implies that

$$f^{y}(tx_{1} + (1-t)x_{2}) = f(tx_{1} + (1-t)x_{2}, y)$$

$$= f(t(x_{1}, y) + (1-t)(x_{2}, y))$$

$$\leq tf(x_{1}, y) + (1-t)f(x_{2}, y)$$

$$= tf^{y}(x_{1}) + (t-t)f^{y}(x_{2})$$

and so  $f^y$  is convex.

**Exercise 6.1.11.** Let X, Y be vector spaces and  $A \subset X, B \subset Y$ . If A and B are convex, then  $A \times B \subset X \oplus Y$  is convex.

*Proof.* Suppose that A and B are convex. Let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in A \times B$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Convexity of A and B implies that  $tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2 \in A$  and  $ty_1 + (1 - t)y_2 \in B$ . Therefore

$$t(x_1, y_1) + (1 - t)(x_2, y_2) = (tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2, ty_1 + (1 - t)y_2)$$
  

$$\in A \times B$$

**Exercise 6.1.12.** Let X, Y be vector spaces and  $A \subset X, B \subset Y$  convex (implying that  $A \times B$  is convex) and  $f: A \times B \to (\infty, \infty]$  convex. Suppose that for each  $y \in B$ ,  $\{f(x, y) : x \in A\}$  is bounded below. Then  $\inf_{u \in B} f^y$  is convex

*Proof.* Put  $g = \inf_{y \in B} f^y$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in A$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in B$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Put  $y' = ty_1 + (1 - t)y_2$ . Then convexity of f implies that

$$g(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le f^{y'}(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2)$$

$$= f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2, ty_1 + (1-t)y_2)$$

$$= f(t(x_1, y_1) + (1-t)(x_2, y_2))$$

$$\le tf(x_1, y_1) + (1-t)f(x_2, y_2)$$

$$= tf^{y_1}(x_1) + (1-t)f^{y_2}(x_2)$$

Since  $y_1 \in B$  is arbitrary, we have that

$$g(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le tg(x_1) + (1-t)f^{y_2}(x_2)$$

Similarly, since  $y_2 \in B$  is arbitrary, we have that

$$g(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le tg(x_1) + (1-t)g(x_2)$$

and f is convex.

**Exercise 6.1.13.** Let X be a vector space,  $A \subset X$  convex and  $(f_{\lambda})_{{\lambda} \in {\Lambda}} \subset (\infty, \infty]^A$ . Suppose that for each  ${\lambda} \in {\Lambda}$ ,  $f_{\lambda}$  is convex. Then  $\sup_{{\lambda} \in {\Lambda}} f_{\lambda}$  is convex.

*Proof.* Define  $f = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_{\lambda}$ . Let  $x, y \in A, t \in [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then

$$f_{\lambda}(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf_{\lambda}(x) + (1-t)f_{\lambda}(y)$$
  
 
$$\le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$$

Since  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is arbitrary,  $f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$ .

### 6.2. Differentiability.

**Exercise 6.2.1.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex,  $x_0 \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . Define  $T = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : x_0 + tx \in A\}$ . Then there exist  $a, b \in (0, \infty]$  such that T = (-a, b).

*Proof.* Continuity of scalar multiplication and addition implies that T is an open neighborhood of 0. Let t>0 and  $s\in[0,t]$ . Then  $\frac{s}{t}\in[0,1]$  and by convexity of  $A,\ x_0+tx\in A$  implies that

$$x_0 + sx = \frac{s}{t}(x_0 + tx) + \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)x_0$$

$$\in A$$

Thus  $[0,t] \subset T$ . Similarly,  $x_0 - tx \in A$  implies that  $[-t,0] \subset T$ . Define  $a,b \in (0,\infty]$  by  $a = \sup\{t > 0 : x_0 - tx \in A\}$  and  $b = \sup\{t > 0 : x_0 + tx \in A\}$ . Then (-a,b) = T.

**Definition 6.2.2.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex,  $x_0 \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . Define T as in the previous exercise and choose  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $(-t_0, t_0) \subset T$ . For  $t \in (0, t_0)$ , define the difference quotient  $q: (-t_0, t_0) \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$q(t) = \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

**Exercise 6.2.3.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex,  $x_0 \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . Define  $t_0$  as above. Then

- (1) q(t) is increasing on  $(0, t_0)$
- (2) q(-t) decreasing on  $(0, t_0)$

(**Hint:** As an example, look at the graph of  $f(x) = x^2$ . For the algebra, start at the desired end inequality and work backwards)

*Proof.* Let  $s, t \in (0, t_0)$  and suppose that  $s \leq t$ . Then  $x_0 + sx$ ,  $x_0 + tx \in A$ . Note that since  $0 < s \leq t$ ,  $\frac{s}{t} \in (0, 1]$  and  $1 - \frac{s}{t} = \frac{t-s}{t} \in (0, 1]$ . Also, since A is convex, we have that

$$\left(\frac{t-s}{t}\right)x_0 + \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)(x_0 + tx) \in A$$

Convexity of f implies that

$$f(x_0 + sx) = f\left(\left(\frac{t - s}{t}\right)x_0 + \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)(x_0 + tx)\right)$$
  
$$\leq \left(\frac{t - s}{t}\right)f(x_0) + \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)f(x_0 + tx)$$

This implies that

$$tf(x_0 + sx) \le (t - s)f(x_0) + sf(x_0 + tx)$$

and after rearranging, we get

$$tf(x_0 + sx) - tf(x_0) \le sf(x_0 + tx) - sf(x_0)$$

and so finally, dividing both sides by st, we obtain

$$q(s) = \frac{f(x_0 + sx) - f(x_0)}{s}$$

$$\leq \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

$$= q(t)$$

as desired.

Similar to (1).

**Exercise 6.2.4.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex,  $x_0 \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . Define  $t_0$  as before. Then for each  $t \in (0, t_0)$ ,

$$q(-t) \le q(t)$$

(**Hint:** for sufficiently small t, convexity of f implies that  $f(x_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}f(x_0-2tx)+\frac{1}{2}f(x_0+2tx)$ )

(1) *Proof.* Choose  $t_0$  as in the previous exercise. Since convexity of f implies that for each  $t \in (0, t_0/2)$ ,

$$f(x_0) \le \frac{1}{2}f(x_0 - 2tx) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_0 + 2tx)$$

we have that for each  $t \in (0, t_0/2)$ ,

$$q(-2t) = \frac{f(x_0 - 2tx) - f(x_0)}{-2t}$$

$$\leq \frac{f(x_0 + 2tx) - f(x_0)}{2t}$$

$$= q(2t)$$

So for each  $t \in (0, t_0), q(-t) \leq q(t)$ .

**Exercise 6.2.5.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex and  $x_0 \in A$ . Then

- (1) f is left-hand and right-hand Gateaux differentiable at  $x_0$  with  $d^-f(x_0) \leq d^+f(x_0)$
- (2) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $d^-f(x_0)(x) = -d^+f(x_0)(-x)$

Proof.

(1) Let  $x \in X$ . Choose  $t_0 > 0$  as in the previous two exercises. Let  $t, u \in (0, t_0)$ . Choose  $s \in (0, \min(u, t))$ . The previous two exercises imply that

$$q(-u) \le q(-s)$$

$$\le q(s)$$

$$\le q(t)$$

and therefore q(t) is an upper bound for  $\{q(-u): u \in (0,t_0)\}$  and  $d^-f(x_0)(x) = \sup_{u \in (0,t_0)} q(-u)$  exists with  $d^-f(x_0)(x) \leq q(t)$ .

Since  $t \in (0, t_0)$  is arbitrary,  $d^-f(x_0)(x)$  is a lower bound for  $\{q(t) : t \in (0, t_0)\}$ . Therefore

$$d^+f(x_0)(x) = \inf_{t \in (0,t_0)} q(t)$$

exists with  $d^+f(x_0)(x) \ge d^-f(x_0)(x)$ .

(2) By definition, we have

$$d^{-}f(x_{0})(x) = \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(x_{0} + -tx) - f(x_{0})}{-t}$$

$$= -\lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(x_{0} + -tx) - f(x_{0})}{t}$$

$$= -d^{+}f(x_{0})(-x)$$

**Exercise 6.2.6.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex and  $x_0 \in A$ . Then  $d^+f(x_0) : X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a sublinear functional.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $k \ge 0$ . If k = 0, then clearly

$$d^+f(x_0)(kx) = kd^+(x_0)(x)$$

If k > 0. Then

$$d^{+}f(x_{0})(kx) = \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(x_{0} + tkx) - f(x_{0})}{t}$$
$$= k \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(x_{0} + tkx) - f(x_{0})}{tk}$$
$$= kd^{+}f(x_{0})(x)$$

Define  $t_0 > 0$  as before and let  $t \in (0, \frac{t_0}{2})$ . Note that

$$x_0 + tx + ty = \frac{1}{2}(x_0 + 2tx) + \frac{1}{2}(x_0 + 2ty)$$

Convexity of f implies that

$$f(x_0 + tx + ty) \le \frac{1}{2}f(x_0 + 2tx) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_0 + 2ty)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{f(x_0 + tx + ty) - f(x_0)}{t} \le \frac{f(x_0 + 2tx) - f(x_0)}{2t} + \frac{f(x_0 + 2ty) - f(x_0)}{2t}$$

Therefore

$$d^{+}f(x_{0})(x+y) = \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(x_{0} + t(x+y)) - f(x_{0})}{t}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(x_{0} + tx + ty) - f(x_{0})}{t}$$

$$\leq \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \left[ \frac{f(x_{0} + 2tx) - f(x_{0})}{2t} + \frac{f(x_{0} + 2ty) - f(x_{0})}{2t} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(x_{0} + 2tx) - f(x_{0})}{2t} + \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(x_{0} + 2ty) - f(x_{0})}{2t}$$

$$= d^{+}f(x_{0})(x) + d^{+}f(x_{0})(y)$$

**Exercise 6.2.7.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex and  $x_0 \in A$ . If f is continuous at  $x_0$ , then f is locally Lipschitz at  $x_0$ .

**Exercise 6.2.8.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex and  $x_0 \in A$ . If f is continuous at  $x_0$ , then  $d^+f(x_0)$  is continuous.

**Exercise 6.2.9.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex and  $x_0 \in A$ . If f is continuous at  $x_0$ , then there exists  $\phi \in X^*$  such that  $\phi \leq df(x_0)$ .

**Definition 6.2.10.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex and  $x_0 \in A$ . We define the **subdifferential of** f **at**  $x_0$ , denoted  $\partial f(x_0)$ , to be

$$\partial f(x_0) = \{ \phi \in X^* : \text{for each } x \in X, f(x_0) + \phi(x - x_0) \le f(x) \}$$

**Exercise 6.2.11.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  open and convex,  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  convex and  $x_0 \in A$ . If f is continuous at  $x_0$ , then  $\partial f(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in A$ . Note that The Hahn banach theorem implies that there exists  $\phi \in X^*$  such that  $\phi \leq d^+(x_0)$ 

6.3. Conjugacy.

**Definition 6.3.1.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  and  $f: A \to (\infty, \infty]$ . Define  $f^*: X^* \to (\infty, \infty]$  by

$$f^*(\phi) = \sup_{x \in A} \left[ \phi(x) - f(x) \right]$$

If X is a Hilbert space, we may define  $f^*: X \to (\infty, \infty]$  via the Riesz representation theorem by

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in A} \left[ \langle y, x \rangle - f(x) \right]$$

**Exercise 6.3.2.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  and  $f: A \to (\infty, \infty]$ . Then  $f^*$  is convex.

*Proof.* For  $x \in A$ , define  $g_x : X^* \to [\infty, \infty)$  by  $g_x(\phi) = \phi(x) - f(x)$ . Then for each  $x \in A$ ,  $g_x$  is convex since it is affine. Thus  $f^* = \sup_{x \in A} g_x$  is convex.

**Exercise 6.3.3.** Let X be a Banach space,  $A \subset X$  and  $f: A \to (\infty, \infty]$ . Then for each  $x \in X$  and  $\phi \in X^*$ ,  $f(x) \ge \phi(x) - f^*(\phi)$ .

*Proof.* Clear  $\Box$ 

Exercise 6.3.4.

**Definition 6.3.5.** Let

Definition 6.3.6.  $\partial f$ 

Exercise 6.3.7.

### 6.4. Functional Optimization.

**Exercise 6.4.1.** Let X be a Banach space,  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  a measure space,  $A \subset X$ ,  $K \in L^0(A, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\Lambda \subset L^0(S, A) \cap \{f : S \to A : K \circ f \in L^1(\mu)\}$ . Suppose that A and  $\Lambda$  are convex. Define  $\phi : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi f = \int K \circ f d\mu$$

Then K is convex implies that  $\phi$  is convex.

*Proof.* Suppose that K is convex. Let  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $f,g \in \Lambda$ . Convexity of K implies that for each  $s \in S$ ,

$$K[tf(s) + (1-t)g(s)] \le tK[f(s)] + (1-t)K[g(s)]$$

So

$$K \circ [tf + (1-t)g] \le tK \circ f + (1-t)K \circ g$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \phi[tf+(1-t)g] &= \int K \circ [tf+(1-t)g] d\mu \\ &\leq \int tK \circ f + (1-t)K \circ g d\mu \\ &= t \int K \circ f d\mu + (1-t) \int K \circ g d\mu \\ &= t \phi f + (1-t) \phi g \end{split}$$

and  $\phi$  is convex.

#### 7. Appendix

#### 7.1. Asymptotic Notation.

**Definition 7.1.1.** Let X be a topological space, Y, Z be normed vector spaces,  $f: X \to Y$ ,  $g: X \to Z$  and  $x_0 \in X \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then we write

$$f = o(g)$$
 as  $x \to x_0$ 

if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}$  such that U is open and for each  $x \in U$ ,

$$||f(x)|| \le \epsilon ||g(x)||$$

**Exercise 7.1.2.** Let X be a topological space, Y, Z be normed vector spaces,  $f: X \to Y$ ,  $g: X \to Z$  and  $x_0 \in X \cup \{\infty\}$ . If there exists  $U \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}$  such that U is open and for each  $x \in U \setminus \{x_0\}, g(x) > 0$ , then

$$f = o(g) \text{ as } x \to x_0 \text{ iff } \lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{\|f\|}{\|g\|} = 0$$