

1. (5 points) Consider the language of propositional logic. Use natural deduction to prove that the following holds, or find a counter-example to show that it does not hold (remember that $\neg F$ is only a shorthand for $F \rightarrow \perp$).

- $\vdash ((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow \neg A)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \perp)$
- $\vdash (A \wedge B \wedge C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C))$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{2 \left[(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow \neg A) \right]_{\lambda E}}{A \rightarrow B} \\
 (\rightarrow E) \frac{\frac{[A]_1}{B}}{\frac{\frac{2 \left[(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow \neg A) \right]_{\lambda E}}{B \rightarrow \neg A}}{(\rightarrow E)}} \\
 \frac{[A]_1}{\frac{\frac{\perp}{A \rightarrow \perp} (\rightarrow I)_1}{\frac{\frac{(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow \neg A)}{A \rightarrow \perp} (\rightarrow I)_2}{((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow \neg A)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \perp)}}}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (\lambda E) \frac{[A \wedge B \wedge C]_3}{B \wedge C} \quad [A]_2 \\
 (\lambda I) \frac{\frac{A \wedge B \wedge C}{\frac{B}{A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow I)_1}}{\frac{((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C))}{(A \wedge B \wedge C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C))} (\rightarrow I)_3} \\
 (\lambda E) \frac{[A \wedge B \wedge C]_3}{A \wedge C} \quad [B]_2 \\
 (\lambda I) \frac{\frac{A \wedge B \wedge C}{\frac{C}{B \rightarrow C} (\rightarrow I)_2}}{\frac{B \rightarrow C}{(A \wedge B \wedge C) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C))} (\rightarrow I)_3}
 \end{array}$$

2. (5 points) Transform and simplify the following propositional logic formula into an equivalent formula in Disjunctive Normal Form:

$$((A \leftrightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \wedge (D \rightarrow (A \wedge \neg B))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((A \leftrightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \wedge (\neg D \rightarrow (A \wedge \neg B)) \\
 & ((\neg((A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A)) \vee C) \wedge (\neg \neg D \vee (A \wedge \neg B))) \quad X = (A \wedge \neg B) \\
 & (\neg(\neg(A \wedge B) \wedge (\neg B \vee A)) \vee C) \wedge (\neg \neg D \vee X) \\
 & ((A \wedge \neg B) \vee (\neg B \wedge A) \vee C) \wedge (\neg \neg D \vee X) \\
 & (X \vee (\neg B \wedge A) \vee C) \wedge (\neg \neg D \vee X) \\
 & ((X \vee (\neg B \wedge A) \vee C) \wedge \neg D) \vee ((X \vee (\neg B \wedge A) \vee C) \wedge X) \\
 & ((X \vee (\neg B \wedge A) \vee C) \wedge \neg D) \vee X \\
 & (X \wedge \neg D) \vee (\neg B \wedge A \wedge \neg D) \vee (C \wedge \neg D) \vee X \\
 & (A \wedge \neg B) \vee (\neg B \wedge A \wedge \neg D) \vee (C \wedge \neg D)
 \end{aligned}$$

3. (5 points)

4. Consider the following statements:

- (a) Marco will pass the exam unless he fails to submit the assignment.
- (b) Marco studies whenever he wants to pass the exam.
- (c) Marco wants to pass the exam only if he studies.
- (d) Marco does not submit the assignment unless he studies.

Task.

- (a) Introduce appropriate propositional variables.
- (b) Formalize each statement in propositional logic.
- (c) Identify whether any pair of statements together expresses an "if and only if" relation.

a) P: MARCO PASS THE EXAM

A: MARCO SUBMIT THE ASSIGNMENT

S: MARCO STUDY

W: MARCO WANT TO PASS

b)

① $\neg(\neg A) \rightarrow P$ $A \rightarrow P$

② $W \rightarrow S$

③ $W \rightarrow S$

④ $\neg S \rightarrow \neg A$ $A \rightarrow S$

c)

THERE IS NOT ANY $((x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x))$

SO THERE IS NOT ANY \leftrightarrow

5. (5 points) Consider the following statements:

- (a) Every man trusts only persons he knows.
- (b) Every honest person is trusted by everyone.
- (c) For any two persons x and y, knowing y is a sufficient and necessary condition for x to trust y.
- (d) There exists a woman who does not know anyone she does not trust

Task.

- (a) Introduce an appropriate FOL language
- (b) Formalize each statement in FOL

MAN(x) x is a man

PERSON(x) x is a person

TRUST(x,y) x trusts y

KNOWS(x,y) x knows y

HONEST(x) x is honest

HONEST(X) X is honest

WOMAN(X) X is a woman

- (a) $\forall_x \forall_y ((\text{MAN}(x) \wedge \text{TRUST}(x,y)) \rightarrow (\text{PERSON}(y) \wedge \text{KNOWS}(x,y)))$
- (b) $\forall_x (\text{HONEST}(x) \rightarrow \forall_y \text{TRUST}(y,x))$
- (c) $\forall_x \forall_y (\text{KNOW}(x,y) \leftrightarrow \text{TRUST}(x,y))$
- (d) $\exists x ((\text{WOMAN}(x) \wedge \forall_y \text{KNOW}(x,y)) \rightarrow \text{TRUST}(x,y))$

6. (6 points) In a simple programming language, a program consists of a list of instructions. Some instructions "use" variables (e.g., use(x)). A compiler pass must verify that every variable used in the program is present in a list of declared variables. Write a recursive Prolog predicate `verify_vars(Program, DeclaredVars)` that succeeds only if every `use(Var)` instruction in the Program list corresponds to a variable found in the DeclaredVars list. Example Queries:

```
?- verify_vars([begin, use(a), use(b), end], [a, b, c]).  
true.  
  
?- verify_vars([use(a), use(z)], [a, b]).  
false.
```

Write the Prolog code for the `verify_vars/2` predicate below.

`member (X, [X | -])`

`member (X, [- | TAIL]) :- member (X, TAIL)`

`verify ([], [])`

% SIMPLE INSTRUCTION

`verify ([INSTRUCTION | BestOfTheProgram], VARIABLES) :-`
 `INSTRUCTION != use(_),`
 `verify (BestOfTheProgram, VARIABLES)`

`verify ([use(X) | BestOfProgram], VARIABLES) :-`
 `member (X, VARIABLES),`

10

member ($X, VARIABLES$),
verify ($Rest\ of\ program, VARIABLES$)

verify ($\{ use(X) \mid Rest\ of\ program \}, [X \mid VARIABLES]$):-
+ member ($X, VARIABLES$),
verify ($Rest\ of\ program, VARIABLES$)

7. (6 points) A network administrator must distribute exactly 100 Gigabytes (GB) of traffic across three servers: Server A, Server B, and Server C. Write a CLP program to determine valid traffic assignments based on the following constraints:

- Domain: Each server must handle between 10 and 80 GB of traffic.
- Server A: Must handle a traffic load that is a multiple of 10.
- Server B: Must handle at least 10 GB more than Server A.
- Server C: Must handle exactly half the traffic of Server B.
- Total: The combined traffic of all three servers must be exactly 100 GB.

Write the full Prolog/MiniZinc code defining the predicate `traffic_dist(A, B, C)`.

Var $[40..80] : A$

Var $[40..80] : B$

Var $[40..80] : C$

Var INT : TOTAL_TRAFFIC = $A + B + C$

CONSTRAINT $TOTAL_TRAFFIC = 100$

CONSTRAINT $(A \bmod 10) = 0$

CONSTRAINT $B \geq A + 10$

CONSTRAINT $C = (B/2)$

SOLVE SATISFY