

Introduction

What is Slice Sampling?

A "black-box" auxiliary variable Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method that avoids the need to tune hyperparameters. Introduced by Neal (2003).

The idea of slice sampling. Suppose we wish to sample from a distribution for a variable, x , taking values in some subset of R^n , whose density is proportional to some function $f(x)$. We can do this by sampling uniformly from the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional region that lies under the plot of $f(x)$. This idea can be formalized by introducing an auxiliary real variable, y , and defining a joint distribution over x and y that is uniform over the region $U = \{(x, y) : 0 < y < f(x)\}$ below the curve or surface defined by $f(x)$. That is, the joint density for (x, y) is


$$p(x, y) = \frac{1}{Z} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 < y < f(x) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $Z = \int f(x)dx$. The marginal density for x is then

Intuition Behind Slice Sampling

The Fundamental Idea

To sample from $\pi(x)$, we can sample uniformly from the region under the curve of $\pi(x)$



Step 1: Vertical Slice

- ▶ Given current position x
- ▶ Sample height $y \sim \text{Uniform}(0, \pi(x))$

Step 2: Horizontal Slice

- ▶ Sample new x uniformly from slice
- ▶ $S = \{x : \pi(x) \geq y\}$

The Slice Sampling Algorithm

Basic Algorithm

Given: Current state x_t , target distribution $\pi(x)$

Algorithm

1. **Sample auxiliary variable:** Draw $y \sim \text{Uniform}(0, \pi(x_t))$
2. **Find the slice:** Identify $S = \{x : \pi(x) \geq y\}$
3. **Sample from the slice:** Draw $x_{t+1} \sim \text{Uniform}(S)$

The Challenge: Finding and Sampling from S

In practice, finding $S = \{x : \pi(x) \geq y\}$ can be difficult!

Key Idea: Leave Distribution Invariant

How to sample from S

The Stepping Out Procedure

1. **Create initial interval:**
2. $L = x_t - w \cdot U$, $R = L + w$, where $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$
3. **Step out left:**
4. While $\pi(L) \geq y$: $L = L - w$
5. **Step out right:**
6. While $\pi(R) \geq y$: $R = R + w$

The Shrinking Procedure

1. **Sample and shrink:**
2. Loop: $x' \sim \text{Uniform}(L, R)$
3. If $\pi(x') \geq y$: accept $x_{t+1} = x'$
4. Else: shrink $[L, R]$ by setting $L = x'$ or $R = x'$

Adaptive Nature

The algorithm automatically adapts to the local scale of $\pi(x)$. Wide regions are explored with large steps, narrow regions with small steps.

Alternative procedures exist for sampling from S (e.g. doubling, multi-dimensional

Why w is Not a Critical Tuning Parameter

The Width Parameter w : Efficiency vs. Correctness

Yes, w IS technically a tuning parameter, BUT...

Traditional MCMC (e.g., RW-Metropolis)

- ▶ **Poor tuning** → **Poor mixing**
- ▶ σ too small → Tiny steps, stuck
- ▶ σ too large → High rejection
- ▶ Can take exponentially long
- ▶ **Affects correctness in finite time**

Slice Sampling with w

- ▶ **Poor w → More computation**
- ▶ w too small → Many step-outs
- ▶ w too large → More shrinking
- ▶ Always finds correct slice
- ▶ **Only affects efficiency**

Why w is Robust: The Self-Correcting Mechanism

Case 1: $w \ll$ typical slice width

Case 2: $w \gg$ typical slice width

Why Slice Sampling Converges

Formal Convergence Properties

1. Detailed Balance

Let $T(x'|x)$ be the transition kernel. We need: $\pi(x) \cdot T(x'|x) = \pi(x') \cdot T(x|x')$

Proof sketch:

- ▶ Given x , sample $y \sim \text{Uniform}(0, \pi(x))$
- ▶ Probability density of moving from x to x' :

$$T(x'|x) = \int_0^{\min(\pi(x), \pi(x'))} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \cdot \frac{1}{|S_y|} dy$$

where $|S_y|$ is the length of slice $\{z : \pi(z) \geq y\}$

- ▶ This is symmetric: $T(x'|x) = T(x|x') \Rightarrow$ detailed balance holds

2. Irreducibility

For any x, x' where $\pi(x) > 0$ and $\pi(x') > 0$:

Convergence: Additional Mathematical Details

Detailed Balance - Complete Argument

Consider augmented state space (x, y) with invariant distribution:

$$\pi^*(x, y) = \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \mathbf{1}\{0 \leq y \leq \pi(x)\}$$

where $Z = \int \pi(x) dx$ is the normalization constant.

The Gibbs Sampler View

Slice sampling is a Gibbs sampler on the augmented space:

- **Step 1:** Sample $y|x \sim \text{Uniform}(0, \pi(x))$
- **Step 2:** Sample $x|y \sim \text{Uniform}(\{x : \pi(x) \geq y\})$

Each conditional distribution is correct:

$$p(y|x) = \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \cdot \mathbf{1}\{0 \leq y \leq \pi(x)\} \quad (1)$$

Extensions

- ▶ elliptic slice sampling (Murray et al., 2010) for Gaussian priors

Summary

Advantages of Slice Sampling

- ✓ No rejection step (unlike MH)
- ✓ Self-adapting to different scales
- ✓ Only requires $\pi(x)$ evaluation
- ✓ Minimal tuning (only w)
- ✓ Guaranteed convergence

Common Uses

- ▶ Bayesian inference
- ▶ Hierarchical models
- ▶ Within Gibbs samplers

Disadvantages

- × Computationally expensive
- × Difficult in high dimensions
- × Slow for multimodal distributions

Best For

- ▶ Univariate sampling
- ▶ Unusual distributions
- ▶ Avoiding tuning phase