





# Introduction to Harmonic Analysis

Carson James



# Contents

Notation	vii
Preface	1
<b>1 Fourier Analysis on <math>\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)</math></b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Schwartz Space . . . . .	3
1.2 Position and Momentum Operators . . . . .	10
1.3 Translation and Rotation Operators . . . . .	13
1.4 Dilation and Concentration Operators . . . . .	17
1.5 The Convolution on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . . . . .	20
1.6 The Fourier Transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . . . . .	24
1.7 Tempered Distributions . . . . .	33
1.8 The Fourier Transform on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ . . . . .	34
<b>2 Fourier Analysis on <math>\mathbb{R}^n</math></b>	<b>37</b>
2.1 Schwartz Space . . . . .	37
2.2 The Convolution . . . . .	38
2.3 The Fourier Transform . . . . .	41
<b>3 Fourier Analysis on LCA Groups</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1 The Convolution . . . . .	43
<b>4 Fourier Analysis on Banach Spaces</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>A Summation</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>B Asymptotic Notation</b>	<b>49</b>



# Notation

$\mathcal{M}_+(X, \mathcal{A})$	finite measures on $(X, \mathcal{A})$
$v$	velocity





# Preface

cc-by-nc-sa



# Chapter 1

## Fourier Analysis on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

### 1.1 Schwartz Space

**Definition 1.1.0.1.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define

1.  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_j x_j y_j$
2.  $|x| = \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2}$
3.  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$
4.  $\alpha! = \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j!$
5.  $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$
6.  $\partial^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$
7.  $\Omega_\alpha = \{(\beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \times \mathbb{N}_0^n : \beta + \gamma = \alpha\}$

**Exercise 1.1.0.2.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose that  $\alpha_j > 0$ . Set  $\eta = \alpha - e_j$ . Then

1.  $\Omega_\eta = \{(\beta - e_j, \gamma) : (\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \text{ and } \beta_j > 0\}$
2.  $\Omega_\eta = \{(\beta, \gamma - e_j) : (\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \text{ and } \gamma_j > 0\}$

*Proof.*

1. Set  $A = \{(\beta - e_j, \gamma) : (\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \text{ and } \beta_j > 0\}$ . Let  $(\mu, \nu) \in \Omega_\eta$ . Set  $\beta = \mu + e_j$  and  $\gamma = \nu$ . Then  $\beta_j > 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta + \gamma &= \mu + e_j + \nu \\ &= \eta + e_j \\ &= \alpha\end{aligned}$$

So  $(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu, \nu) &= (\beta - e_j, \gamma) \\ &\in A\end{aligned}$$

and  $\Omega_\eta \subset A$ .

Conversely, let  $(\mu, \nu) \in A$ . Then there exists  $(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha$  such that  $\beta_j > 0$  and  $(\mu, \nu) = (\beta - e_j, \gamma)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu + \nu &= \beta - e_j + \gamma \\ &= \alpha - e_j \\ &= \eta\end{aligned}$$

So that  $(\mu, \nu) \in \Omega_\eta$  and  $A \subset \Omega_\eta$ . Thus  $\Omega_\eta = A$ .

2. Similar to (1). □

**Exercise 1.1.0.3.** Let  $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,

$$\partial^\alpha(fg) = \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . The claim is true if  $|\alpha| = 0$ . Let  $k > 0$ . Suppose that  $|\alpha| > 0$  and that the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k - 1$  so that for each  $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\eta| = k - 1$  implies that

$$\partial^\eta(fg) = \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\eta} \frac{\eta!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g)$$

Since  $|\alpha| > 0$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_j > 0$ . Define  $\eta = \alpha - e_j$ . Then the previous exercise implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha(fg) &= \partial_j[\partial^\eta(fg)] \\ &= \partial_j \left[ \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\eta} \frac{\eta!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \right] \\ &= \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\eta} \frac{\eta!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^{\beta+e_j} f)(\partial^\gamma g) + \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\eta} \frac{\eta!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^{\gamma+e_j} g) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j > 0}} \frac{(\alpha - e_j)!}{(\beta - e_j)! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) + \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \gamma_j > 0}} \frac{(\alpha - e_j)!}{\beta! (\gamma - e_j)!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j > 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) + \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \gamma_j > 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \frac{\gamma_j}{\alpha_j} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j > 0, \gamma_j = 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) + \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j = 0, \gamma_j > 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j > 0, \gamma_j > 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \frac{\gamma_j}{\alpha_j} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) + \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j = 0, \gamma_j > 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j > 0, \gamma_j = 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) + \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j > 0, \gamma_j > 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \frac{\beta_j + \gamma_j}{\alpha_j} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j = 0, \gamma_j > 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j > 0, \gamma_j = 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) + \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j > 0, \gamma_j > 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) + \sum_{\substack{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha \\ \beta_j = 0, \gamma_j > 0}} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \\ &= \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \end{aligned}$$

So the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k$ . By induction, the claim is true for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . □

**Exercise 1.1.0.4.** Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define  $f \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $f(x) = e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle}$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $\partial^\alpha f = (-i\xi)^\alpha f$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . The claim is true for  $|\alpha| = 0$ . Let  $k > 0$ . Suppose that the claim is true for  $|\alpha| \leq k-1$  so that for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\beta| \leq k-1$  implies that  $\partial^\beta f = (-i\xi)^\beta f$ . Suppose that  $|\alpha| = k$ . Since  $k > 0$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_j > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^\alpha f &= \partial_j(\partial^{\alpha-e_j} f) \\ &= \partial_j((-i\xi)^{\alpha-e_j} f) \\ &= (-i\xi)^{\alpha-e_j} \partial_j f \\ &= (-i\xi)^{\alpha-e_j} i\xi_j \\ &= (-i\xi)^\alpha f\end{aligned}$$

So the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k$ . By induction, the claim is true for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . □

**Definition 1.1.0.5.** Let  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, N} : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by

$$\|f\|_{\alpha, N} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \right]$$

We define **Schwartz space** on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , denoted  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{for each } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \text{ and } N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \|f\|_{\alpha, N} < \infty\}$$

**Exercise 1.1.0.6.** For each  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$(1 + |x|)^p \geq (1/2)(1 + |x|^p)$$

*Proof.* Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then there exist  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \geq n$  and  $p = m/n$ . The binomial theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + |x|)^m &= \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} |x|^{m-j} \\ &\geq 1 + |x|^m\end{aligned}$$

Jensen's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + |x|)^p &= [(1 + |x|)^m]^{1/n} \\ &\geq (1 + |x|^m)^{1/n} \\ &\geq (1/2)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} (1 + |x|^p) \\ &\geq (1/2)(1 + |x|^p)\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $p \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Choose a sequence  $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [1, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $p_j \rightarrow p$ . By continuity,

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + |x|)^p &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (1 + |x|)^{p_j} \\ &\geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (1/2)(1 + |x|^{p_j}) \\ &= (1/2)(1 + |x|^p)\end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 1.1.0.7.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f$  is Lipschitz.

*Proof.*

1. Set  $M = \max\{\|f\|_{e_j,0} : j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . By definition, for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_j f(x)| &\leq \|f\|_{e_j,0} \\ &\leq M \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Jensen's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |Df(x)(h)| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f(x) h_j \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\partial_j f(x)| |h_j| \\ &\leq M \sum_{j=1}^n |h_j| \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} M |h| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary,  $\|Df(x)\| \leq \sqrt{n} M$ . Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary,  $Df$  is bounded. Hence  $f$  is Lipschitz. □

**Exercise 1.1.0.8.** We have that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a vector space and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,N} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a seminorm on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

1.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda f\|_{\alpha,N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha [\lambda f](x)| \right] \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\lambda \partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ |\lambda| (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] \\ &= |\lambda| \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] \\ &= |\lambda| \|f\|_{\alpha,N} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lambda f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|\lambda f\|_{\alpha,N} = |\lambda| \|f\|_{\alpha,N}$ .

2.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\|_{\alpha,N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha [f + g](x)| \right] \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |[\partial^\alpha f + \partial^\alpha g](x)| \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)| + (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha g(x)| \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha g(x)| \right] \\ &= \|f\|_{\alpha,N} + \|g\|_{\alpha,N} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f + g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|f + g\|_{\alpha,N} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha,N} + \|g\|_{\alpha,N}$ .

So  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a vector space and  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,N}$  is a seminorm on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.1.0.9.** We have that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an algebra under pointwise multiplication and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|fg\|_{\alpha,N} \leq \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha} \|f\|_{\beta,N} \|g\|_{\alpha-\beta,0}$$

**Hint:**  $\partial^\alpha(fg) = \sum_{(\beta,\gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g)$

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\|_{\alpha,N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1+|x|)^N |\partial^\alpha(fg)(x)| \right] \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1+|x|)^N \left| \sum_{(\beta,\gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \partial^\beta f(x) \partial^\gamma g(x) \right| \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1+|x|)^N \left( \sum_{(\beta,\gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} |\partial^\beta f(x)| |\partial^\gamma g(x)| \right) \right] \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ \sum_{(\beta,\gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (1+|x|)^N |\partial^\beta f(x)| |\partial^\gamma g(x)| \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{(\beta,\gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1+|x|)^N |\partial^\beta f(x)| |\partial^\gamma g(x)| \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{(\beta,\gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1+|x|)^N |\partial^\beta f(x)| \right] \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ |\partial^\gamma g(x)| \right] \\ &= \sum_{(\beta,\gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \|f\|_{\beta,N} \|g\|_{\gamma,0} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

So  $fg \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.1.0.10.** Set  $\mathcal{P} = \{\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,N} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, N \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is a countable family of seminorms on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We equip  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  induced by the family of projections

$$\pi_{\|\cdot\|_{\alpha,N}} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) / \ker \|\cdot\|_{\alpha,N}$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{T} = \tau_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)}((\pi_p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}})$ .

Explicitly, for a net  $(f_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f_\gamma \rightarrow f$  iff for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\|f_\gamma - f\|_{\alpha,N} \rightarrow 0$ .

Hence  $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{T})$  is a locally convex space. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is countable, we may write  $\mathcal{P} = (p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  and thus  $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{T})$  is metrizable with metric

$$d_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)}(f, g) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-j} \frac{p_j(f - g)}{1 + p_j(f - g)}$$

**Exercise 1.1.0.11.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For each  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

*Proof.* Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Suppose that  $p < \infty$ . The previous exercise implies that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(1+|x|)^{2p} \geq (1/2)(1+|x|^{2p})$$

By definition, there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-2}$$

Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)|^p &\leq C^p(1 + |x|)^{-2p} \\ &\leq 2C^p(1 + |x|^{2p})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Define  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $g(x) = 2C^p(1 + |x|^{2p})^{-1}$ . Since  $g \in L^1(m)$  and  $|f|^p \leq g$ , we have that  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $p = \infty$ , then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_\infty &= \|f\|_{0,0} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

So  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . □

**Exercise 1.1.0.12.** For each  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , the inclusion  $\iota : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $f_j \rightarrow f$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\|f_j - f\|_{\alpha, N} \rightarrow 0$ . By definition, for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f_j(x) - f(x)| \leq \|f_j - f\|_{0,2}(1 + |x|)^{-2}$$

Therefore, for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_j - f\|_p^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_j - f|^p dm \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f_j - f\|_{0,2}^p (1 + |x|)^{-2p} dm(x) \\ &\leq \|f_j - f\|_{0,2}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2(1 + |x|^{2p})^{-1} dm(x) \\ &= \|f_j - f\|_{0,2}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2(1 + |x|^{-2p})^{-1} dm(x) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_j \xrightarrow{L^p} f$  and  $\iota : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous. □

**Exercise 1.1.0.13.** For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $\partial^\alpha : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . The claim is true for  $|\alpha| = 0$  and  $|\alpha| = 1$ . Let  $k > 1$ . Suppose that the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k - 1$  so that for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\beta| = k - 1$  implies that  $\partial^\beta : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty$  is linear. Suppose that  $|\alpha| = k$ . Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $k > 0$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_j > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha(f + \lambda g) &= \partial_j(\partial^{\alpha - e_j}[f + \lambda g]) \\ &= \partial_j(\partial^{\alpha - e_j}f + \lambda \partial^{\alpha - e_j}g) \\ &= \partial_j(\partial^{\alpha - e_j}f) + \lambda \partial_j(\partial^{\alpha - e_j}g) \\ &= \partial^\alpha f + \lambda \partial^\alpha g \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  are arbitrary, we have that  $\partial^\alpha$  is linear. So the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k$ . By induction, the claim is true for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . □

**Exercise 1.1.0.14.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Then  $\partial^\alpha f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\beta, N} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha + \beta, N}$$



*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\beta,N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\beta(\partial^\alpha f)(x)| \right] \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^{\alpha+\beta} f(x)| \right] \\ &= \|f\|_{\alpha+\beta,N} \\ &< \infty\end{aligned}$$

So  $\partial^\alpha f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . □

**Exercise 1.1.0.15.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,N} = \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{0,N}$$

*Proof.* Clear by preceding exercise. □

**Exercise 1.1.0.16.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Then  $\partial^\alpha : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$ . Then for each  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\|f_k\|_{\alpha,N} \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial^\alpha f_k\|_{\beta,N} &\leq \|f_k\|_{\alpha+\beta,N} \\ &\rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $\partial^\alpha f_k \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\partial^\alpha$  is continuous at 0. Since  $\partial^\alpha$  is linear,  $\partial^\alpha$  is continuous. □

## 1.2 Position and Momentum Operators

**Definition 1.2.0.1.** Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We define the  $j$ -th position operator, denoted  $X_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$X_j f(x) = x_j f(x)$$

**Exercise 1.2.0.2.** Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $X_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} X_j(f + \lambda g)(x) &= x_j(f(x) + \lambda g(x)) \\ &= x_j f(x) + \lambda x_j g(x) \\ &= (X_j f + \lambda X_j g)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary, we have that  $X_j(f + \lambda g) = X_j f + \lambda X_j g$ . Since  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  are arbitrary, we have that  $X_j$  is linear.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.2.0.3.** For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,

$$\partial^\alpha X_j = \begin{cases} X_j \partial^\alpha & \alpha_j = 0 \\ X_j \partial^\alpha + \alpha_j \partial^{\alpha - e_j} & \alpha_j > 0 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The claim is true if  $\alpha_j = 0$  or  $\alpha_j = 1$ . Let  $k > 1$ . Suppose that the claim is true for  $\alpha_j = k - 1$  so that  $\partial_j^{k-1}(X_j f) = X_j(\partial_j^{k-1} f) + (k - 1)\partial_j^{k-2} f$ . Suppose that  $\alpha_j = k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_j^k X_j) f &= \partial_j^k (X_j f) \\ &= \partial_j (\partial_j^{k-1} [X_j f]) \\ &= \partial_j (X_j [\partial_j^{k-1} f] + (k - 1)\partial_j^{k-2} f) \\ &= \partial_j (X_j [\partial_j^{k-1} f]) + (k - 1)\partial_j (\partial_j^{k-2} f) \\ &= (X_j [\partial_j^k f] + \partial_j^{k-1} f) + (k - 1)\partial_j^{k-1} f \\ &= X_j (\partial_j^k f) + k\partial_j^{k-1} f \\ &= (X_j \partial_j^k + k\partial_j^{k-1}) f \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^\alpha X_j) f &= \partial^\alpha (X_j f) \\ &= \partial^{\alpha - k e_j} (\partial_j^k [X_j f]) \\ &= \partial^{\alpha - k e_j} (X_j [\partial_j^k f] + k\partial_j^{k-1} f) \\ &= X_j (\partial^{\alpha - k e_j} [\partial_j^k f]) + k\partial^{\alpha - k e_j} (\partial_j^{k-1} f) \\ &= X_j (\partial^\alpha f) + \alpha_j \partial^{\alpha - e_j} f \\ &= (X_j \partial^\alpha + \alpha_j \partial^{\alpha - e_j}) f \end{aligned}$$

So the claim is true for  $\alpha_j = k$ . By induction, the claim is true for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.2.0.4.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $X_j f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|X_j f\|_{\alpha, N} \leq \begin{cases} \|f\|_{\alpha, N+1} & \alpha_j = 0 \\ \|f\|_{\alpha, N+1} + \alpha_j \|f\|_{\alpha - e_j, N} & \alpha_j > 0 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . If  $\alpha_j = 0$ , then the previous exercise implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_j f\|_{\alpha, N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha (X_j f)(x)| \right] \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |x_j \partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 + |x|)^{N+1} |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] \\ &= \|f\|_{\alpha, N+1} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha_j > 0$ , then the previous exercise implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_j f\|_{\alpha, N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha (X_j f)(x)| \right] \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |x_j \partial^\alpha f(x) + \alpha_j \partial^{\alpha - e_j} f(x)| \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 + |x|)^{N+1} |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] + \alpha_j \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^{\alpha - e_j} f(x)| \right] \\ &= \|f\|_{\alpha, N+1} + \alpha_j \|f\|_{\alpha - e_j, N} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $X_j f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . □

**Exercise 1.2.0.5.** Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $X_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$ . Then for each  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\|f_k\|_{\alpha, N} \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\alpha_j = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_j f_k\|_{\alpha, N} &\leq \|f_k\|_{\alpha, N+1} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha_j > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_j f_k\|_{\alpha, N} &\leq \|f_k\|_{\alpha, N+1} + \alpha_j \|f_k\|_{\alpha - e_j, N} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $X_j f_k \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $X_j$  is continuous at 0. Since  $X_j$  is linear,  $X_j$  is continuous. □

**Exercise 1.2.0.6.** Let  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $X_j X_k = X_k X_j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ([X_j X_k]f)(x) &= (X_j [X_k f])(x) \\ &= x_j (X_k f)(x) \\ &= x_j x_k f(x) \\ &= x_k x_j f(x) \\ &= x_k (X_j f)(x) \\ &= (X_k [X_j f])(x) \\ &= ([X_k X_j]f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are arbitrary,  $X_j X_k = X_k X_j$ . □

**Definition 1.2.0.7.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . We define  $X^\alpha : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $X^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n}$

**Definition 1.2.0.8.** Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We define the  $j$ -th momentum operator, denoted  $P_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$P_j = -i\partial_j$$

**Exercise 1.2.0.9.** Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $P_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear.

*Proof.* Clear since  $\partial_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear. □

**Exercise 1.2.0.10.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $P_j f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|P_j f\|_{\alpha, N} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha + e_j, N}$$

*Proof.* Clear since  $\partial_j f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|\partial_j f\|_{\alpha, N} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha + e_j, N}$ . □

**Exercise 1.2.0.11.** Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $P_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Clear since  $\partial_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous. □

**Exercise 1.2.0.12.** Let  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $P_j P_k = P_k P_j$ .

*Proof.* Clear since  $\partial_j \partial_k = \partial_k \partial_j$ . □

**Definition 1.2.0.13.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . We define  $P^\alpha : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $P^\alpha = P_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots P_n^{\alpha_n}$

**Exercise 1.2.0.14.** Let  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $[X_j, P_k] = i\delta_{j,k}$ .

*Proof.* A previous exercise implies that  $\partial_k X_j = X_j \partial_k + \delta_{j,k} I$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [X_j, P_k] &= X_j P_k - P_k X_j \\ &= -i(X_j \partial_k - \partial_k X_j) \\ &= -i(X_j \partial_k - [X_j \partial_k + \delta_{j,k} I]) \\ &= -i\delta_{j,k} I \end{aligned}$$

□

## 1.3 Translation and Rotation Operators

**Definition 1.3.0.1.** Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the **translation by  $y$  operator**, denoted  $\tau_y : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by  $\tau_y f(x) = f(x - y)$ .

**Exercise 1.3.0.2.** Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\tau_y : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_y(f + \lambda g)(x) &= (f + \lambda g)(x - y) \\ &= f(x - y) + \lambda g(x - y) \\ &= \tau_y f(x) + \lambda \tau_y g(x)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary, we have that  $\tau_y(f + \lambda g) = \tau_y f + \lambda \tau_y g$ . Since  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are arbitrary,  $\tau_y$  is linear.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.3.0.3.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\partial^\alpha \tau_y = \tau_y \partial^\alpha$$

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The claim is true if  $|\alpha| = 0$ . Let  $k \geq 1$ . Suppose that the claim is true for  $|\alpha| \leq k - 1$  so that for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\beta| \leq k - 1$  implies that

$$\partial^\beta \tau_y = \tau_y \partial^\beta$$

Suppose that  $|\alpha| = k$ . Since  $k > 0$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_j > 0$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $g(x) = x - y$  and  $g_k = \pi_k \circ g$ . Then the chain rule implies that

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial^\alpha \tau_y)f &= \partial^\alpha (\tau_y f) \\ &= \partial_j (\partial^{\alpha - e_j} [\tau_y f]) \\ &= \partial_j (\tau_y [\partial^{\alpha - e_j} f]) \\ &= \partial_j ([\partial^{\alpha - e_j} f] \circ g) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n [\partial_k (\partial^{\alpha - e_j} f) \circ g] \partial_j g_k \\ &= \partial_j (\partial^{\alpha - e_j} f) \circ g \\ &= (\partial^\alpha f) \circ g \\ &= \tau_y (\partial^\alpha f) \\ &= (\tau_y \partial^\alpha) f\end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is arbitrary,  $\partial^\alpha \tau_y = \tau_y \partial^\alpha$ . Hence the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k$ . By induction, the claim is true for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.3.0.4.** Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(1 + |x|) \leq (1 + |y|)(1 + |x - y|)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + |y|)(1 + |x - y|) &= 1 + (|x - y| + |y|) + |y||x - y| \\ &\geq 1 + |x| + |y||x - y| \\ &\geq 1 + |x|\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Exercise 1.3.0.5.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\tau_y f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|\tau_y f\|_{\alpha, N} \leq (1 + |y|)^N \|f\|_{\alpha, N}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tau_y f\|_{\alpha, N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha \tau_y f(x)| \right] \\
&= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\tau_y \partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] \\
&= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x - y)| \right] \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |y|)^N (1 + |x - y|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x - y)| \right] \\
&= (1 + |y|)^N \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x - y|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x - y)| \right] \\
&= (1 + |y|)^N \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] \\
&= (1 + |y|)^N \|f\|_{\alpha, N} \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $\tau_y f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . □

**Exercise 1.3.0.6.** Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\tau_y : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$ . Then for each  $\alpha, N \in \mathcal{N}_0$ ,  $\|f_k\|_{\alpha, N} \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\alpha, N \in \mathcal{N}_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tau_y f_k\|_{\alpha, N} &\leq (1 + |y|)^N \|f_k\|_{\alpha, N} \\
&\rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $\tau_y f_k \rightarrow 0$ . So  $\tau_y$  is continuous at 0. Since  $\tau_y$  is linear,  $\tau_y$  is continuous. □

**Definition 1.3.0.7.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define  $\tau f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $\tau f(y) = \tau_y f$ .

**Exercise 1.3.0.8.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\tau f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* content... □

**Definition 1.3.0.9.** Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the **rotation by  $\xi$  operator**, denoted  $\rho_\xi : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by  $\rho_\xi f(x) = e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} f(x)$ .

**Exercise 1.3.0.10.** Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\rho_\xi : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_\xi(f + \lambda g)(x) &= e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} (f + \lambda g)(x) \\
&= e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} f(x) + \lambda e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} g(x) \\
&= \rho_\xi f(x) + \lambda \rho_\xi g(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary, we have that  $\rho_\xi(f + \lambda g) = \rho_\xi f + \lambda \rho_\xi g$ . Since  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are arbitrary,  $\rho_\xi$  is linear. □

**Exercise 1.3.0.11.** Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,

$$\partial^\alpha \rho_\xi = \rho_\xi \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (-i\xi)^\beta \partial^\gamma$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $g(x) = e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle}$ . A previous exercise implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\partial^\alpha \rho_\xi) f &= \partial^\alpha (\rho_\xi f) \\
 &= \partial^\alpha (gf) \\
 &= \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (\partial^\beta g) (\partial^\gamma f) \\
 &= \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} ((-i\xi)^\beta g) (\partial^\gamma f) \\
 &= \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (-i\xi)^\beta \rho_\xi (\partial^\gamma f) \\
 &= \rho_\xi \left( \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (-i\xi)^\beta \partial^\gamma f \right) \\
 &= \left( \rho_\xi \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (-i\xi)^\beta \partial^\gamma \right) f
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is arbitrary,

$$\partial^\alpha \rho_\xi = \rho_\xi \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (-i\xi)^\beta \partial^\gamma$$

□

**Exercise 1.3.0.12.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\rho_\xi f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|\rho_\xi f\|_{\alpha, N} \leq \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} |\xi^\beta| \|f\|_{\gamma, N}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha (\rho_\xi f)(x)| &= (1 + |x|)^N \left| \rho_\xi \left( \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (-i\xi)^\beta \partial^\gamma f \right) (x) \right| \\
 &= (1 + |x|)^N \left| e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} (-i\xi)^\beta \partial^\gamma f(x) \right| \\
 &\leq (1 + |x|)^N \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} |\xi^\beta| |\partial^\gamma f(x)| \\
 &= \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} |\xi^\beta| (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\gamma f(x)| \\
 &\leq \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} |\xi^\beta| \|f\|_{\gamma, N}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\rho_\xi f\|_{\alpha, N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha (\rho_\xi f)(x)| \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} |\xi^\beta| \|f\|_{\gamma, N} \\
 &< \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $\rho_\xi f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

□

**Exercise 1.3.0.13.** Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\rho_\xi : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$ . Then for each  $\alpha, N \in \mathcal{N}_0$ ,  $\|f_k\|_{\alpha, N} \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\alpha, N \in \mathcal{N}_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_\xi f_k\|_{\alpha, N} &\leq \sum_{(\beta, \gamma) \in \Omega_\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} |\xi^\beta| \|f_k\|_{\gamma, N} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $\rho_\xi f_k \rightarrow 0$ . So  $\rho_\xi$  is continuous at 0. Since  $\rho_\xi$  is linear,  $\rho_\xi$  is continuous.  $\square$



## 1.4 Dilation and Concentration Operators

**Definition 1.4.0.1.** Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the **dilation by  $t$  operator**, denoted  $\gamma_t : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by  $\gamma_t f(x) = f(tx)$ .

**Exercise 1.4.0.2.** Let  $t \neq 0$ . Then  $\gamma_t : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_t(f + \lambda g)(x) &= (f + \lambda g)(tx) \\ &= f(tx) + \lambda g(tx) \\ &= \gamma_t f(x) + \lambda \gamma_t g(x)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary, we have that  $\gamma_t(f + \lambda g) = \gamma_t f + \lambda \gamma_t g$ . Since  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are arbitrary,  $\gamma_t$  is linear.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.4.0.3.** Let  $t \neq 0$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,

$$\partial^\alpha \gamma_t = t^{|\alpha|} \gamma_t \partial^\alpha$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The chain rule implies that the claim is true if  $|\alpha| = 0$  or  $|\alpha| = 1$ . Let  $k > 1$ . Suppose the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k - 1$  so that for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\beta| = k - 1$  implies that  $\partial^\beta(\gamma_t f) = t^{|\beta|} \gamma_t(\partial^\beta f)$ . Suppose that  $|\alpha| = k$ . Since  $k > 0$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_j > 0$ . The chain rule implies that

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial^\alpha \gamma_t) f &= \partial^\alpha(\gamma_t f) \\ &= \partial_j(\partial^{\alpha - e_j}[\gamma_t f]) \\ &= \partial_j(t^{|\alpha - e_j|} \gamma_t[\partial^{\alpha - e_j} f]) \\ &= t^{|\alpha - e_j|} \partial_j(\gamma_t[\partial^{\alpha - e_j} f]) \\ &= t^{|\alpha - e_j|} t \gamma_t(\partial_j[\partial^{\alpha - e_j} f]) \\ &= t^{|\alpha - e_j|} t \gamma_t(\partial^\alpha f) \\ &= t^{|\alpha|} \gamma_t(\partial^\alpha f) \\ &= (t^{|\alpha|} \gamma_t \partial^\alpha) f\end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is arbitrary,  $\partial^\alpha \gamma_t = t^{|\alpha|} \gamma_t \partial^\alpha$ . So the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k$ . By induction the claim is true for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.4.0.4.** Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $1 + |x| \leq C(1 + |tx|)^2$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $C = \max(1/(2|t|), 1)$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}C(1 + |tx|)^2 - (1 + |x|) &= C + 2C|tx| + C|tx|^2 - 1 - |x| \\ &= C + (2C|t| - 1)|x| + C|tx|^2 - 1 \\ &= (C - 1) + (2C|t| - 1)|x| + C|tx|^2 \\ &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

So  $1 + |x| \leq C(1 + |tx|)^2$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.4.0.5.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $t \neq 0$ . Then  $\gamma_t f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|\gamma_t f\|_{\alpha, N} \leq |t|^{|\alpha|} C^N \|f\|_{\alpha, 2N}$$

*Proof.* The previous exercise implies that there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $1 + |x| \leq C(1 + |tx|)^2$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha(\gamma_t f)(x)| &= (1 + |x|)^N |t^{|\alpha|}(\gamma_t \partial^\alpha f)(x)| \\ &\leq C(1 + |tx|)^{2N} |t^{|\alpha|}(\gamma_t \partial^\alpha f)(x)| \\ &= C(1 + |tx|)^{2N} |t^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha f(tx)| \\ &\leq C |t|^{|\alpha|} \|f\|_{\alpha, 2N} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_t f\|_{\alpha, N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha(\gamma_t f)(x)| \right] \\ &\leq C |t|^{|\alpha|} \|f\|_{\alpha, 2N} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $\gamma_t f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . □

**Exercise 1.4.0.6.** Let  $t \neq 0$ . Then  $\gamma_t : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $f_k \rightarrow 0$ . Then for each  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $\|f_k\|_{\alpha, N} \rightarrow 0$ . The previous exercise implies that there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|\gamma_t f\|_{\alpha, N} \leq |t|^{|\alpha|} C^N \|f\|_{\alpha, 2N}$$

Let  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_t f_k\|_{\alpha, N} &\leq C |t|^{|\alpha|} \|f_k\|_{\alpha, 2N} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  are arbitrary,  $\gamma_t f_k \rightarrow 0$ . So  $\gamma_t$  is continuous at 0. Since  $\gamma_t$  is linear,  $\rho_\xi$  is continuous. □

**Definition 1.4.0.7.** Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the **concentration by  $t$  operator**, denoted  $\kappa_t : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by  $\kappa_t f(x) = t^{-1} \gamma_{t^{-1}} f$ .

**Exercise 1.4.0.8.** Let  $t \neq 0$ . Then  $\kappa_t : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear.

*Proof.* Clear since  $\gamma_t : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear. □

**Exercise 1.4.0.9.** Let  $t \neq 0$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,

$$\partial^\alpha \kappa_t = t^{-|\alpha|} \kappa_t \partial^\alpha$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha \kappa_t &= \partial^\alpha t^{-1} \gamma_{t^{-1}} \\ &= t^{-1} \partial^\alpha \gamma_{t^{-1}} \\ &= t^{-1} (t^{-1})^{|\alpha|} \gamma_{t^{-1}} \partial^\alpha \\ &= t^{-|\alpha|} \kappa_t \partial^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 1.4.0.10.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $t \neq 0$ . Then  $\kappa_t f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|\kappa_t f\|_{\alpha, N} \leq |t|^{-(|\alpha|+1)} C^N \|f\|_{\alpha, 2N}$$

*Proof.* A previous exercise implies that there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|\gamma_t f\|_{\alpha, N} \leq |t|^{|\alpha|} C^N \|f\|_{\alpha, 2N}$$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa_t f\|_{\alpha, N} &= \|t^{-1} \gamma_{t^{-1}} f\|_{\alpha, N} \\ &= |t^{-1}| \|\gamma_{t^{-1}} f\|_{\alpha, N} \\ &\leq |t^{-1}| |t^{-1}|^{|\alpha|} C^N \|f\|_{\alpha, 2N} \\ &= |t|^{-(|\alpha|+1)} C^N \|f\|_{\alpha, 2N} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $\kappa_t f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . □

**Exercise 1.4.0.11.** Let  $t \neq 0$ . Then  $\kappa_t : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Since  $\gamma_{t^{-1}} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous,  $\kappa_t = t^{-1} \gamma_{t^{-1}}$  is continuous. □

**Exercise 1.4.0.12.** Let  $t \neq 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \kappa_t f \, dm = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, dm$$

*Proof.* We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \kappa_t f \, dm &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{-1} \gamma_{t^{-1}} f \, dm \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{-1} f(t^{-1} y) \, dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) \, dm(z) \end{aligned}$$

□

## 1.5 The Convolution on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

**Definition 1.5.0.1.** Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We define the **convolution of  $f$  and  $g$** , denoted  $f * g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_y f(x) g(y) dm(y)$$

**Exercise 1.5.0.2.** Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f * g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$

$$\partial^\alpha (f * g) = (\partial^\alpha f) * g$$

**Hint:** exchange integration and differentiation

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . We proceed by induction on  $|\alpha|$ .

- Suppose that  $|\alpha| = 0$ . Then  $\alpha = 0$ . Define  $h_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $h(x, y) = \tau_y f(x) g(y)$ . We observe that for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x, y)| &= |\tau_y f(x)| |g(y)| \\ &\leq \|\tau_y f\|_{0,0} |g(y)| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{0,0} |g(y)| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|f\|_{0,0} |g| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $h(x, y) \rightarrow h(x_0, y)$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f * g &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_y f(\cdot) g(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\cdot, y) dm(y) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous. Therefore,  $f * g \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\partial^\alpha (f * g) = (\partial^\alpha f) * g$ .

- Let  $k > 0$ . Suppose that for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\beta| = k - 1$  implies that  $f * g \in C^{|\beta|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\partial^\beta (f * g) = (\partial^\beta f) * g$$

Suppose that  $|\alpha| = k$ . Then there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_j > 0$ . Define  $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $h(x, y) = \tau_y [\partial_x^{\alpha - e_j} f](x) g(y)$ . By hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial^{\alpha - e_j} (f * g)](x) &= [(\partial^{\alpha - e_j} f) * g](x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_y [\partial_x^{\alpha - e_j} f](x) g(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x, y) dm(y) \end{aligned}$$

We observe that for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x^{e_j} h(x, y) &= \partial_x^{e_j} [\tau_y (\partial_x^{\alpha - e_j} f)](x) g(y) \\ &= \partial_x^\alpha [\tau_y f](x) g(y) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^{e_j} h(x, y)| &= |\partial_x^\alpha [\tau_y f](x) g(y)| \\ &\leq \|\tau_y f\|_{\alpha,0} |g(y)| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\alpha,0} |g(y)| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\partial^{e_j}[\partial^{\alpha-e_j}(f * g)]$  exists and we may exchange the order of integration and differentiation to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\partial_x^\alpha(f * g)](x) &= \partial_x^{e_j}[\partial_x^{\alpha-e_j}(f * g)](x) \\
 &= \partial_x^{e_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x, y) dm(y) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_x^{e_j} h(x, y) dm(y) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_x^{e_j}[\tau_y(\partial_x^{\alpha-e_j} f)](x) g(y) dm(y) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_y[\partial_x^\alpha f](x) g(y) dm(y) \\
 &= [(\partial_x^\alpha f) * g](x)
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $f * g \in C^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\partial^\alpha(f * g) = (\partial^\alpha f) * g$ .

- By induction, for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $f * g \in C^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\partial^\alpha(f * g) = (\partial^\alpha f) * g$ .

Since for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $f * g \in C^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have that  $f * g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.5.0.3.** Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $f * g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|f * g\|_{\alpha, N} \leq C \|f\|_{\alpha, N} \|g\|_{0, N+2}$$

*Proof.* Set

$$C = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^2} dm(y)$$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha(f * g)(x)| &= (1 + |x|)^N |(\partial^\alpha f) * g(x)| \\
 &= (1 + |x|)^N \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_y[\partial_x^\alpha f](x) g(y) dm(y) \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|)^N \partial_x^\alpha[\tau_y f](x) g(y) dm(y) \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|)^N |\partial_x^\alpha[\tau_y f](x)| |g(y)| dm(y) \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tau_y f\|_{\alpha, N} |g(y)| dm(y) \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |y|)^N \|f\|_{\alpha, N} |g(y)| dm(y) \\
 &= \|f\|_{\alpha, N} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |y|)^{N+2} |g(y)| (1 + |y|)^{-2} dm(y) \\
 &\leq \|f\|_{\alpha, N} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|g\|_{0, N+2} (1 + |y|)^{-2} dm(y) \\
 &= \|f\|_{\alpha, N} \|g\|_{0, N+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |y|)^{-2} dm(y) \\
 &= C \|f\|_{\alpha, N} \|g\|_{0, N+2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f * g\|_{\alpha, N} &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha(f * g)(x)| \right] \\
 &\leq C \|f\|_{\alpha, N} \|g\|_{0, N+2} \\
 &< \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary, we have that  $f * g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.5.0.4.** The convolution  $*$  :  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is bilinear.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\tau_y : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [(f + \lambda g) * h](x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_y[f + \lambda g](x) h(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \tau_y[f](x) + \lambda \tau_y[g](x) \right) h(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_y[f](x) h(y) dm(y) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tau_y[g](x) h(y) dm(y) \\ &= [f * h](x) + [\lambda g * h](x) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary,  $(f + \lambda g) * h = f * h + \lambda g * h$ . Similarly,  $f * (g + \lambda h) = f * g + \lambda f * h$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.5.0.5.** The convolution  $*$  :  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is commutative.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f * g(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - y) g(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) g(x - z) dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x - z) f(z) dm(z) \\ &= g * f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary,  $f * g = g * f$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.5.0.6.** The convolution  $*$  :  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_n, g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $(f_n, g_n) \rightarrow (f, g)$ . Then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  and  $g_n \rightarrow g$ . Hence for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\|f_n - f\|_{\alpha, N} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|g_n - g\|_{\alpha, N} \rightarrow 0$ . In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|g_n\|_{0, N+2} - \|g\|_{0, N+2} \right| &\leq \|g_n - g\|_{0, N+2} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

So that  $(\|g_n\|_{0, N+2})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Define  $C > 0$  as in the previous exercise. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n * g_n - f * g\|_{\alpha, N} &= \|f_n * g_n - f * g_n + f * g_n - f * g\|_{\alpha, N} \\ &\leq \|(f_n - f) * g_n\|_{\alpha, N} + \|f * (g_n - g)\|_{\alpha, N} \\ &\leq C \|f_n - f\|_{\alpha, N} \|g_n\|_{0, N+2} + C \|f\|_{\alpha, N} \|g_n - g\|_{0, N+2} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $f_n * g_n \rightarrow f * g$ . Thus  $*$  :  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.5.0.7.** Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$ .

*Proof.* Tonelli's theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f * g\|_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f * g(x)| \, dm(x) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y)g(y) \, dm(y) \right| \, dm(x) \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)g(y)| \, dm(y) \right] \, dm(x) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)g(y)| \, dm(x) \right] \, dm(y) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)| \, dm(x) \right] |g(y)| \, dm(y) \\
 &= \|f\|_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(y)| \, dm(y) \\
 &= \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 1.5.0.8.** We define the **bump functions** on  $\mathbb{R}$ , denoted  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , by

$$C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = C_c(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

**Exercise 1.5.0.9.** Let  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}^0$ . Define  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$g(x) = (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)|$$

Then  $g$  is continuous. Since  $\text{supp}(\partial^\alpha f) \subset \text{supp}(f)$ , we have that  $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)| \right] &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} g(x) \\
 &= \|g\| \\
 &< \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 1.5.0.10.** Define  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* meh...

□

**Exercise 1.5.0.11.** Define  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{1-x^2}} & x \in (-1, 1) \\ 0 & x \notin (-1, 1) \end{cases}$$

Then  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* meh...

□

**Exercise 1.5.0.12.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $a < b$ . Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\chi_{[a,b]} \leq f \leq \chi_{[a-\epsilon, b+\epsilon]}$ .

*Proof.* Set  $f(x) =$

□

**Exercise 1.5.0.13.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define

## 1.6 The Fourier Transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

**Exercise 1.6.0.1.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$  be a measurable homomorphism.

1. Then  $\phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  and there exists  $a > 0$  such that

$$\int_{(0,a]} \phi dm \neq 0$$

2. Define

$$c = \left[ \int_{(0,a]} \phi dm \right]^{-1}$$

Then For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\phi(x) = c \int_{(x,x+a]} \phi dm$$

3.  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\phi' = c(\phi(a) - 1)\phi$
4. Define  $b = c(\phi(a) - 1)$  and  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  by  $g(x) = e^{-bx}\phi(x)$ . Then  $g$  is constant and there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x}$ .

*Proof.*

1. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  be compact. Then

$$\int_K |\phi| dm = m(K) < \infty$$

So  $\phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose that for each  $a > 0$ ,

$$\int_{(0,a]} \phi dm = 0$$

Then the FTC implies that  $\phi = 0$  a.e. on  $[0, \infty)$ , which is a contradiction. So there exists  $a > 0$  such that

$$\int_{(0,a]} \phi dm \neq 0$$

2. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= c \int_{(0,a]} \phi(x)\phi(t) dm(t) \\ &= c \int_{(0,a]} \phi(x+t) dm(t) \\ &= c \int_{(x,x+a]} \phi dm \end{aligned}$$

3. Part (2) and the FTC imply that  $\phi$  is continuous. Let  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $f_d \in C((d, \infty))$  by

$$f_d(x) = \int_{(d,x]} \phi dm$$

Since  $\phi$  is continuous, the FTC implies that  $f_d$  is differentiable and for each  $x > d$   $f'_d(x) = \phi(x)$ . Part (2) implies that for each  $x > d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= c \int_{(x,x+a]} \phi dm \\ &= c(f_d(x+a) - f_d(x)) \end{aligned}$$



So for each  $x > d$ ,  $\phi$  is differentiable at  $x$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'(x) &= c(\phi(x+a) - \phi(x)) \\ &= c(\phi(a) - 1)\phi(x)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary,  $\phi$  is differentiable and  $\phi' = c(\phi(a) - 1)\phi$ . This implies that  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

4. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}g'(x) &= e^{-bx}\phi'(x) - be^{-bx}\phi(x) \\ &= be^{-bx}\phi(x) - be^{-bx}\phi(x) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

So  $g' = 0$  and  $g$  is constant. Hence there exists  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi(x) = ke^{bx}$ . Since  $\phi(0) = 1$ ,  $k = 1$ . Since  $|\phi| = 1$ , there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b = 2\pi i\xi$ .

□

**Note 1.6.0.2.** To summarize, for each measurable homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$ , there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi(x) = e^{2\pi i\xi x}$ .

**Exercise 1.6.0.3.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow S^1$  be a measurable homomorphism. Then there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\phi(x) = e^{2\pi i\langle \xi, x \rangle}$ .

**Definition 1.6.0.4.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We define the **Fourier transform of  $f$** , denoted  $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_\xi f \, dm$$

**Exercise 1.6.0.5.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\hat{f} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}|\hat{f}(\xi)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_\xi f \, dm \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\rho_\xi f| \, dm \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} f(x)| \, dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \, dm(x) \\ &= \|f\|_1\end{aligned}$$

So  $f$  is bounded. Let  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ . Define  $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $\phi_n(x) = \rho_{\xi_n} f(x)$  and  $\phi(x) = \rho_\xi f(x)$ . Then  $\phi_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.w.}} \phi$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi_n| &= |f| \\ &\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\end{aligned}$$

The dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(\xi_n) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_n \, dm \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \, dm \\ &= \hat{f}(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

So  $\hat{f}$  is continuous. Hence  $\hat{f} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

□

**Definition 1.6.0.6.** We define the **Fourier transform on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$** , denoted  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by

$$\mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$$

**Exercise 1.6.0.7.** We have that  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\rho_\xi : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is linear, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(f + \lambda g)(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\xi(f + \lambda g) dm \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\xi f + \lambda \rho_\xi g dm \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\xi f dm + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\xi g dm \\ &= \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) + \lambda \mathcal{F}(g)(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 1.6.0.8.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Then

1.  $\mathcal{F}(X^\alpha f) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} P^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)$
2.  $\mathcal{F}(P^\alpha f) = X^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)$

*Proof.*

1. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . The claim is true if  $|\alpha| = 0$ . Let  $k > 0$ . Suppose that the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k - 1$  so that for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\beta| = k - 1$  implies that  $\mathcal{F}(X^\beta f) = (-1)^{|\beta|} P^\beta \mathcal{F}(f)$ . Suppose that  $|\alpha| = k$ . Since  $k > 0$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_j > 0$ . Define  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\phi(\xi, x) = \rho_\xi X^{\alpha - e_j} f(x)$ . Then for each  $\xi, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi^{e_j} \phi(\xi, x) &= -ix^{e_j} \phi(x) \\ &= -i\rho_\xi X^\alpha f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each  $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^{e_j} \phi(\xi, x)| &= |-i\rho_\xi X^\alpha f(x)| \\ &= |X^\alpha f(x)| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X^\alpha f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1$ , we may exchange the order of integration and differentiation to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(X^\alpha f)(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\xi X^\alpha f(x) dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} i\partial_\xi^{e_j} \phi(\xi, x) dm(x) \\ &= i\partial_\xi^{e_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} x^{\alpha - e_j} f(x) dm(x) \\ &= -P^{e_j} \mathcal{F}(X^{\alpha - e_j} f)(\xi) \\ &= -P^{e_j} \left[ (-1)^{|\alpha| - 1} P^{\alpha - e_j} \mathcal{F}(f) \right](\xi) \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} P^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

So the claim is true for  $\alpha$ . By induction, the claim is true for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .

2. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . The claim is true if  $|\alpha| = 0$ . Let  $k > 0$ . Suppose that the claim is true for  $|\alpha| = k - 1$  so that for each  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\beta| = k - 1$  implies that  $\mathcal{F}(P^\beta f) = X^\beta \mathcal{F}(f)$ . Suppose that  $|\alpha| = k$ . Since  $k > 0$ , there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\alpha_j > 0$ . Then integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}(P^\alpha f)(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} [-i\partial_x P^{\alpha-e_j} f(x)] dm(x) \\
 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} -i\xi^{e_j} e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} [-iP^{\alpha-e_j} f(x)] dm(x) \\
 &= \xi^{e_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} P^{\alpha-e_j} f(x) dm(x) \\
 &= X^{e_j} \mathcal{F}(P^{\alpha-e_j} f)(\xi) \\
 &= X^{e_j} \left[ X^{\alpha-e_j} \mathcal{F}(f) \right](\xi) \\
 &= X^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)
 \end{aligned}$$

So the claim is true for  $\alpha$ . By induction, the claim is true for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . □

**Exercise 1.6.0.9.** There exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\|\hat{f}\|_{0,0} \leq C\|f\|_{0,2}$ .

**Hint:** Set

$$C = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^2} dm(x)$$

*Proof.* Set

$$C = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^2} dm(x)$$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\hat{f}(\xi)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\xi f(x) dm(x) \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dm(x) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1+|x|)^2 |f(x)|}{(1+|x|)^2} dm(x) \\
 &\leq \|f\|_{0,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^2} dm(x) \\
 &= C\|f\|_{0,2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary,  $\|\hat{f}\|_{0,0} \leq C\|f\|_{0,2}$ . □

**Exercise 1.6.0.10.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $(a+b)^N \leq 2^{N-1}(a^N + b^N)$ .

**Hint:** Jensen's inequality

*Proof.* Jensen's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 2^{-N}(a+b)^N &= \left( \frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{2} \right)^N \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{a^N}{2} + \frac{b^N}{2} \right) \\
 &= 2^{-1}(a^N + b^N)
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $(a+b)^N \leq 2^{N-1}(a^N + b^N)$ . □

**Exercise 1.6.0.11.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(f) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{\alpha,N} \leq C2^{N-1}\|X^\alpha f\|_{0,2} + C2^{N-1}\|P^N X^\alpha f\|_{0,2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then the previous exercise implies that for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^N \partial^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) &= (-i)^N X^N P^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \\ &= i^N X^N \mathcal{F}(X^\alpha f)(\xi) \\ &= i^N \mathcal{F}(P^N X^\alpha f)(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$C = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^2} dm(x)$$

as in the previous exercise. Since  $\mathcal{F}(X^\alpha f), \mathcal{F}(P^N X^\alpha f) \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{\alpha,N} &= \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1+|\xi|)^N |\partial^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)| \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ 2^{N-1} (1+|\xi|^N) |\partial^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)| \right] \\ &= \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ |2^{N-1} \partial^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)| + |2^{N-1} \xi^N \partial^\alpha \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)| \right] \\ &= \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ |\mathcal{F}(2^{N-1} X^\alpha f)(\xi)| + |\mathcal{F}(2^{N-1} P^N X^\alpha f)(\xi)| \right] \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{F}(2^{N-1} X^\alpha f)\|_{0,0} + \|\mathcal{F}(2^{N-1} P^N X^\alpha f)\|_{0,0} \\ &\leq C2^{N-1}\|X^\alpha f\|_{0,2} + C2^{N-1}\|P^N X^\alpha f\|_{0,2} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are arbitrary,  $\mathcal{F}(f) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . □

**Exercise 1.6.0.12.** We have that  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $X, P : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are continuous,  $X^\alpha f_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $P^N X^\alpha f_n \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $\|X^\alpha f_n\|_{0,2} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|P^N X^\alpha f_n\|_{0,2} \rightarrow 0$ . The previous exercise implies there exists  $C > 0$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(f_n)\|_{\alpha,N} &\leq C2^{N-1}\|X^\alpha f_n\|_{0,2} + C2^{N-1}\|P^N X^\alpha f_n\|_{0,2} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{F}(f_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous at 0. Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is linear,  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous. □

**Exercise 1.6.0.13.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

1. for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\tau_y f) = \rho_y \mathcal{F}(f)$
2. for each  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\rho_\eta f) = \tau_{-\eta} \mathcal{F}(f)$
3.  $\mathcal{F}(\gamma_t f) = \kappa_t \mathcal{F}(f)$

*Proof.*

1. Let  $y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\tau_y f)(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x-y) dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi(z+y)} f(z) dm(z) \\ &= e^{-i\xi y} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi z} f(z) dm(z) \\ &= e^{-i\xi y} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \\ &= \rho_y \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

2. Let  $\eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\rho_\eta f)(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} e^{-i\eta x} f(x) dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(\xi+\eta)x} f(x) dm(x) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi + \eta) \\ &= \tau_{-\eta} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

3. Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\gamma_t f)(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(tx) dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi t^{-1}z} f(z) t^{-1} dm(z) \\ &= t^{-1} \mathcal{F}(f)(t^{-1}\xi) \\ &= t^{-1} \gamma_{t^{-1}} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 1.6.0.14.** Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f)\mathcal{F}(g)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Tonelli's theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{-i\xi x} f(x-y)g(y)| dm(y) \right] dm(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)g(y)| dm(y) \right] dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)g(y)| dm(x) \right] dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)| dm(x) \right] |g(y)| dm(y) \\ &= \|f\|_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(y)| dm(y) \\ &= \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1\end{aligned}$$

So we may apply Fubini's theorem and change the order of integration to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}(f * g)(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} (f * g)(x) dm(x) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x - y) g(y) dm(y) \right] dm(x) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x - y) g(y) dm(x) \right] dm(y) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x - y) dm(x) \right] g(y) dm(y) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathcal{F}(\tau_y f)(\xi)] g(y) dm(y) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [e^{-i\xi y} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)] g(y) dm(y) \\
 &= \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi y} g(y) dm(y) \\
 &= \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \mathcal{F}(g)(\xi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary,  $\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f)\mathcal{F}(g)$  □

**Exercise 1.6.0.15.** Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}g dm = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\hat{g} dm$$

*Proof.* Tonelli's theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{-i\xi x} f(x) g(\xi)| dm(x) \right] dm(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dm(x) \right] |g(\xi)| dm(\xi) \\
 &= \|f\|_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(\xi)| dm(\xi) \\
 &= \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1
 \end{aligned}$$

So we may apply Fubini's theorem and switch the order of integration to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}g dm &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x) dm(x) \right] g(\xi) dm(\xi) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x) g(\xi) dm(x) \right] dm(\xi) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} f(x) g(\xi) dm(\xi) \right] dm(x) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} g(\xi) dm(\xi) \right] dm(x) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \hat{g}(x) dm(x) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\hat{g} dm
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 1.6.0.16.** Define  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $f(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(f) = \sqrt{2\pi}f$ .

*Proof.* Note that for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(Df)(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} i x e^{-x^2/2} dm(x) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_{\xi} \left[ e^{-i\xi x} e^{-x^2/2} \right] dm(x) \\ &= -\partial_{\xi} \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

A previous exercise implies that  $\mathcal{F}(Df) = X\mathcal{F}(f)$ . So for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\partial_{\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) = -\xi \hat{f}(\xi)$ . Define  $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  by  $g(\xi) = e^{\xi^2/2}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\xi}(\hat{f}g) &= (\partial_{\xi}\hat{f})g + \hat{f}(\partial_{\xi}g) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

So there exists  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\hat{f}g = C$ . Hence for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(\xi) &= C e^{-\xi^2/2} \\ &= C f(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}C &= Cf(0) \\ &= \hat{f}(0) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2/2} dm(x) \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi}\end{aligned}$$

So  $\hat{f} = \sqrt{2\pi}f$ . □

**Exercise 1.6.0.17.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow L^1$  by  $g(x) = \tau_x f$ . Then  $g$  is continuous.

**Hint:** approximate by functions in  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ . Then □

**Definition 1.6.0.18.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $t \neq 0$ . We define  $f_t \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $f_t = t^{-1}\gamma_{t^{-1}}f$ .

**Exercise 1.6.0.19.** Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $t \neq 0$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_t dm = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi dm$$

*Proof.* We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_t dm &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{-1} \phi(t^{-1}x) dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(z) dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi dm\end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 1.6.0.20.** Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Set

$$\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi dm$$

Then for each  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f * \phi_{1/n} \xrightarrow{L^1} \alpha f$ .

**Hint:** for each  $t \neq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f * \phi_t(x) - \alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\tau_{tz} f(x) - f(x)] \phi(z) dm(z)$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \neq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The previous exercise implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f * \phi_t(x) - \alpha f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y) \phi_t(y) dm(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(y) dm(y) f(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y) \phi_t(y) dm(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_t(y) dm(y) f(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y) \phi_t(y) - f(x) \phi_t(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(x-y) - f(x)] \phi_t(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(x-y) - f(x)] t^{-1} \phi(t^{-1}y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(x-tz) - f(x)] \phi(z) dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\tau_{tz} f(x) - f(x)] \phi(z) dm(z) \end{aligned}$$

Tonelli's theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * \phi_t - \alpha f\|_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f * \phi_t(x) - \alpha f(x)| dm(x) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tau_{tz} f(x) - f(x)| |\phi(z)| dm(z) \right] dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tau_{tz} f(x) - f(x)| |\phi(z)| dm(x) \right] dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tau_{tz} f - f\|_1 |\phi(z)| dm(z) \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $g_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $g_n(z) = \|\tau_{n^{-1}z} f(x) - f(x)\|_1 \phi(z)$ . Then  $g_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.w.}} 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n| &\leq 2\|f\|_1 |\phi| \\ &\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{aligned}$$

The dominated convergence theorem implies that

□

**Definition 1.6.0.21.** content...



## 1.7 Tempered Distributions

## 1.8 The Fourier Transform on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$

**Note 1.8.0.1.** Recall that

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}) = \{\mu : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \mu \text{ is a complex measure}\}$$

**Definition 1.8.0.2.** Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ . We define the **Fourier transform of  $\mu$** , denoted  $\hat{\mu} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , by

$$\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x)$$

**Exercise 1.8.0.3.** Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\hat{\mu} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mu}(\xi)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{-i\xi x}| d|\mu|(x) \\ &= |\mu|(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\hat{\mu}$  is bounded. □

**Exercise 1.8.0.4.** Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\hat{\mu} \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^1(\mu)$  and  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  by  $f_n(x) = e^{-i\xi_n x}$  and  $f(x) = e^{-i\xi x}$ . Suppose that  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ . Then  $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.w.}} f$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x)| &= |e^{-i\xi_n x}| \\ &= 1 \\ &\in L^1(|\mu|) \end{aligned}$$

The dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mu}(\xi_n) - \hat{\mu}(\xi)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi_n x} d\mu(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi_n x} - e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{-i\xi_n x} - e^{-i\xi x}| d|\mu|(x) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\hat{\mu} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous. Hence  $\hat{\mu} \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ . □

**Definition 1.8.0.5.** Let  $X$  be a real normed vector space. We define  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu) = \hat{\mu}$$

**Exercise 1.8.0.6.** Let  $X$  be a real normed vector space. Then  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R})$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}[\mu + \nu](\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d[\mu + \nu](x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\nu(x) \\ &= \mathcal{F}[\mu](\xi) + \mathcal{F}[\nu](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary,  $\mathcal{F}(\mu + \nu) = \mathcal{F}(\mu) + \mathcal{F}(\nu)$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is linear. □

**Exercise 1.8.0.7.** Let  $X$  be a real normed vector space. If  $X$  is separable, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is injective.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is separable. Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . Suppose that  $\mu \in \ker \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\hat{\mu} = 0$  and for each  $\phi \in X^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \hat{\mu}(\phi) \\ &= \int_X e^{-i\phi(x)} d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix} d[\phi_*\mu](x) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 1.8.0.8.** Let  $X$  be a real normed vector space. Then  $\mathcal{F} \in L(\mathcal{M}(X), C_b(X^*))$  and  $\|\mathcal{F}\| \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* For  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and  $\phi \in X^*$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}[\mu](\phi)| &= \left| \int_X e^{-i\phi(x)} d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int_X |e^{-i\phi(x)}| d|\mu|(x) \\ &= |\mu|(X) \\ &= \|\mu\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(\mu)\| &= \sup_{\phi \in X^*} |\mathcal{F}[\mu](\phi)| \\ &\leq \|\mu\| \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{F} \in L(\mathcal{M}(X), C_b(X^*))$  and  $\|\mathcal{F}\| \leq 1$ .

□



## Chapter 2

# Fourier Analysis on $\mathbb{R}^n$

### 2.1 Schwartz Space

**Definition 2.1.0.1.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define

1.  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_j x_j y_j$
2.  $|x| = \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2}$
3.  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$
4.  $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$
5.  $\partial^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$

**Definition 2.1.0.2.** Let  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define

$$\|f\|_{\alpha, N} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)|$$

We define Schwartz space, denoted  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{for each } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \|f\|_{\alpha, N} < \infty\}$$

**Exercise 2.1.0.3.** For each  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $\partial^\alpha f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Then there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$|\partial^\alpha f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2)^{-1}$$

Define  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $g(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}$ . Then  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which implies that  $\partial^\alpha f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.1.0.4.**

## 2.2 The Convolution

**Definition 2.2.0.1.** Let  $f, g \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x-y)g(y)| dm(y) < \infty$$

we define the **convolution of  $f$  with  $g$** , denoted  $f * g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , by

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)g(y) dm(y)$$

**Exercise 2.2.0.2.** Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$ .

*Proof.* Define  $h \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $h(x, y) = f(x-y)g(y)$ . Tonelli's theorem implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} |h| dm^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x-y)g(y)| dm(y) \right] dm(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(y)| \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x-y)| dm(y) \right] dm(x) \\ &= \|f\|_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(y)| dm(y) \\ &= \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1 \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Then  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Fubini's theorem implies that  $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_1 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} |h| dm^2 \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 2.2.0.3.** Let  $f, g, h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$ .

**Hint:** use the substitution  $z \mapsto z - y$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then using the substitution  $z \mapsto z - y$  and Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g) * h(x) &= \int f * g(x-y)h(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int \left[ \int f(x-y-z)g(z) dm(z) \right] h(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int \left[ \int f(x-z)g(z-y) dm(z) \right] h(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int \left[ \int f(x-z)g(z-y)h(y) dm(z) \right] dm(y) \\ &= \int \left[ \int f(x-z)g(z-y)h(y) dm(y) \right] dm(z) \\ &= \int f(x-z) \left[ \int g(z-y)h(y) dm(y) \right] dm(z) \\ &= \int f(x-z)g * h(z) dm(z) \\ &= f * (g * h)(x) \end{aligned}$$

So  $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$ .

□

**Exercise 2.2.0.4.** Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f * g = g * f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Using the transformation  $y \mapsto x - y$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} f * g(x) &= \int f(x - y)g(y)dm(y) \\ &= \int f(y)g(x - y)dm(y) \\ &= \int g(x - y)f(y)dm(y) \\ &= g * f(x) \end{aligned}$$

So  $f * g = g * f$ . □

**Note 2.2.0.5.** To summarize,  $(L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), *)$  is a commutative Banach algebra.

**Exercise 2.2.0.6. Young's Inequality:**

Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $f \in L^1$  and  $g \in L^p$ . Then  $f * g \in L^p$  and  $\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$ .

*Proof.* Define  $K \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $K(x, y) = f(x - y)$ . Since for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |K(x, y)|dm(x) &= \int |K(x, y)|dm(y) \\ &= \|f\|_p \end{aligned}$$

an exercise in section 5.1 of [4] implies that  $f * g \in L^p$  and  $\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$ . □

**Exercise 2.2.0.7.** Let  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$  be conjugate,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

1. for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f * g(x)$  exists.
2.  $\|f * g\|_u \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$
- 3.

*Proof.* 1. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Holder's inequality implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x - y)g(y)|dm(y) \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$$

Then  $f * g(x)$  exists.

2. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then in part (1) we showed that

$$\begin{aligned} |f * g(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - y)g(y)dm(y) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x - y)g(y)|dm(y) \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is arbitrary,  $\|f * g\|_u \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$ .

3. □

**Exercise 2.2.0.8.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq k$  implies that  $\partial^\alpha g \in L^\infty$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq k$  implies that  $f * g \in C^k$  and

$$\partial^\alpha (f * g) = f * \partial^\alpha g$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Suppose that  $|\alpha| = 1$ . Define  $h \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $h(x, y) = g(x - y)f(y)$ . Young's inequality implies that for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $h(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For each  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\partial^\alpha h(\cdot, y) = \partial^\alpha g(\cdot - y)f(y)$  and for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|\partial^\alpha h(x, y)| \leq \|\partial^\alpha g\|_\infty |f(y)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . An exercise in section 3.3 of [4] implies that for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\partial^\alpha (g * f)(x)$  exists and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha (f * g)(x) &= \partial^\alpha (g * f)(x) \\ &= \partial^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x, y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^\alpha g(x - y) f(y) dm(y) \\ &= (\partial^\alpha g) * f(x) \\ &= f * (\partial^\alpha g)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Now proceed by induction on  $|\alpha|$ . □



## 2.3 The Fourier Transform

**Definition 2.3.0.1.**

**Exercise 2.3.0.2.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$  be a measurable homomorphism.

1. Then  $\phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  and there exists  $a > 0$  such that

$$\int_{(0,a]} \phi dm \neq 0$$

2. Define

$$c = \left[ \int_{(0,a]} \phi dm \right]^{-1}$$

Then For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\phi(x) = c \int_{(x,x+a]} \phi dm$$

3.  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\phi' = c(\phi(a) - 1)\phi$
4. Define  $b = c(\phi(a) - 1)$  and  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  by  $g(x) = e^{-bx}\phi(x)$ . Then  $g$  is constant and there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x}$ .

*Proof.*

1. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  be compact. Then

$$\int_K |\phi| dm = m(K) < \infty$$

So  $\phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose that for each  $a > 0$ ,

$$\int_{(0,a]} \phi dm = 0$$

Then the FTC implies that  $\phi = 0$  a.e. on  $[0, \infty)$ , which is a contradiction. So there exists  $a > 0$  such that

$$\int_{(0,a]} \phi dm \neq 0$$

2. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= c \int_{(0,a]} \phi(x)\phi(t) dm(t) \\ &= c \int_{(0,a]} \phi(x+t) dm(t) \\ &= c \int_{(x,x+a]} \phi dm \end{aligned}$$

3. Part (2) and the FTC imply that  $\phi$  is continuous. Let  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $f_d \in C((d, \infty))$  by

$$f_d(x) = \int_{(d,x]} \phi dm$$

Since  $\phi$  is continuous, the FTC implies that  $f_d$  is differentiable and for each  $x > d$   $f'_d(x) = \phi(x)$ . Part (2) implies that for each  $x > d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= c \int_{(x,x+a]} \phi dm \\ &= c(f_d(x+a) - f_d(x)) \end{aligned}$$

So for each  $x > d$ ,  $\phi$  is differentiable at  $x$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'(x) &= c(\phi(x+a) - \phi(x)) \\ &= c(\phi(a) - 1)\phi(x)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary,  $\phi$  is differentiable and  $\phi' = c(\phi(a) - 1)\phi$ . This implies that  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

4. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}g'(x) &= e^{-bx}\phi'(x) - be^{-bx}\phi(x) \\ &= be^{-bx}\phi(x) - be^{-bx}\phi(x) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

So  $g' = 0$  and  $g$  is constant. Hence there exists  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi(x) = ke^{bx}$ . Since  $\phi(0) = 1$ ,  $k = 1$ . Since  $|\phi| = 1$ , there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b = 2\pi i\xi$ . □

**Note 2.3.0.3.** To summarize, for each measurable homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$ , there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi(x) = e^{2\pi i\xi x}$ .

**Exercise 2.3.0.4.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow S^1$  be a measurable homomorphism. Then there exists  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\phi(x) = e^{2\pi i\langle \xi, x \rangle}$ .

*Proof.* When done in the category of measurable groups, an exercise in the section on direct products of groups of [?] implies that there exist measurable homomorphism  $(\phi_j)_{j=1}^n \subset (S^1)^\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi = \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \phi_j$ . The previous exercise implies that there exist  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\phi_j(x_j) = e^{2\pi i\xi_j x_j}$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \prod_{j=1}^n \phi_j(x_j) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i\xi_j x_j} \\ &= e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j x_j} \\ &= e^{2\pi i\langle \xi, x \rangle}\end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 2.3.0.5.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We define the **Fourier transform of  $f$** , denoted  $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i\langle \xi, x \rangle} dm(x)$$

## Chapter 3

# Fourier Analysis on LCA Groups

### 3.1 The Convolution

**Note 3.1.0.1.** For the remainder of the section, we fix a locally compact abelian group  $G$  and a Haar measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ .

**Definition 3.1.0.2.** Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$ . We define the **convolution of  $f$  with  $g$** , denoted  $f * g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , by

$$f * g(x) = \int_X f(x - y)g(y)d\mu(y)$$

**Exercise 3.1.0.3.** Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$ . Then  $f * g \in L^1(\mu)$ .

*Proof.* By Tonelli's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |f * g|d\mu &\leq \int_X \left[ \int_X |f(x - y)g(y)|d\mu(y) \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X |g(y)| \left[ \int_X |f(x - y)|d\mu(y) \right] d\mu(x) \\ &= \|f\|_1 \int_X |g(y)|d\mu(x) \\ &= \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1 \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

□



## Chapter 4

# Fourier Analysis on Banach Spaces



# Appendix A

## Summation





## Appendix B

# Asymptotic Notation



# Bibliography

- [1] [Introduction to Algebra](#)
- [2] [Introduction to Analysis](#)
- [3] [Introduction to Fourier Analysis](#)
- [4] [Introduction to Measure and Integration](#)