Introduction to Group Theory

Carson James

## Contents

| Notation |  | vii               |  |
|----------|--|-------------------|--|
| P        | Preface  | 1                 |  |
| 1        | Prelimiaries 1.1 Category Theory   | 3<br>. 3<br>. 3   |  |
| 2        | Representation Theory 2.1 Group Representations 2.1.1 Unitary representations 2.1.2 Subrepresentations 2.1.3 Direct Sum of Representations 2.2 Tannaka Duality | . 5<br>. 7<br>. 9 |  |
| 3        | Groupoids  | 15                |  |

vi CONTENTS

## Notation

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{M}_+(X,\mathcal{A}) & \text{ finite measures on } (X,\mathcal{A}) \\ v & \text{ velocity} \end{array}$ 

viii Notation

## Preface

cc-by-nc-sa

2 Notation

### Chapter 1

### **Prelimiaries**

#### 1.1 Category Theory

- Hilb:
  - $\text{ Obj}(\mathbf{Hilb}) := \{H : H \text{ is a Hilbert space}\}\$
  - $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_1, H_2) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ban}}(H_1, H_2)$
- Mon

#### 1.1.1 The Unitary Group

**Definition 1.1.1.1.** Let  $H_1, H_2 \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Hilb})$ . We define the unitary group from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ , denoted  $U(H_1, H_2)$ , by

$$U(H_1, H_2) = \{ T \in \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_1, H_2) : T^* = T^{-1} \}$$

We write U(H) in place of U(H,H). We equip  $U(H_1,H_2)$  with the strong operator topology.

**Exercise 1.1.1.2.** Let  $H \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Hilb})$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}^s_{U(H)} = \mathcal{T}^w_{U(H)}$ . strong weak operator topologies coincide

**Exercise 1.1.1.3.** Let  $H \in \text{Obj}(\text{Hilb})$ . Then U(H) is a topological group.

Proof. content...

### Chapter 2

### Representation Theory

#### 2.1 Group Representations

#### 2.1.1 Unitary representations

**Definition 2.1.1.1.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ ,  $H \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Hilb})$  and  $\pi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopGrp}}(G, U(H))$ . Then  $(H, \pi)$  is said to be a **unitary representation of** G. We define the **dimension of**  $(H, \pi)$ , denoted  $\dim(H, \pi)$ , by  $\dim(H, \pi) := \dim V$ .

**Definition 2.1.1.2.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ ,  $(H_{\pi}, \pi)$ ,  $(H_{\rho}, \rho)$  unitary representations of G and  $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_{\pi}, H_{\rho})$ . Then T is said to be  $(\pi, \rho)$ -equivariant if for each  $g \in G$ ,  $T \circ \pi(g) = \rho(g) \circ T$ , i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$H_{\pi} \xrightarrow{T} H_{\rho}$$

$$\pi(g) \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \rho(g)$$

$$H_{\pi} \xrightarrow{T} H_{\rho}$$

**Definition 2.1.1.3.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ . We define  $\mathbf{URep}(G)$  by

- Obj( $\mathbf{URep}(G)$ ) = { $(H, \pi)$  :  $(H, \pi)$  is a unitary representation of G }.
- for  $(H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G)),$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho)) = \{T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_{\pi}, H_{\rho}) : T \text{ is } (\pi, \rho) \text{-equivariant} \}$$

• for  $(H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho), (H_{\mu}, \mu) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G)), T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho))$  and  $S \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\rho}, \rho), (H_{\mu}, \mu)),$ 

$$S \circ_{\mathbf{URep}(G)} T = S \circ T$$

**Exercise 2.1.1.4.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ . Then  $\mathbf{URep}(G)$  is a category.

Proof. FINISH!!! □

Exercise 2.1.1.5. Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho)) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S, T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho))$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for each  $g \in G$ ,

$$(S + \lambda T) \circ \pi(g) = S \circ \pi(g) + \lambda T \circ \pi(g)$$
  
=  $\rho(g) \circ S + \rho(g) \circ (\lambda T)$   
=  $\rho(g) \circ (S + \lambda T)$ .

Hence  $S + \lambda T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho))$ . Since  $S, T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho))$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is arbitrary, we have that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho)) \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}})$ .

**Definition 2.1.1.6.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho) \in \mathbf{URep}(G)$ . Then  $(H_{\pi}, \pi)$  is said to be unitarily equivalent to  $(H_{\rho}, \rho)$ , denoted  $(H_{\pi}, \pi) \equiv (H_{\rho}, \rho)$ , if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho)) \cap U(H_{\pi}, H_{\rho}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Note 2.1.1.7. Let  $\pi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopGrp}}(G, U(H))$ . Since U(H) is equipped with the strong operator topology, we have that for each  $u \in H$ , the map  $g \mapsto \pi(g)u$  is continuous.

**Definition 2.1.1.8.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ . We define the **induced group** action of G on H, denoted  $\phi_{(H,\pi)} : G \times H \to H$ , by

$$\phi_{(H,\pi)}(g,v) = \pi(g)v$$

**Note 2.1.1.9.** When the context is clear, we write  $g \cdot v$  in place of  $\phi_{(H,\pi)}(g,v)$ .

**Exercise 2.1.1.10.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ . Then

- 1.  $\phi_{(H,\pi)}$  is a linear group action.
- 2. G is locally compact implies that  $\phi_{(H,\pi)}$  is continuous

Proof.

- 1. Let  $g, h \in G$  and  $v \in H$ .
  - (a) Since  $\pi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopGrp}}(G, U(H))$ ,

$$e \cdot v = \pi(e)v$$
$$= id_H v$$
$$= v$$

(b) Since  $\pi \in \text{Hom}_{\textbf{TopGrp}}(G, U(H))$ ,

$$g \cdot (h \cdot v) = \pi(g)[\pi(h)v]$$
$$= [\pi(g)\pi(h)]v$$
$$= \pi(gh)v$$
$$= (gh) \cdot v$$

Since  $g, h \in G$  and  $v \in H$  are arbitrary,  $\phi_{(H,\pi)}$  is a group action of G on H.

• Let  $g \in G$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $v, w \in H$ . Then

$$g \cdot (\lambda v + w)$$

$$= \pi(g)(\lambda v + w)$$

$$= \lambda \pi(g)v + \pi(g)w$$

$$= \lambda q \cdot v + q \cdot w$$

Since  $g \in G$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $v, w \in H$  are arbitrary,  $\phi_{(H,\pi)}$  is a linear action.

2. Suppose that G is locally compact. Let  $(g_0, v_0) \in G \times H$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since G is locally compact, there exists  $K \subset G$  such that  $g_0 \in \text{Int } K$  and K is compact. Let  $v \in H$ . Define  $f_v : G \to H$  by  $f_v(g) = g \cdot v$ . Since  $\pi : G \to U(H)$  is continuous,  $f_v$  is continuous. Thus  $||f_v||$  is continuous. Since K is compact,  $||f_v||(K)$  is compact. Thus

$$\sup_{g \in K} \|g \cdot v\| = \sup_{g \in K} \|f_v(g)\|$$

$$< \infty$$

Since  $v \in H$  is arbitrary, we have that for each  $v \in H$ ,  $\sup_{g \in K} \|g \cdot v\| < \infty$ . The uniform boundedness principle implies that there exists M > 0 such that  $\sup_{g \in K} \|\pi(g)\| \leq M$ . Since  $f_{v_0}$  is continuous, there

exists  $U \subset K$  such that U is open,  $g_0 \in U$ , and  $f_{v_0}(U) \subset B(f_{v_0}(g_0), \epsilon/2)$ . Let  $(g_1, v_1) \in U \times B(v_0, (2M)^{-1}\epsilon)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{(H,\pi)}(g_0,v_0) - \phi_{(H,\pi)}(g_1,v_1)\| &= \|g_0 \cdot v_0 - g_1 \cdot v_1\| \\ &\leq \|g_0 \cdot v_0 - g_1 \cdot v_0\| + \|g_1 \cdot v_0 - g_1 \cdot v_1\| \\ &= \|f_{v_0}(g_0) - f_{v_0}(g_1)\| + \|\pi(g_1)(v_0 - v_1)\| \\ &\leq \|f_{v_0}(g_0) - f_{v_0}(g_1)\| + \|\pi(g_1)\|\|v_0 - v_1\| \\ &\leq \|f_{v_0}(g_0) - f_{v_0}(g_1)\| + M\|v_0 - v_1\| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + M\frac{\epsilon}{2M} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we have that  $\phi_{(H,\pi)}$  is continuous at  $(g_0, v_0)$ . Since  $(g_0, v_0) \in G \times H$  is arbitrary, we have that  $\phi_{(H,\pi)} : G \times H \to H$  is continuous.

#### 2.1.2 Subrepresentations

**Definition 2.1.2.1.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ ,  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $E \subset H$  a closed subspace. Then E is said to be

- nontrivial if  $E \neq H, \emptyset$
- $(H,\pi)$ -invariant if for each  $g \in G$ ,  $\pi(g)(E) \subset E$

**Exercise 2.1.2.2.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ ,  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $E \subset H$  a closed subspace. Suppose that E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant. Then for each  $g, h \in G$ ,

- 1.  $\pi(g)|_E \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(E), \, \pi(g)|_E^{-1} = \pi(g^{-1})|_E \text{ and } \pi(g)(E) = E,$
- 2.  $\pi(g)|_E \in U(E)$  and  $\pi(g)|_E^* = \pi(g^{-1})|_E$ ,
- 3.  $\pi(gh)|_E = \pi(g)|_E \circ \pi(h)|_E$ .

Proof. Let  $g, h \in G$ .

1. Let  $x \in E$ . Since E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant, we have that  $\pi(g)(x) \in E$ .

$$\begin{split} [\pi(g^{-1})|_E \circ \pi(g)|_E](x) &= \pi(g^{-1})|_E[\pi(g)|_E(x)] \\ &= \pi(g^{-1})|_E[\pi(g)(x)] \\ &= \pi(g^{-1})[\pi(g)(x)] \\ &= [\pi(g^{-1}) \circ \pi(g)](x) \\ &= \pi(g^{-1}g)(x) \\ &= \pi(e)(x) \\ &= I(x) \\ &= I_E(x). \end{split}$$

Similarly,  $\pi(g^{-1})(x) \in E$  and  $[\pi(g)|_E \circ \pi(g^{-1})|_E](x) = I|_E(x)$ . Since  $x \in E$  is arbitrary, we have that  $\pi(g)|_E \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(E)$  and  $\pi(g^{-1})|_E = \pi(g)|_E^{-1}$ . Since  $\pi(g)|_E \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(E)$ , we have that

$$\pi(g)(E) = \pi(g)|_{E}(E)$$
$$= E.$$

2. Let  $x, y \in E$ . Then

$$\langle \pi(g)|_E x, y \rangle = \langle \pi(g)x, y \rangle$$
$$= \langle x, \pi(g)^* y \rangle$$
$$= \langle x, \pi(g)^*|_E y \rangle$$

Since  $x, y \in E$  are arbitrary, we have that  $\pi(g)|_E^* = \pi(g)^*|_E$ . The previous part then implies that

$$\begin{split} \pi(g)|_E^* &= \pi(g)^*|_E \\ &= \pi(g)^{-1}|_E \\ &= \pi(g^{-1})|_E \\ &= \pi(g)|_E^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Since  $\pi(g)|_E^* = \pi(g)|_E^{-1}$ , we have that  $\pi(g)|_E \in U(E)$ .

3. Let  $x \in E$ . Since E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant, we have that  $\pi(h)(x) \in E$  and therefore

$$\pi(gh)|_{E}(x) = \pi(gh)(x)$$

$$= [\pi(g) \circ \pi(g)](x)$$

$$= \pi(g)[\pi(h)(x)]$$

$$= \pi(g)|_{E}[\pi(h)(x)]$$

$$= \pi(g)|_{E}[\pi(h)|_{E}(x)]$$

$$= [\pi(g)|_{E} \circ \pi(g)|_{E}](x).$$

Since  $x \in E$  is arbitrary, we have that  $\pi(gh)|_E = \pi(g)|_E \circ \pi(g)|_E$ .

**Definition 2.1.2.3.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $\mathbb{K} \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Field})$  and  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ . Then

- $(H, \pi)$  is said to be **reducible** if there exists a closed subspace  $E \subset H$  such that E is not trivial and E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant
- $(H,\pi)$  is said to be **irreducible** if  $(H,\pi)$  is not reducible.

**Definition 2.1.2.4.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $E \subset H$  a closed subspace. Suppose that E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant.

- We define  $\pi^E \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopGrp}}(G, U(E))$  by  $\pi^E(g) := \pi(g)|_E$
- We define the **restriction**  $(H,\pi)$  **to** E, denoted  $(H,\pi)|_E$ , by  $(H,\pi)|_E:=(E,\pi^E)$

**Exercise 2.1.2.5.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $E \subset H$  a closed subspace.

- 1. If E is nontrivial, then  $E^{\perp}$  is nontrivial.
- 2. If E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant, then  $E^{\perp}$  is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant.

Proof.

- 1. Suppose that E is nontrivial. Then  $E \neq \{0\}, H$ . Then  $E^{\perp} \neq \{0\}, H$ . Thus  $E^{\perp}$  is nontrivial.
- 2. Suppose that E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant. Let  $g \in G$ . Since  $\pi(g) \in U(H)$  and  $\pi(g)(E) = E$ , An exercise in the analysis notes section on Hilbert spaces implies that  $\pi(g)(E^{\perp}) = E^{\perp}$ . Since  $g \in G$  is arbitrary,  $E^{\perp}$  is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant.

**Definition 2.1.2.6.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp}), (H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $u \in H$ . We define the **cyclic subspace of** H **generated by** u **under**  $(H, \pi)$ , denoted  $\text{cyc}_{(H, \pi)}(u)$ , by

$$\operatorname{cyc}_{(H,\pi)}(u) := \operatorname{cl}\operatorname{span}(\phi_{(H,\pi)}(G,u))$$

**Note 2.1.2.7.** When the context is clear, we write  $\operatorname{cyc}(u)$  in place of  $\operatorname{cyc}_{(H,\pi)}(u)$ .

**Exercise 2.1.2.8.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ ,  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $u \in H$ . Then cyc(u) is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant. this should largely be a result about linear group actions.

*Proof.* Let  $g \in G$ . Since G acts linearly and homeomorphically on H,

$$g \cdot \operatorname{cyc}(u) = g \cdot \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{span}(G \cdot u)$$

$$= \operatorname{cl} g \cdot \operatorname{span}(G \cdot u)$$

$$= \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{span}[g \cdot (G \cdot u)]$$

$$= \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{span}(G \cdot u)$$

$$= \operatorname{cyc}(u)$$

Since  $g \in G$  is arbitrary, cyc(u) is G-invariant.

**Definition 2.1.2.9.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ .

- Let  $u \in H$ . Then u is said to be  $(H, \pi)$ -cyclic if  $\operatorname{cyc}(u) = H$ .
- Then  $(H,\pi)$  is said to be **cyclic** if there exists  $u \in H$  such that u is  $(H,\pi)$ -cyclic.

#### 2.1.3 Direct Sum of Representations

**Definition 2.1.3.1.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \subset \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ .

• We define  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \pi_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopGrp}}(G, U(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} H_{\alpha}))$  by

$$\left[\bigoplus_{\alpha\in A}\pi_{\alpha}\right](g) = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in A}\pi_{\alpha}(g)$$

• We define the **direct sum** of  $(H_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ , denoted  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} (H_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha})$ , by

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} (H_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}) = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} H_{\alpha}, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \pi_{\alpha}\right)$$

Note 2.1.3.2. FINISH!!! the last definition works for internal or external direct sum, just need to define inner or external sum of  $H_{\alpha}$  and  $\pi_{\alpha}$  in either case.

**Exercise 2.1.3.3.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ ,  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $E \subset H$  a closed subspace. If E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant, then  $(H, \pi) = (E \oplus E^{\perp}, \pi^E \oplus \pi^{E^{\perp}})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant. A previous exercise implies that  $E^{\perp}$  is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant. Since  $H = E \oplus E^{\perp}$ . Let  $g \in G$  and  $u \in H$ . Since  $H = E \oplus E^{\perp}$ , there exists  $v \in E$  and  $w \in E^{\perp}$  such that u = v + w. Then

$$\begin{split} \pi(g)(u) &= \pi(g)(v+w) \\ &= \pi(g)(v) + \pi(g)(w) \\ &= \pi(g)|_{E}(v) + \pi(g)|_{E^{\perp}}(w) \\ &= \pi^{E}(g)(v) + \pi^{E^{\perp}}(g)(w) \\ &= [\pi^{E}(g) \oplus \pi^{E^{\perp}}(g)](v+w) \\ &= [\pi^{E} \oplus \pi^{E^{\perp}}](g)(v+w) \\ &= [\pi^{E} \oplus \pi^{E^{\perp}}](g)(u) \end{split}$$

Since  $u \in H$  is arbitrary,  $\pi(g) = [\pi^E \oplus \pi^{E^{\perp}}](g)$ . Since  $g \in G$  is arbitrary,  $\pi = \pi^E \oplus \pi^{E^{\perp}}$ .

**Definition 2.1.3.4.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ ,  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(H)$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is said to be an  $(H, \pi)$ -orthocyclic system if for each  $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

- 1. E is a closed subspace of H
- 2.  $(H,\pi)|_E$  is cyclic
- 3. if  $E \neq F$ , then  $E \perp F$

**Exercise 2.1.3.5.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ . Then there exists  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(H)$  such that  $\mathcal{E}$  is an  $(H, \pi)$ -orthocyclic system and  $(H, \pi) = \bigoplus_{E \in \mathcal{E}} (H, \pi)|_E$ .

Hint: Zorn's lemma

*Proof.* Define  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{E} \text{ is an } (H, \pi)\text{-orthocyclic system}\}$ . We partially order  $\mathcal{P}$  by inclusion. Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}$  be a chain. Set  $\mathcal{E}_0 = \bigcup_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E}$ . Let  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}_0$ . Then there exist  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $E_1 \in \mathcal{E}_1$  and  $E_2 \in \mathcal{E}_2$ . Since

 $\mathcal{C}$  is a chain,  $\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \bar{\mathcal{E}}_2$  or  $\mathcal{E}_2 \subset \mathcal{E}_1$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2$ . Then  $E_1 \in \mathcal{E}_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}_2$  is an  $(H, \pi)$ -orthocyclic system, we have that  $E_1$  is a closed subspaces of H,  $(H, \pi)|_{E_1}$  is cyclic and if  $E_1 \neq E_2$ , then  $E_1 \perp E_2$ . Similarly,  $\mathcal{E}_2 \subset \mathcal{E}_1$  implies the same conclusion. Since  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}_0$  are arbitrary, we have that for each  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}_0$ 

- 1.  $E_1$  is a closed subspaces of H and  $E_1$  is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant
- 2.  $(H,\pi)|_{E_1}$  is cyclic
- 3. if  $E_1 \neq E_2$ , then  $E_1 \perp E_2$

Thus  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is an  $(H,\pi)$ -orthocyclic system. Hence  $\mathcal{E}_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ . By construction, for each  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_0$ . So  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is an upper bound of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a chain is arbitrary, we have that for each  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}$ , if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a chain, then there exists  $\mathcal{E}_0 \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is an upper bound of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Zorn's lemma implies that there exists  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}$  is maximal. Set  $E = \bigoplus_{E_0 \in \mathcal{E}} E_0$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $H \neq E$ . Then

 $E^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$ . Thus there exists  $u \in E^{\perp}$  such that  $u \neq 0$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{cyc}(u) \neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{cyc}(u) \subset E^{\perp}$ . Let  $E_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ . By construction,  $E_0 \subset E$ . Thus

$$\operatorname{cyc}(u) \subset E^{\perp}$$
  
 $\subset E_0^{\perp}$ 

Since  $E_0 \in \mathcal{E}$  is arbitrary, we have that for each  $E_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\operatorname{cyc}(u) \subset E_0^{\perp}$ . Set  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \cup \{\operatorname{cyc}(u)\}$ . Then for each  $E, F \in \mathcal{E}'$ ,

- 1. E is a closed subspaces of H and E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant
- 2.  $(H,\pi)|_E$  is cyclic
- 3. if  $E \neq F$ , then  $E \perp F$

Hence  $\mathcal{E}' \in \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}'$  and  $\mathcal{E}$ 

Note 2.1.3.6. Let H be a Hilbert space and  $E \subset H$  a closed subspace. We denote the orthogonal projection onto E by  $P_E$ .

**Exercise 2.1.3.7.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp}), (H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $E \subset H$  a closed subspace. Then E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant iff  $P_E \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H, \pi))$ .

Proof.

• ( ⇒ ) :

Suppose that E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant. Let  $g \in G$  and  $z \in H$ . Then there exists  $x \in E$  and  $y \in E^{\perp}$  such that z = x + y. Since E is  $(H, \pi)$  invariant,  $\pi(g)(x) \in E$ . Thus

$$\pi(g) \circ P_E(x) = \pi(g)(x)$$
$$= P_E \circ \pi(g)(x).$$

Since E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant, ref previous ex here implies that  $E^{\perp}$  is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant. Therefore  $\pi(g)(y) \in E^{\perp}$  and

$$\pi(g) \circ P_E(x) = \pi(g)(0)$$

$$= 0$$

$$= P_E \circ \pi(g)(y).$$

Hence

$$\pi(g) \circ P_E(z) = \pi(g) \circ P_E(x+y)$$

$$= \pi(g) \circ P_E(x) + \pi(g) \circ P_E(y)$$

$$= P_E \circ \pi(g)(x) + P_E \circ \pi(g)(y)$$

$$= P_E \circ \pi(g)(x+y)$$

$$= P_E \circ \pi(g)(z).$$

Since  $z \in H$  is arbitrary, we have that  $\pi(g) \circ P_E = P_E \circ \pi(g)$ . Since  $g \in G$  is arbitrary,  $P_E \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}(H, \pi)$ .

(⇐=):

Conversely, suppose that  $P_E \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H,\pi))$ . Let  $g \in G$  and  $x \in E$ . Then

$$\pi(g)(x)$$

$$= \pi(g) \circ P_E(x)$$

$$= P_E \circ \pi(g)(x)$$

$$\in E.$$

Since  $x \in E$  is arbitrary,  $\pi(g)(E) \subset E$ . Since  $g \in G$  is arbitrary, E is  $(H, \pi)$ -invariant.

#### 2.2 Tannaka Duality

**Definition 2.2.0.1.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ . We define the **forgetful functor from URep**(G) **to Hilb**, denoted  $U : \mathbf{URep}(G) \to \mathbf{Hilb}$ , by

- $U(H,\pi) = H$ ,  $(H,\pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$
- U(T) = T,  $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho))$ .

Need to find out if quotienting by equivalence of isomorphism makes  $\mathbf{URep}(G)$  a small category so that we can talk about the functor category  $\mathbf{Hilb}^{\mathbf{URep}(G)}$  containing the forgetful functor as an object.

**Definition 2.2.0.2.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $g \in G$ . We define  $\hat{g}: U \Rightarrow U$  by

$$\hat{g}_{(H,\pi)} = \pi(g)$$

**Exercise 2.2.0.3.** Let  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $g \in G$ . Then

- 1.  $\hat{g}: U \Rightarrow U$  is a natural transformation.
- 2.  $\hat{g} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Hilb}^{\mathbf{URep}(G)}}(U)$

Proof.

1. (a) Let  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ . By definition,

$$\hat{g}_{(H,\pi)} = \pi(g)$$

$$\in U(H)$$

$$\subset \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(U(H,\pi))$$

(b) Let  $(H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$  and  $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{URep}(G)}((H_{\pi}, \pi), (H_{\rho}, \rho))$ . By definition,  $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hilb}}(H_{\pi}, H_{\rho})$  and T is  $(\pi, \rho)$ -equivariant. Therefore

$$\begin{split} U(T) \circ \hat{g}_{(H_\pi,\pi)} &= T \circ \pi(g) \\ &= \rho(g) \circ T \\ &= \hat{g}_{(H_\rho,\rho)} \circ U(T) \end{split}$$

i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$U(H_{\pi}, \pi) \xrightarrow{\hat{g}_{(H_{\pi}, \pi)}} U(H_{\pi}, \pi) \qquad H_{\pi} \xrightarrow{\pi(g)} H_{\pi}$$

$$U(T) \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow U(T) \qquad = \qquad \downarrow T \qquad \qquad \downarrow T$$

$$U(H_{\rho}, \rho) \xrightarrow{\hat{g}_{(H_{\rho}, \rho)}} U(H_{\rho}, \rho) \qquad H_{\rho} \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} H_{\rho}$$

Thus  $\hat{q}: U \Rightarrow U$  is a natural transformation.

2. Set  $h = g^{-1}$ . Part (1) implies that  $\hat{g}, \hat{h} \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{Hilb}U\mathbf{Rep}(G)}(U)$ . Let  $(H, \pi) \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ . Then

$$(\hat{g} \circ \hat{h})_{(H,\pi)} = \hat{g}_{(H,\pi)}$$

The previous part implies that

$$\begin{split} \hat{g} &\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopVect}^{\mathbf{URep}(G)}_{\mathbb{C}}}\big(U,U\big) \\ &= \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{TopVect}^{\mathbf{URep}(G)}_{\mathbb{C}}}\big(U\big) \end{split}$$

**Definition 2.2.0.4.** Let  $G \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$  and  $(H, \pi) \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathbf{URep}(G))$ . We define the  $(H, \pi)$ -projection, denoted  $\pi_{(H,\pi)} : \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{TopVect}^{\mathbf{URep}(G)}_{\mathbb{C}}}(U) \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{TopVect}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{C}}}(V)$ , by  $\pi_{(H,\pi)}(\alpha) = \alpha_{(H,\pi)}$ . We define the **topology** of endomorphisms of U, denoted  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}(U)}$ , by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}(U)} = \tau(\pi_{(H,\pi)} : (H,\pi) \in \mathbf{URep}(G))$$

**Definition 2.2.0.5.** define addition of endomorphisms of U pointwise

**Exercise 2.2.0.6.** Let  $G \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathbf{TopGrp})$ . Then  $(\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{TopVect}^{\mathbf{URep}(G)}_{\mathbb{C}}}(U), \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}(U)})$  is a topological unital algebra.

Proof.

## Chapter 3

# Groupoids

Definition 3.0.0.1.

# Bibliography

- [1] Introduction to Algebra
- [2] Introduction to Analysis
- [3] Introduction to Fourier Analysis
- [4] Introduction to Measure and Integration