INTRODUCTION TO ANALYSIS

CARSON JAMES

Contents

Preface	1
1. Real and Complex Numbers	2
1.1. Real Numbers	2
2. Metric Spaces	2
2.1. Introduction	2
3. Topology	3
3.1. Semi-continuity	3
4. Banach Spaces	5
4.1. Introduction	5
4.2. Linear Functionals	15
4.3. The Baire Category and Closed Graph Theorems	22
4.4. Banach Algebras	27
4.5. Differentiability	28
4.6. l^p Spaces	29
5. Hilbert Spaces	30
6. Convexity	30
6.1. Introduction	30
6.2. Conjugacy	32
6.3. Differentiability	33
6.4. Functional Optimization	34

Preface

content...

1. Real and Complex Numbers

Note 1.0.1. As a starting point, we will take as fact the existence of the **natural numbers**

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \cdots\}$$

the integers

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{\cdots, -2, -2, 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$$

and the rational numbers

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} : a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

1.1. Real Numbers.

Definition 1.1.1. Let X be a set and \leq a relation on X. Then \leq is said to be a total **order** if for each $a, b, c \in X$,

- $(1) \ a < a$
- (2) $a \le b$ and $b \le c$ implies that $a \le c$
- (3) $a \le b$ and $b \le a$ implies that a = b
- (4) $a \le b$ or $b \le a$

Exercise 1.1.2. We define the relation \leq on \mathbb{Q} defined by

$$\frac{a}{b} \le \frac{c}{d}$$
 iff $ad \le bc$

Then \leq is a total order of \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. Let $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}, \frac{e}{f} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then

- (1) $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{a}{b}$ since $ab \leq ab$. (2) if $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{c}{d}$ and $\frac{c}{d} \leq \frac{e}{f}$, then $ad \leq bc$ and $cf \leq de$. Multiplying the first inequality by fand the second inequality by b, we obtain $adf \leq bcf \leq bde$. Dividing both sides by d yields $af \leq be$. Hence $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{e}{f}$.
- (3) if $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{c}{d}$ and $\frac{c}{d} \leq \frac{a}{b}$, then $ad \leq bc$ and $bc \leq ab$. This implies that ad = bc. Hence $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$.

2. Metric Spaces

2.1. Introduction.

3. Topology

Definition 3.0.1. Let X be a topological space and $S, N \subset X$. Then N is said to be a **neighborhood** of S if there exists $U \subset X$ such that U is open and $S \subset U \subset N$. For $S \in X$, we denote the set of neighborhoods of S by \mathcal{N}_S

Exercise 3.0.2. Let X be a topological space and $A \subset X$. Then A is open iff for each $a \in A$, there exists $U_a \in \mathcal{N}_a$ such that U_a is an open of a and $U_a \subset A$.

Proof. Suppose that A is open. Let $a \in A$. Then $A \in \mathcal{N}_a$, A is an open and $A \subset A$. Conversely, suppose that or each $a \in A$, there exists $U_a \in \mathcal{N}_a$ such that U_a is open and $U_a \subset A$. Then $A = \bigcup_{a \in A} U_a$ is open. \square

Definition 3.0.3. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) be topological spaces and $f: X \to Y$. Then

- (1) f is said to be **continuous** if for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (2) f is said to be open if for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $f(A) \in \mathcal{B}$.
- (3) f is said to be **closed** if for each $A \subset X$, if $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$, then $f(A)^c \in \mathcal{B}$.

Exercise 3.0.4. Let X,Y be topological spaces and $\phi:X\to Y$ a homeomorphism. Then for each $A\subset X$,

- (1) $\overline{\phi(A)} = \phi(\overline{A})$
- (2) $\phi(A)^{\circ} = \phi(A^{\circ})$

Proof.

- (1) Let $A \subset X$. Since $\overline{A} \subset \overline{A}$, we have that $\phi(A) \subset \phi(\overline{A})$. Since \overline{A} is closed, $\phi(\overline{A})$ is closed and thus $\overline{\phi(A)} \subset \phi(\overline{A})$. Conversely, let $x \in \phi(\overline{A})$. Then $\phi^{-1}(x) \in \overline{A}$. Then there exists a net $\langle y_{\alpha} \rangle \subset A$ such that $\underline{y_{\alpha}} \to \phi^{-1}(x)$. Then $\langle \phi(y_{\alpha}) \rangle \subset \phi(A)$ and $\phi(y_{\alpha}) \to x$. Thus $x \in \overline{\phi(A)}$ and $\phi(\overline{A}) \subset \overline{\phi(A)}$.
- (2) Similar

3.1. Semi-continuity.

Definition 3.1.1. Let X be a topological space, $f: X \to (\infty, \infty]$ and $x_0 \in X$. Then f is said to be **lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) at** x_0 if

$$\liminf_{x \to x_0} f(x) \ge f(x_0)$$

and f is said to be **lower semicontinuous** (l.s.c.) if for each $x_0 \in X$, f is lower semicontinuous at x_0 .

Exercise 3.1.2. Let X be a topological space and $f: X \to (\infty, \infty]$. Then f is l.s.c. iff for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty])$ is open.

Proof. Suppose that f is l.s.c. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0 \in f^{-1}(\alpha, \infty]$. Put $\epsilon = f(x_0) - \alpha$. By definition,

$$\sup_{V \in N_{x_0}} \inf_{x \in V \setminus \{x_0\}} f(x) \ge f(x_0)$$

Choose $V_{\epsilon} \in N_{x_0}$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in V_{\epsilon}} f(x) > f(x_0) - \epsilon$$

Then $V_{\epsilon}^{o} \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}$ is open and

$$V_{\epsilon}^{o} \subset V_{\epsilon}$$
$$\subset f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty])$$

So $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty])$ is open.

Conversely, suppose that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty])$ is open. Let $x_0 \in X$. Put $\alpha = f(x_0)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $V_n = f^{-1}((f(x_0) - 1/n, \infty])$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $V_n \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}$ and

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \inf f(x) = \sup_{V \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0}} \inf_{x \in V \setminus \{x_0\}} f(x)$$

$$\geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{x \in V_n \setminus \{x_0\}} f(x)$$

$$\geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(x_0) - 1/n$$

$$= f(x_0)$$

So f is l.s.c.

4. Banach Spaces

4.1. Introduction.

Note 4.1.1. In the following, we will consider vector spaces over \mathbb{C} . There are analogous results for real vector spaces as well, just replace every \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{R} .

Definition 4.1.1. Let X be a normed vector space. Then X is said to be a **Banach space** if X is complete.

Definition 4.1.2. Let X be a normed vector space and $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \subset X$. The series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i$ is said to **converge** if the sequence $s_n := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ converges. The series $\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i$ is said to converge absolutely if $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} ||x_i|| < \infty$.

Theorem 4.1.1. Let X be a normed vector space. Then X is complete iff for each $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset$ $X, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ converges absolutely implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ converges.

Proof. Suppose that X is complete. Let $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}x_i$ converges absolutely. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $m, n \geq N$ and m < n, then $\sum_{m=1}^{n} ||x_i|| < \epsilon$. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that m < n. Then

$$||s_n - s_m|| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=m+1}^n ||x_i||$$

$$\leq \epsilon$$

Thus $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is cauchy. Since X is complete, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}x_i$ converges. Conversely, Suppose that for each $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}x_i$ converges absolutely implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}x_i$ converges. Let $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ be cauchy. Proceed inductively to create a strictly increasing sequence $(n_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{N}$ such that for each $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$, if $m,n\geq n_i$, then $||x_m-x_n||<$ 2^{-i} . Define $(y_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ by

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_{n_1} & i = 1\\ x_{n_i} - x_{n_{i-1}} & i \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i = x_{n_k}$ and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|y_i\| = \|x_{n_1}\| + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_{n_i} - x_{n_{i-1}}\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n_1}\| + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-i}$$

$$= \|x_{n_1}\| + 1$$

Hence $(x_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}=(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges. Since $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is cauchy and has a convergent subsequence, it converges. So X is complete.

Definition 4.1.3. Let X, Y be a normed vector spaces. A linear map $T: X \to Y$ is said to be **bounded** if there exists $C \geq 0$ such that for each $x \in X$, $||Tx|| \leq C||x||$.

Exercise 4.1.4. Let X, Y be a normed vector spaces and $T: X \to Y$ a linear map. Then T is bounded iff there exists r, s > 0 such that $T(B(0, r)) \subset B(0, s)$

Proof. Suppose that T is bounded. Then there exists $C \geq 0$ such that for each $x \in X$, $||Tx|| \leq C||x||$. Thus $T(B(0,1)) \subset B(0,C+1)$. Conversely. Suppose that there exists r,s>0 such that $T(B(0,r)) \subset B(0,s)$. Define $C=\frac{2s}{r}$. Let $x \in X$. Put $\alpha=\frac{r}{2||x||}$ Then $\alpha x \in B(0,r)$. So $T(\alpha x) = \alpha T(x) \in B(0,s)$. Hence

$$||T(\alpha x)|| = ||\alpha T(x)||$$

$$= |\alpha||T(x)||$$

$$= \frac{r}{2||x||}||T(x)||$$

$$< s.$$

Thus

$$||Tx|| < \frac{2s}{r}||x|| = C||x||$$

So T is bounded.

Theorem 4.1.2. Let X, Y be normed vector spaces and $T: X \to Y$ a linear map. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) T is continuous
- (2) T is continuous at x = 0
- (3) T is bounded

Proof. $(1) \implies (2)$: Trivial

 $(2) \implies (3)$:

Suppose that T is continuous at x=0. Then there exists $\delta>0$ such that for each $x\in X$, if $\|x\|<\delta$, then $\|Tx\|<1$. Choose $C=\frac{2}{\delta}$. If x=0, then $\|Tx\|\leq C\|x\|$. Suppose that $\|x\|\neq 0$. Define $y=\frac{\delta}{2\|x\|}x$. Then $\|y\|<\delta$. So

$$||Ty|| = \frac{\delta}{2||x||}||Tx|| < 1$$

Thus

$$||Tx|| < \frac{2}{\delta}||x||$$
$$= C||x||$$

Hence T is bounded.

 $(3) \implies (1)$

Suppose that T is bounded. Then there exists $C \ge 0$ such that for each $x \in X$, $||Tx|| \le C||x||$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{C+1}$. Let $x, y \in X$ Suppose that $||x-y|| < \delta$. Then

$$||Tx - Ty|| = ||T(x - y)||$$

$$\leq C||x - y||$$

$$< (C + 1)\delta$$

$$= \epsilon$$

So T is continuous.

Definition 4.1.5. Let X, Y be normed vector spaces. Define $L(X,Y) = \{T: X \to Y: X \to Y\}$ T is bounded. Define $\|\cdot\|: L(X,Y) \to [0,\infty)$ by

$$||T|| = \inf\{C \ge 0 : \text{for each } x \in X, \ ||Tx|| \le C||x||\}$$

We call $\|\cdot\|$ the **operator norm on** L(X,Y)

Exercise 4.1.6. Let X, Y be normed vector spaces. If $X \neq \{0\}$, then the operator norm on L(X,Y) is given by:

- (1) $||T|| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||Tx||$ (2) $||T|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} ||x||^{-1} ||Tx||$ (3) $||T|| = \inf\{C \geq 0 : \text{for each } x \in X, ||Tx|| \leq C||x||\}$

Proof. Since $X \neq \{0\}$, the supremums in (1) and (2) are well defined. Let $T \in L(X,Y)$. By linearity of T, the sets over which the supremums are taken in (1) and (2) are the same. So (1) and (2) are equal.

Now, put $M = \sup \|Tx\|$, $m = \inf\{C \geq 0 : \text{ for each } x \in X, \|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|\}$ and let $x \in X$. If ||x|| = 0, then $||Tx|| \le M||x||$. Suppose that $||x|| \ne 0$. Then

$$||Tx|| = \left(||T(x/||x||)|| \right) ||x||$$

$$\leq M||x||$$

Hence $M \in \{C \geq 0 : \text{ for each } x \in X, \|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|\}$. Therefore $m \leq M$

Let $C \in \{C \geq 0 : \text{ for each } x \in X, \|Tx\| \leq C\|x\|\}$. Suppose that $\|x\| = 1$. Then $||Tx|| \le C||x|| = C$. So $M \le C$. Therefore $M \le m$. So M = m and the supremum in (1) is the same as the infimum in (3).

Note 4.1.2. From here on, unless stated otherwise, we assume $X \neq 0$.

Exercise 4.1.7. Let X, Y be normed vector spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$. Then for each $x \in X$, $||Tx|| \le ||T|| ||x||$

Proof. This is just part of the previous exercise. Let $x \in X$. If x = 0, then $||Tx|| \le ||T|| ||x||$. Suppose that $x \neq 0$. Then $||Tx|| = T(x/||x||)||x|| \leq ||T||||x||$

Exercise 4.1.8. Let X, Y be normed vector spaces. Then the operator norm is a norm on L(X,Y).

Proof. Let $S, T \in L(X, Y)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. For each $x \in X$, we have that

$$||(S+T)x|| = ||Sx + Tx||$$

$$\leq ||Sx|| + ||Tx||$$

$$\leq ||S|||x|| + ||T|||x||$$

$$= (||S|| + ||T||)||x||$$

So $||S + T|| \le ||S|| + ||T||$.

Using the definition of ||T||, we see that

$$\|\alpha T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(\alpha T)x\|$$

$$= \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\alpha| \|Tx\|$$

$$= |\alpha| \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\|$$

$$= |\alpha| \|T\|$$

So $\|\alpha S\| = |\alpha| \|S\|$.

Suppose that ||T|| = 0. Let $x \in X$. Then $||Tx|| \le ||T|| ||x|| = 0$. So Tx = 0. Since $x \in X$ is arbitrary, we have that T = 0.

Exercise 4.1.9. Let X be a normed vector space. Then addition and scalar multiplication are continuous on $X \times X$ and $\|\cdot\|: X \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X \times X$. Suppose that $\|(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)\| = \max\{\|x_1 - x_2\|, \|y_1 - y_2\|\} < \delta$. Then

$$||(x_1 + y_1) - (x_2 + y_2)|| = ||(x_1 - x_2) + (y_1 - y_2)||$$

$$\leq ||x_1 - x_2|| + ||y_1 - y_2||$$

$$< 2\delta$$

$$= \epsilon$$

Hence addition is uniformly continuous.

Let $(\lambda_1, x_1) \in \mathbb{C} \times X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2(|\lambda_1| + ||x_1|| + 1)}, \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}\}$. Let $(\lambda_2, x_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times X$.

Suppose that
$$\|(\lambda_1, x_1) - (\lambda_2, x_2)\| = \max\{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|, \|x_1 - x_2\|\} < \delta$$
. Then $\|\lambda_1 x_1 - \lambda_2 x_2\| = \|\lambda_1 x_1 - \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_1 x_2 - \lambda_2 x_2\|$ $= \|\lambda_1 (x_1 - x_2) + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) x_2\|$ $\leq |\lambda_1| \|x_1 - x_2\| + |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \|x_2\|$ $\leq |\lambda_1| \|x_1 - x_2\| + |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| (\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|x_1\|)$ $< |\lambda_1| \delta + \delta(\delta + \|x_1\|)$ $= (|\lambda_1| + \|x_1\|) \delta + \delta^2$ $< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Since $(\lambda_1, x_1) \in \mathbb{C} \times X$ is arbitrary, scalar multiplication is continuous.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta = \epsilon$. Let $x, y \in X$. Suppose that $||x - y|| < \delta$. Then

$$|||x|| - ||y||| \le ||x - y||$$

$$< \delta$$

$$= \epsilon$$

So $\|\cdot\|: X \to [0, \infty)$ is uniformly continuous.

Exercise 4.1.10. Let X, Y be normed vector spaces. If Y is complete, then so is L(X, Y).

Proof. Suppose that Y is complete. Let $(T_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset L(X,Y)$. Suppose that $(T_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. Since for each $m,n\in\mathbb{N},\ \big|\|T_m\|-\|T_n\|\big|\leq \|T_m-T_n\|$, we have that $(\|T_n\|)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset[0,\infty)$ is Cauchy. Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|T_n\|$ exists.

Let $x \in X$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$||T_m x - T_n x|| = ||(T_m - T_n)x||$$

 $< ||T_m - T_n||||x||$

So $(T_n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y$ is Cauchy and hence converges. Define $T: X \to Y$ by $Tx = \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n x$.

Since addition and scalar multiplication are continuous, T is linear. Let $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \in N$, if $n \geq N$, then $||Tx - T_nx|| < \epsilon$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $n \geq N$ we have that

$$||Tx|| \le ||Tx - T_n x|| + ||T_n x||$$

$$< \epsilon + ||T_n x||$$

$$\le \epsilon + ||T_n|| ||x||$$

Thus $||Tx|| \le \epsilon + (\lim_{n \to \infty} ||T_n||)||x||$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $||Tx|| \le (\lim_{n \to \infty} ||T_n||)||x||$. Thus $T \in L(X, Y)$ and $||T|| \le \lim_{n \to \infty} ||T_n||$.

Note that since addition, scalar multiplication and $\|\cdot\|$ are continuous, we have that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$, $\|(T_n - T_m)x\|$ converges to $\|(T_n - T)x\|$ because

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|(T_n - T_m)x\| = \lim_{m \to \infty} \|T_n x - T_m x\|$$

$$= \|T_n x - \lim_{m \to \infty} T_m x\|$$

$$= \|T_n x - Tx\|$$

$$= \|(T_n - T)x\|$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $n, m \geq N$, then $||T_n - T_m|| < \epsilon$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $n \geq N$, then for each $x \in X$,

$$||(T_n - T_m)x|| \le ||(T_n - T_m)||||x|| < \epsilon ||x||$$

Combining this with the previous fact, we see that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $n \geq \mathbb{N}$, then for each $x \in X$,

$$||(T_n - T)x|| < \epsilon ||x||$$

In particular, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $n \geq N$, then

$$||T_n - T|| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||(T_n - T)x|| \le \epsilon$$

This implies that T_n converges to T in L(X,Y). Since

$$|||T_n|| - ||T||| \le ||T_n - T||$$

it is clear that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||T_n|| = ||T||$

Definition 4.1.11. Let X be a normed vector space and $M \subset X$ a closed subspace. Define $\|\cdot\|: X/M \to [0,\infty)$ by

$$||x + M|| := \inf_{y \in M} ||x + y||$$

We call $\|\cdot\|$ the subspace norm on X/M

Exercise 4.1.12. Let X be a normed vector space and $M \subsetneq X$ a proper, closed subspace of M. Then

- (1) The previously defined subspace norm on X/M is well defined and is a norm.
- (2) For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in X$ such that ||x|| = 1 and $||x + M|| \ge 1 \epsilon$.
- (3) The projection map $\pi: X \to X/M$ defined by $\pi(x) = x + M$ is continuous and $\|\pi\| = 1$.
- (4) If X is complete, then X/M is complete.

Proof. (1) Let $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that x + M = y + M. Then there exists $m \in M$ such that x = y + m. Since M is a subspace, the map $T : M \to M$ given by Tx = x + m is a bijection. So

$$\inf_{z \in M} \|y + m + z\| = \inf_{z \in M} \|y + z\|$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + M\| &= \inf_{z \in M} \|x + z\| \\ &= \inf_{z \in M} \|y + m + z\| \\ &= \inf_{z \in M} \|y + z\| \\ &= \|y + M\| \end{aligned}$$

So $\|\cdot\|: X/M \to [0,\infty)$ is well defined.

We observe that for each $z, w \in M$,

$$||x + y + z|| \le ||x + w|| + ||y + w + z||$$

Taking infimums over M with respect to z in this inequality implies that for each $w \in M$,

$$\inf_{z \in M} \|x + y + z\| \le \inf_{z \in M} \left(\|x + w\| + \|y + w + z\| \right)$$
$$= \|x + w\| + \inf_{z \in M} \|y + w + z\|$$

Again we use the fact that for each $w \in M$,

$$\inf_{z\in M}\|y+w+z\|=\inf_{z\in M}\|y+z\|$$

This implies that for each $w \in M$,

$$\inf_{z\in M}\|x+y+z\|\leq \|x+w\|+\inf_{z\in M}\|y+z\|$$

Therefore, taking infimums over M with respect to w in this inequality yields

$$\begin{split} \|x+y+M\| &= \inf_{z \in M} \|x+y+z\| \\ &\leq \inf_{w \in M} \left(\|x+w\| + \inf_{z \in M} \|y+z\| \right) \\ &= \inf_{w \in M} \|x+w\| + \inf_{z \in M} \|y+z\| \\ &= \|x+M\| + \|y+M\| \end{split}$$

If $\alpha=0$, then $\alpha x=0$. Choosing $z=0\in M$ gives $\|\alpha x+M\|=0=|\alpha|\|x+M\|$. Suppose that $\alpha\neq 0$. Then the map $T:M\to M$ given by $Tx=\alpha^{-1}x$ is a bijection and thus $\inf_{z\in M}\|x+\alpha^{-1}z\|=\inf_{z\in M}\|x+z\|$. Hence we have that

$$\begin{split} \|\alpha x + M\| &= \inf_{z \in M} \|\alpha x + z\| \\ &= \inf_{z \in M} |\alpha| \|x + \alpha^{-1} z\| \\ &= |\alpha| \inf_{z \in M} \|x + \alpha^{-1} z\| \\ &= |\alpha| \inf_{z \in M} \|x + z\| \\ &= |\alpha| \|x + M\| \end{split}$$

Suppose that ||x|| = 0. Choose a sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x - z_n|| = \inf_{z \in M} ||x + z||$$
$$= 0$$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = x$. Since M is closed, $x \in M$. Hence x + M = 0 + M.

(2) Since M is a proper subspace, there exists $v \in X$ such that $v \notin M$. Then $||v+M|| \neq 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then $(1 - \epsilon)^{-1} ||v + M|| > ||v + M||$. So there exists $z \in M$ such that

$$0 < ||v + M|| \le ||v + z|| < (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} ||v + M||$$

Choose $x = ||v + z||^{-1}(v + z)$. Then ||x|| = 1 and

$$||x + M|| = ||v + z||^{-1} ||v + z + M||$$

$$= ||v + z||^{-1} ||v + M||$$

$$> 1 - \epsilon$$

(3) Let $x \in X$. Taking z = 0, we we see that $||\pi(x)|| = ||x + M|| \le ||x + z|| = ||x||$. So π is bounded and in particular,

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\pi(x)\| \le 1$$

From (2) we see that

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\pi(x)\| \ge 1$$

Hence $\|\pi\| = 1$.

(4) Suppose that X is complete. Let $(x_i + M)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X/M$. Suppose that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ||x_i + M|| < \infty$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $z_i \in M$ such that $||x_i + z_i|| < ||x_i + M|| + \epsilon 2^{-i}$. Define the sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ by $a_i = x_i + z_i$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ||a_i|| = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ||x_i + z_i||$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(||x_i + M|| + \epsilon 2^{-i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} ||x_i + M|| + \epsilon$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_i\| \le \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_i + M\| < \infty$$

Since X is complete, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ converges in X. Define $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ and $s\in X$ by $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ and $s = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$, and $\pi: X\to X/M$ is continuous, it follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \pi(s_n) = \pi(s)$. Since

$$\pi(s_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + M$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + M$$

We have that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i + M$ converges which implies that X/M is complete.

Exercise 4.1.13. Let X, Y be normed vector spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$. Then

- (1) $\ker T$ is closed
- (2) there exists a unique map $S: X/\ker T \to T(X)$ such that $T = S \circ \pi$. Furthermore S is a bounded linear bijection and ||S|| = ||T||.

Proof. (1) Since T is continuous and $\ker T = T^{-1}(\{0\})$, we have that $\ker T$ is closed.

(2) Suppose that there exists $S_1, S_2 \in L(X/\ker T, T(X))$ such that $T = S_1 \circ \pi$ and $T = S_2 \circ \pi$. Let $x \in X$. Then

$$S_1(x + \ker T) = S_1(\pi(x)) = T(x) = S_2(\pi(x)) = S_2(x + \ker T)$$

So $S_1 = S_2$. Therefore such a map is unique.

Define $S: X/\ker T \to T(X)$ by $S(x + \ker T) = T(x)$. Then S is clearly a linear bijection that satisfies $T = S \circ \pi$. Let $x \in X$ and $z \in \ker T$. Then

$$||S(x + \ker T)|| = ||T(x)||$$

= $||T(x + z)||$
 $\leq ||T|| ||x + z||$

Thus

$$||S(x + \ker T)|| \le ||T|| \inf_{z \in \ker T} ||x + z|| = ||T|| ||x + \ker T||$$

So S is bounded and $||S|| \leq ||T||$. This implies that

$$||T|| = ||S \circ \pi|| \le ||S|| ||\pi|| = ||S||$$

Thus ||S|| = ||T||.

Exercise 4.1.14. Let X,Y be normed vector spaces. Define $\phi:L(X,Y)\times X\to Y$ by $\phi(T,x)=Tx$. Then ϕ is continuous.

Proof. Let $(T_1, x_1) \in L(X, Y) \times X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2(\|x_1\| + \|T_1\| + 1)}, \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}\}$. Let $(t_2, x_2) \in L(X, Y) \times X$. Suppose that

$$||(T_1, x_1) - (T_2, x_2)|| = \max\{||T_1 - T_2||, ||x_1 - x_2||\} < \delta$$

. Then

$$\|\phi(T_{1}, x_{1}) - \phi(T_{2} - x_{2})\| = \|T_{1}x_{-}T_{2}x_{2}\|$$

$$= \|T_{1}x_{1} - T_{2}x_{1} + T_{2}x_{1} - T_{2}x_{2}\|$$

$$\leq \|(T_{1} - T_{2})x_{1}\| + \|T_{2}(x_{1} - x_{2})\|$$

$$\leq \|T_{1} - T_{2}\|\|x_{1}\| + \|T_{2}\|\|x_{1} - x_{2}\|$$

$$\leq \|T_{1} - T_{2}\|\|x_{1}\| + (\|T_{1} - T_{2}\| + \|T_{1}\|)\|x_{1} - x_{2}\|$$

$$< \delta \|x_{1}\| + (\delta + \|T_{1}\|)\delta$$

$$= \delta (\|T_{1}\| + \|x_{1}\|) + \delta^{2}$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

So ϕ is continuous.

Exercise 4.1.15. Let X be a normed vector space and $M \subset X$ a subspace. Then \overline{M} is a subspace.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \overline{M}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exist sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ such that $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$. Since M is a subspace, $(x_n + y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ and $(\alpha x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$. Since addition and scalar multiplication are continuous, we have that $x_n + y_n \to x + y$ and $\alpha x_n \to \alpha x$. Thus $x + y \in \overline{M}$ and $\alpha x \in \overline{M}$ and hence \overline{M} is a subspace.

Exercise 4.1.16. Let X, Y, Z be normed vector spaces, $T \in L(X, Y)$ and $S \in L(Y, Z)$. Define $ST : X \to Z$ by STx = S(Tx). Then $ST \in L(X, Z)$ and $||ST|| \le ||S|| ||T||$.

Proof. Clearly ST is linear. Let $x \in X$. Then

$$||STx|| = ||S(Tx)||$$

 $\leq ||S|| ||Tx||$
 $\leq ||S|| ||T|| ||x||$

So ||ST|| < ||S|| ||T||.

Definition 4.1.17. Let X, Y be a normed vector spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$. Then T is said to be **invertible** or an **isomorphism** if T is a bijection and $T^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$.

Definition 4.1.18. Let X be a Banach space. Define $GL(X) := \{T \in L(X,X) : T \text{ is invertible}\}.$

Exercise 4.1.19. Let X be a Banach space. Then

(1) For each $T \in L(X,X)$, if ||I-T|| < 1, then T is invertible and

$$T^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - T)^n$$

- (2) For each $S,T\in L(X,X)$, if S is invertible and $\|S-T\|<\|S^{-1}\|^{-1}$, then T is invertible.
- (3) GL(X) is open.

Proof.

(1) Let $T \in L(X, X)$. Suppose that ||I - T|| < 1. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|(I-T)^n\| \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|I-T\|^n < \infty$$

Since X is a complete, so is L(X,X) and thus $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I-T)^n$ converges in L(X,X).

Define
$$(S_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset L(X,X)$$
 and $S \in L(X,X)$ by $S_k = \sum_{n=0}^k (I-T)^n$ and

 $S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (I - T)^n$. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$S_k T = S_k - S_k (I - T)$$

$$= (I - T)^0 - (I - T)^{k+1}$$

$$= I - (I - T)^{k+1}$$

and $||S_kT - I|| \le ||I - T||^{k+1}$. Since multiplication on Banach algebras is continuous, we have that

$$ST = (\lim_{k \to \infty} S_k)T = \lim_{k \to \infty} S_kT = I$$

Similarly TS=I. Thus T is invertible and $T^{-1}=S\in L(X,X).$

(2) Let $S, T \in L(X, X)$. Suppose that S is invertible and $||S - T|| < ||S^{-1}||^{-1}$. Then

$$||I - S^{-1}T|| = ||S^{-1}(S - T)||$$

 $\leq ||S^{-1}|| ||S - T||$
 < 1

So $S^{-1}T$ is invertible. Thus $T=S(S^{-1}T)$ is invertible.

(3) Let
$$T \in GL(X)$$
. Choose $\delta = ||T^{-1}||^{-1}$. By (2), $B(T, \delta) \subset GL(X)$.

4.2. Linear Functionals.

Definition 4.2.1. Let X be a normed vector space and $T: X \to \mathbb{C}$. Then T is said to be a **linear functional on** X if T is linear and T is said to be a **bounded linear functional on** X if $T \in L(X,\mathbb{C})$. We define the **dual space of** X, denoted X^* , by $X^* = L(X,\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 4.2.2. Let X be a normed vector space and $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$. Then p is said to be a **sublinear functional** if for each $x, y \in X$, $\lambda \ge 0$,

- $(1) p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y)$
- (2) $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$

Note 4.2.1. Let X be a vector space and $\|\cdot\|: X \to [0, \infty)$ be a seminorm, then $\|\cdot\|$ is a sublinear functional.

Theorem 4.2.1. Hahn-Banach Theorem: Let X be a vector space, $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$ a sublinear functional, $M \subset X$ a subspace and $f: M \to C$ a linear functional. If for each $x \in M$, $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$, then there exists a linear functional $F: X \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for each $x \in X$, $|F(x)| \leq p(x)$ and $F|_M = f$.

Exercise 4.2.3. Let X be a normed vector space, $M \subset X$ a subspace and $f \in M^*$. Then there exists $F \in X^*$ such that ||F|| = ||f|| and $F|_M = f$.

Proof. If f = 0, Choose F = 0. Suppose $f \neq 0$. Then $||f|| \neq 0$ and there exists $x_0 \in M$ such that $x_0 \neq 0$. Thus $||f|| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in M \text{ and } ||x|| = 1\}$. Define $p : X \to [0, \infty)$ by p(x) = ||f|| ||x||. Then p is a sublinear functional on X and for each $x \in M$, $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$. So there exists a linear functional $F : X \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for each $x \in X$, $|F(x)| \leq p(x) = ||f|| ||x||$ and $F|_M = f$. Thus $F \in X^*$ with $||F|| \leq ||f||$. Also

$$||F|| = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ ||x|| = 1}} |F(x)| \ge \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ ||x|| = 1}} |F(x)| = \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ ||x|| = 1}} |f(x)| = ||f||$$

So
$$||F|| = ||f||$$
.

Exercise 4.2.4. Let X be a normed vector space, $M \subsetneq X$ a proper closed subspace and $x \in X \setminus M$. Then there exists $F \in X^*$ such that $F|_M = 0$, ||F|| = 1 and $F(x) = ||x+M|| \neq 0$. (Hint: Consider $f: M + \mathbb{C}x \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $f(m + \lambda x) = \lambda ||x + M||$.)

Proof. Define $f: M + \mathbb{C}x \to \mathbb{C}$ as above. Clearly f is linear and f|M = 0. Let $m \in M$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $|f(m + \lambda x)| = 0 \le ||m + \lambda x||$. Suppose that $\lambda \ne 0$. Then

$$|f(m + \lambda x)| = |\lambda| ||x + M||$$

$$= ||\lambda x + M||$$

$$= \inf_{z \in M} ||z + \lambda x||$$

$$\leq ||m + \lambda x||$$

So $f \in (M + \mathbb{C}x)^*$ and $||f|| \le 1$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. A previous exercise tells us that there exist $m \in M, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $||m + \lambda x|| = 1$ and $||m + \lambda x + M|| > 1 - \epsilon$. Then

$$|f(m + \lambda x)| = |\lambda| ||x + M||$$

$$= ||\lambda x + M||$$

$$= ||m + \lambda x + M||$$

$$> 1 - \epsilon$$

So

$$||f|| = \sup_{\substack{z \in M + \mathbb{C}x \\ ||z|| = 1}} |f(z)| \ge 1$$

Hence ||f|| = 1. The same exercise also tells us that $f(x) = ||x+M|| \neq 0$. Using the previous exercise, there exists $F \in X^*$ such that ||F|| = ||f|| = 1 and $F|_{M+\mathbb{C}x} = f$.

Exercise 4.2.5. Let X be a normed vector space and $x \in X$. If $x \neq 0$, then there exists $F \in X^*$ such that ||F|| = 1 and F(x) = ||x||.

Proof. Define $f: \mathbb{C}x \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f(\lambda x) = \lambda ||x||$. Then f is linear and f(x) = ||x||. Clearly

$$\sup_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{C}x \\ \|z\| = 1}} |f(z)| = 1$$

So $f \in (\mathbb{C}x)^*$ and ||f|| = 1. By a previous exercise, there exists $F \in X^*$ such that ||F|| = ||f|| = 1 and $F|_{\mathbb{C}x} = f$.

Exercise 4.2.6. Let X be a normed vector space. Then X^* separates the points of X.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. Suppose that $x \neq y$. Then $x - y \neq 0$. The previous exercies implies that there exists $F \in X^*$ such that ||F|| = 1 and

$$F(x) - F(y) = F(x - y) = ||x - y|| \neq 0$$

Thus $F(x) \neq F(y)$ and X^* separates the points of X.

Definition 4.2.7. Let X, Y be metric spaces and $T: X \to Y$. Then T is said to be an **isometry** if for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $d(Tx_1, Tx_2) = d(x_1, x_2)$.

Exercise 4.2.8. Let X, Y be metric spaces and $T: X \to Y$ and isometry. Then T is injective.

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Suppose that $Tx_1 = Tx_2$. Then $0 = d(Tx_1, Tx_2) = d(x_1, x_2)$. So $x_1 = x_2$. Hence T is injective. \square

Note 4.2.2. Let X, Y be metric spaces and $T: X \to Y$ an isometry. Then T is clearly continuous. If T is surjective, then T^{-1} is an isometry and therefore continuous. Hence T is a homeomorphism.

Exercise 4.2.9. Let X be a normed vector space and $x \in X$. Define $\hat{x}: X^* \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\hat{x}(f) = f(x)$. Then $\hat{x} \in X^{**}$ and $\|\hat{x}\| = \|x\|$.

Proof. Let $f, g \in X^*$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\hat{x}(f + \lambda g) = (f + \lambda g)(x) = f(x) + \lambda g(x) = \hat{x}(f) + \lambda \hat{x}(g)$$

So \hat{x} is linear. For each $f \in X^*$,

$$|\hat{x}(f)| = |f(x)| \le ||x|| ||f||$$

Hence $\hat{x} \in X^{**}$ with $\|\hat{x}\| \leq \|x\|$. If x = 0, then $\hat{x} = 0$ and $\|\hat{x}\| = \|x\|$. Suppose that $x \neq 0$. Then a previous exercise implies that there exists $F \in X^*$ such that $\|F\| = 1$ and $F(x) = \|x\|$. Then we have that

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in X^* \\ \|f\| = 1}} |\hat{x}(f)| = \sup_{\substack{f \in X^* \\ \|f\| = 1}} |f(x)| \ge |F(x)| = \|x\|$$

Hence $\|\hat{x}\| = \|x\|$.

Exercise 4.2.10. Let X be a normed vector space. Define $\phi: X \to X^{**}$ by $\phi(x) = \hat{x}$. Then ϕ is a linear isometry.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then for each $f \in X^*$, we have that

$$\phi(x + \lambda y)(f) = \widehat{x + \lambda y}(f)$$

$$= f(x + \lambda y)$$

$$= f(x) + \lambda f(y)$$

$$= \widehat{x}(f) + \lambda \widehat{y}(f)$$

$$= \phi(x)(f) + \lambda \phi(y)(f)$$

So $\phi(x+\lambda y)=\phi(x)+\lambda\phi(y)$ and ϕ is linear. The previous exercise tells us that

$$\|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| = \|\phi(x - y)\|$$

= $\|\widehat{x - y}\| = \|x - y\|$

So ϕ is an isometry.

Definition 4.2.11. Let X be a normed vector space and define $\phi: X \to X^{**}$ as above. We define $\widehat{X} = \phi(X) \subset X^{**}$. Since \widehat{X} and X are isomorphic, we may identify X as a subset of X^{**} .

Definition 4.2.12. Let X be a normed vector space and define $\phi: X \to X^{**}$ as above. Then X is said to be reflexive if ϕ is surjective. In this case ϕ is then an isomorphism

Exercise 4.2.13. Let X be a normed vector space and $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ a linear functional on X. Then f is bounded iff ker f is closed.

Proof. Suppose that f is continuous. Since $\{0\}$ is closed, we have that $\ker f = f^{-1}(\{0\})$ is closed. Conversely, suppose that $\ker f$ is closed. If $\ker f = X$, then f = 0 and f is continuous. Suppose that $\ker f \neq X$. Then $\ker f$ is a proper, closed subspace of X. A previous exercise tells us that there exists $x \in X$ such that $\|x\| = 1$ and $\|x + \ker f\| > \frac{1}{2}$. Let $y \in X$. Suppose that $\|y\| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then for each $z \in \ker f$,

$$||z - (x + y)|| = ||(z - x) - y||$$

 $\ge ||z - x|| - ||y||$
 $> \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
 $= 0$

So $x+y \notin \ker f$. Therefore $f(B(x,\frac{1}{2})) \cap \{0\} = \varnothing$. If $f(B(x,\frac{1}{2}))$ is unbounded, then $f(B(x,\frac{1}{2})) = \mathbb{C}$ by linearity. This is a contradiction since $0 \notin f(B(x,\frac{1}{2}))$. So There exists s > 0 such that $f(B(x,\frac{1}{2})) \subset B(0,s)$ and thus f is bounded.

Exercise 4.2.14. Let X be a normed vector space.

- (1) Let $M \subsetneq X$ be a proper closed subspace of X and $x \in X \setminus M$. Then $M + \mathbb{C}x$ is closed.
- (2) Let $M \subset X$ be a finite dimensional subspace of X. Then M is closed.

Proof. (1) Let $y \in X$ and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M + \mathbb{C}x$. Suppose that $y_n \to y$. If $y \in M$, then $y \in M + \mathbb{C}x$. Suppose that $y \notin M$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m_n \in M$ and $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $y_n = m_n + \lambda_n x$. A previous exercise tells us that there exists $F \in X^*$

such that ||F|| = 1, $F|_M = 0$ and $F(x) = ||x + M|| \neq 0$. Since F is continuous, $F(y_n) \to F(y)$. Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F(y_n) = F(m_n + \lambda_n x) = F(m_n) + \lambda_n(F_x) = \lambda_n F(x)$$

we have that $\lambda_n F(x) \to F(y)$. Since $F(x) \neq 0$, this implies that $\lambda_n \to F(x)^{-1} F(y)$. It follows that $\lambda_n x \to F(x)^{-1} F(y) x$. Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_n = y_n - \lambda_n x$, we know that $m_n \to y - F(x)^{-1} F(y) x$. Since $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ and M is closed, we have that $y - F(x)^{-1} F(y) x \in M$ and therefore $y \in M + \mathbb{C}x$. Hence $M + \mathbb{C}x$ is closed.

(2) If M = X, then M is closed. Suppose that $M \neq X$. Let $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a basis for M. Define $N_0 = \{0\}$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, define $N_i = N_{i-1} + \mathbb{C}x_i$. Since N_0 is a proper closed subpace of X and $x_1 \in X \setminus N_0$, (1) implies that N_1 is closed. Proceed inductively to obtain that $M = N_n$ is closed.

Exercise 4.2.15. Let X be an infinite-dimensional normed vector space.

- (1) There exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ such that for each $m,n\in\mathbb{N}, \|x_n\|=1$ and if $m\neq n$, then $\|x_m-x_n\|>\frac{1}{2}$.
- (2) X is not locally compact.
- Proof. (1) Define $N_0 = \{0\}$. Then N_0 is a closed proper subspace of X. Choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $||x_1|| = 1$. Using the results of previous exercises, we proceed inductively. For each $n \geq 2$ we define $N_{n-1} = \operatorname{span}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Then N_{n-1} is a closed proper subspace of X. Thus we may choose $x_n \in X$ such that $||x_n|| = 1$ and $||x_n + N_{n-1}|| > \frac{1}{2}$. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that m < n. Then $x_m \in N_{n-1}$. Thus $||x_n x_m|| \geq ||x_n + N_{n-1}|| > \frac{1}{2}$
 - (2) Suppose that X is locally compact. Then $\overline{B(0,1)}$ is compact and therefore sequentially compact. Using $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset \overline{B(0,1)}$ defined in (1), we see that there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $x\in \overline{B(0,1)}$ such that $x_{n_k}\to x$. Then $(x_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. So there exists $N\in N$ such that for each $j,k\in\mathbb{N}$, if $j,k\geq N$, then $||x_{n_j}-x_{n_k}||<\frac{1}{2}$. Then $||x_{n_N}-x_{n_{N+1}}||<\frac{1}{2}$. This is a contradiction since by construction, $||x_{n_N}-x_{n_{N+1}}||>\frac{1}{2}$. Thus X is not locally compact.

Exercise 4.2.16. Let X, Y be normed vector spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$.

- (1) Define the **adjoint of** T, denoted $T^*: Y^* \to X^*$ by $T^*(f) = f \circ T$. Then $T^* \in L(Y^*, X^*)$.
- (2) Applying the result from (1) twice, we have that $T^{**} \in L(X^{**}, Y^{**})$. We have that for each $x \in X$, $T^{**}(\hat{x}) = \widehat{T(x)}$.
- (3) T^* is injective iff T(X) is dense in Y.
- (4) If $T^*(Y^*)$ is dense in X^* , then T is injective. The converse is true if X is reflexive.

Proof. (1) Let $f \in Y^*$. Then $||T^*(f)|| = ||f \circ T|| \le ||T|| ||f||$. So $T^* \in L(Y^*, X^*)$ with $||T^*|| \le ||T||$.

(2) Let $x \in X$. Let $f \in Y^*$. Then

$$T^{**}(\hat{x})(f) = \hat{x} \circ T^{*}(f)$$

$$= \hat{x}(T^{*}(f))$$

$$= \hat{x}(f \circ T)$$

$$= f \circ T(x)$$

$$= f(T(x))$$

$$= \widehat{T(x)}(f)$$

Hence $T^{**}(\hat{x}) = \widehat{T(x)}$.

(3) Suppose that T(X) is not dense in Y. Then $T(X) \neq Y$. So T(X) is a proper closed subspace of Y and there exists $y \in Y$ such that $y \notin \overline{T(X)}$. By a previous exercise, there exists $f \in Y^*$ such that $f(y) = \|y + \overline{T(X)}\| \neq 0$, $\|f\| = 1$ and $f|_{\overline{T(X)}} = 0$. Let $x \in X$. Then $T^*(f)(x) = f \circ T(x) = 0$. Hence $T^*(f) = 0 = T^*(0)$. Since $f \neq 0$, T^* is not injective.

Now suppose that T(X) is dense in Y. Let $f,g \in Y^*$. Define $h \in Y^*$ by h = f - g Suppose that $T*(f) = T^*(g)$ Then $T^*(h) = 0$. So for each $x \in X$, h(T(x)) = 0. Let $y \in Y$ and $\epsilon > 0$. By continuity, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each $y' \in Y$, if $||y - y'|| < \delta$, then $||h(y) - h(y')|| < \epsilon$. Since T(X) is dense in Y, there exists $x \in X$ such that $||y - T(x)|| < \delta$. Thus

$$||h(y)|| \le ||h(y) - h(T(x))|| + ||h(T(x))||$$

= $||h(y) - h(T(x))||$
< ϵ

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, ||h(y)|| = 0. This implies that h(y) = 0 and therefore f(y) = g(y). Since $y \in Y$ is arbitrary, f = g and T^* is injective.

(4) For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $T^*(Y^*)$ is dense in X^* and T is not injective. Then there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $T(x_1) = T(x_2)$. Define $x = x_1 - x_2$. Then $x \neq 0$ and T(x) = 0. A previous exercise implies that there exists $F \in X^*$ such that $F(x) = ||x|| \neq 0$ and ||F|| = 1. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $g \in Y^*$ such that $||F - T^*(g)|| < \epsilon$. Then

$$||x|| = |F(x)|$$

$$\leq |F(x) - T^*(g)(x)| + |T^*(g)(x)|$$

$$< \epsilon ||x|| + |g(T(x))|$$

$$= \epsilon ||x||$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have that ||x|| = 0 which is a contradiction. Hence if $T^*(Y^*)$ is dense in X^* , then T is injective.

Now, suppose that X is reflexive and T is injective. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in X^{**}$. Suppose that $T^{**}(\phi_1) = T^{**}(\phi_2)$. Then $T^{**}(\phi_1 - \phi_2) = 0$. Since X is reflexive, there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $\phi_1 = \hat{x_1}$ and $\phi_2 = \hat{x_2}$. Define $x = x_1 - x_2$. Then $T^{**}(\hat{x}) = 0$. So for each $f \in Y^*$,

$$T^{**}(\hat{x})(f) = \hat{x} \circ T^{*}(f)$$

$$= \hat{x}(T^{*}(f))$$

$$= \hat{x}(f \circ T)$$

$$= f \circ T(x)$$

$$= f(T(x))$$

$$= 0$$

Suppose that $T(x) \neq 0$. Then a previous exercise implies that there exists $g \in Y^*$ such that $g(T(x)) = ||T(x)|| \neq 0$ and ||g|| = 1. This is a contradiction since g(T(x)) = 0. So T(x) = 0. Since T is injective, this implies that x = 0. Hence $\hat{x} = 0$ and thus $\phi_1 = \phi_2$. Thus T^{**} is injective. By (3), we have that $T^*(Y^*)$ is dense in X^* .

Exercise 4.2.17. Let X be a normed vector space. Then X is reflexive iff X^* is reflexive.

Proof. Suppose that X is reflexive. Let $\alpha \in X^{***}$. Define $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f(x) = \alpha(\hat{x})$. Clearly f is linear and a previous exercise tells us that for each $x \in X$,

$$|f(x)| \le ||\alpha|| ||\hat{x}||$$
$$= ||\alpha|| ||x||$$

So $f \in X^*$. Let $\phi \in X^{**}$. Since X is reflexive, there exists $x \in X$ such that $\phi = \hat{x}$. Then

$$\alpha(\phi) = \alpha(\hat{x})$$

$$= f(x)$$

$$= \hat{x}(f)$$

$$= \hat{f}(\hat{x})$$

$$= \hat{f}(\phi)$$

Hence $\alpha = \hat{f}$. Thus the map $X^* \to X^{***}$ given by $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ is surjective and so X^* is reflexive.

Conversely, suppose that X^* is reflexive. Since $\phi: X \to X^{**}$ given by $\phi(x) = \hat{x}$ is an isometry, $\widehat{X} \subset X^{**}$ is closed. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $\widehat{X} \neq X^{**}$. Then there exists $\alpha \in X^{**}$ such that $\alpha \notin \widehat{X}$. Thus there exists $F \in X^{***}$ such that $\|F\| = 1$, $F(\alpha) = \|\alpha + \widehat{X}\| \neq 0$ and $F|_{\widehat{X}} = 0$. Since X^* is reflexive, there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $F = \widehat{f}$. A previous exercise tells us that $\|f\| = \|\widehat{f}\| = \|F\| = 1$. Since for each $x \in X$, $f(x) = \widehat{x}(f) = \widehat{f}(\widehat{x}) = F(\widehat{x}) = 0$, we have that f = 0. Thus $\|f\| = 0$, a contradiction. So $\widehat{X} = X^{**}$ and X is reflexive.

4.3. The Baire Category and Closed Graph Theorems.

Theorem 4.3.1. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$. If T is surjective, then T is open.

Corollary 4.3.2. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$. If T is a bijection, then $T^{-1} \in L(X, Y)$.

Definition 4.3.1. Let X, Y be sets and $f: X \to Y$. We define the **graph of f**, $\Gamma(f)$, by $\Gamma(f) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : f(x) = y\}$.

Theorem 4.3.3. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T: X \to Y$ a linear map. If $\Gamma(T)$ is closed, then $T \in L(X, Y)$.

Note 4.3.1. We recall that $\Gamma(T)$ is closed iff for each $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$, $x\in X$ and $y\in Y$, $x_n\to x$ and $T(x_n)\to y$ implies that T(x)=y.

Theorem 4.3.4. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $S \subset L(X, Y)$. If for each $x \in X$,

$$\sup_{T \in S} \|Tx\| < \infty$$

then

$$\sup_{T \in S} \|T\| < \infty$$

Exercise 4.3.2. Let μ be counting measure on $(N, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$. Define $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and ν on $(N, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ by h(n) = n and $d\nu = hd\mu$. Define $X = L^1(\nu)$ and $Y = L^1(\mu)$. Equip both X and Y with the L^1 norm with respect to μ .

- (1) We have that X is a proper subspace of Y and therefore X is not complete.
- (2) Define $T: X \to Y$ by Tf(n) = nf(n). Then T is linear, $\Gamma(T)$ is closed, and T is unbounded.
- (3) Define $S: Y \to X$ by $Sg(n) = \frac{1}{n}g(n)$. Then $S \in L(Y,X)$, S is surjective and S is not open.

Proof.

(1) Note that for each $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$||f||_{\mu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|f(n)|$$

$$= ||f||_{\nu,1}$$

Hence X is a subspace of Y. Define $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f(n) = \frac{1}{n^2}$. Then

$$||f||_{\mu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$$

So $f \in Y$. However

$$||f||_{\nu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$$

So $f \notin X$. Thus X is a proper subspace of Y. Let $g \in Y$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since the simple functions are dense in $L^1(\mu)$, there exists $\phi \in L^1(\mu)$ such that ϕ is simple and $\|g - \phi\|_{\mu,1} < \epsilon$. Then there exist $(c_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $(E_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, E_i is finite, $i \neq j$ implies that $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ and

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \chi_{E_i}$$

Define $c = \max\{|c_i| : i = 1, 2, \dots k\}$ and $m = \max\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right]$. Then

$$\|\phi\|_{\nu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{m} n|\phi(n)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m} mc$$

$$= cm^{2}$$

$$< \infty$$

Hence $\phi \in X$ and X is dense in Y. Since X is a dense, proper subspace, it is not closed. Since Y is complete and $X \subset Y$ is not closed, we have that X is not complete.

(2) Clearly T is linear. Let $(f_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$, $f\in X$ and $g\in Y$. Suppose that $f_j\xrightarrow{L^1(\mu)} f$ and $Tf_j\xrightarrow{L^1(\mu)} g$.

Note that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|f_j(n) - f(n)| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_j(n) - f(n)| = ||f_j - f||_{\mu,1}$$

and

$$|nf_j(n) - g(n)| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |nf_j(n) - g(n)| = ||Tf_j - g||_{\mu,1}$$

Thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_j(n) \xrightarrow{j} f(n)$ and $nf_j(n) \xrightarrow{j} g(n)$. This implies that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, nf(n) = g(n). Thus Tf = g which implies that $\Gamma(T)$ is closed. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that T is bounded. Then there exists $C \geq 0$ such that for each $f \in X$, $||Tf||_{\mu,1} \leq C||f||_{\mu,1}$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n > C. Define $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f = \chi_{\{n\}}$. As established above, $S^+ \subset L^1(\mu)$. Then $||f||_{\mu,1} = 1$ and

$$||Tf||_{\mu,1} = n$$

> C
= $C||f||_{\mu,1}$

which is a contradiction. So T is unbounded.

(3) Clearly S is linear. Let $q \in Y$. Then

$$||Sg||_{\mu,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |g(n)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g(n)|$$

$$= ||g||_{\mu,1}$$

So S is bounded and $||S|| \le 1$. Thus $S \in L(Y, X)$. Let $f \in X$. Define $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ by g(n) = nf(n). By definition, $g \in Y$ and we have that

$$Sg(n) = \frac{1}{n}g(n)$$
$$= f(n)$$

Hence Sg = f and thus S is surjective. Let $g \in Y$. Suppose that Sg = 0. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |g(n)| = ||Sg|| = 0$$

Thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, g(n) = 0. Hence $\ker S = \{0\}$ and S is injective. Note that for each $A \subset Y$, $S(A) = T^{-1}(A)$. If S is open, then T is continuous which as shown above is a contradiction. So g is not open.

Exercise 4.3.3. Let $X = C^1([0,1])$ and Y = C([0,1]). Equip both X and Y with the uniform norm.

- (1) Then X is not complete
- (2) Define $T: X \to Y$ by Tf = f'. Then $\Gamma(T)$ is closed and T is not bounded.

Proof. (1) Recall that for each $a, b \ge 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(a^{\frac{1}{p}} + b^{\frac{1}{p}})^p = \sum_{n=0}^p \binom{p}{n} a^{\frac{n}{p}} b^{\frac{p-n}{p}} \ge a+b$$

Thus $(a+b)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le a^{\frac{1}{p}} + b^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_n : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f_n(x) = \sqrt{(x-\frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{n^2}}$. Then $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$. Define $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f(x) = |x-\frac{1}{2}|$. Then $f \in Y \cap X^c$. Note that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \leq f_n$. Our observation above implies that for each $x \in X$,

$$f_n(x) = \left[(x - \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq |x - \frac{1}{2}| + \frac{1}{n}$$

Thus $0 \le f_n - f \le \frac{1}{n}$. This implies that $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{u}} f$. Since $f \notin X$, X is not complete.

(2) Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$, $f\in X$ and $g\in Y$. Suppose that $f_n\stackrel{\mathrm{u}}{\to} f$ and $Tf_n\stackrel{\mathrm{u}}{\to} g$. Let $x\in[0,1]$. Then $f_n(x)\to f(x)$ and $f_n(0)\to f(0)$ and $f_n'\stackrel{\mathrm{u}}{\to} g$. Applying the DCT to this sequence of integrable functions that converges uniformly to an integrable function on a finite measure space (a previous exercise) we have that

$$f_n(x) - f_n(0) = \int_{[0,x]} f'_n dm$$

$$\to \int_{[0,x]} g dm$$

Since $f_n(x) - f_n(0) \to f(x) - f(0)$, we know that

$$f(x) - f(0) = \int_{[0,x]} gdm$$

. Thus Tf = g and $\Gamma(T)$ is closed.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that T is bounded. Then there exists $C \ge 0$ such that for each $f \in X$, $||Tf|| \le C||f||$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n > C. Define $f \in X$ by $f(x) = x^n$. Then ||f|| = 1 and

$$||Tf|| = ||f'||$$

$$= n$$

$$> C$$

$$= C||f||$$

which is a contradiction. So T is not bounded.

Exercise 4.3.4. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$. Then $X/\ker T \cong T(X)$ iff T(X) is closed.

Proof. Since X is a banach space and T is continuous, we have that $\ker T$ is closed and $X/\ker T$ is a Banach space. Suppose that $X/\ker T \cong T(X)$. Then T(X) is complete. Since Y is complete, this implies that T(X) is closed.

Conversely Suppose that T(X) is closed. Then T(X) is complete. Define $S: X/\ker T \to T(X)$ by $S(x+\ker T)=T(x)$. A previous exercise tells us that the map $S: X/\ker T \to T(X)$ defined by $S(x+\ker T)=T(x)$ is a bounded linear bijection. Since T(X) is complete and S is surjective, S^{-1} is bounded and thus S is an isomorphism.

Exercise 4.3.5. Let X be a separable Banach space. Define $B_X = \{x \in X : ||x|| < 1\}$. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_X$ a dense subset of the unit ball and μ the counting measure on $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$. Define $T : L^1(\mu) \to X$ by

$$Tf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)x_n$$

Then

- (1) T is well defined and $T \in L(L^1(\mu), X)$
- (2) T is surjective
- (3) There exists a closed subspace $K \subset L^1(\mu)$ such that $L^1(\mu)/K \cong X$

Proof. (1) Let $f \in L^1(\mu)$. Since X is complete and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||f(n)x_n|| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)|||x_n||$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)|$$

$$< \infty$$

we have that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)x_n$ converges and thus $Tf \in X$. Hence T is well defined.

Clearly T is linear. Let $f \in L^1(\mu)$. Then

$$||Tf|| = ||\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)x_n||$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||f(n)x_n||$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)||$$

$$= ||f||_1$$

So T is bounded with $||T|| \leq 1$.

(2) Let $x \in X$. Suppose that ||x|| < 1. Then $x \in B_X$. So there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||x - x_{n_1}|| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then $2(x - x_{n_1}) \in B_X$. Since for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_X \setminus (x_n)_{n=1}^j$ is dense in B_X , there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{n_2} \notin (x_n)_{n=1}^{n_1}$ and $||2(x - x_{n_1}) - x_{n_2}|| < \frac{1}{2}$ which implies that $||x - (x_{n_1} - \frac{1}{2}x_{n_2})|| < \frac{1}{4}$.

Proceed inductively to obtain a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that for each $k\geq 2$, $x_{n_k} \notin (x_n)_{n=1}^{n_{k-1}}$ and $||x-\sum_{j=1}^k 2^{1-j}x_{n_j}|| < \frac{1}{2^k}$. Then $x=\sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{1-k}x_{n_k}$.

Define $f: \| \to \mathbb{C}$ by $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-k} \chi_{\{n_k\}}$. Then $\|f\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-k} < \infty$, so $f \in L^1(\mu)$ and $Tf = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-k} x_{n_k} = x$. Now, suppose that $\|x\| \ge 1$, then $\frac{1}{2\|x\|} x \in B_X$. The above argument shows that there exists $f \in L^1(\mu)$ such that $Tf = \frac{1}{2\|x\|} x$. Then $2\|x\|f \in L^1(\mu)$ and $T(2\|x\|f) = 2\|x\|Tf = x$.

So for each $x \in X$, there exists $f \in L^1(\mu)$ such that Tf = x and thus T is surjective.

(3) Since X is a Banach space and T is surjective, the previous exercise implies that $L^1(\mu)/\ker T \cong X$.

Exercise 4.3.6. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T: X \to Y$ a linear map. If for each $f \in Y^*$, $f \circ T \in X^*$, then $T \in L(X, Y)$.

Proof. Suppose that for each $f \in Y^*$, $f \circ T \in X^*$. Let $x \in X$,

4.4. Banach Algebras.

Definition 4.4.1. Let X be a Banach space and an associative algebra. Then X is said to be a **Banach algebra** if for each $S, T \in X$, $||ST|| \le ||S|| ||T||$. If there exists $I \in X$ such that $I \ne 0$ and for each $T \in X$, IT = TI = T, then X is said to be **unital** with identity I. An element $T \in X$ is said to be **invertible** if there exists $S \in X$ such that TS = ST = I.

Exercise 4.4.2. Let X be a unital Banach algebra. Then $||I|| \le 1$.

Proof. Since $I \neq 0$, $||I|| \neq 0$. By definition,

$$||I|| = ||II|| \le ||I|| ||I||$$

Hence $1 \leq ||I||$.

Note 4.4.1. If X is a Banach space, then a previous exercise implies that L(X, X) equipped with composition is a unital Banach algebra where I is the identity operator. It is easy to see that ||I|| = 1.

Note 4.4.2. Let X be a Banach algebra. Then the set of invertible elements in X is a group.

Exercise 4.4.3. Let X be a Banach algebra. Then mulitplication is continuous.

Proof. Let $(S_1, T_1) \in X \times X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2(\|S_1\| + \|T_1\| + 1)}, \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}\}$. Let $(S_2, T_2) \in X \times X$. Suppose that

$$||(S_1, T_1) = (S_2, T_2)|| = \max\{||S_2 - S_2||, ||T_1 - T_2||\} < \delta$$

Then

$$||S_{1}T_{1} - S_{2}T_{2}|| = ||S_{1}T_{1} - S_{2}T_{1} + S_{2}T_{1} - S_{2}T_{2}||$$

$$\leq ||S_{1} - S_{2}|| ||T_{1}|| + ||S_{2}|| ||T_{1} - T_{2}||$$

$$\leq ||S_{1} - S_{2}|| ||T_{1}|| + (||S_{1} - S_{2}|| + ||S_{1}||) ||T_{1} - T_{2}||$$

$$\leq \delta ||T_{1}|| + (\delta + ||S_{1}||) \delta$$

$$= \delta (||S_{1}|| + ||T_{1}||) + \delta^{2}$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

4.5. Differentiability.

Definition 4.5.1. Let X be a banach space, $A \subset X$ open, $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$, $a \in A, b \in X$. Define $T = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : a + tb \in A\}$, which is an open neighborhood of a, and $g: T \to \mathbb{R}$ by g(t) = f(a + tb). Then f is said to be

(1) **right-hand-differentiable** at a in the direction b if g is differentiable from the right at t = 0, i.e. if

$$g'_{+}(0) = \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(a+tb) - f(a)}{t}$$

exists. If f is right-hand-differentiable at a in the direction b, we define the **right-hand derivative** of f at a in the direction b by

$$d_+f(a;b) = g'_+(0)$$

(2) **left-hand-differentiable** at a in the direction b if g is differentiable from the left at t = 0, i.e. if

$$g'_{-}(0) = \lim_{t \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(a+tb) - f(a)}{t}$$

exists. If f is left-hand-differentiable at a in the direction b, we define the **left-hand** derivative of f at a in the direction b by

$$d_-f(a;b) = g'_-(0)$$

(3) **differentiable** at a in the direction b if g is differentiable at t = 0, i.e. if

$$g'(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(a+tb) - f(a)}{t}$$

exists. If f is differentiable at a in the direction b, we define the **derivative** of f at a in the direction b by

$$df(a;b) = g'(0)$$

Definition 4.5.2. Let X be a banach space, $A \subset X$ open, $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A$. Then f is said to be

(1) **right-hand Gateaux differentiable** at a if for each $b \in X$, $d_+f(a;b)$ exits. We define the **right-hand Gateaux derivative** of f at a, denoted $d_+f(a): X \to \mathbb{R}$, to be

$$d_+f(a)(b) = d_+f(a;b)$$

(2) **left-hand Gateaux differentiable** at a if for each $b \in X$, $d_-f(a;b)$ exits. We define the **left-hand Gateaux derivative** of f at a, denoted $d_-f(a): X \to \mathbb{R}$, to be

$$d_-f(a)(b) = d_-f(a;b)$$

(3) Gateaux differentiable at a if for each $b \in X$, df(a;b) exits. We define the Gateaux derivative of f at a, denoted $df(a): X \to \mathbb{R}$, to be

$$d\!f(a)(b)=d\!f(a;b)$$

4.6. l^p Spaces.

Definition 4.6.1. Let $p \in [1, \infty] \cup \{0\}$. We define

$$l^{p}(\mathbb{N}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} & p = 0 \\ \left\{ f \in l^{0}(\mathbb{N}) : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)|^{p} < \infty \right\} & p \in [1, \infty) \\ \left\{ f \in l^{0}(\mathbb{N}) : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)| < \infty \right\} & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

So $l^0(\mathbb{N})$ consists of the sequences in \mathbb{C} and $l^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ consists of the bounded sequences in \mathbb{C} . For $p \in [1, \infty]$, we define $\|\cdot\|_p : l^p(\mathbb{N}) \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$||f||_p = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)|^p\right)^{1/p} & p \in [1, \infty) \\ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(n)| & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

5. Hilbert Spaces

Definition 5.0.1. Let H be a vector space and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \to \mathbb{C}$. Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is said to be an inner product on H if for each $x, y, z \in H$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$

- (1) $\langle x, y + cz \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + c \langle x, z \rangle$
- $(2) \langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$
- $(3) \langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$
- (4) if $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$, then x = 0.

6. Convexity

6.1. Introduction.

Note 6.1.1. In this section, we assume all vector spaces are real.

Definition 6.1.1. Let X be a vector space and $A \subset X$. Then A is said to be **convex** if for each $x, y \in A$, and $t \in [0, 1]$, $tx + (1 - t)y \in A$.

Definition 6.1.2. Let X be a vector space and $f: A \to (\infty, \infty]$. Then f is said to be **convex** if for each $x, y \in A$, $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$$

Exercise 6.1.3. Let X be a vector space, $f \in X^*$ and $g: X \to (\infty, \infty]$ constant. Then f and g are convex.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Put c = g(0). Then

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) = tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y)$$

and

$$g(tx + (1-t)y) = c$$

$$= tc + (1-t)c$$

$$= tg(x) + (1-t)g(y)$$

So f and q are convex.

Definition 6.1.4. Let $f: X \to (\infty, \infty]$. Then f is said to be **affine** if there exists $\phi \in X^*$, $a \in R$ constant such that $f = \phi + a$.

Exercise 6.1.5. Let $f: X \to (\infty, \infty]$. If f is affine, then f is convex.

Proof. Suppose that f is affine. Then there exists $\phi \in X^*$, $a \in R$ constant such that $f = \phi + a$. Then ϕ is convex and $g: X \to (\infty, \infty]$ defined by g(x) = a is convex. So $f = \phi + g$ is convex.

Exercise 6.1.6. Let X be a vector space, $A \subset X$ convex, $f, g : A \to (\infty, \infty]$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. If f, g are convex, then

- (1) f + g is convex
- (2) λf is convex

Proof. Suppose that f and g are convex. Let $x, y \in A$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$(f + \lambda g)(tx + (1 - t)y) = f(tx + (1 - t)y) + \lambda g(tx + (1 - t)y)$$

$$\leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y) + t\lambda g(x) + (1 - t)\lambda g(y)$$

$$= t(f(x) + \lambda g(x)) + (1 - t)(f(y) + \lambda g(y))$$

$$= t(f + \lambda g)(x) + (1 - t)(f + \lambda g)(y)$$

Exercise 6.1.7. Let X be a vector space, $A \subset X$ convex, $f : \mathbb{R} \to (\infty, \infty]$ and $g : A \to \mathbb{R}$. If f is convex and increasing and g is convex, then $f \circ g$ is convex.

Proof. Let $t \in [0,1]$ and $x,y \in A$. Then convexity of q implies that

$$g(tx + (1-t)y) \le tg(x) + (1-t)g(y)$$

and we have

$$f \circ g(tx + (1 - t)y) = f(g(tx + (1 - t)y))$$

$$\leq f(tg(x) + (1 - t)g(y)) \qquad (f \text{ increasing})$$

$$\leq tf(g(x)) + (1 - t)f(g(y)) \qquad (f \text{ convex})$$

$$= tf \circ g(x) + (1 - t)f \circ g(y)$$

So $f \circ q$ is convex.

Definition 6.1.8. Let X, Y be vector spaces, $A \subset X \oplus Y$. For $y \in Y$, define

$$A^{y} = \{ x \in X : (x, y) \in A \}$$

and $f^y: A^y \to (\infty, \infty]$ by

$$f^y(x) = f(x, y)$$

Exercise 6.1.9. Let X, Y be vector spaces, $A \subset X \oplus Y$ convex and $f : A \to (\infty, \infty]$ convex. Then for each $y \in \pi_2(A)$,

- (1) A^y is convex
- (2) f^y is convex

where $\pi_2: X \times Y \to Y$, the canonical projection of $X \times Y$ onto Y given by $\pi_2(x,y) = y$.

Proof. Let $y \in \pi_2(A)$, $x_1, x_2 \in A^y$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then by definition, (x_1, y) , $(x_2, y) \in A$.

- (1) Convexity of A implies that $(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2, y) \in A$. Hence $tx_1 + (1-t)x_2 \in A^y$ and A^y is convex.
- (2) Convexity of f implies that

$$f^{y}(tx_{1} + (1-t)x_{2}) = f(tx_{1} + (1-t)x_{2}, y)$$

$$= f(t(x_{1}, y) + (1-t)(x_{2}, y))$$

$$\leq tf(x_{1}, y) + (1-t)f(x_{2}, y)$$

$$= tf^{y}(x_{1}) + (t-t)f^{y}(x_{2})$$

and so f^y is convex.

Exercise 6.1.10. Let X, Y be vector spaces and $A \subset X$, $B \subset Y$. If A and B are convex, then $A \times B \subset X \oplus Y$ is convex.

Proof. Suppose that A and B are convex. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in A \times B$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Convexity of A and B implies that $tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2 \in A$ and $ty_1 + (1 - t)y_2 \in B$. Therefore

$$t(x_1, y_1) + (1 - t)(x_2, y_2) = (tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2, ty_1 + (1 - t)y_2)$$

 $\in A \times B$

Exercise 6.1.11. Let X, Y be vector spaces and $A \subset X, B \subset Y$ convex (implying that $A \times B$ is convex) and $f: A \times B \to (\infty, \infty]$ convex. Suppose that for each $y \in B$, $\{f(x, y) : x \in A\}$ is bounded below. Then $\inf_{y \in B} f^y$ is convex

Proof. Put $g = \inf_{y \in B} f^y$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in A$, $y_1, y_2 \in B$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Put $y' = ty_1 + (1 - t)y_2$. Then convexity of f implies that

$$g(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le f^{y'}(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2)$$

$$= f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2, ty_1 + (1-t)y_2)$$

$$= f(t(x_1, y_1) + (1-t)(x_2, y_2))$$

$$\le tf(x_1, y_1) + (1-t)f(x_2, y_2)$$

$$= tf^{y_1}(x_1) + (1-t)f^{y_2}(x_2)$$

Since $y_1 \in B$ is arbitrary, we have that

$$g(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le tg(x_1) + (1-t)f^{y_2}(x_2)$$

Similarly, since $y_2 \in B$ is arbitrary, we have that

$$g(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \le tg(x_1) + (1-t)g(x_2)$$

and f is convex.

Exercise 6.1.12. Let X be a vector space, $A \subset X$ convex and $(f_{\lambda})_{{\lambda} \in {\Lambda}} \subset (\infty, \infty]^A$. Suppose that for each ${\lambda} \in {\Lambda}$, f_{λ} is convex. Then $\sup_{{\lambda} \in {\Lambda}} f_{\lambda}$ is convex.

Proof. Define $f = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_{\lambda}$. Let $x, y \in A, t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then

$$f_{\lambda}(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf_{\lambda}(x) + (1-t)f_{\lambda}(y)$$

$$\le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$$

Since $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is arbitrary, $f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$.

6.2. Conjugacy.

Definition 6.2.1. Let X be a Banach space, $A \subset X$ and $f: A \to (\infty, \infty]$. Define $f^*: X^* \to (\infty, \infty]$ by

$$f^*(\phi) = \sup_{x \in A} \left[\phi(x) - f(x) \right]$$

If X is a Hilbert space, we may define $f^*: X \to (\infty, \infty]$ via the Riesz representation theorem by

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in A} \left[\langle y, x \rangle - f(x) \right]$$

Exercise 6.2.2. Let X be a Banach space, $A \subset X$ and $f: A \to (\infty, \infty]$. Then f^* is convex. *Proof.* For $x \in A$, define $g_x: X^* \to [\infty, \infty)$ by $g_x(\phi) = \phi(x) - f(x)$. Then for each $x \in A$, g_x is convex since it is affine. Thus $f^* = \sup_{x \in A} g_x$ is convex.

Exercise 6.2.3. Let X be a Banach space, $A \subset X$ and $f : A \to (\infty, \infty]$. Then for each $x \in X$ and $\phi \in X^*$, $f(x) \ge \phi(x) - f^*(\phi)$.

Proof. Clear
$$\Box$$

Exercise 6.2.4.

6.3. Differentiability.

Exercise 6.3.1. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be convex and $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$. Then f is convex iff for each $x, y \in A$, if $x \neq y$ then for each $z \in [x, y]$,

$$f(z) \le f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(z - x)$$

that is, between x and y, the graph of f lies below its secant line.

Proof. Suppose that f is convex. Let $x, y \in A$. Suppose that $x \neq y$. Define $s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$s(z) = f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(z - x)$$

Let $z \in [x, y]$. Then there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that z = tx + (1 - t)y. Then

$$s(z) = f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(z - x)$$

$$= f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(tx + (1 - t)y - x)$$

$$= f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}((1 - t)y - (1 - t)x)$$

$$= f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(1 - t)(y - x)$$

$$= f(x) + (f(y) - f(x))(1 - t)$$

$$= tf(x) + f(y)(1 - t)$$

$$\geq f(tx + (1 - t)y) \qquad \text{(by convexity)}$$

$$= f(z)$$

Conversely, suppose that for each $x, y \in A$, if $x \neq y$ then for each $z \in [x, y]$,

$$f(z) \le f(x) + \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}(z - x)$$

Let $x, y \in A$. Suppose that $x \neq y$. Define $s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ as above. Let $t \in [0, 1]$. Put $z = tx + (1 - t)y \in (x, y) \in [x, y]$. Then as shown previously, s(z) = tf(x) + f(y)(1 - t). By assumption,

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) = f(z)$$

$$\leq s(z)$$

$$= tf(x) + f(y)(1 - t)$$

If
$$x = y$$
, then $f(tx + (1-t)y) = tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$. So f is convex.

Definition 6.3.2. Let

Definition 6.3.3. ∂f

Exercise 6.3.4.

6.4. Functional Optimization.

Exercise 6.4.1. Let X be a Banach space, (S, \mathcal{S}, μ) a measure space, $A \subset X$, $K \in L^0(A, \mathbb{R})$ and $\Lambda \subset L^0(S, A) \cap \{f : S \to A : K \circ f \in L^1(\mu)\}$. Suppose that A and Λ are convex. Define $\phi : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi f = \int K \circ f d\mu$$

Then K is convex implies that ϕ is convex.

Proof. Suppose that K is convex. Let $t \in [0,1]$ and $f,g \in \Lambda$. Convexity of K implies that for each $s \in S$,

$$K[tf(s) + (1-t)g(s)] \le tK[f(s)] + (1-t)K[g(s)]$$

So

$$K \circ [tf + (1-t)g] \le tK \circ f + (1-t)K \circ g$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \phi[tf+(1-t)g] &= \int K \circ [tf+(1-t)g] d\mu \\ &\leq \int tK \circ f + (1-t)K \circ g d\mu \\ &= t \int K \circ f d\mu + (1-t) \int K \circ g d\mu \\ &= t \phi f + (1-t) \phi g \end{split}$$

and ϕ is convex.