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**Problem Statement**

APIs provide interfaces through which users can access, download, and process data, either individually or in bulk. Different kinds of APIs exist and require different processes to access the data stored by the services that use them. Three APIs will be accessed in this Lab: Minnesota Geospatial Commons, Google Places and North Dakota Agricultural Weather Network.

This lab will use Python in ArcPro and ArcOnline to access these APIs and display, project and spatially join the data accessed through them to explore different APIs and data formats.

**Input Data**

The input data is taken from three sources: Minnesota Geospatial Commons, Google Places and North Dakota Agricultural Weather Network.

Minnesota Geospatial Commons data is accessed by locating the data on the MGC website, selecting the desired file format, and copying the download link into the Notebook. MGC data will be accessed in Shapefile format.

Google Places data is accessed by constructing a custom hyperlink to access specific search results. First, an API Key must be generated, which allows users to access data using unique customized credentials. Google Places data will be accessed in JSON format.

NDAWN data is accessed by selecting the desired stations and attributes and generating a table, which produces a download link to a CSV table.

*Table 1. Data sources*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Title** | **Purpose in Analysis** | **Link to Source** |
| 1 | Airports Information in Minnesota | Raw input data, downloaded from API, loaded into Arc, processed, and spatially joined | [MN Geospatial Commons](https://gisdata.mn.gov/dataset/trans-airports) |
| 2 | Impaired Wetlands 2012 | Raw input data, downloaded from API, loaded into Arc, processed, and spatially joined | [MN Geospatial Commons](https://gisdata.mn.gov/dataset/env-impaired-wetlands-2012) |
| 3 | Google Places Data | Raw input data, downloaded from API, loaded into Arc, processed, and spatially joined | See code for URLs |
| 4 | All Stations Daily Data (August 18, 2022) | Raw input data, downloaded from API, loaded into Arc, processed, and spatially joined | [NDAWN](https://tinyurl.com/NDAWNaug) |
| 5 | All Stations Daily Data (February 18, 2022) | Raw input data, downloaded from API, loaded into Arc, processed, and spatially joined | [NDAWN](https://tinyurl.com/NDAWNfeb) |

**Methods**

Minnesota Geospatial Commons uses a CKAN based REST (representational state transfer) API which allow users to access data hosted on the MGC website directly using their own code. MGC hosts data in a range of formats, such as HTML, shapefiles, CSV and others.

Google Places uses the Places API, which returns data using HTTP requests using URLs that users can create using their desired parameters. Google Places returns data in either XMl or JSON format.

NDAWN hosts raw data in CSV format, which can be accessed on an individual station level or by attribute across stations.
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*Figure 1: Data pipeline for three APIs*

**Results**

The data downloaded and used was processed as follows:

* Minnesota Geospatial Commons
  + Downloaded airport and impaired wetland data
  + Project data to a new geographic and projected coordinate system
  + Spatially join to find all impaired wetlands within 10 miles of an airport
* Google Places
  + Create custom hyperlink to download locations of all cafes and Chinese restaurants within 1km of the Humphrey School
  + Parse JSON data and project to a spatial coordinate system
  + Spatially join data to find all cafes within 200m of a Chinese restaurant
* NDAWN
  + Download CSV data for all stations in August and February 2022
  + Project data to spatial coordinate system
  + Spatially join data

**Results Verification**

Results will be evaluated visually and compared both between API sources and ArcGIS Pro and ArcGIS Online to ensure consistency between platforms and data sources. Data was also compared to manually downloaded and processed data to ensure Python-processed data returns the same outputs. Results were consistent and reliable across APIs.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

Accessing the APIs was relatively simple to figure out. The primary challenge for this lab was figuring out how each data format could be loaded into Arc and processed in Python. For example, the fact that a JSON file is essentially a Python dictionary in text form was not immediately obvious to me, and thus the processing pipeline was more difficult than it maybe should have been.

Getting back on my feet in Python was an enjoyable experience even if this Lab was frustrating in some ways. ArcOnline once again presented far more problems than ArcPro, with the cloud integration of the system proving challenging to use when trying to download and store data.

I successfully managed to do all necessary processing in both ArcPro and ArcOnline and received consistent and logically accurate results on both platforms.
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