Introduction to Logic

Carson James

1

# Contents

N	fotation	vii
P	reface	1
	Review of Fundamentals 1.1 Set Theory	<b>3</b>
	Propositional Logic 2.1 Language	<b>5</b>

vi CONTENTS

## Notation

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{M}_+(X,\mathcal{A}) & \text{ finite measures on } (X,\mathcal{A}) \\ v & \text{ velocity} \end{array}$ 

viii Notation

## Preface

cc-by-nc-sa

2 Notation

### Chapter 1

### Review of Fundamentals

#### 1.1 Set Theory

Definition 1.1.0.1.

- We define  $[0] := \emptyset$  and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$ .
- Let S be a set and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define the **set of** k-tupels with entries in S, denoted  $S^k$ , by

$$S^k := \{u : [k] \to S\}$$

• Let S be a set. We define the set of all tuples with entries in S, denoted  $S^*$ , by

$$S^* := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} S^k$$

• Let S be a set and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define the **set of** k-**ary functions on** S, denoted  $\mathcal{F}^k(S)$ , by  $\mathcal{F}^k(S) := S^{(S^k)}$ . We define the **set of finitary functions on** S, denoted  $\mathcal{F}^*(S)$ , by

$$\mathcal{F}^*(S) := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{F}^k(S)$$

• Let S be a set. We define the function arity map, denoted arity:  $\mathcal{F}^*(S) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ , by

arity 
$$f := k$$
,  $f \in \mathcal{F}^k(S)$ 

• Let S be a set,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^*(S)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define the k-ary members of  $\mathcal{F}$ , denoted  $\mathcal{F}_k$ , by

$$\mathcal{F}_k := \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}^k(S)$$

• Let S be a set and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define the **set of** k-ary relations on S, denoted  $\mathcal{R}^k(S)$ , by  $\mathcal{R}^k(S) := \mathcal{P}(S^k)$ . We define the **set of finitary relations on** S, denoted  $\mathcal{R}^*(S)$ , by

$$\mathcal{R}^*(S) := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{R}^k(S)$$

• Let S be a set. We define the **arity map**, denoted arity:  $\mathcal{R}^*(S) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ , by

arity 
$$R := k$$
,  $f \in \mathcal{R}^k(S)$ 

• Let S be a set,  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}^*(S)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define the k-ary members of  $\mathcal{R}$ , denoted  $\mathcal{F}_k$ , by

$$\mathcal{R}_k := \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}^k(S)$$

**Definition 1.1.0.2.** Let S be a set,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^*(S)$  and  $C \subset S$ . Then C is said to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -closed if for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}_k$  and  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in C$ ,  $f(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in C$ .

**Exercise 1.1.0.3.** Let S be a set,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^*(S)$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(S)$ . If for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , C is  $\mathcal{F}$ -closed, then  $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -closed

*Proof.* Suppose that for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , C is  $\mathcal{F}$ -closed. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}_k$ ,  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C$  and  $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have that

$$a_1, \dots, a_k \in \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C$$

$$\subset C_0$$

Since  $C_0$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -closed, we have that  $f(a_1,\ldots,a_k)\in C_0$ . Since  $C_0\in\mathcal{C}$  is arbitrary, we have that for each  $C\in\mathcal{C}, f(a_1,\ldots,a_k)\in C$ . Hence  $f(a_1,\ldots,a_k)\in\bigcap_{C\in\mathcal{C}}C$ . Since  $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $a_1,\ldots,a_k\in\bigcap_{C\in\mathcal{C}}C$  are arbitrary, we have that  $\bigcap_{C\in\mathcal{C}}C$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -closed.

**Definition 1.1.0.4.** Let S be a set,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^*(S)$  and  $B, C \subset S$ . Then C is said to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -inductive over  $\mathcal{B}$  if

- 1. C is  $\mathcal{F}$ -closed
- 2.  $B \subset C$

### Chapter 2

## Propositional Logic

**Definition 2.0.0.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set,  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{C} \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{F}^{(\mathcal{F}^k)}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$  is said to be a **propositional calculus** if

- 1.  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{F}$ 
  - for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{C}_k$ ,  $f(p_1, \ldots, p_k) \in \mathcal{F}$
  - for each  $p \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists some tree  $f \in \mathcal{T}^k(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $\in \mathcal{F}^k$

define the alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  define the variables  $\mathcal{V}$  define the formulas  $\mathcal{F}$  define the connectives  $\mathcal{C}$  the formulas of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

Exercise 2.0.0.2.

#### 2.1 Language

**Definition 2.1.0.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  be sets and  $(n_f)_{f \in \mathcal{F}}$ ,  $(n_R)_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $\mathcal{L} := (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}, (n_f)_{f \in \mathcal{F}}, (n_R)_{R \in \mathcal{R}})$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be a **language**. We define the

- function symbols of  $\mathcal{L}$ , denoted  $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{L})$ , by  $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{L}) := \mathcal{F}$ ,
- relation symbols of  $\mathcal{L}$ , denoted  $Rel(\mathcal{L})$ , by  $Rel(\mathcal{L}) := \mathcal{R}$ ,
- constant symbols of  $\mathcal{L}$ , denoted  $Cons(\mathcal{L})$ , by  $Cons(\mathcal{L}) := \mathcal{C}$ .

For each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $R \in \mathcal{R}$ , we define the **arity** of f and R, denoted arity(f) and arity(R) respectively, by arity $(f) := n_f$  and arity $(R) := n_R$  respectively.

**Definition 2.1.0.2.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language, M a set,  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}} : \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathcal{F}^*(M)$ ,  $\phi_{\mathcal{R}} : \operatorname{Rel}(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathcal{R}^*(M)$  and  $\phi_{\mathcal{C}} : \operatorname{Cons}(\mathcal{L}) \to M$ . Set  $\mathcal{M} := (M, \phi_{\mathcal{F}}, \phi_{\mathcal{R}}, \phi_{\mathcal{C}})$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure on M if

- 1. for each  $f \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}(f) \in \mathcal{F}^{n_f}(M)$ ,
- 2. for each  $R \in \text{Rel}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\phi_{\mathcal{R}}(R) \in \mathcal{R}^{n_R}(M)$ .

Let  $f \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $R \in \text{Rel}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $c \in \text{Cons}(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}(f)$ ,  $\phi_{\mathcal{R}}(R)$  and  $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}(c)$  are said to be **interpretations** of f, R and c in M respectively.