

Introduction to Group Theory

Carson James

Contents

Notation	vii
Preface	1
0.1 Category Theory	2
1 Representation Theory	3
1.1 Representations of Groups	3
1.1.1 The Unitary Group	3
1.1.2 Unitary representations	3
1.2 Tannaka Duality	5
2 Groupoids	7

Notation

$\mathcal{M}_+(X, \mathcal{A})$	finite measures on (X, \mathcal{A})
v	velocity

Preface

cc-by-nc-sa

0.1 Category Theory

- **Hilb**:
 - $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{Hilb}) = \{H : H \text{ is a Hilbert space}\}$
 - $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_1, H_2) = \{T \in \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}(H_1, H_2) : T \text{ is continuous}\}$
- **Mon**

Chapter 1

Representation Theory

1.1 Representations of Groups

1.1.1 The Unitary Group

Definition 1.1.1.1. Let $H_1, H_2 \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Hilb})$. We define the **unitary group from H_1 to H_2** , denoted $U(H_1, H_2)$, by

$$U(H_1, H_2) = \{T \in \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_1, H_2) : T^* = T^{-1}\}$$

We write $U(H)$ in place of $U(H, H)$. We equip $U(H_1, H_2)$ with the strong operator topology.

Exercise 1.1.1.2. Let $H \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Hilb})$. Then $\mathcal{T}_{U(H)}^s = \mathcal{T}_{U(H)}^w$. [strong weak operator topologies coincide](#)

Exercise 1.1.1.3. Let $H \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Hilb})$. Then $U(H)$ is a topological group.

Proof. content...

□

1.1.2 Unitary representations

Definition 1.1.2.1. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$, $H \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Hilb})$ and $\pi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopGrp}}(G, U(H))$. Then (H, π) is said to be a **unitary representation of G** . We define the **dimension of (H, π)** , denoted $\dim(H, \pi)$, by $\dim(H, \pi) := \dim V$.

Definition 1.1.2.2. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$, (H_π, π) , (H_ρ, ρ) unitary representations of G and $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_\pi, H_\rho)$. Then T is said to be **(π, ρ) -equivariant** if for each $g \in G$, $T \circ \pi(g) = \rho(g) \circ T$, i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_\pi & \xrightarrow{T} & H_\rho \\ \pi(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho(g) \\ H_\pi & \xrightarrow{T} & H_\rho \end{array}$$

Definition 1.1.2.3. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$. We define **$\mathbf{URep}(G)$** by

- $\text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G)) = \{(H, \pi) : (H, \pi) \text{ is a unitary representation of } G\}$.
- for $(H_\pi, \pi), (H_\rho, \rho) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_\pi, \pi), (H_\rho, \rho)) = \{T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_\pi, H_\rho) : T \text{ is } (\pi, \rho)\text{-equivariant}\}$$

- for $(H_\pi, \pi), (H_\rho, \rho), (H_\mu, \mu) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$, $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_\pi, \pi), (H_\rho, \rho))$ and $S \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_\rho, \rho), (H_\mu, \mu))$,

$$S \circ_{\mathbf{URep}(G)} T = S \circ T$$

Exercise 1.1.2.4. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$. Then **$\mathbf{URep}(G)$** is a category.

Proof. **FINISH!!!** □

Definition 1.1.2.5. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$, $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ and $E \subset H$ a subspace. Then E is said to be

- **nontrivial** if $E \neq H, \emptyset$
- **(H, π) -invariant** if for each $g \in G$, $\pi(g)(E) \subset E$

Definition 1.1.2.6. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Grp})$ and $\mathbb{K} \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Field})$ and $(V, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rep}(G, \mathbb{K}))$. Then (V, π) is said to be **irriducible** if for each subspace $E \subset V$, E is trivial or E is not (V, π) -invariant.

Definition 1.1.2.7. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$. Let $(H_\pi, \pi), (H_\rho, \rho) \in \mathbf{URep}(G)$. Then (H_π, π) and (H_ρ, ρ) are said to be **unitarily equivalent** if there exists $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_\pi, \pi), (H_\rho, \rho)) \cap U(H_\pi, H_\rho) \neq \emptyset$.

1.2 Tannaka Duality

Definition 1.2.0.1. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$. We define the **forgetful functor from $\mathbf{URep}(G)$ to \mathbf{Hilb}** , denoted $U : \mathbf{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}$, by

- $U(H, \pi) = H, \quad (H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$
- $U(T) = T, \quad T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_\pi, \pi), (H_\rho, \rho)).$

Need to find out if quotienting by equivalence of isomorphism makes $\mathbf{URep}(G)$ a small category so that we can talk about the functor category $\mathbf{Hilb}^{\mathbf{URep}(G)}$ containing the forgetful functor as an object.

Definition 1.2.0.2. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ and $g \in G$. We define $\hat{g} : U \Rightarrow U$ by

$$\hat{g}_{(H, \pi)} = \pi(g)$$

Exercise 1.2.0.3. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ and $g \in G$. Then

1. $\hat{g} : U \Rightarrow U$ is a natural transformation.
2. $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Hilb}^{\mathbf{URep}(G)}}(U)$

Proof.

1. (a) Let $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{(H, \pi)} &= \pi(g) \\ &\in U(H) \\ &\subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(U(H, \pi)) \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Let $(H_\pi, \pi), (H_\rho, \rho) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ and $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_\pi, \pi), (H_\rho, \rho))$. By definition, $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_\pi, H_\rho)$ and T is (π, ρ) -equivariant. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} U(T) \circ \hat{g}_{(H_\pi, \pi)} &= T \circ \pi(g) \\ &= \rho(g) \circ T \\ &= \hat{g}_{(H_\rho, \rho)} \circ U(T) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(H_\pi, \pi) & \xrightarrow{\hat{g}_{(H_\pi, \pi)}} & U(H_\pi, \pi) \\ U(T) \downarrow & & \downarrow U(T) \\ U(H_\rho, \rho) & \xrightarrow{\hat{g}_{(H_\rho, \rho)}} & U(H_\rho, \rho) \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccc} H_\pi & \xrightarrow{\pi(g)} & H_\pi \\ T \downarrow & & \downarrow T \\ H_\rho & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & H_\rho \end{array}$$

Thus $\hat{g} : U \Rightarrow U$ is a natural transformation.

2. Set $h = g^{-1}$. Part (1) implies that $\hat{g}, \hat{h} \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Hilb}^{\mathbf{URep}(G)}}(U)$. Let $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$. Then

$$(\hat{g} \circ \hat{h})_{(H, \pi)} = \hat{g}_{(H, \pi)}$$

The previous part implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g} &\in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopVect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{Rep}(G, \mathbb{C})}}(U, U) \\ &= \text{End}_{\mathbf{TopVect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{Rep}(G, \mathbb{C})}}(U) \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 1.2.0.4. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ and $(V, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rep}(G, \mathbb{C}))$. We define the (V, π) -**projection**, denoted $\pi_{(V, \pi)} : \text{End}_{\mathbf{TopVect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{Rep}(G, \mathbb{C})}}(U) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{TopVect}_{\mathbb{C}}}(V)$, by $\pi_{(V, \pi)}(\alpha) = \alpha_{(V, \pi)}$. We define the **topology of endomorphisms of U** , denoted $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}(U)}$, by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}(U)} = \tau(\pi_{(V, \pi)} : (V, \pi) \in \mathbf{Rep}(G, \mathbb{C}))$$

Definition 1.2.0.5. [define addition of endomorphisms of \$U\$ pointwise](#)

Exercise 1.2.0.6. Let $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$. Then $(\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{TopVect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathbf{Rep}(G, \mathbb{C})}}(U), \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}(U)})$ is a topological unital algebra.

Proof.

□

Chapter 2

Groupoids

Definition 2.0.0.1.

Bibliography

- [1] [Introduction to Algebra](#)
- [2] [Introduction to Analysis](#)
- [3] [Introduction to Fourier Analysis](#)
- [4] [Introduction to Measure and Integration](#)