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**Abstract**

Climate change is a global threat, but susceptibility to various natural hazards and disasters varies significantly by country and region. This project will assess historical precipitation data across the UK to evaluate regional drought susceptibility in geographic and temporal terms.

I will use UK Met Office precipitation data from 1991-2020 to construct a nationwide trend model for precipitation. Regions will be defined by hydrological standard regions from the UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology. Data and calculated trends will be compared to the UK CEH’s Standardised Precipitation Index.

This project will provide insight into changing precipitation patters across the UK, and will attempt to map drought susceptibility nationwide.

**Problem Statement**

As our planet’s climate changes, specific nations and regions are going to face specific problems and threats. Over the summer of 2022, the United Kingdom was faced with a near-nationwide drought and heatwave of unprecedented severity (Rhoden-Paul, 2022). The reasons for this are complex and multifaceted; this project will attempt to use nationwide historical precipitation data from the UK Meteorological Office to discern trends in precipitation over time and to make assessments about regional drought susceptibility.

*Table 1. Requirements for analysis*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Requirement** | **Defined As** | **(Spatial) Data** | **Attribute Data** | **Dataset** | **Preparation** |
| 1 | Rainfall data | Raw input dataset from UK Met Office | Rainfall data on a 2km grid across the UK | Precipitation | [UK Met Office Climate Data Portal](https://climate-themetoffice.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/TheMetOffice::monthly-precipitation-observations-1991-2020/explore?location=55.217672%2C-3.312248%2C6.67) | TBD |
| 2 | Drought susceptibility | TBD; more research required |  | Drought susceptibility |  | TBD |
| 3 | Precipitation projections | TBD; more research required. Compared to Met Office Data |  | Projected precipitation | [UK Met Office Climate Data Portal](https://climate-themetoffice.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/TheMetOffice::monthly-precipitation-projections-2050-2079/explore) | TBD |

**Input Data**

The raw data used for this project will be raw precipitation data across the United Kingdom at a 2km grid level. This data will be downloaded, prepared and analyzed to produce historical trends in precipitation at a national and regional level. This data will also be used to create rudimentary projections on future precipitation levels, which will be compared to the Met Office’s official monthly precipitation projections for 2050-2079 at a 12km grid level.

Historical data will be used to produce a drought susceptibility map of the UK, which will be compared and contrasted with the UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology’s Standardised Precipitation index 5km grid data from 1862-2015. Additional data is available for visualization up to July 2022. This data provides a qualitative assessment of ‘dryness’ at a 5km grid resolution across the UK.

*Table 2. Expected data sources*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Title** | **Purpose in Analysis** | **Link to Source** |
| 1 | Monthly Precipitation Observations 1991-2020 | Raw 2km grid input dataset for rainfall analysis from UK Met Office | [UK Met Office Climate Data Portal](https://climate-themetoffice.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/TheMetOffice::monthly-precipitation-observations-1991-2020/explore?location=55.217672%2C-3.312248%2C6.67) |
| 2 | Monthly Precipitation Projections 2050-2079 | Comparative analysis of future rainfall patterns at a 12km grid | [UK Met Office Climate Data Portal](https://climate-themetoffice.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/TheMetOffice::monthly-precipitation-projections-2050-2079/explore) |
| 3 | Standardised Precipitation Index 5km Grid (1862-2015) | Comparative analysis of drought and precipitation levels | [UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology Environmental Information Data Centre](https://catalogue.ceh.ac.uk/documents/233090b2-1d14-4eb9-9f9c-3923ea2350ff) |
| 4 | Integrated Hydrological Units of the United Kingdom | Hydrological region maps of the UK | [UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology Environmental Information Data Centre](https://catalogue.ceh.ac.uk/documents/3a4e94fc-4c68-47eb-a217-adee2a6b02b3) |

**Methods**

****

**Results**

The results will include a drought susceptibility map and a comparative analysis of precipitation projections over time. I hope to present these data in a StoryMap format to maximize audience engagement and accessibility. I will also provide all code and workflows on GitHub or Google Drive, as appropriate.

**Results Verification**

Data will be compared to the following data sources:

* UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology’s 5km Standard Precipitation Index data, available from December 1961 to July 2022 (Barker et al., 2016) (Svensson et al., 2017)
  + [*https://eip.ceh.ac.uk/droughts*](https://eip.ceh.ac.uk/droughts)
* UK Met Office monthly 12km precipitation projections 2050-2079 (UK Met Office, 2021)
  + [*https://climate-themetoffice.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/TheMetOffice::monthly-precipitation-projections-2050-2079/about*](https://climate-themetoffice.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/TheMetOffice::monthly-precipitation-projections-2050-2079/about)

**Discussion and Conclusion**

I hope that this project will provide insight into how precipitation patterns across the UK have changed over the last several decades. I hope that my drought susceptibility map

I hope that this project increases my confidence interacting with APIs and bolsters my understanding geospatial data science as a workflow or pipeline. I am also excited to get stuck back into Python across the course of the semester and the project.

I’m looking forward to making tangible progress with this project and I’m excited to see what other projects my colleagues embark on.
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**Self-score**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Description** | **Points Possible** | **Score** |
| **Structural Elements** | All elements of a lab report are included **(2 points each)**:  Title, Notice: Dr. Bryan Runck, Author, Project Repository, Date, Abstract, Problem Statement, Input Data w/ tables, Methods w/ Data, Flow Diagrams, Results, Results Verification, Discussion and Conclusion, References in common format, Self-score | 28 | **28** |
| **Clarity of Content** | Each element above is executed at a professional level so that someone can understand the goal, data, methods, results, and their validity and implications in a 5 minute reading at a cursory-level, and in a 30 minute meeting at a deep level **(12 points)**. There is a clear connection from data to results to discussion and conclusion **(12 points)**. | 24 | **24** |
| **Reproducibility** | Results are completely reproducible by someone with basic GIS training. There is no ambiguity in data flow or rationale for data operations. Every step is documented and justified. | 28 | **28** |
| **Verification** | Results are correct in that they have been verified in comparison to some standard. The standard is clearly stated **(10 points)**, the method of comparison is clearly stated **(5 points)**, and the result of verification is clearly stated **(5 points)**. | 20 | **20** |
|  |  | 100 | **100** |