

# INTRODUCTION TO PROBABILITY

CARSON JAMES

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Purpose	1
2. Probability Framework	1
3. Probability	1
3.1. Distributions	1
3.2. Independence	5
3.3. $L^p$ Spaces for Probability	8
3.4. Borel Cantelli Lemma	13
4. Conditional Expectation and Probability	15
4.1. Conditional Expectation	15
4.2. Conditional Probability	16
5. Markov Chains	19
6. Stochastic Integration	20

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Purpose.

## 2. PROBABILITY FRAMEWORK

## 3. PROBABILITY

### 3.1. Distributions.

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set and  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be a  $\pi$ -**system** on  $\Omega$  if for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Definition 3.1.2.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set and  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be a  $\lambda$ -**system** on  $\Omega$  if

- (1)  $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$
- (2) for each  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $A^c \in \mathcal{L}$
- (3) for each  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}$ , if  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is disjoint, then  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{L}$

**Exercise 3.1.3.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set and  $\mathcal{L}$  a  $\lambda$ -system on  $\Omega$ . Then

- (1)  $\Omega, \emptyset \in \mathcal{L}$

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

**Definition 3.1.4.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Put

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega) : \mathcal{L} \text{ is a } \lambda\text{-system on } \Omega \text{ and } \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{L}\}$$

We define the  $\lambda$ -system on  $\Omega$  generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\lambda(\mathcal{C})$ , to be

$$\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcap_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{L}$$

**Exercise 3.1.5.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\pi$ -system, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\pi$ -system. Then we need only verify the third axiom in the definition of a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ . Define  $B_1 = A_1$  and for  $n \geq 2$ , define  $B_n = A_n \cap \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k \right)^c = A_n \cap \left( \bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k^c \right) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is disjoint and therefore  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.6.** (Dynkin's Theorem)

Let  $\Omega$  be a set.

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $\pi$ -system on  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  a  $\lambda$ -system on  $\Omega$ . If  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{L}$ , then  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L}$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $\pi$ -system on  $\Omega$ . Then  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \lambda(\mathcal{P})$

**Exercise 3.1.7.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space and  $\mu, \nu$  probability measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Put  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \mu(A) = \nu(A)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system on  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.*

- (1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$ .
- (2) Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$ . Then  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A^c) &= 1 - \mu(A) \\ &= 1 - \nu(A) \\ &= \nu(A^c) \end{aligned}$$

So  $A^c \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$ .

- (3) Let  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$ . So for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu(A_n) = \nu(A_n)$ . Suppose that  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is disjoint. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu(A_n) \\ &= \nu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu, \nu}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 3.1.8.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space,  $\mu, \nu$  probability measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{A}$  a  $\pi$ -system on  $\Omega$ . Suppose that for each  $A \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ . Then for each  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ ,  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ .

*Proof.* Using the previous exercise, we see that  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\nu}$ . Dynkin's theorem implies that  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\nu}$ . So for each  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ ,  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.1.9.** Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $F$  is said to be a **probability distribution function** if

- (1)  $F$  is right continuous
- (2)  $F$  is increasing
- (3)  $F(-\infty) = 0$  and  $F(\infty) = 1$

**Definition 3.1.10.** Let  $P$  be a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . We define  $F_P : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$F_P(x) = P((-\infty, x])$$

We call  $F_P$  the **probability distribution function of  $P$** .

**Exercise 3.1.11.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability measure. Then  $F_P$  is a probability distribution function.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [x, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Then  $(x, x_n] \rightarrow \emptyset$  because  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x, x_n] = \emptyset$ . Thus

$$F(x_n) - F(x) = P((x, x_n]) \rightarrow P(\emptyset) = 0$$

This implies that

$$F(x_n) \rightarrow F(x)$$

. So  $F$  is right continuous.

- (2) Clearly  $F_P$  is increasing.
- (3) Continuity from below tells us that

$$F(-\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} F(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} P((-\infty, n]) = 0$$

and continuity from above tell us that

$$F(\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P((-\infty, n]) = 1$$

$\square$

**Exercise 3.1.12.** Let  $\mu, \nu$  be probability measures on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Then  $F_\mu = F_\nu$  iff  $\mu = \nu$ .

*Proof.* Clearly if  $\mu = \nu$ , then  $F_\mu = F_\nu$ . Conversely, suppose that  $F_\mu = F_\nu$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((-\infty, x]) &= F_\mu(x) \\ &= F_\nu(x) \\ &= \nu((-\infty, x]) \end{aligned}$$

Put  $\mathcal{C} = \{(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\pi$ -system and for each  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ . Hence for each  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ . So  $\mu = \nu$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.1.13.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $X$  is said to be a **random vector** on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  if  $X$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  measurable. If  $n = 1$ , then  $X$  is said to be a **random variable**. We define

$$L_n^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) = \{X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : X \text{ is a random vector}\}$$

and

$$L_n^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) = \left\{ X \in L_n^0 : \int \|X\|^p dP < \infty \right\}$$

**Definition 3.1.14.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X$  a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . We define the **probability distribution** of  $X$ ,  $P_X : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , to be the measure

$$P_X = X_*P$$

That is, for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$P_X(A) = P(X^{-1}(A))$$

We define the **probability distribution function** of  $X$ ,  $F_X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , to be

$$F_X = F_{P_X}$$

**Definition 3.1.15.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X$  a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . If  $P_X \ll m$ , we define the **probability density** of  $X$ ,  $f_X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$f_X = \frac{dP_X}{dm}$$

**Exercise 3.1.16.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n > x\right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n > x)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n > x \right\}$ . Then  $x < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \inf_{k \geq n} X_k(\omega) \right)$ . So there exists  $n^* \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x < \inf_{k \geq n^*} X_k(\omega)$ . Then for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq n^*$  implies that  $x < X_k(\omega)$ . So there exists  $n^* \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq n^*$  implies that  $\mathbf{1}_{\{X_k > x\}}(\omega) = 1$ . Hence  $\inf_{k \geq n^*} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_k > x\}}(\omega) = 1$ . Thus  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_k > x\}}(\omega) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \inf_{k \geq n} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_k > x\}}(\omega) \right) = 1$ . Therefore  $\omega \in \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{X_k > x\}$  and we have shown that

$$\left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n > x \right\} \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{X_k > x\}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n > x\right) &\leq P\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{X_k > x\}\right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\{X_k > x\}) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 3.1.17.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X \in L^+(\Omega) \cup L^1$ . Define the **expectation of  $X$** ,  $E[X]$ , to be

$$E[X] = \int X dP$$

### 3.2. Independence.

**Definition 3.2.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be **independent** if for each  $(A_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k\right) = \prod_{k=1}^n P(A_k)$$

**Definition 3.2.2.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$  are said to be **independent** if for each  $A_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ ,  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are independent.

**Note 3.2.3.** We will explicitly say that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_i$  is independent when talking about the independence of the elements of  $\mathcal{C}_i$  to avoid ambiguity.

**Definition 3.2.4.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Then  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are said to be **independent** if for each  $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $X_1^{-1}B_1, \dots, X_n^{-1}B_n$  are independent.

**Exercise 3.2.5.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Then  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent iff  $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$  are independent.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent. Let  $A_1 \in \sigma(X_1), \dots, A_n \in \sigma(X_n)$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there exists  $B_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $A_i = X_i^{-1}(B_i)$ . Then  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are independent. Hence  $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$  are independent. Conversely, suppose that  $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$  are independent. Let  $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $X_i^{-1}B_i \in \sigma(X_i)$ . Then  $X_1^{-1}B_1, \dots, X_n^{-1}B_n$  are independent. Hence  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.2.6.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$  a collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\Omega$ . Suppose that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $X_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}_i$ -measurable. If  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n$  are independent, then  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent.

*Proof.* For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\sigma(X_i) \subset \mathcal{F}_i$ . So  $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$  are independent. Hence  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.2.7.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_i$  is a  $\pi$ -system and  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$  are independent, then  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \dots, \sigma(\mathcal{C}_n)$  are independent.

*Proof.* Let  $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$ . Define  $\mathcal{L} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : P(A \cap A_2) = P(A)P(A_2)\}$ . Then

- (1)  $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}$
- (2) If  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} P(A^c \cap A_2) &= P(A_2) - P(A_2 \cap A) \\ &= P(A_2) - P(A_2)P(A) \\ &= (1 - P(A))P(A_2) \\ &= P(A^c)P(A_2) \end{aligned}$$

So  $A^c \in \mathcal{L}$

(3) If  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}$  is disjoint, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P\left(\left[\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right] \cap A_2\right) &= P\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \cap A_2\right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(B_n \cap A_2) \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(B_n)P(A_2) \\
 &= \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(B_n)\right]P(A_2) \\
 &= P\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right)P(A_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system. Since  $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}$  is a  $\pi$ -system, Dynkin's theorem tells us that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset \mathcal{L}$ . Since  $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$  is arbitrary  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  are independent. The same reasoning implies that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)$  and  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)$  are independent. Let  $A_2 \in \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ . We may do the same process with

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{F} : P\left(A \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=2}^n A_i\right)\right) = P(A) \prod_{i=2}^n P(A_i) \right\}$$

and conclude that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$  are independent. Which, using the same reasoning would imply that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1), \dots, \sigma(\mathcal{C}_n)$  are independent.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.2.8.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Then  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent iff for each  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x_i)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent. Then  $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$  are independent. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\{X_i \leq x_i\} \in \sigma(X_i)$ . Hence

$P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x_i)$ . Conversely, suppose that for each

$x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq x_i)$ . Define  $\mathcal{C} = \{(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , define  $\mathcal{C}_i = X_i^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ . Then for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_i$  is a  $\pi$ -system and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(\mathcal{C}_i) &= \sigma(X_i^{-1}(\mathcal{C})) \\
 &= X_i^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{C})) \\
 &= X_i^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})) \\
 &= \sigma(X_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

By assumption,  $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$  are independent. The previous exersies tells us that  $\sigma(X_1), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$  are independent. Then  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.2.9.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Define  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent, then

$$P_X = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}$$

.

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_X(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) &= P(X \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) \\ &= P(X_1 \in A_1, \dots, X_n \in A_n) \\ &= P(X_1 \in A_1) \cdots P(X_n \in A_n) \\ &= P_{X_1}(A_1) \cdots P_{X_n}(A_n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\mathcal{P} = \{A_1 \times \dots \times A_n : A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(R), \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(R)\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\pi$ -system and

$$\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{B}(R) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{B}(R) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

A previous exercise then tells us that  $P_X = \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}$  □

**Exercise 3.2.10.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in L^0$ . Suppose that  $f_1 \circ X_1, \dots, f_n \circ X_n \in L^+(\Omega)$  or  $f_1 \circ X_1, \dots, f_n \circ X_n \in L^1(\Omega)$ . If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent, then

$$E[f_1(X_1) \cdots f_n(X_n)] = \prod_{i=1}^n E[f_i(X_i)]$$

*Proof.* Define the random vector  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1) \cdots f_n(x_n)$ . Suppose that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $f_i \in L^+(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$g \in L^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and by change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned}
E[f_1(X_1) \cdots f_n(X_n)] &= E[g(X)] \\
&= \int_{\Omega} g \circ X dP \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dP_X(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) d \prod_{i=1}^n P_{X_i}(x) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_i(x) dP_{X_i}(x) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_i \circ X dP \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n E[f_i(X_i)]
\end{aligned}$$

If for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $f_i \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, P_{X_i})$ , then following the above reasoning with  $|g|$  tells us that  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, P_X)$  and we use change of variables and Fubini's theorem to get the same result.  $\square$

### 3.3. $L^p$ Spaces for Probability.

**Note 3.3.1.** Recall that for a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$  we have  $L^q \subset L^p$  and for each  $X \in L^q$ ,  $\|X\|_p \leq \|X\|_q$ . Also recall that for  $X, Y \in L^2$ , we have that  $\|XY\|_1 \leq \|X\|_2 \|Y\|_2$ .

**Definition 3.3.2.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X \in L^2$ . Define the **variance of X**,  $Var(X)$ , to be

$$Var(X) = E[(X - E[X])^2]$$

.

**Definition 3.3.3.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X, Y \in L^2$ . Define the

**Definition 3.3.4.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X, Y \in L^2$ . Define the **covariance of X and Y**,  $Cov(X, Y)$ , to be

$$Cov(X, Y) = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$$

**Exercise 3.3.5.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X, Y \in L^2$ . Then the covariance is well defined and  $Cov(X, Y)^2 \leq Var(X)Var(Y)$



*Proof.* By Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|Cov(X, Y)| &= \left| \int (X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])dP \right| \\
&\leq \int |(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])|dP \\
&= \|(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])\|_1 \\
&\leq \|X - E[X]\|_2 \|Y - E[Y]\|_2 \\
&= \left( \int |X - E[X]|^2 dP \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int |Y - E[Y]|^2 dP \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= Var(X)^{\frac{1}{2}} Var(Y)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

So  $Cov(X, Y)^2 \leq Var(X)Var(Y)$ . □

**Exercise 3.3.6.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a measure space and  $X, Y \in L^2$ . Then

- (1)  $Cov(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$
- (2) If  $X, Y$  are independent, then  $Cov(X, Y) = 0$
- (3)  $Var(X) = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$
- (4) for each  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Var(aX + b) = a^2 Var(X)$ .
- (5)  $Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y)$

*Proof.*

- (1) We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(X, Y) &= E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])] \\
&= E[XY - E[Y]X - E[X]Y + E[X]E[Y]] \\
&= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] - E[X]E[Y] + E[X]E[Y] \\
&= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]
\end{aligned}$$

- (2) Suppose that  $X, Y$  are independent. Then  $E[XY] = E[X]E[Y]$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(X, Y) &= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] \\
&= E[X]E[Y] - E[X]E[Y] \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

- (3) Part (1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(X) &= Cov(X, X) \\
&= E[X^2] - E[X]^2
\end{aligned}$$

- (4) Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(aX + b) &= E[(aX + b)^2] - E[aX + b]^2 \\
&= E[a^2X^2 + 2abX + b^2] - (aE[X] + b)^2 \\
&= a^2E[X^2] + 2abE[X] + b^2 - (a^2E[X]^2 + 2abE[X] + b^2) \\
&= a^2(E[X^2] - E[X]^2) \\
&= a^2Var(X)
\end{aligned}$$

(5) We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(X + Y) &= E[(X + Y)^2] - E[X + Y]^2 \\
 &= E[X^2 + 2XY + Y^2] - (E[X] + E[Y])^2 \\
 &= E[X^2] + 2E[XY] + E[Y^2] - (E[X]^2 + 2E[X]E[Y] + E[Y]^2) \\
 &= (E[X^2] - E[X]^2) + (E[Y^2] - E[Y]^2) + 2(E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]) \\
 &= \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + 2\text{Cov}(X, Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 3.3.7.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X, Y \in L^2$ . The **correlation of X and Y**,  $\text{Cor}(X, Y)$ , is defined to be

$$\text{Cor}(X, Y) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)\text{Var}(Y)}}$$

**Exercise 3.3.8.**

**Exercise 3.3.9.** Jensen's Inequality Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X \in L^1$  and  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\phi$  is convex, then

$$\phi(E[X]) \leq E[\phi(X)]$$

*Proof.* Put  $x_0 = E[X]$ . Since  $\phi$  is convex, there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi(x_0) = ax_0 + b$  and for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi(x) \geq ax + b$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[\phi(X)] &= \int \phi(X) dP \\
 &\geq \int [aX + b] dP \\
 &= a \int X dP + b \\
 &= aE[X] + b \\
 &= ax_0 + b \\
 &= \phi(x_0) \\
 &= \phi(E[X])
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 3.3.10.** Markov's Inequality: Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X \in L^+$ . Then for each  $a \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{E[X]}{a}$$

*Proof.* Let  $a \in (0, \infty)$ . Then  $a\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq a\}} \leq X\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq a\}}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} aP(X \geq a) &= \int a\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq a\}} dP \\ &= \int X\mathbf{1}_{\{X \geq a\}} dP \\ &\leq \int X dP \\ &= E[X] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{E[X]}{a}$$

□

**Exercise 3.3.11.** Chebychev's Inequality: Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X \in L^2$ . Then for each  $a \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$P(|X - E[X]| \geq a) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{a^2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $a \in (0, \infty)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X - E[X]| \geq a) &= P((X - E[X])^2 \geq a^2) \\ &\leq \frac{E[(X - E[X])^2]}{a^2} \\ &= \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{a^2} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 3.3.12.** Chernoff's Bound: Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X \in L^2$ . Then for each  $a, t \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$P(X \geq a) \leq e^{-ta} E[e^{tX}]$$

*Proof.* Let  $a, t \in (0, \infty)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \geq a) &= P(tX \geq ta) \\ &= P(e^{tX} \geq e^{ta}) \\ &\leq e^{-ta} E[e^{tX}] \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 3.3.13.** Weak Law of Large Numbers: Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2$ . Suppose that  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  are iid. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P} E[X_1]$$

*Proof.* Put  $\mu = E[X_1]$  and  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu \\ &= \mu \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - E[X_1]\right| \geq \epsilon\right) &= P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \\ &= P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right]\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)}{\epsilon^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2/n}{\epsilon^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P} E[X_1]$$

□

### 3.4. Borel Cantelli Lemma.

**Exercise 3.4.1. Borel Cantelli Lemma:** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

- (1) If  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) < \infty$ , then  $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = 0$ .
- (2) If  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are independent and  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) = \infty$ , then  $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = 1$ .

*Proof.*

- (1) Suppose that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) < \infty$ . Recall that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{A_n}(\omega) = \infty \right\}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int 1_{A_n} dP \\ &= \int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{A_n} dP \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 1_{A_n} < \infty$  a.e. and  $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = 0$ .

- (2) Suppose that  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are independent and  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(A_n) = \infty$ .

□

**Exercise 3.4.2.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^0$  and  $X \in L^0$ .

- (1) If for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) < \infty$ , then  $X_n \rightarrow X$  a.e.
- (2) If  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are independent and there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) = \infty$ , then  $X_n \not\rightarrow X$  a.e.

*Proof.*

- (1) For  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $A_n(\epsilon) = \{\omega \in \Omega : |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| \geq \epsilon\}$ . Suppose that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) < \infty$ . The Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(1/m)) = 0$$

Let  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then  $X_n(\omega) \not\rightarrow X(\omega)$  iff

$$\omega \in \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(1/m)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X_n \not\rightarrow X) &= P\left(\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(1/m)\right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(1/m)) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) Hence  $X_n \rightarrow X$  a.e.

□

## 4. CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION AND PROBABILITY

## 4.1. Conditional Expectation.

**Exercise 4.1.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $\mathcal{G}$  a sub  $\sigma$ -alg of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Define  $P_{\mathcal{G}} = P|_{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $Q : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $Q(G) = \int_G X dP$ . Then  $Q$  is finite. and  $Q \ll P_{\mathcal{G}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $X \in L^1$ , for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(G)| &= \left| \int_G X dP \right| \\ &\leq \int_G |X| dP \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

So  $Q$  is finite. Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Suppose that  $P_{\mathcal{G}}(G) = 0$ . By definition then,  $P(G) = 0$ . So  $Q(G) = 0$  and  $Q \ll P_{\mathcal{G}}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.1.2.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $\mathcal{G}$  a sub  $\sigma$ -alg of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $X, Y \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Then  $Y$  is said to be a **conditional expectation of  $X$  given  $\mathcal{G}$**  if

- (1)  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable
- (2) for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\int_G Y dP = \int_G X dP$$

To denote this, we write  $Y = E[X|\mathcal{G}]$

**Exercise 4.1.3.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $\mathcal{G}$  a sub  $\sigma$ -alg of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Define  $Q$  and  $P_{\mathcal{G}}$  as in the previous exercise. Define  $Y = dQ/dP_{\mathcal{G}}$ . Then  $Y$  is a conditional expectation of  $X$  given  $\mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* By definition of the Radon-Nikodym derivative,  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable and by the Radon-Nikodym theorem,  $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  implies that  $Y \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P_{\mathcal{G}})$ . An exercise in section 3.3 of [?], implies that for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\int_G Y dP = \int_G X dP$$

$\square$

**Exercise 4.1.4. (Doob–Dynkin Lemma)**

Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty set,  $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}')$  a measurable space  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  and  $Z : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $\text{Im } X \in \mathcal{F}'$ . Then  $Z$  is  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  measurable iff there exists  $\phi : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{F}'$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  measurable and  $Z = \phi \circ X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $\phi : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{F}'$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  measurable and  $Z = \phi \circ X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{F}'$  measurable,  $Z = \phi \circ X$  is  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  measurable. Conversely, suppose that  $Z$  is  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  measurable. For now, suppose that  $n = 1$  and  $Z$  is simple. Then there exists a partition  $(A_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \sigma(X)$  of  $\Omega$  and  $(a_i)_{i=1}^k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i 1_{A_i}$$

By definition of  $\sigma(X)$ , there exists a partition  $(B_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \mathcal{F}'$  such that for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $A_i = X^{-1}(B_i)$ . Define

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i 1_{B_i}$$

Since  $(B_i)_{i=1}^k$  partitions  $\Omega'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ X &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i 1_{X^{-1}(B_i)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i 1_{A_i} \\ &= Z \end{aligned}$$

More generally, if  $Z$  is  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable, there exists a sequence  $(Z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of simple  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable functions such that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$   $0 \leq |Z_j| \leq |Z_{j+1}| \leq |Z|$  and  $Z_j \xrightarrow{\text{p.w.}} Z$ .

Therefore, as shown previously, there exists a sequence  $(\phi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{F}'$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable simple functions such that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Z_j = \phi_j \circ X$ . Let  $b \in \text{Im } X$ . Then there exists  $a \in \Omega$  such that  $X(a) = b$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j(b) &= \phi_j \circ X(a) \\ &= Z_j(a) \\ &\rightarrow Z(a) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may define  $\phi : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi_j 1_{\text{Im } X}$$

Then  $\phi$  is measurable since  $\text{Im } X \in \mathcal{F}'$  and  $Z = \phi \circ X$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , we may write  $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$  where for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $Z_i$  is  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable and apply the result from above to obtain  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$  where for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\phi_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}'$ - $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable and  $Z_i = \phi_i \circ X$ . Then  $Z = \phi \circ X$ .  $\square$

## 4.2. Conditional Probability.

**Definition 4.2.1.** Let  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space,  $(B, \mathcal{B}, P_Y)$  a probability space and  $Q : B \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Then  $Q$  is said to be a **stochastic transition kernel from  $(B, \mathcal{B}, P)$  to  $(A, \mathcal{A})$**  if

- (1) for each  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $Q(\cdot, E)$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable
- (2) for  $P$ -a.e.  $b \in B$ ,  $Q(b, \cdot)$  is a probability measure on  $(A, \mathcal{A})$

**Definition 4.2.2.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X, Y \in L_n^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Then  $Q$  is said to be a **conditional probability distribution of  $X$  given  $Y$**  if

- (1)  $Q$  is a stochastic transition kernel from  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n), P_Y)$  to  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$
- (2) for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\int_B Q(y, A) dP_Y(y) = P(X \in A, Y \in B)$$



**Note 4.2.3.** It is helpful to connect this notion of conditional probability with the elementary one by writing  $Q(y, A) = P(X \in A | Y = y)$ . If  $P_Y \ll \mu$ , then property (2) in the definition becomes

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \in A, Y \in B) &= \int_B Q(y, A) dP_Y(y) \\ &= \int_B P(X \in A | Y = y) f_Y(y) d\mu(y) \end{aligned}$$

as in a first course on probability.

**Exercise 4.2.4.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X, Y \in L_n^0$  and  $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Suppose that for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $Q(\cdot, A)$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -measurable, for  $P_Y$ -a.e.  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $P_{X|Y}(y, \cdot)$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $Q(Y, A) = P(X \in A | Y)$  a.e. Then  $Q$  is a conditional probability of  $X$  given  $Y$ .

*Proof.* By assumption, for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $Q(\cdot, A)$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -measurable and for  $P_Y$ -a.e.  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $Q(y, \cdot)$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B Q(y, A) dP_Y(y) &= \int_{Y^{-1}(B)} Q(Y(\omega), A) dP(\omega) \\ &= \int_{Y^{-1}(B)} P(X \in A | Y) dP \\ &= \int_{Y^{-1}(B)} E[1_{X^{-1}(A)} | Y] dP \\ &= \int_{Y^{-1}(B)} 1_{X^{-1}(A)} dP \\ &= \int 1_{X^{-1}(A)} 1_{Y^{-1}(B)} dP \\ &= \int 1_{X^{-1}(A) \cap Y^{-1}(B)} dP \\ &= P(X \in A, Y \in B) \end{aligned}$$

So  $Q$  is a conditional probability distribution of  $X$  given  $Y$ . □

**Definition 4.2.5.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X, Y \in L_n^0$  and  $\mu$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Suppose that  $P_X, P_Y \ll \mu$ . Then  $P_{X,Y} \ll \mu^2$ . Let  $f_X = dP_X/d\mu$ ,  $f_Y = dP_Y/d\mu$  and  $f_{X,Y} = dP_{X,Y}/d\mu^2$ . Define  $f_{X|Y} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$f_{X|Y}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{f_Y(y)}, & y \in \text{supp } Y \\ 0, & y \notin \text{supp } Y \end{cases}$$

Then  $f_{X|Y}$  is called the **conditional probability density of  $X$  given  $Y$** .

**Exercise 4.2.6.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X, Y \in L_n^0$  and  $\mu$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Suppose that  $P_X, P_Y \ll \mu$ . Define  $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$Q(y, A) = \int_A f_{X|Y}(x, y) d\mu(x)$$

Then  $Q$  is a conditional probability distribution of  $X$  given  $Y$ .

*Proof.* By the Fubini-Tonelli Theorem, for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $Q(\cdot, A)$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -measurable and for  $P_Y$ -a.e.  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $Q(y, \cdot)$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_B Q(y, A) dP_Y(y) &= \int_B \left[ \int_A f_{X|Y}(x, y) d\mu(x) \right] dP_Y(y) \\
 &= \int_{B \cap \text{supp } Y} \left[ \int_{A \cap \text{supp } Y} \frac{f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{f_Y(y)} d\mu(x) f_Y(y) \right] d\mu(y) \\
 &= \int_{B \cap \text{supp } Y} \left[ \int_A f_{X,Y}(x, y) d\mu(x) \right] d\mu(y) \\
 &= P(X \in A, Y \in B \cap \text{supp } Y) \\
 &= P(X \in A, Y \in B)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.2.7.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X, Y \in L_n^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Suppose that  $\text{Im } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists a conditional probability distribution of  $Y$  given  $X$ .

## 5. MARKOV CHAINS

**Definition 5.0.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in L_n^0$ . Then  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is said to be a **homogeneous Markov chain** if for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P(X_n \in A | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = P(X_1 \in A | X_0)$  a.e.

## 6. STOCHASTIC INTEGRATION

**Exercise 6.0.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X$  a set  $\mathcal{A}_0$  an algebra,  $\mu_0 : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $B : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Suppose that

- (1)  $B(\emptyset) = 0$
- (2) for each  $E, F \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , if  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $B(E \cup F) = B(E) + B(F)$
- (3)  $E[B(E)B(F)^*] = \mu_0(E \cap F)$

Then

- (1) for each  $E \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,  $\mu_0(E) = E[|B(E)|^2]$ .
- (2) for each  $E \in \mathcal{A}_0$ ,  $0 \leq \mu_0(E) < \infty$
- (3) for each  $E, F \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , if  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $\mu_0(E \cup F) = \mu_0(E) + \mu_0(F)$

*Proof.*

- (1) Clear
- (2) Clear
- (3) Let  $E, F \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Suppose that  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[B(E)B(F)^*] &= \mu_0(E \cap F) \\
 &= \mu_0(\emptyset) \\
 &= E[|B(\emptyset)|^2] \\
 &= E[0] \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_0(E \cup F) &= E[|B(E \cup F)|^2] \\
 &= E[|B(E) + B(F)|^2] \\
 &= E[|B(E)|^2] + E[|B(F)|^2] + 2\operatorname{Re}E[B(E)B(F)^*] \\
 &= \mu_0(E) + \mu_0(F) + 0 \\
 &= \mu_0(E) + \mu_0(F)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 6.0.2.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $X$  a set  $\mathcal{A}_0$  an algebra,  $\mu_0 : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a premeasure and  $B : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Suppose that

- (1)  $B(\emptyset) = 0$
- (2) for each  $E, F \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , if  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $B(E \cup F) = B(E) + B(F)$
- (3)  $E[B(E)B(F)^*] = \mu_0(E \cap F)$

Then  $B$  is said to be a **stochastic premeasure with sturcture**  $\mu_0$